



Sunset City Corporation

200 West 1300 North • Sunset City, Utah 84015 • 801-825-1628

Mayor:
Scott Wiggill
Council:
Nancy Smalling
Nakisha Rigley
Hope Thompson
Ricky Carlson
Katherine Hunter

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA REGULAR MEETING

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Sunset City Council will hold a regular meeting at 6:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 5, 2026 at the Sunset City Office Building, 200 West 1300 North, Sunset, Utah. Any information or items for the Council's consideration must be furnished at least ten (10) working days prior to the scheduled meeting to give the needed time to study the request. Agenda shall be as follows:

REGULAR SESSION

- A. CALL TO ORDER & WELCOME
- B. INVOCATION OR INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE by Council Member Hunter
- C. PUBLIC COMMENTS
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – April 7, 2026
- E. APPROVAL OF VOUCHER – Kapp Auto in the amount of \$37,435.25 for a vehicle for Public Works
- F. MOTION TO GO INTO A PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING

- G. To Solicit Input from Sunset City Residents to Approve the Tentative Operating Budgets for Fiscal Year 2027, Ending June 30, 2027 and Setting the Date for a Public Hearing on Final Adoption
- H. Motion to go back into the Regular Session

AGENDA ITEMS

1. Swear in and Give Oath of Office to Officer Tawny Graham
2. Recognize Head Utility Billing Clerk Chrissy Weatherston for 30 Years of Service for Sunset City
3. Consider and Approve Resolution 2026-08 Approving Member Employee Contributions to the Utah Retirement Systems (URS) Contributory Retirement Plan on Behalf of Eligible Employees
4. Consider and Approve Resolution 2026-09 Approving an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Davis County Relating to the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program for Federal Fiscal Years 2027, 2028 and 2029
5. Consider and Approve Resolution 2026-10 Adopting the Tentative Operating Budgets for Fiscal Year 2027, Ending June 30, 2027 and Setting the Date for a Public Hearing on Final Adoption
6. Mayor, Council and Department Head Reports
7. Adjourn and Move into Work Session

WORK SESSION

1. Discuss MIDA Funds
2. Discuss Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Amendments
3. Discuss Fiscal Year 2027 Budget Requests
4. Adjourn

Possible closed meeting for reasons allowed by Utah State Code 52-4-205.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations during this meeting should notify the Sunset City Offices, (801) 825-1628, at least three (3) working days prior to this meeting. Anchor location for electronic meetings by telephone device is 200 W 1300 N, Sunset UT 84015. With the adoption of Ordinance 1-6-3, the Council may participate per Electronic Meeting Rules. Please make arrangements in advance. Posted – May 1, 2026.

Nicole Supp, Recorder

Minutes of a regular meeting held April 7, 2026 at Sunset City Hall, 200 West 1300 North, Sunset, Utah; Mayor Wiggill presiding.

REGULAR SESSION

Mayor and Council Present:

Scott Wiggill	Mayor
Ricky Carlson	Council Member
Katherine Hunter	Council Member
Nakisha Rigley	Council Member
Nancy Smalling	Council Member
Hope Thompson	Council Member

City Employees Present:

Brett Jamison	Police Chief
Jason Monroe	Public Works Director
Nicole Supp	Recorder
Alyssa Rodriguez	Court Supervisor

Others Present:

Mark Becraft	NDFD Fire Chief
Ryan Steinbeigle	Davis County Community Services Manager
Ryan Parker	Davis County Housing/Homelessness Coordinator
Rich Muirbrook	NuRock Asphalt

The regular session was called to order at 6:32 p.m. by Mayor Wiggill.

Council Member Thompson gave a prayer/inspirational thought and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Council Member Smalling made a motion to approve the meeting minutes from March 3, 2026 as presented and Council Member Thompson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Carlson, Hunter, Rigley, Smalling and Thompson voting yes.

Public Comments: There were none.

Regular Meeting

1. Discussion on Permanent/Transitional Supportive Housing Developments with Ryan Park, Housing and Homelessness Coordinator for Davis County: Ryan Steinbeigle briefly clarified the introduction, indicating that the presenter would be Ryan Parker but he was going to introduce himself as well.

Mr. Steinbeigle announced he was as the Community Services Manager for Davis County and introduced Ryan Parker as the Housing/Homelessness Coordinator at their office. He expressed appreciation for the opportunity to speak and provided background on the County's efforts to address homelessness. Mr. Steinbeigle explained that state legislation had previously required counties of the second class to establish winter overflow shelters and implement a Code Blue

response plan, which mandated shelter availability during specific cold-weather conditions. The County formed a winter response task force consisting of seven mayors and one county commissioner, including former Mayor Howard Madsen. After determining that implementing temporary winter shelters posed logistical and financial challenges and would not address root causes of homelessness, the task force worked with the legislature to amend the law to allow pursuit of a year-round solution. Mr. Steinbeigle explained that the County then researched successful models across the country, including programs in Hennepin County and Milwaukee County, which utilized a combination of permanent supportive housing and transitional housing. These models demonstrated success in addressing underlying issues such as substance use, mental health, and employment. Mr. Steinbeigle then turned the time over to Mr. Parker to provide further details.

Mr. Parker thanked the Council and staff for the opportunity to present and noted that Sunset City was the first municipality in Davis County to receive this presentation. He explained his role as Housing and Homelessness Coordinator within the Community and Economic Development Department and introduced team members, including the Community Services Manager and the Grants Administrator. Mr. Parker shared his professional background, including experience with the Housing Authority of Salt Lake County (now Housing Connect) and managing a permanent supportive housing project.

Mr. Parker explained the annual Point-in-Time Count conducted through HUD, describing how volunteers count individuals experiencing homelessness during overnight hours in late January to ensure accuracy. He reported that in 2025, 127 individuals were identified as experiencing homelessness in Davis County. He noted that additional individuals with ties to Davis County were utilizing shelters in neighboring counties, indicating that the local count may underrepresent actual need. Mr. Parker also described demographic data collected, including individuals with mental illness and survivors of domestic violence, and explained fluctuations in counts between 2024 and 2025 due to differences in counting methodology. Mr. Parker indicated that preliminary observations suggested a modest increase in 2026.

Mr. Parker then described the concepts of permanent supportive housing and transitional housing. He described permanent supportive housing as a model that provides long-term housing with on-site supportive services, including case management, healthcare, counseling, and employment assistance. He emphasized that this model reduces strain on emergency services and promotes long-term stability, employment, and community reintegration. Mr. Parker clarified that housing units are subsidized through project-based vouchers tied to the unit rather than the individual, with tenants typically contributing 30 to 35 percent of their income toward rent.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the rent structure was similar to Section 8 housing. Mr. Parker confirmed that it was income-based and explained how project-based vouchers functioned.

Council Member Smalling asked how rent adjustments were handled if a tenant had no income and whether rent increased annually. Mr. Parker explained that rent increases were generally modest, often capped at approximately 2 percent, and that tenant contributions remained income-based regardless of market rate adjustments.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the program served individuals, families, or both. Mr. Parker responded that most permanent supportive housing projects primarily served single adults, though specifics could vary by provider.

Mr. Parker further explained that permanent supportive housing offered indefinite tenancy contingent upon lease compliance, while transitional housing provided temporary accommodations, typically ranging from six to twenty-four months, with the goal of stabilizing individuals before transitioning them to permanent housing. He emphasized that both housing types could be integrated within the same development to allow flexible, individualized support.

Council Member Carlson asked how frequently residents would be checked on. Mr. Parker explained that contact frequency depended on individual needs but typically included at least weekly check-ins, with additional informal interactions and monitoring to ensure well-being.

Mr. Parker clarified that the Housing First model emphasized not just providing housing but also addressing underlying causes of homelessness through consistent, on-site support services. He stressed that successful programs required ongoing engagement from case managers and service providers.

Mr. Parker presented data from the Utah Office of Homeless Services showing a 93 percent housing retention and positive exit rate for permanent supportive housing, indicating strong outcomes within the state. He also outlined broader community benefits, including improved health outcomes, reduced use of emergency services, lower public costs, increased self-sufficiency, and enhanced community safety.

Mr. Parker discussed the economic benefits of affordable housing projects, noting that while reduced public costs had already been addressed, additional impacts included job creation and increased local spending. He explained that a significant portion of individuals experiencing homelessness were actively employed, challenging the misconception that most were unemployed. Mr. Parker emphasized that when individuals were able to live and work locally, they contributed economically to the community. Mr. Parker also highlighted that such housing developments could enhance property values, increase tax revenue, and reduce reliance on social services. Mr. Parker stated that providing stable housing strengthened overall economic well-being within the community.

Mr. Parker then described what permanent supportive housing or transitional housing developments could look like within a community. He explained that modern developments were flexible in design and did not resemble outdated large-scale housing projects. He noted that communities could work with architects to design developments that matched local preferences, including townhomes, repurposed buildings, cottage-style housing, or smaller apartment complexes. Mr. Parker indicated that the County was generally considering projects of approximately 60 units based on local data, rather than large-scale developments, and emphasized that each community's needs and scale would differ.

Council Member Hunter asked whether legislation required each county to implement a project of this type.

Mr. Steinbeigle clarified that current legislation required counties to work toward a solution to address homelessness but did not mandate a specific type of project. He explained that the proposed housing model was the approach being considered for Davis County.

Mr. Parker outlined existing community partnerships that could support such a project, including Davis Behavioral Health, Open Doors, the Davis Community Housing Authority, Utah State University, and Davis Technical College. He explained that these partners could provide services such as behavioral health support, referrals, rent subsidization through project-based vouchers, and job training programs. Mr. Parker noted that referrals for housing would come through established outreach organizations such as Open Doors rather than public listings.

Mr. Parker then described the next steps, which included asking city councils and planning commissions to identify potential areas where such developments could be permitted if opportunities arose. He emphasized that no specific project or location had been proposed at that time and that the County was engaging all municipalities to build awareness and support in advance of any future proposals.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the County was seeking vacant land or existing properties for development.

