



**NOTICE OF A SPECIAL  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
March 31, 2026, at 6:00 PM**

---

**Present**


Mayor Zack Stratton  
Councilmember Parker McCumber  
Councilmember Jacob Wood  
Councilmember Jacob Holdaway  
Councilmember David Lauret  
Councilmember Ezra Nair

**Absent**

**Staff Present:** Chief Deputy Holden Rockwell with the Utah County Sheriff's Office, Administrative Director David Kyle Herring, Building Director Cris Thomas, Public Works Director Naseem Ghandour, City Recorder Robin Bond, and Deputy City Recorder Tony Lara

**Others Speaking:** Dave and Cam Terry with EZ Park. Vineyard residents Daria Evans, Kim Cornelius, Chip Price, David Pearce, Krystal Price, Jacob Schooley, and Steven Moss

**1. CALL TO ORDER**


 Mayor Stratton called the meeting to order at 6:00pm.

**2. INVOCATION OR INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT (BY INVITATION)**

**3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (BY INVITATION)**

**4. PUBLIC HEARING**


**4.1. Amend the Consolidated Fee Schedule for the Transportation Utility Fee and Vineyard Days. Allow the Mayor the power to lower Fees on the Consolidated Fee Schedule**


 Administrative Director David Kyle Herring outlined the changes to the Consolidated Fee Schedule which included setting the Transportation Utility Fee (TUF) to \$0.00 as well as changes to fees associated with Vineyard Days vendors and participants.


Daria Evans, living in the Villas neighborhood, questioned removing the fee for businesses and residents. She asked how many businesses were affected.


Councilmember McCumber replied with the business impact. He felt the fee was unwelcoming


to businesses. He mentioned that the Megaplex theater, but also smaller businesses had been adversely affected. He felt spending needed to be cut.

 Kim Cornelius, living in the Villas neighborhood, questioned if deleting the impact fee would be a better solution than simply setting the cost to 0.


 Councilmember Nair commented that he did not vote for the Transportation Utility Fee. He felt the resident side was equitable, but the business side seemed excessive. He would like to see the fee restructured. His main concerns were road maintenance, and possible delays in routine maintenance. He felt rebuilding a road would be more costly. He wished to avoid having to do new studies required by the state should the council wish to reinstate the fee later.

 The council briefly discussed the possibility of including a form of the transportation utility fee as part of an initial business license fee.

 Chip Price, living in the Providence neighborhood, expressed concern with the fee and felt that the city had already achieved the maximum amount of taxation that it could and supported removing the TUF.


 Mr. Herring explained the reasoning behind the portion of the resolution that allowed the mayor to reduce fees. The council briefly discussed the merits of that change, with some on council expressing concern that it may result in an influx of requests to the mayor's office for lower fees.


## **5. MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS' DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS**

 Councilmember Holdaway spoke about the proposed work to occur on 400 South near his property. He stated that it was purchased after the right of way had been established and that he does not financially benefit from the property and does not believe there is a conflict of interest. He added that in regards to his father's property that if it came to an eminent domain issue that he would not participate in any vote for that.

## **6. PUBLIC COMMENT**


 Daria Evan, living in The Villas neighborhood, thanked the community for Library Donations.


 David Pearce, living in the Cascade neighborhood, also thanked the community for the donations. He also wanted to state that he agreed with Councilmember Lauret, he would be concerned if the council gave its authority away with respect to fees and taxes, as he felt that was akin to removing the voice of the tax payer.


 Krystal Price, living in the Waters Edge neighborhood, asked the council about the status of transferring the HOA from the developers to the neighborhood. The council discussed working with legal to find an answer to the issue.


## 7. WORK SESSION

### 7.1. EZ Park Presentation and Discussion

 Dave and Cam Terry, with EZ Park, presented their parking enforcement program and highlighted its success in other cities. They emphasized an approach that avoids towing, noting that towing often creates conflict, and instead demonstrated an automated camera system that reads license plates and issues digital citations. The program also includes digital permitting, with reporting provided directly to the city, and is designed to be scalable as a comprehensive parking management solution. They noted that signage would be installed by EZ Park to inform residents, with an estimated implementation timeline of 30 days.


 The Council discussed parking enforcement operations, including citation costs, permitting, and towing procedures. Councilmember Wood asked about patrol practices and costs. Councilmember Wood questioned permit pricing and the number of vehicles allowed per permit, it was clarified that the Council could establish those limits. Councilmember Holdaway requested that enforcement information be directed to the Code Enforcement Officer.

 Jacob Schooley, living in the Lake Front neighborhood asked about the customization of the escalation policy as well as for clarification on the monthly fee for a pass. A discussion ensued.


 Steven Moss, living in the Bridgeport neighborhood, asked for clarification on data storage and where specifically the license plate data would be stored, expressing data privacy concerns.