Mr. Parker responded that the County's primary goal was to ensure cities understood the proposal and were open to permitting such projects if opportunities arose. He explained that potential sites could vary across the County and that it was not yet known where a project might be located.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the intent was to construct new facilities or acquire existing ones.

Mr. Parker explained that new construction was the most likely approach but noted that acquisition of existing properties was also a possibility. He clarified that the County would facilitate the process but would partner with experienced service providers to develop and operate the housing.

Council Member Smalling raised concerns regarding funding responsibilities for smaller cities, particularly related to infrastructure, public works, and public safety impacts. She noted that the City operated on a limited budget and expressed concern about the potential burden on local resources.

Mr. Parker responded that funding for such projects would primarily come from external sources, including grants and federal programs, and that cities would not be expected to contribute significant direct funding. He stated that the focus would be on coordinating infrastructure needs rather than shifting financial responsibility to the City.

Mr. Steinbeigle provided an example, explaining that if infrastructure improvements such as water lines were needed, the County would work with cities to secure funding through programs

Sunset City Corporation
City Council Minutes
April 7, 2026
Page 5 of 21

like Community Development Block Grants to offset those costs. He emphasized the County's intent to act as a partner in mitigating impacts.

Council Member Smalling acknowledged that impacts would exist and noted that similar concerns would likely be raised by other cities.

Mr. Parker reaffirmed that the County intended to actively support cities and collaborate on solutions rather than leaving cities to manage challenges independently.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the proposed housing model would replace existing Code Blue shelter plans or operate in addition to them.

Mr. Parker explained that the County had already established a Code Blue warming center at the Talia Event facility in Clearfield, which would continue to serve that purpose for the foreseeable future.

Council Member Hunter asked how individuals who were unable to comply with housing rules would be handled.

Mr. Parker explained that individuals would be given multiple opportunities and interventions to succeed, including case management and support services. He stated that if eviction became necessary, efforts would be made to connect individuals with alternative resources such as shelter beds or rapid rehousing programs. Mr. Parker noted that during their experience managing a housing project, evictions were rare and typically involved proactive coordination to ensure individuals were not left without support.

Mr. Parker added that the program operated as an accountability model. Council Member Hunter acknowledged the importance of accountability while also recognizing the need for supportive options. Mr. Parker emphasized that individuals would not be abandoned and would continue to receive referrals and opportunities for future housing placement, even after setbacks.

Council Member Hunter asked how the program would address populations such as families with children or unaccompanied minors.

Mr. Parker explained that unaccompanied minors would be referred to specialized facilities such as the Teen Living Center operated by Switchpoint. For families, he described the use of programs such as Open Doors' rapid rehousing services and motel voucher systems, which had successfully helped families secure housing. He noted that permanent supportive housing projects were generally focused on single adults, while other programs addressed family needs.

Council Member Smalling asked whether individuals who were evicted could return to the same housing program.

Mr. Parker explained that individuals could reapply but would need to go through the standard waitlist process and would not receive priority placement based on prior residency.

Mr. Parker concluded his presentation by providing contact information and encouraging council members to reach out with questions or concerns. He expressed appreciation for Davis County's proactive approach to addressing homelessness and emphasized the importance of collaboration among communities.

Mayor Wiggill asked if there were any additional questions or concerns and thanked Mr. Steinbeigle and Mr. Parker for their presentation. Mayor Wiggill requested that updates be shared with the Council as the project progressed and suggested ongoing communication.

2. Discussion with Rich Muirbrook – Pavement Condition Index Planning to be Pro-Active vs Re-Active: Director Monroe introduced the topic, noting that it had been some time since the Council had reviewed pavement maintenance practices and that newer Council Members might benefit from the information. He explained that road conditions often appeared worse during winter months due to temperature-related expansion and contraction. Director Monroe acknowledged the challenges of maintaining roads with limited revenue and outlined upcoming maintenance work, including crack sealing and slurry seal projects. He then introduced Mr. Muirbrook to provide further explanation.

Mr. Muirbrook introduced himself and his role with an asphalt and pavement preservation company located near the community called NuRock Asphalt. He explained that his company specialized in manufacturing asphalt preservation products and clarified that they were not acting as a contractor for the City but were providing educational information.

Mr. Muirbrook stated that his purpose was to explain the pavement condition index and demonstrate how proactive maintenance could extend the lifespan of roads and reduce long-term costs. He described the pavement condition index as a model showing how roads deteriorate over time, noting that without maintenance, pavement condition declines gradually before rapidly deteriorating after approximately 10 to 15 years. He explained that early interventions, such as crack sealing and surface treatments every few years, could significantly extend pavement life.

Mr. Muirbrook compared proactive preservation strategies with full road reconstruction, explaining that maintaining roads regularly was significantly less expensive over time than allowing roads to deteriorate and requiring full replacement. He provided examples of cost differences, noting that reconstruction costs could be substantially higher than routine maintenance.

Mr. Muirbrook further explained that delaying maintenance resulted in more expensive repairs, as minor cracks could develop into potholes and structural failures. He emphasized that consistent maintenance could keep roads in fair condition for decades, while neglect could lead to rapid deterioration and higher long-term costs.

Mr. Muirbrook referenced studies, including one conducted in Los Angeles County, which demonstrated that maintaining roads over time was significantly more cost-effective than reconstructing them after deterioration. He emphasized that proactive planning could save substantial public funds while improving road quality and safety.

Mr. Muirbrook expressed by identifying common challenges faced by cities, including environmental factors such as weather conditions and limited budgets, and reinforced the importance of long-term planning to preserve infrastructure investments.

Mr. Muirbrook explained that one of the primary challenges cities faced was reacting to problems rather than preventing them, noting that unexpected issues such as sinkholes or major failures could quickly consume available budgets. He identified construction traffic as an additional strain on local roads, explaining that detoured traffic, debris such as rocks and sand, and increased wear could accelerate deterioration of pavement surfaces.

Mr. Muirbrook emphasized that the best way to address these challenges was through a proactive approach, including the development of a multi-year pavement management plan. He noted that the City's Public Works Director had already begun mapping out such a plan. Mr. Muirbrook stressed the importance of early maintenance treatments, such as sealing cracks and protecting surfaces before significant deterioration occurred, as well as ensuring proper material specifications were used. He explained that not all pavement products were equal and that variations in materials and application methods could significantly affect performance and longevity. Mr. Muirbrook added that the City's engineering support was knowledgeable in these specifications.

Mr. Muirbrook then reviewed several pavement preservation methods. He described crack sealing as the process of filling active cracks with flexible material to prevent water infiltration, which could otherwise damage the underlying base and lead to larger failures. Noting that crack sealing was typically the first step in pavement maintenance.

Mr. Muirbrook described seal coating as a thin protective layer applied over asphalt to guard against sun exposure, water, chemicals, and general wear. He explained that seal coating also improved the appearance of roads and could enhance safety by providing traction, particularly when appropriate aggregate materials were included.

Mr. Muirbrook explained slurry seal as a thicker application applied by specialized equipment, which provided additional protection and durability. Noting that while slurry seal was cost-effective and extended pavement life, it could initially appear uneven and might generate complaints from residents until it settled and smoothed out over time.

Mr. Muirbrook also described chip sealing, explaining that it involved applying oil followed by aggregate that was compacted into the surface. Noting that while chip sealing was durable and cost-effective, it could create a rougher surface and was less suitable for certain road types, such as cul-de-sacs. He added that newer materials, such as lightweight chips, had improved performance and reduced some of the drawbacks.

Council Member Hunter asked whether advances in technology had improved the longevity of newly constructed roads compared to the typical 13- to 15-year lifespan.

Mr. Muirbrook responded that while materials and equipment had improved, asphalt roads still experienced wear over time and generally followed similar lifespan expectations. He explained

that asphalt was a highly recycled material and widely used, but maintenance remained essential regardless of improvements.

Mr. Muirbrook added that additional maintenance methods, such as patching, were sometimes necessary when the underlying road base failed. He explained that repeated surface repairs would not be effective if foundational issues were not addressed.

Mr. Muirbrook concluded by reiterating the importance of maintaining good roads before they deteriorated and offered continued assistance to the city, including evaluations and additional presentations. He also provided informational materials related to pavement maintenance and industry standards.

Mayor Wiggill asked if there were any additional questions.

Council Member Smalling asked whether concrete roads were a better option than asphalt. Mr. Muirbrook explained that both materials had advantages and disadvantages. Noting that concrete was generally more expensive but lasted longer, though it could fail more dramatically when it deteriorated. He added that asphalt required more frequent maintenance but was quieter and easier to repair. Mayor Wiggill thanked Mr. Muirbrook for the presentation.

3. Consider and Approve Resolution 2026-06 Interlocal Cooperation Agreement Between Davis County Cities and Davis County for UPDES General Permit: Director Monroe explained that UPDES referred to the Utah Pollution Discharge Elimination System and related to stormwater management requirements. He stated that Davis County had maintained a stormwater coalition since 2002 and that the interlocal agreement was updated every four to five years in accordance with state permitting requirements. Director Monroe noted that the updated agreement ensured compliance with both state and federal regulations and that staff had worked to incorporate the latest revisions.

Mayor Wiggill asked for questions or concerns.

Council Member Carlson asked for clarification regarding the term of the agreement and the reference to a 120-day period following expiration. Director Monroe explained that the current agreement would expire on the stated date and that the 120-day period allowed time for all municipalities to finalize and adopt the renewed agreement. He added that the new agreement would take effect later in the year and that the City was working proactively to remain compliant.

Council Member Thompson asked what changes had been made in the updated agreement. Director Monroe responded that there were no significant changes to the agreement itself and that any updates were primarily related to regulatory requirements rather than structural changes to the agreement.

Council Member Carlson made a motion to approve Resolution 2026-06 and Council Member Hunter seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a roll call vote from Council Members Carlson, Hunter, Rigley, Smalling and Thompson voting yes.

4. Consider and Approve Resolution 2026-07 Approving Amendment No. 2 to the Utility

Relocation and Betterment Agreement: Director Monroe explained that the amendment related to the 1800 North project and addressed an oversight involving an aging water line located beneath the planned roadway expansion. He stated that the City had the option to bid the work independently but determined it was more efficient to incorporate the work into the existing project through a betterment agreement. Director Monroe noted that this approach reduced costs and avoided coordination issues between multiple contractors. Director Monroe confirmed that the cost was significantly lower than previous estimates and emphasized the benefits of coordinating the work within the larger project.

Council Member Smalling made a motion to approve Resolution 2026-07 and Council Member Rigley seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a roll call vote from Council Members Carlson, Hunter, Rigley, Smalling and Thompson voting yes.

5. Mayor, Council and Department Head Reports: Council Member Hunter mentioned an upcoming senior event involving games in the Sunset Room and confirmed that preparations were in place. She also noted a scheduled meeting with an individual named Gail Cook to discuss plans for the remainder of the year for the senior activities. Council Member Hunter shared that she would be unable to attend the event due to a personal birthday celebration.

Council Member Rigley expressed appreciation to the Public Works Department for organizing a successful Easter egg hunt, noting strong attendance and participation. She also thanked individuals who assisted with the event. Council Member Rigley described follow-up work with the Administrative staff related to vendor coordination for the upcoming Fun Days events. Council Member Rigley discussed plans to prepare materials for the events before she went on maternity leave. She confirmed while still intending to assist with planning tasks she has asked Council Member Hunter to help fill her spot during her leave.

Council Member Smalling also thanked Public Works for the Easter event, noting positive feedback from attendees. She expressed appreciation for the department's ongoing efforts to maintain the City's infrastructure. Council Member Smalling provided information from her recent Mosquito Abatement meeting regarding mosquito control, explaining that weather conditions had delayed spraying efforts but that conditions were expected to improve and the usual spray control would begin. She also informed residents that special spray requests could be made.

Council Member Thompson stated that a flyer for car show sign-ups had been prepared and coordinated with staff. She also noted that an upcoming RAB meeting would involve touring the newly installed purdy for safety and mitigation and that the meeting was scheduled for later in the month.

Council Member Carlson expressed appreciation to the Planning Commission and noted he had been unable to attend the Easter egg hunt but had seen positive photos and feedback. He reported that the Planning Commission was reviewing and revising the chicken ordinance based on public

feedback to make it more effective. He also shared that the commission was discussing zoning regulations for group homes and anticipated a recommendation to the Council that group homes not be permitted. Additionally, he noted ongoing work on the moderate-income housing report and revisions to the Commission's bylaws, which would likely be presented to the Council for consideration at the next meeting.

Council Member Hunter asked whether the Council could choose a different course of action if the Planning Commission recommended against group homes. Council Member Carlson explained that the Planning Commission served in an advisory capacity and that the Council retained authority to make final decisions, including modifying or returning recommendations.

Council Member Smalling reported that outreach had begun for organizing the City parade for Fun Days and that follow-up communication would be sent to encourage responses. She also provided feedback on the Easter egg hunt, suggesting that in future years, setup timing be adjusted to better align with when Public Works staff arrived, noting that setup could be completed quickly and that earlier timing had resulted in cold conditions for volunteers. Council Member Thompson observed that in prior years, early arrival had been necessary due to egg placement, but noted improvements in efficiency this year.

Council Member Thompson reported that Davis County was coordinating a regional emergency preparedness event. She stated that representatives from multiple cities had met and planned to meet again, with the event tentatively scheduled for September. She added that Sunset City would likely assist with organizing live demonstrations.

Director Monroe reported the public restrooms at the parks had been cleaned and prepared for seasonal use.

Fire Chief Becraft reported that there had been 38 medical calls in the City during the past month, noting a steady increase in call volume over the past five years. He stated there had been no working structure fires but highlighted a recent serious vehicle accident on 1800 North involving critical injuries and requiring multiple emergency units, including ambulances, an engine, and extrication equipment.

Chief Becraft then discussed a proposal for the Fire District to provide Emergency Management Services to participating cities. He explained that prior efforts among neighboring cities had not been successful, but that the concept had been revisited. After conducting research, including wage studies, he recommended hiring a dedicated Emergency Management Professional to serve the District. He emphasized that this role would improve coordination, ensure compliance with state and federal requirements, and provide structured emergency planning support. He further explained that funding the position through the District would provide consistency and avoid the need for repeated interlocal agreements. He requested the City's support for the proposal and noted that the final decision would be made by the Fire District Board.

Mayor Wiggill asked if there were any questions for the fire department.

Council Member Smalling, who also served on the Fire Board, expressed support for the proposal, stating that it would significantly improve emergency management capabilities for the City.

Chief Becraft clarified that the position would require specialized qualifications and would not be a traditional firefighting role, though it would operate within the Fire Department's command structure.

Police Chief Jamison reported that the Department had briefly reached full staffing before receiving a resignation from an officer due to personal and family reasons. He noted the departure was unexpected and that the officer will relocate out of state. Chief Jamison expressed disappointment, noting Officer Joe Baca had been a strong candidate for future leadership roles. He stated that the Department would begin the hiring process and consider whether to recruit an experienced replacement.

Chief Jamison also reported that another position had been filled, with a new officer scheduled to begin work the following day and anticipated to be sworn in at the next Council meeting. He added that grant applications had been submitted for additional speed enforcement equipment. His department had also been conducting state-funded overtime enforcement efforts focused on seatbelt use and distracted driving.

Mayor Wiggill reiterated that the Emergency Management position decision would be made by the Fire District Board, though City input was valuable. He also reported that the Fire Department's budget committee had been actively working on the tentative budget and would soon present it to the board. Mayor Wiggill shared positive impressions of the newly hired police officer and expressed appreciation for staff efforts in organizing the Easter egg hunt, noting strong community feedback regarding organization, participation, and event quality. He commended Public Works, Police, Fire, and Administrative staff for their contributions and highlighted ideas for further improvements in future events.

Mayor Wiggill also acknowledged the recent departure of Officer Baca, recognizing his professionalism, community involvement, and contributions to training and outreach programs, and noted that he would be missed.

Council Member Carlson made a motion to adjourn the regular session with a short break and reconvene in a work session and Council Member Smalling seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Carlson, Hunter, Rigley, Smalling and Thompson voting yes.

WORK SESSION (Began 8:10pm)

1. Discuss Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Amendments: Recorder Supp then began the budget session by reviewing the fiscal year 2026 budget details. She explained that revenue figures remained largely unchanged unless confirmed and highlighted increases in building permit and plan check revenues due to ongoing development. She reviewed adjustments to court revenues, recreation funds, and other income sources, noting projections and current trends. The total projected revenues for the fiscal year 2026 budget was estimated at \$3,176,635.

Recorder Supp outlined updates across multiple general fund budget categories, including adjustments to election costs, court salaries, attorney fees, administrative overtime, and training expenses. They explained challenges with financial reconciliation due to staff transitions and noted that external assistance had been engaged to complete and standardize the process.

Further updates included increases in audit and accounting costs due to changes in the auditing firm, projected utility and insurance adjustments, and modifications to police department staffing allocations. Recorder Supp also reviewed maintenance costs, contract cleaning services, and other operational expenses, noting areas of increase or reduction based on current data and projections.

Recorder Supp explained that shop supplies and expenses had been adjusted to reflect current spending levels and asked Director Monroe whether additional increases were needed for city shops. Director Monroe responded that they were attempting to keep expenses as minimal as possible. Recorder Supp acknowledged the approach and continued reviewing the budget.

Recorder Supp noted that parts and equipment expenses had been increased to align more closely with current spending, indicating that the budget in that area was becoming tight.

Recorder Supp explained that power expenses had been increased to better reflect projected costs through the end of the year. She noted that capital outlay had been reduced and asked Director Monroe if there were any additional anticipated expenses. Director Monroe indicated there were no additional items at that time.

Recorder Supp then moved to the streets budget and explained that there were noticeable changes in salary allocations within Public Works. She clarified that these changes were due to staffing adjustments, as new employees had different allocation distributions compared to previous staff. She emphasized that overall allocations had not increased significantly, but individual account distributions had shifted.

Director Monroe added that wage allocations were currently spread across multiple areas and would need to be reviewed and rebalanced. He emphasized that budgets intended for employee wages should continue to be used for that purpose and noted that street funding levels did not necessarily need to increase.

Recorder Supp added that associated accounts, including FICA, retirement, and insurance, reflected these salary allocation changes. She also noted that overtime projections remained in place due to on-call staffing requirements.

Recorder Supp noted that the fund balance in the general fund was in a strong position. She explained that a transfer would be required to bring the fund balance down to 35% or below, recommending a slightly lower percentage to account for projections typically exceeding estimates. She stated that the Council would need to decide whether to transfer funds to capital projects or another fund.

Recorder Supp then moved to the utility enterprise fund section and clarified that most changes reflected updated actuals or remained unchanged where no adjustments were necessary. Council Member Smalling asked for clarification regarding accounts that were over budget. Recorder Supp explained that the figures shown reflected current account balances or projections and directed the Council to review the utility income page. She noted that approximately \$418,850 of retained earnings were planned for use during the current budget year.

Recorder Supp reviewed the non-departmental and mayor and council budgets, noting that no significant changes had been made aside from adjustments to overtime, training expenses related to reconciliation support, and previously purchased computer equipment. She also corrected a minor entry and explained adjustments to telephone and city promotion budgets due to additional events.

Recorder Supp then reviewed water and sewer budgets, noting an increase in repairs and maintenance costs due to system needs and confirmed that water purchase costs reflected actual expenditures. Sewer and garbage collection budgets remained unchanged.

Recorder Supp continued to the buildings and grounds budget, noting adjustments to overtime and related payroll accounts. She explained that building maintenance and contract cleaning costs had increased due to new service contracts.

Recorder Supp reviewed changes in city shop staffing allocations and noted similar adjustments in storm sewer accounts. She also explained increases in subscription and membership costs due to updated requirements.

Director Monroe clarified that additional costs were associated with state requirements and that engineers were now handling a significant portion of required documentation following the most recent stormwater audit.

Recorder Supp moved to Class C road funds, noting only minor adjustments to retirement and insurance accounts.