## 8. BUSINESS ITEMS


### 8.1. Resolution 2026-21 Amend the Consolidated Fee Schedule


 The mayor and council discussed the proposed amendments as well as the proposed change allowing for the mayor to lower fees if needed. Councilmember McCumber asked that the council retain the power to set fees. Both he and Councilmember Nair felt it was important to remove that section from the resolutions. As to the removal of the Transportation Utility Fee, the council felt it was a good idea and were confident that the budget would be adequate for road maintenance.


 Mr. Pearce agreed with Councilmember McCumbers thoughts on the council retaining control. He stated that the frequency of council meetings would allow for a quick response to someone seeking a reduction in fees.

 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER LAURET MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2026-21, WITH THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO SECTION 5 AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY SECONDED. THE ROLL CALL WAS AS FOLLOWS: COUNCILMEMBERS NAIR, LAURET, WOOD, HOLDAWAY, AND MCCUMBER VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.


### 8.2. Adopt Resolution 2026-19 In Support Of Parking Enforcement


 The Council considered a resolution supporting increased parking enforcement, focusing on addressing immediate safety hazards in high-density neighborhoods such as Bridgeport and LeCheminant. Discussion centered on whether a formal resolution was necessary versus administrative action, as well as balancing enforcement priorities with other public safety demands. Chief Deputy Rockwell outlined current enforcement efforts, operational constraints, and recommended amendments to the resolution to allow for officer discretion, use of authorized towing providers, and flexibility in responding to higher-priority calls.


 Ms. Evans suggested some minor text edits to both resolution 2026-19 as well as to the documents that were part of the agenda packet for Operation Neighborhood Shield.


 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER MCCUMBER MOTIONED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2026-19 IN SUPPORT OF PARKING ENFORCEMENT AS AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER NAIR SECONDED. THE ROLL CALL WAS AS FOLLOWS COUNCILMEMBERS NAIR, LAURET, WOOD, HOLDAWAY, AND MCCUMBER VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

### **8.3. Adopt Resolution 2026-20 To Form A Community Events Non-Profit**

 The Council discussed a resolution to establish a community events commission and facilitate the creation of a nonprofit organization to support city events and encourage volunteerism. The intent was to shift events toward a more community-driven model, with the nonprofit enabling fundraising and tax-deductible donations. Councilmembers asked clarifying questions about the relationship between the commission and the nonprofit, governance structure, and legal considerations, including oversight, leadership roles, and the process for drafting and approving a charter.

 City Attorney Jesse Riddle advised that the resolution primarily serves as a starting point, with additional structure and policies to be developed later. The Council debated language to ensure appropriate oversight and clarity, ultimately amending the proposal to authorize the mayor to lead the nonprofit's creation, require council approval of appointments and the nonprofit charter, and allow the commission to elect its own leadership.


 Mr. Pearce asked for clarification on the process of having the mayor appoint the chair of the commission and not having the commission itself vote later to appoint the chair from their membership.


 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER MCCUMBER MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2026-20 TO FORM A COMMUNITY EVENTS NON-PROFIT, WITH THE AMENDMENTS AGREED UPON DURING THE MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER LAURET SECONDED. THE ROLL CALL WAS AS FOLLOWS: COUNCILMEMBERS NAIR, LAURET, WOOD, HOLDAWAY, AND MCCUMBER VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.


### **8.4. Approve the Neighborhood Shield Program**

This item was removed from the agenda to be addressed at a future meeting.

### **8.5. Approve Creating A Neighborhood Commission, Establishing Neighborhood Districts, And Providing For The Appointment and Selection Of Commission Members**

 The Council discussed a proposed neighborhood commission (Item 8.5), with initial suggestions to move the item to a future work session for further refinement. Discussion highlighted the value of recent neighborhood-led collaboration efforts, where residents organically organized, selected leaders, and built consensus without formal city intervention. Several councilmembers expressed concern that creating a formal commission could introduce unnecessary bureaucracy and lack community buy-in, noting that residents would likely continue to engage directly with the Council regardless. Alternative approaches were discussed, including relying on existing processes such as traffic calming petitions to ensure representative input.

 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER LAURET MOVED TO CONTINUE THE ITEM TO THE NEXT WORK SESSION. COUNCILMEMBER WOOD SECONDED. THE COUNCIL DISCUSSED THE MOTION.

 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER NAIR COUNTERMOTIONED TO STRIKE THE ITEM FROM FUTURE AGENDAS. COUNCILMEMBER MCCUMBER SECONDED. THE ROLL CALL WAS AS FOLLOWS: COUNCILMEMBERS NAIR, LAURET, WOOD, HOLDAWAY, AND MCCUMBER VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

## 9. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 8:15 pm.

MINUTES APPROVED ON: 5/5/2026

CERTIFIED CORRECT BY:   
TONY LARA, DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