Recorder Supp then addressed dispatch fees, noting nothing had changed, and moved to emergency preparedness funding. She asked whether the remaining budget should be reduced. Council Member Thompson indicated that some planned purchases remained but would not require the full remaining budget. Recorder Supp proposed reducing the amount while retaining a small contingency and received agreement.

Recorder Supp confirmed that no changes had been made to miscellaneous grants or liquor control funds. She then reviewed the DARE fund, explaining that the prior year's fund balance had been fully utilized, requiring a small contribution from the general fund to cover the difference.

Recorder Supp explained that retirement and insurance funds continued to build reserves, as there were currently no retirees drawing from those funds in this budget year.

Recorder Supp reviewed the local highway county option fund and confirmed that no changes were needed.

Recorder Supp then addressed the culinary water impact fee in the public works capital projects fund, explaining that reporting changes required tracking how funds were applied. She stated that the current approach applied those funds toward repayment of the 1800 North betterment project and noted that revenues had increased due to new development.

Recorder Supp then reviewed the economic development fund, noting that some funds had been used to support recent development-related projects. She stated that remaining funds would be requested again in the next fiscal year.

Recorder Supp summarized the general fund, reporting total revenues of approximately \$3,176,635 and expenditures of approximately \$3,231,925, resulting in a deficit of about \$55,290.

Recorder Supp confirmed the figure and then reviewed total combined general, utility and sub funds. She reported total revenues of approximately \$6,647,030 and expenditures of approximately \$7,065,990 resulting in an overall deficit of approximately \$418,960. She noted that despite this, the City remained in a strong financial position but reiterated the need for a fund balance transfer.

2. Discuss Fiscal Year 2027 Budget Requests: Recorder Supp reminded the Council that the tentative budget for fiscal year 2027 must be adopted at the first meeting in May and emphasized the need for timely decisions. She advised that additional meetings might be necessary.

Recorder Supp then began reviewing the proposed fiscal year 2027 budget. She projected modest increases in tax revenues and licensing and permit fees while maintaining conservative estimates. She noted that justice court revenues were projected at \$475,000 and confirmed that Chief Jamison was comfortable with that estimate.

Recorder Supp explained that recreation revenues had been slightly reduced based on lower participation trends. She asked whether any departments planned to generate additional revenue through sales or programs. Chief Jamison indicated there were no additional revenue sources in his budget.

Recorder Supp then reviewed the mayor and council budget, noting the removal of election-related expenses. She explained that public noticing requirements had changed, reducing reliance on newspaper publications, but retained a small budget for flexibility.

Recorder Supp discussed cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) projections, explaining that a 5% increase had been modeled for planning purposes. She asked the Council for direction on whether to implement a COLA, merit increases, or both, and whether to include a vacation cash-out option.

Sunset City Corporation
City Council Minutes
April 7, 2026
Page 15 of 21

Mayor Wiggill asked for Council input regarding vacation cash-out and COLA percentages, referencing inflation trends and prior increases.

Recorder Supp clarified that COLA projections had been applied to benefits as well and that only wage accounts would require adjustment based on Council decisions. Mayor Wiggill emphasized the need for the Council to determine a percentage for COLA.

Recorder Supp asked whether the Council wanted to see a 5% increase modeled for Mayor and Council compensation.

Council Member Thompson expressed her concerns against increased compensation for Mayor and Council.

Director Monroe commented that smaller cities often carry equal or greater responsibilities compared to larger municipalities and suggested compensation should reflect that. Council Member Hunter expressed general agreement.

Recorder Supp asked whether the increase would be structured strictly as a COLA or include merit-based components.

Council Member Smalling expressed she did not even know she got paid to be a Council Member. She does not feel the Mayor and Council need to have a COLA increase this year. However, she is in favor of seeing the staff receive a 5% COLA. She questioned merit increases due to her prior experience in her own job that made merit increases frustrating.

Chief Jamison explained that merit-based increases were important for performance management but noted that current policies limited flexibility. He described challenges with the existing pay structure, including limitations on adjusting compensation for employees at the top of pay ranges. Director Monroe added that compensation structures in cities were not designed to create large salary disparities and emphasized the need for clear policy direction. Chief Jamison further explained that current policies restricted the ability to implement merit increases without formal revisions, reinforcing the need for policy updates before changes could be made. Mayor Wiggill concluded that any changes to compensation structure would require Council action to revise existing policies before implementation.

Council Member Carlson acknowledged that whatever approach was taken regarding compensation adjustments would need to be workable within existing constraints. Mayor Wiggill explained that it was difficult to structure a compensation model that would fully satisfy all circumstances, regardless of how adjustments were made. Council Member Smalling noted that, regardless of how increases were structured, there would be varying impacts on employees. Council Member Carlson commented that it appeared compensation practices had been inconsistent in prior years.

Chief Jamison explained that in previous years, employees had not consistently received either full cost-of-living adjustments or merit increases. He emphasized that the pay scale should continue to increase within a general range of three to five percent to account for those

Sunset City Corporation
City Council Minutes
April 7, 2026
Page 16 of 21

inconsistencies. He also noted that some employees had reached the top of the pay scale and were no longer receiving increases, creating additional concerns. He added that while adjustments might be addressed moving forward, the current situation still affected employees who had previously received limited increases.

Recorder Supp advised the Council to evaluate the options carefully and determine what approach would best serve the City.

Director Monroe discussed vacation cash-out limitations, noting that under current practice, only a limited number of hours could be cashed out within a defined timeframe. Chief Jamison explained that under a prior structure, employees could cash out up to a percentage of accrued leave, with caps in place. He provided an example of how employees with significant accrued hours could receive partial payouts over multiple pay periods. Mayor Wiggill asked whether there were employees currently eligible for such payouts. There were some confirmed to be eligible. Recorder Supp noted that this was her fifth budget cycle and that similar proposals to pay out vacation cash out had been considered in prior years but had not been approved.

Recorder Supp stated that a future work session was planned specifically to review and revise personnel policies and procedures, noting that several updates were already needed. She suggested that compensation structure changes could be addressed at that time. Mayor Wiggill encouraged the Council to review the policies in advance and be prepared for those discussions.

Council Member Smalling emphasized the importance of employees using their leave time, noting concerns about excessive accruals. Mayor Wiggill acknowledged that while flexibility in leave usage was desirable, it was also important to ensure employees were taking time off appropriately.

Recorder Supp asked the Council whether they wanted to include a 5% cost-of-living adjustment in the proposed budget so it could be modeled during the session. They agreed.

Council Member Carlson asked whether tax adjustments would require a truth-in-taxation process. Mayor Wiggill clarified that such processes could occur periodically and referenced prior discussions on the topic. Recorder Supp confirmed that any necessary truth-in-taxation process would occur later in the budget cycle if required.

Recorder Supp then reviewed updates to prosecution and attorney fees, noting that new rates had been incorporated and that updated information from the Administrative Office of the Courts for the Judge's salary was expected soon.

Recorder Supp moved to the administrative budget and explained that travel and training expenses could be adjusted as needed later, depending on reconciliation support requirements. She also introduced a request for funding to replace aging furniture, including chairs in the lobby, conference room, and lower level, noting that existing furniture was outdated and worn. Mayor Wiggill reflected on the age of the building furnishings and expressed support for improvements if funding allowed. Recorder Supp explained that a preliminary amount had been included for those upgrades.

Recorder Supp then reviewed planning and zoning, noting an increase in training funds due to greater participation and interest among Planning Commission members in attending external training opportunities. Recorder Supp explained that board of adjustment expenses had also been slightly increased to account for potential pre-submission meetings, though the number of meetings could vary depending on development activity.

Recorder Supp reviewed building inspection expenses, stating that the budget had been increased to better reflect ongoing development and reduce the need for mid-year adjustments.

Recorder Supp then introduced a significant non-departmental item related to website compliance. She explained that new legal requirements mandated accessibility features and fillable forms for public use. She described a proposed service provider that could address these requirements, including accessibility compliance and form functionality, with an estimated cost of approximately \$8,300 plus implementation fees. Recorder Supp noted that while the Council had previously expressed hesitation about the accessibility expense, it was included for consideration due to legal requirements by the Department of Justice and Utah Legislation. Recorder Supp added that the service could include optional features such as targeted community alerts, though additional information would be needed before pursuing those options.

Recorder Supp then introduced a major capital item in the non-departmental budget: replacement of the city's server at the cost of \$17,200. She explained that the current system was outdated, no longer supported with replacement parts, and posed a risk of failure. Recorder Supp explained for the program Spillman that Chief Jamison used this server was not compatible due to not enough memory. She emphasized the importance of replacing the system to avoid potential data loss and operational disruptions, referencing past experiences in which data recovery had been difficult. Council Member Carlson asked whether the issue was due to outdated technology. Recorder Supp confirmed that the system could no longer be upgraded to meet the requirements of new systems the City had to support. Recorder Supp noted that replacement options had been reviewed, including leasing and financing, but that outright purchase appeared to be the most cost-effective. She also discussed allocating the expense across departments, as the server supported multiple functions. Council discussed how to distribute the cost appropriately within the budget.

Recorder Supp then moved to the police department budget and noted a proposed training opportunity in Philadelphia related to the Rachael Runyan case coalition work. Chief Jamison explained that the department had participated in a prior conference and had been invited to a national-level training event to collaborate with other agencies and share strategies.

Recorder Supp reviewed ongoing contract payments related to body camera systems and explained adjustments based on prior payment structures. Chief Jamison discussed capital outlay needs, including required biometric fingerprint equipment and upgrades to patrol vehicle technology.

Recorder Supp then reviewed the streets budget, noting that it remained relatively stable and showed no major changes.

Council Member Smalling asked for clarification regarding materials and supplies. Director Monroe responded that some figures had not yet been finalized and would likely be adjusted downward, but emphasized the importance of maintaining equipment in good condition.

Recorder Supp then reviewed buildings and grounds, noting that the new contract cleaning service was budgeted at \$12,000 annually, with other expenses remaining stable. Council Member Smalling asked for clarification on the actual cost of the cleaning contract. Recorder Supp explained that the selected vendor fell within the mid-range of proposals and that, while not perfect, the service had been responsive and generally satisfactory.

Council Member Thompson shared negative feedback regarding the cleaning service, noting inconsistent performance and lack of quality of services provided. Recorder Supp acknowledged the feedback and emphasized the importance of reporting any concerns so they could be addressed. Mayor Wiggill added that issues could only be resolved if they were communicated. Recorder Supp continued reviewing the parks budget, noting increases in supplies, uniforms, and telephone costs due to full staffing. She also highlighted increased maintenance costs related to aging equipment and upgrades.

Recorder Supp noted that \$30,000 for playground equipment had been carried over from the prior year, as the project had not yet been completed. Mayor Wiggill explained that costs for playground equipment had increased and that staff were working with vendors to determine what could be provided within the available budget. Director Monroe added that equipment specifications had changed due to manufacturer updates, resulting in higher costs. Mayor Wiggill asked about the park grant funding status Council Member Thompson had applied for last year. Council Member Thompson indicated that no updates had been received regarding the grant. Recorder Supp then introduced a new budget line for miscellaneous community events, including activities such as the candy crawl and bingo events. She proposed a preliminary allocation of \$2,000, noting that prior events had benefited from donations but that future contributions were not guaranteed.

Recorder Supp reported that the skate competition had been planned for the City's Fun Days event but noted that the local skate shop had closed. He asked if anyone had alternative contacts. Director Monroe stated that he had spoken with the former owner, who was now affiliated with other skate shops, and that efforts were underway to coordinate participation through those connections.

Recorder Supp transitioned back to the budget summary and reported that the general fund showed revenues exceeding expenditures by approximately \$579,660. She then reviewed utility income projections, noting that figures were largely consistent with the prior year.

Recorder Supp stated that there were no changes in the mayor and council budget in the utility enterprise fund. In the administrative budget, they noted that mailing services were functioning well, though postage costs continued to increase. She explained that permit-related mailings contributed to these rising costs. She also highlighted continued training expenses and included the remaining portion of funding for new furniture, such as updated chairs for the lobby, conference room, and lower level.

Sunset City Corporation
City Council Minutes
April 7, 2026
Page 19 of 21

Recorder Supp reiterated the inclusion of the CivicPlus service in the non-departmental budget and stated she would attempt to obtain a more precise cost estimate before the next meeting.

Recorder Supp then reviewed the water fund, noting a significant increase related to infrastructure improvements. Director Monroe explained that the increase reflected a project to upgrade water lines, estimated at approximately \$200,000, compared to a prior estimate of \$180,000. He noted that this work was necessary to address system needs.

Recorder Supp moved to the sewer fund and noted updates to equipment maintenance. She also explained that the North Davis Sewer District planned to increase rates from \$24 to \$26.50 in fiscal year 2028, while the City was currently charging \$29.75. She advised the Council to consider potential sewer rate adjustments in future budgets.

Recorder Supp reviewed the garbage fund, noting a modest increase in refuse collection costs, but no major changes.

Recorder Supp then addressed buildings and grounds, noting engineering costs associated with repairs to the Sunset Room. Director Monroe clarified that those costs reflected necessary maintenance work and that the request was limited to essential items.

Recorder Supp continued reviewing Class C road classification funding and fuel costs. Director Monroe explained the distinction between Class B and Class C roads, noting that Class B roads included major state routes such as 1800 North, while Class C roads included smaller local roads. He added that the City received state funding to support these road systems. Recorder Supp noted that vehicle maintenance and related costs had been adjusted slightly for inflation and upkeep needs in the Class C fund.

Recorder Supp moved to the stormwater utility and introduced a significant compliance requirement. Director Monroe explained that new state-mandated stormwater regulations required increased oversight and reporting. He outlined three potential options: contracting with an engineer at an estimated cost of \$65,000 annually and raising the stormwater fee \$2.75; hiring a full-time employee dedicated to stormwater compliance; or restructuring existing staff by transitioning a current employee into an administrative compliance role while promoting another employee to oversee operations. Director Monroe recommended the third option, stating it would provide operational efficiency, career advancement opportunities for staff, and overall cost savings. Recorder Supp encouraged Council Members to consider the options.

Recorder Supp then reviewed dispatch fees, resulting in an increase total of approximately \$97,755 in expenses. This was the cost to moving over to Layton Dispatch services. In order to cover the new costs that would need to be paid to Layton Dispatch the City would need to increase the dispatch fee from \$0.90 to \$4.00. This would make the new basic bill \$103.90. Mayor Wiggill discussed prior conversations regarding dispatch funding and noted that the issue had been debated among various entities coming back to the same result of having to use Layton Dispatch for our dispatching services instead of Davis County; they will no longer be offering dispatch services to our City.

Recorder Supp then recommended continuing with the budget review at a future meeting due to time constraints, noting that it was already late in the evening. She proposed scheduling a special meeting to complete the remaining items.

Recorder Supp asked for direction on the emergency preparedness contribution amount that had previously been increased during COVID-19, suggesting a return to the original level.

Recorder Supp then reviewed the DARE fund, confirming that it would be zeroed out since there was no longer a DARE program.

Recorder Supp asked about Youth Council funding and proposed maintaining the same contribution from the general fund as in the previous year.

Recorder Supp then discussed the retirement fund, noting that contributions were being planned in anticipation of an upcoming employee retirement in fiscal year 2028. She explained that current reserves would not be sufficient to cover multiple retirements simultaneously and proposed allocating funds from the utility fund to prepare for that obligation. Council Member Hunter referenced a prior retirement payout amount for comparison.

Recorder Supp reviewed the local county option fund, noting a \$40,000 allocation for vehicle repair and replacement. Director Monroe added that sidewalk repair projects were also being coordinated through those available funding sources.

Recorder Supp then reviewed CDBG project allocations, including a \$250,000 contribution from the water fund for water line improvements on 350 West and 400 West.

In the public works capital projects fund she noted several ongoing or planned projects, including installation of shutters for the remaining parts of the City building that need them, continuation of the betterment project \$146,988, new fencing at the public works shops \$9,000, and a preliminary estimate of \$40,000 for building carpet replacement.

Recorder Supp summarized overall financial projections, reporting total revenues of approximately \$5,869,770 and expenditures of approximately \$7,495,635, resulting in revenues exceeding expenditures by approximately \$1,1625,865. She clarified that a portion of these funds represented planned use of existing fund balances for capital projects rather than new tax revenue.

Council Member Carlson asked if the Rachael Runyan Trust had been added to the budget. Recorder Supp clarified she had put the beginning balance of the last fiscal year and the interest earned so the Council could see. This was not a budgeted fund as it just collected interest. Recorder Supp raised a question regarding the Rachel Runyan Trust fund that was brought to her. She explained that the City had been holding the funds for many years and had sought legal guidance on how to proceed, if the Council wanted to. She reported that the City Attorney had been unable to identify clear statutory direction and recommended contacting the Utah State Treasurer or the Unclaimed Property Division, though doing so might result in transferring the funds to the state.

Sunset City Corporation
City Council Minutes
April 7, 2026
Page 21 of 21

Chief Jamison clarified that the trust consisted of multiple donations and that no action had been taken to distribute the funds. Recorder Supp offered to pursue further guidance if directed by the Council. They did not wish to proceed.

Recorder Supp initiated scheduling for a special meeting to continue the budget discussion. After reviewing availability and avoiding conflicts with other events, the Council agreed to hold a special meeting on the 15th at 7:00 p.m.

Council Member Hunter made a motion to adjourn and Council Member Smalling seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with Council Members Carlson, Hunter, Rigley, Smalling and Thompson voting yes.

The Regular meeting adjourned at 10:29 p.m.

Approved – May 5, 2026

Scott Wiggill, Mayor

Nicole Supp, Recorder

SUNSET CITY CORPORATION

VOUCHER APPROVAL FOR INVOICES OVER \$15,000.00

Date: April 21, 2026 – May 5, 2026

DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	DEPARTMENT	AMOUNT
5/1/26	Kapp Auto Sales	F-150 truck	Public Works	\$37,435.25
			Total	<u>\$37,435.25</u>

Mayor

Council Members

City Recorder

City Treasurer

Date

Date: 04/20/2026

VEHICLE BUYER'S ORDER

Table with 3 columns: Buyer Name and Address, Co-Buyer Name and Address, Seller/Dealer Name and Address. Includes contact information for SUNSET CITY CORP and KAPP AUTO SALES CLINTON.

Agreement to Purchase. Buyer and Co-Buyer agree to buy the vehicle described below ("Vehicle") from Seller/Dealer for the amount and on the terms in this Vehicle Buyer's Order ("Agreement").

THIS CONTRACT OF SALE IS FOR THE FOLLOWING: NEW USED CAR TRUCK DEMO PERSONAL, FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD AGRICULTURAL BUSINESS

VEHICLE DESCRIPTION table with columns: Year, Make, Model, Mileage, Vehicle Identification Number, New/Used/Demo/Other, Color, Body, Stock Number.

Insurance Information. You have arranged the following insurance on the Vehicle:

Insurance Company _____ Policy Number _____

FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS
If this box is checked, Buyer acknowledges that THE BUYER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE DESCRIBED IN THIS CONTRACT ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE SELLER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE HAS MADE NO PROMISES, WARRANTIES, OR REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING SELLER'S ABILITY TO OBTAIN FINANCING FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE.

ITEMIZATION OF SALE
Price of Vehicle (including Freight, Handling & Delivery) \$ 36750.00
ADDITIONAL ITEMS
Service Contracts N/A
Maintenance Agreements N/A
TAXES
Sales Tax N/A
Other Tax (Describe) N/A
TITLE, LICENSE & OTHER FEES
Title Fee N/A
Registration Fee 112.75
Utah Age Based/Property Assessment Fees 113.00
Utah Inspection/Emissions Test Fee 45.50
Utah Waste Tire Recycling Fee N/A
Dealer Documentary Service Fee 399.00
TEMP TAG 15.00

(Signature of the buyer)

(Signature of the seller)

DEALER DOCUMENTARY SERVICE FEE
The Dealer Documentary Service Fee as set forth in your contract represents costs and profit to the dealer for preparing and processing documents and other services related to the sale of your vehicle.

TRADE IN VEHICLE 1				SUBTOTAL	\$ 37435.25
YR.	MAKE	MODEL	BODY STYLE	Trade-in Vehicle 1 Allowance	0.00
COLOR	TRIM	MILEAGE		Trade-in Vehicle 2 Allowance	0.00
VIN	TRADE IN ALLOWANCE			Trade-in Vehicles 1 and 2 Payoff Balance (e)	0.00
TITLE NO.	PLATE NO.	EXP. DATE		NET TRADE-IN (If negative, enter \$0 here and enter amount on Trade-in Balance Owing line.)	0.00
OWNER SUNSET CITY CORP.	LOAN #			Cash Deposit	N/A
LIENHOLDER N/A	PHONE			Additional Cash Down Payment on Delivery	N/A
ADDRESS	SPOKE WITH			Manufacturer's Rebate	N/A
PAYOFF AMOUNT \$ 0.00	GOOD TILL	VERIFIED BY		Deferred Down Payment	N/A

TRADE IN VEHICLE 2				TOTAL CREDITS	\$ N/A
YR.	MAKE	MODEL	BODY STYLE	Trade-in Balance Owing	N/A
COLOR	TRIM	MILEAGE		TOTAL BALANCE DUE	\$ 37435.25
VIN	TRADE IN ALLOWANCE				
TITLE NO.	PLATE NO.	EXP. DATE			
OWNER SUNSET CITY CORP.	LOAN #				
LIENHOLDER N/A	PHONE				
ADDRESS	SPOKE WITH				
PAYOFF AMOUNT \$ 0.00	GOOD TILL	VERIFIED BY			

ALL WARRANTIES, IF ANY, BY A MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER OTHER THAN SELLER ARE THEIRS. NOT SELLER'S. ONLY SUCH MANUFACTURER OR OTHER SUPPLIER SHALL BE LIABLE FOR PERFORMANCE UNDER SUCH WARRANTIES, UNLESS SELLER FURNISHES BUYER WITH A SEPARATE WRITTEN WARRANTY OR SERVICE CONTRACT MADE BY SELLER ON ITS OWN BEHALF. SELLER NEITHER ASSUMES NOR AUTHORIZES ANY PERSON TO ASSUME FOR IT ANY LIABILITY IN CONNECTION WITH THE SALE OF ANY PRODUCTS.

UNLESS SELLER MAKES A WRITTEN WARRANTY ON ITS OWN BEHALF, OR ENTERS INTO A SERVICE CONTRACT WITHIN 90 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT, SELLER MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, ON THE VEHICLE, AND THERE WILL BE NO IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THIS PROVISION DOES NOT AFFECT ANY WARRANTIES COVERING THE VEHICLE THAT THE MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER MAY PROVIDE.

Buyer is aware of the following important defects in the motor vehicle being traded-in (if there are no defects, write NONE):

Day 20 Month 04 Year 2026

By initialing below, you represent that you have thoroughly inspected the Vehicle and approve and accept it. You had an opportunity to have the Vehicle inspected by a third party of your choice and at your expense. You are purchasing the Vehicle based on your inspection. You are not relying on any opinion, statement, or promise of the Seller or its employees that is not contained in the written agreements you are signing today.

Buyer's Initials _____ Co-Buyer's Initials N

NO COOLING OFF PERIOD

State law does not provide for a "cooling off" or cancellation period for this sale. After you sign this contract, you may only cancel it if the seller agrees or for legal cause. You cannot cancel this contract simply because you change your mind. This notice does not apply to home solicitation sales.

Agreement to Arbitrate

This Agreement includes an Arbitration Provision that affects your rights. It provides that you or we may elect to resolve any dispute by neutral, binding arbitration and not by a court action. By signing this Agreement to Arbitrate section, you confirm that you read, understand and agree to this Agreement's Arbitration Provision.

Buyer Signs X SM Co-Buyer Signs X N

This Agreement (all pages) is the full and final expression of the agreement between you and us on the Vehicle purchase. It cancels and replaces any verbal or written agreements on the Vehicle purchase.

This Agreement is not effective until it is signed by both you and us. Until it becomes effective, the terms of this Agreement are not binding and you may cancel it and get back any deposit.

If Buyer is buying this Vehicle in a credit sale transaction evidenced by a retail installment sale contract, this Agreement is binding when the retail installment sale contract is signed, but will not remain binding if a third party finance source does not agree to purchase the retail installment sale contract executed by Buyer and Seller based on this Agreement on the terms as submitted.

By signing below, you represent that: (1) you are at least 18 years old, (2) you read all pages of this Agreement completely filled in and agree to all its terms, and (3) you received a completed copy of this Agreement.

BUYER SIGNS X SM DATE 04/20/2026

CO-BUYER SIGNS X N DATE 04/20/2026

SELLER
(Must be signed by an authorized representative of the Seller)

X By: [Signature] Title: _____ DATE 04/20/2026
Print Name: _____

ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Definitions. The following definitions apply to this Agreement:

- "Manufacturer" means the entity that manufactured the Vehicle or its distributor. We are NOT an agent of the Manufacturer. The Manufacturer is NOT a party to this Agreement. References to Manufacturer are used to help describe the contractual relationship between the Manufacturer and us or to refer to warranties that might be separately provided to you directly by the Manufacturer.
- "Retail Installment Sale Contract" refers to a separate agreement with Seller, if any, that you sign agreeing to pay for the Vehicle purchase over time.
- "Trade-In Vehicle" refers to each used vehicle you are selling to us as part of a down payment to purchase the Vehicle. If you are selling us more than one used vehicle, "Trade-In Vehicle" refers to each vehicle separately and together. Each Trade-In Vehicle is identified on page 2 of this Agreement.

Manufacturer – New Vehicle Pricing, Design and Availability. The Manufacturer may change the price, design or features of its new vehicles without notice to us. If this occurs before we deliver the Vehicle to you, we may change the Vehicle Purchase Price, design and features. If we do, you may cancel this Agreement. If canceled for this reason, we will refund to you any amounts you have paid to us. We will also return any Trade-In Vehicle to you. You agree to pay us the reasonable charges for any detailing or repairs performed on the Trade-In Vehicle and any reasonable storage charges. If we have already sold the Trade-In Vehicle, we will pay you the amount we receive for the sale after adjusting for any payoff we made to a lienholder and costs for repair and reconditioning, if any.

If the Manufacturer changes its new vehicle designs, parts, accessories, or other features, we are not obligated to make the same or similar changes to the Vehicle either prior to or after delivery to you. Unless otherwise required by law, we are not obligated to notify you of any Manufacturer's future new vehicle design or feature changes.

Vehicle Delivery Delays. Preparing and delivering the Vehicle may involve a number of activities and third parties. We are not liable if delivery is delayed or fails when the cause is in any way outside our control or is without our fault or negligence.

Collection fees shall be imposed in accordance with Utah Code Ann. § 12-1-11. Seller isn't liable for failure to deliver or delay in delivering the Vehicle where such failure or delay is due, in whole or in part, to any cause beyond Seller's control or without Seller's fault or negligence.

Trade-In Vehicle. You will transfer title to the Trade-In Vehicle to us free and clear of all liens except those noted in this Agreement. You agree to provide us with evidence of title as we may require. You make the following representations about the Trade-In Vehicle: (a) you are the sole, lawful owner with all rights and authority needed to transfer ownership; (b) there are no liens or encumbrances except those noted in this Agreement; (c) it has never been titled under any state or federal "brand" such as "defective," "salvage," "flood," etc.; (d) its actual mileage is as provided in this Agreement; and (e) it contains all emission control equipment required which is all in working order, unless otherwise indicated in this Agreement. You authorize us to rely on these representations. If any of these representations are not true, we may elect to cancel this transaction. You will be responsible to pay for all damages resulting from your misrepresentations, including costs to recondition, legal fees, court and collection costs.

You give us permission to contact the lienholder(s) for payoff information. We are relying on information from you and/or the lienholder or lessor of each Trade-In Vehicle to arrive at the trade-in payoff amount(s). You understand that each payoff amount quoted is an estimate. We agree to pay the provided payoff amount to each Trade-In Vehicle lienholder, lessor, or its designee. If the actual payoff amount is more than the amount provided in this Agreement, you agree to pay us on demand the additional amount owing. If the actual payoff amount is less than the amount provided in this Agreement, we will refund to you any overpayment we receive from the lienholder, lessor, or its designee within three business days of notification of the difference.

Trade-In Allowance. The trade-in allowance provided in this Agreement is based on our appraisal of the Trade-In Vehicle when this Agreement is signed. We may reappraise it if you deliver it to us at a later date. The reappraised value will become the trade-in allowance. If the reappraised value is less than the trade-in allowance in this Agreement, you will immediately pay us the difference. Instead, you may cancel this Agreement if you have not already taken delivery of the Vehicle.

Refusal or Failure to Accept Delivery and Other Non-Performance. If you refuse or fail to accept delivery of the Vehicle or otherwise do not perform under this Agreement, you will be liable for the damages it causes us. These damages may include our losses, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees. To pay these damages, we may keep any cash deposit up to the amount owed, unless prohibited by law. You agree to pay us any amount owed in excess of the cash deposit that we keep. You will be liable for these amounts except to the extent that they are limited or prohibited by law.

This section does not apply if you cancel this Agreement as allowed in the Trade-In Allowance or Manufacturer – New Vehicle Pricing, Design and Availability sections. This section also does not apply if we cancel this Agreement because

you are not able to obtain financing in the time allowed in the Balance Due and Payment section.

Taxes. Unless prohibited, you agree to pay all taxes assessed on the transaction in this Agreement. For example, taxes may include sales, use, ad valorem, or other federal, state or local taxes. It does not include any taxes required to be paid only by us.

Balance Due and Payment. By signing this Agreement, you agree to purchase the Vehicle. If there is a Total Balance Due, you must pay that amount in cash or obtain financing for it as provided in the "Financing Arrangements" section on Page 1 of this Agreement. If that "Financing Arrangements" section requires you to obtain financing, then you may do so through any finance source you choose. By signing this Agreement, we are NOT agreeing to (a) finance your purchase of the Vehicle, or (b) endeavor to locate financing for your purchase of the Vehicle unless the "Financing Arrangements" section requires us to endeavor to locate such financing. If you choose to finance your Vehicle purchase in a retail installment sale with us, you authorize us to assist in submitting your credit application to third parties for financing. If the transaction meets its requirements, a third party may agree to take assignment of a Retail Installment Sale Contract between you and us. You understand that financing terms may vary from one source to another. You may be able to get more favorable financing terms with another finance source than through us.

Returned or Dishonored Payments. We may declare this Agreement null and void and retake the Vehicle if your deposit, down payment, balance due or other payment is returned or dishonored. If you make any payment under this Agreement that is returned or dishonored, you agree to pay a fee of \$20.

BUYER TO PURCHASE VEHICLE INSURANCE. This Agreement does NOT include any state-required Vehicle insurance coverage. You must buy such insurance at your expense in the amounts and coverages required. You represent that you have or will buy required insurance before the Vehicle is delivered to you.

This Agreement does not include property insurance. A creditor may require it if you finance the Vehicle purchase. You must buy such insurance at your expense in the amounts and coverages required.

You agree to provide us with your insurance information if we request it. You represent that the insurance information you provide us is current and accurate.

Accessories and Additional Equipment. If the Vehicle includes accessories or equipment that are not listed on the Manufacturer's window sticker, they may not have been made or approved by the Manufacturer. Such items will not be covered by the Manufacturer's express limited warranty on the Vehicle (if any).

Servicing and Collection Contacts. In consideration of our performance under this Agreement, you agree to provide us your contact information for our servicing and collection purposes. You agree that we may use this information to contact you in writing, by e-mail, or using prerecorded/artificial voice messages, text messages, and automatic telephone dialing systems, or other electronic messaging/communication applications, as the law allows. You also agree that we may try to contact you in these and other ways at any address or telephone number you provide us, even if the telephone number is a cell phone number or the contact results in a charge to you. Except as required by law, any change to the agreements in this paragraph must be in writing and we must sign it.

Rebates, Incentives, and Discounts. We are not required to find or disclose all available rebates, incentives or discounts for which you might be eligible. If conditions apply to a rebate, incentive or discount, you must provide us with all necessary documentation to verify your eligibility. By this Agreement, all rebates, incentives, discounts and other similar payments are assigned to us.

Applicable Law. Federal law and the law of the State of Utah apply to this Agreement.

If the Vehicle is a used or demonstrator vehicle, Seller makes no warranty or representation as to the extent the vehicle was used, despite what is shown on the odometer reading.

Used Car Buyers Guide. The information you see on the window form for this vehicle is part of this contract. Information on the window form overrides any contrary provisions in the contract of sale.

Spanish Translation: Guía para compradores de vehículos usados. La información que ve en el formulario de la ventanilla para este vehículo forma parte del presente contrato. La información del formulario de la ventanilla deja sin efecto toda disposición en contrario contenida en el contrato de venta.

General Terms. If any part of this Agreement is not enforceable for any reason, the other terms still apply and will be enforceable. Carrying out the intent of this Agreement may require you and us to sign a number of documents. You agree to assist as needed in their completion. You also agree to sign all documents reasonably needed to fulfill the promises and intent of this Agreement. You authorize us to correct any clerical errors or omissions in this Agreement or in any related document.

ARBITRATION PROVISION
PLEASE REVIEW - IMPORTANT - AFFECTS YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS

1. EITHER YOU OR WE MAY CHOOSE TO HAVE ANY DISPUTE BETWEEN YOU AND US DECIDED BY ARBITRATION AND NOT IN COURT OR BY JURY TRIAL.
2. IF A DISPUTE IS ARBITRATED, YOU WILL GIVE UP YOUR RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE AS A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE OR CLASS MEMBER ON ANY CLASS CLAIM YOU MAY HAVE AGAINST US INCLUDING ANY RIGHT TO CLASS ARBITRATION OR ANY CONSOLIDATION OF INDIVIDUAL ARBITRATIONS.
3. DISCOVERY AND RIGHTS TO APPEAL IN ARBITRATION ARE GENERALLY MORE LIMITED THAN IN A LAWSUIT, AND OTHER RIGHTS THAT YOU AND WE WOULD HAVE IN COURT MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE IN ARBITRATION.

Any claim or dispute, whether in contract, tort, statute or otherwise (including the interpretation and scope of this Arbitration Provision, and the arbitrability of the claim or dispute), between you and us or our employees, agents, successors or assigns, which arises out of or relates to your credit application, purchase or condition of this Vehicle, this Agreement or any resulting transaction or relationship (including any such relationship with third parties who do not sign this Agreement) shall, at your or our election, be resolved by neutral, binding arbitration and not by a court action. If federal law provides that a claim or dispute is not subject to binding arbitration, this Arbitration Provision shall not apply to such claim or dispute. Any claim or dispute is to be arbitrated by a single arbitrator on an individual basis and not as a class action. You expressly waive any right you may have to arbitrate a class action. You may choose the American Arbitration Association (www.adr.org), or any other organization to conduct the arbitration subject to our approval. You may get a copy of the rules of an arbitration organization by contacting the organization or visiting its website.

Arbitrators shall be attorneys or retired judges and shall be selected pursuant to the applicable rules. The arbitrator shall apply governing substantive law and the applicable statute of limitations. The arbitration hearing shall be conducted in the federal district in which you reside unless the Seller is a party to the claim or dispute, in which case the hearing will be held in the federal district where this Agreement was executed. We will pay your filing, administration, service or case management fee and your arbitrator or hearing fee all up to a maximum of \$5000, unless the law or the rules of the chosen arbitration organization require us to pay more. The amount we pay may be reimbursed in whole or in part by decision of the arbitrator if the arbitrator finds that any of your claims is frivolous under applicable law. Each party shall be responsible for its own attorney, expert and other fees, unless awarded by the arbitrator under applicable law. If the chosen arbitration organization's rules conflict with this Arbitration Provision, then the provisions of this Arbitration Provision shall control. Any arbitration under this Arbitration Provision shall be governed by the Federal Arbitration Act (9 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.) and not by any state law concerning arbitration. Any award by the arbitrator shall be in writing and will be final and binding on all parties, subject to any limited right to appeal under the Federal Arbitration Act.

You and we retain the right to seek remedies in small claims court for disputes or claims within that court's jurisdiction, unless such action is transferred, removed or appealed to a different court. Neither you nor we waive the right to arbitrate by using self-help remedies, such as repossession, or by filing an action to recover the Vehicle, to recover a deficiency balance, or for individual injunctive relief. Any court having jurisdiction may enter judgment on the arbitrator's award. This Arbitration Provision shall survive any termination, payoff or transfer of this Agreement. If any part of this Arbitration Provision, other than waivers of class action rights, is deemed or found to be unenforceable for any reason, the remainder shall remain enforceable. If a waiver of class action rights is deemed or found to be unenforceable for any reason in a case in which class action allegations have been made, the remainder of this Arbitration Provision shall be unenforceable.

**SUNSET CITY
RESOLUTION NO. 2026-08**

A RESOLUTION APPROVING MEMBER EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UTAH RETIREMENT SYSTEMS CONTRIBUTORY RETIREMENT PLAN ON BEHALF OF ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES

WHEREAS, Sunset City is a participating employer in the Utah Retirement Systems ("URS") Contributory Retirement Plan, governed pursuant to Utah Code Title 49; and

WHEREAS, the URS Contributory Retirement Plan requires both employer and employee contributions, with the employee contribution rate established and adjusted by the URS Board of Administration; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Section 49-13-401 authorizes participating employers to pay the employee contribution on behalf of their employees, and the vast majority of URS-participating entities do so as a standard employment benefit; and

WHEREAS, the City has historically paid the required employee contribution rate on behalf of all eligible employees and desires to formally affirm this practice as a standing policy until amended or repealed by the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Sunset City, Utah, as follows:

Section 1. Employee Contribution Payment. The City shall pay the required employee contribution rate to the URS Contributory Retirement Plan on behalf of all eligible City employees, at the rate established and adjusted from time to time by the URS Board of Administration.

Section 2. Standing Authorization. This authorization is ongoing and shall remain in full force and effect, and shall automatically adjust to reflect any URS-approved rate changes, until amended or repealed by the City Council.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Resolution supersedes any prior actions on this matter and takes effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Sunset City, Utah, on this 5th day of May, 2026.

Scott Wiggill, Mayor

Attest:

Nicole Supp, Recorder

Council Member Carlson:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Thompson:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Smalling:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Rigley:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Hunter:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT

SUNSET CITY
RESOLUTION NO. 2026-09

A RESOLUTION OF THE SUNSET CITY COUNCIL APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH DAVIS COUNTY RELATING TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2027, 2028, AND 2029

WHEREAS, the United States Congress enacted the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.), to promote viable urban communities, decent housing, and expanded economic opportunities for persons of low and moderate income; and

WHEREAS, Davis County has qualified as an "urban county" under HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 570) and is eligible to receive CDBG entitlement grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and

WHEREAS, Sunset City may participate in the Davis County CDBG program by entering into an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with the County, thereby making the City eligible to receive CDBG program benefits and funding for activities within the City's municipal boundaries for federal fiscal years 2027, 2028, and 2029; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed the proposed Interlocal Cooperation Agreement and finds that participation in the Davis County CDBG program is in the best interest of the City and its residents.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Sunset City, Utah, that the attached agreement be approved and the Mayor and Recorder are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the same.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Sunset City, Utah, on the 5th day of May, 2026.

Scott Wiggill, Mayor

Attest:

Nicole Supp, Recorder

Council Member Carlson:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Thompson:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Smalling:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Rigley:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT
Council Member Hunter:	<input type="checkbox"/> AYE	<input type="checkbox"/> NAY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> ABSENT

INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE CONDUCT
OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM
FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2027, 2028 AND 2029

This Agreement is between Davis County, Utah, a body politic and corporate and legal subdivision of the state of Utah (the "County"), and the City of Sunset, a municipal corporation of the state of Utah (the "City"). The County and the City may be collectively referred to as the "Parties" in this Agreement.

RECITALS

A. In 1974, the United States Congress enacted the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.) (the "Act"); and

B. The primary objective of the Act is the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income; and

C. To implement the objectives of the Act, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") has issued regulations governing the conduct of the Community Development Block Grants ("CDBG") program, published in 24 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), Part 570 (the "Regulations"); and

D. Pursuant to the Regulations, a county may qualify as an "urban county," as defined in Section 570.3 of the Regulations and Section 102(a)(6) of the Act, and thereby become eligible to receive entitlement grants from HUD for the conduct of CDBG program activities as an urban county; and

E. The County has qualified as an urban county and is eligible to receive entitlement grants from HUD for the conduct of CDBG program activities as an urban county; and

F. Pursuant to the Regulations, certain units of general local government located within the County's boundaries, including the City, may be included in the urban county for qualification and grant calculation purposes by entering into cooperation agreements with the County; and

G. The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement.

NOW, for and in consideration of the mutual promises, obligations, and/or covenants contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt, fairness, and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, and the Parties intending to be legally bound, the Parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. This Agreement covers the CDBG entitlement program, as delineated under the Act and the Regulations. Through this Agreement, the City is a part of the County (as an urban county under the Act and Regulations) for CDBG qualification and grant calculation purposes.
2. By executing this Agreement, the City acknowledges, understands, and agrees with all of the following:
 - A. The City may not apply for grants from appropriations under the State CDBG program for the Three-Year Qualification Period.
 - B. The City may receive a formula allocation under the HOME program only through the County, as an urban county under the Act. Thus, even if the County does not receive a HOME formula allocation, the City is precluded from forming a HOME

consortium with other local governments. The provisions of this subsection directly above, however, do not preclude the County or the City from applying to the state of Utah for HOME funds, if allowed by the state of Utah.

- C. The City may receive a formula allocation under the Emergency Solutions Grants (“ESG”) program only through the County, as an urban county under the Act. The first sentence of this subsection does not preclude the County or the City from applying to the state of Utah for ESG funds, if allowed by the state of Utah.
3. The period covered by this Agreement is federal fiscal years 2027, 2028, and 2029 (the “Three-year Qualification Period”). This Agreement commences on October 1, 2026 and will remain in effect through the later of September 30, 2029, or until the CDBG funds and program income received (with respect to activities carried out during the Three-year Qualification Period) are expended and the funded activities completed. The Parties acknowledge and agree that they may not terminate this Agreement and may not withdraw from this Agreement while it remains in effect.
4. The Parties agree to cooperate to undertake, or assist in undertaking, community renewal and lower-income housing assistance activities. The City agrees and authorizes the County to undertake essential community renewal and lower income housing activities within the City’s municipal boundaries, including CDBG program activities and projects within the City’s municipal boundaries. The City further agrees and authorizes the County to undertake essential community development and housing assistances activities within the City’s municipal boundaries. More specifically, the Parties agree to cooperate in the development and selection of CDBG program activities and projects to be conducted or performed within the City’s municipal boundaries.
5. The Parties agree to:
 - A. Take all actions necessary to assure compliance with the County’s certification under Section 104(b) of the Act; specifically, to conduct and administer the grant in conformity with the Civil rights Act of 1964, and the implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 1, and the Fair Housing Act, and the implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 100, and will affirmatively further fair housing. See 24 CFR § 91.225(a) and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Definitions and Certifications (86 FR 30779, June 10, 2021), to be codified at 24 CFR 5.151 and 5.152;
 - B. Comply with Section 109 of the Act, and the implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 6, which incorporates Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 8, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the implementing regulations at 28 CFR Part 35, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the implementing regulation at 24 CFR Part 146, and Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968;
 - C. Comply by signing the assurances and certifications in the HUD 424-B;
 - D. Comply with all other applicable laws; and
 - E. Comply with the applicable provisions of the grant agreements received by the County from HUD as well as the rules, regulations, guidelines, circulars and other requisites promulgated by the various federal departments, agencies, administrations and commissions relating to the CDBG program.
6. The Parties acknowledge, understand, and agree that the County may not provide any CDBG funding for activities in or in support of any cooperating unit of general local government, including the City that does not affirmatively further fair housing within its

jurisdiction, or that impedes the County's actions to comply with the County's fair housing certification.

7. The City affirms that it has adopted and is enforcing:
 - A. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and
 - B. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.
8. The Parties agree not to veto or otherwise obstruct the implementation of the approved consolidated plan. The Parties further agree that the County has the final responsibility for selecting CDBG program activities and projects as well as submitting the consolidated plan to HUD.
9. Pursuant to Section 570.501(b) of the Regulations, the Parties acknowledge and agree that the City is subject to the same requirements applicable to subrecipients, including the requirement of a written agreement as described in Section 570.503 of the Regulations.
10. The Parties acknowledge and agree that a unit of general local government may not sell, trade, or otherwise transfer all or any portion of CDBG funds to another metropolitan city, urban county, unit of general local government, or Indian tribe, or insular area that directly or indirectly receives CDBG funds in exchange for any other funds, credits or non-Federal considerations; rather, CDBG funds must be used for activities eligible under Title I of the Act.
11. Any notices that may or must be sent under the terms and/or provisions of this Agreement should be delivered, by hand delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid, as follows:

<u>To the City:</u> Sunset Attn: Nicole Supp 200 W 1300 N Clearfield, UT 84015	<u>To the County:</u> Davis County Attn: CDBG Grants Administrator P.O. Box 618 Farmington, UT 84025
--	--

12. No separate legal entity is created by this Agreement.
13. This Agreement will be authorized and approved by the legislative body of each Party by resolution or ordinance in accordance with Section 11-13-202.5, Utah Code Annotated, as amended, and a duly executed original counterpart of this Agreement will be filed with the keeper of records of each Party in accordance with Section 11-13-209, Utah Code Annotated, as amended. Moreover, this Agreement will be submitted to the authorized attorney for each Party for a legal opinion satisfying the Act and in accordance with applicable provisions of Section 11-13-202.5, Utah Code Annotated, as amended.
14. This Agreement, including all attachments, if any, constitutes and/or represents the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter herein. In that regard there are no other written or oral agreements, understandings, or promises between the Parties that are not set forth herein. Unless otherwise set forth herein, this Agreement supersedes and cancels all prior agreements, negotiations, and understandings between the Parties regarding the subject matter herein, whether written or oral, which agreements, if any, are void, nullified, and of no legal effect if they are not recited or addressed in this Agreement.

15. This Agreement and its provisions may not be supplemented, amended, modified, changed, discharged, or terminated verbally. Rather, this Agreement and all provisions hereof may only be supplemented, amended, modified, changed, discharged, or terminated by an instrument in writing, signed by the Parties.
16. If any part or provision of this Agreement is found to be invalid, prohibited, or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, such part or provision of this Agreement shall, as to such jurisdiction only, be inoperative, null and void to the extent of such invalidity, prohibition, or unenforceability without invalidating the remaining parts or provisions hereof, and any such invalidity, prohibition, or unenforceability in any jurisdiction shall not invalidate or render inoperative, null or void such part or provision in any other jurisdiction. Those parts or provisions of this Agreement, which are not invalid, prohibited, or unenforceable, shall remain in full force and effect.
17. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered, shall be deemed an original, and all such counterparts taken together shall constitute one and the same Agreement.

[This space is left blank intentionally. Signature pages follow.]

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR DAVIS COUNTY, UTAH, TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION
AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE CONDUCT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2027, 2028, AND
2029

DAVIS COUNTY, UTAH

John Crofts, Chair
Board of Davis County Commissioners
Dated: _____

ATTEST:

Brian McKenzie
Davis County Clerk
Dated: _____

LEGAL OPINION

This Agreement and the terms and provisions of this Agreement are fully authorized under state law and local law. This Agreement provides full legal authority for the County to undertake essential community renewal and lower income housing activities within the City's municipal boundaries. This Agreement is further reviewed and approved as to proper form and compliance with applicable law.

Chris Preston
Davis County Civil Attorney
Dated: _____

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR THE CITY OF SUNSET, UTAH,
TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE
CONDUCT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM
FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2027, 2028, AND 2029

CITY OF SUNSET, UTAH

Scott Wiggill

Mayor

Dated: _____

ATTEST:

Nicole Supp

City Recorder

Dated: _____

LEGAL OPINION

This Agreement and the terms and provisions of this Agreement are fully authorized under state law and local law. This Agreement provides full legal authority for the County to undertake essential community renewal and lower income housing activities within the City's municipal boundaries. This Agreement is further reviewed and approved as to proper form and compliance with applicable law.

Dalton Smuin

Attorney for the City of SUNSET

Dated: _____

RESOLUTION NO. 2026-10

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE OPERATING BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2027, ENDING JUNE 30, 2027 AND SETTING THE DATE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON FINAL ADOPTION.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code Annotated § 10-6-111, the Sunset City Council is required to review and consider a tentative budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year prior to final adoption; and

WHEREAS, the City Budget Officer has prepared and presented to the City Council the tentative operating budgets for Fiscal Year 2027, ending June 30, 2027 (the “Tentative Budgets”); and

WHEREAS, State law requires that the City Council adopt the tentative budgets and schedule a public hearing to receive public comment prior to final adoption of the Fiscal Year 2027 budgets; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed the Tentative Budgets and finds them to be in order and appropriate for public consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Sunset City Council as follows:

Section 1. Adoption of Tentative Budgets. The Sunset City Council hereby adopts the tentative operating budgets for Fiscal Year 2027 (ending June 30, 2027). The Tentative Budgets shall serve as the basis for the public hearing and final budget adoption.

Section 2. Public Hearing. A public hearing for the purpose of receiving public comment on the Tentative Budgets and for the final adoption of the Fiscal Year 2027 budgets is hereby scheduled for **June 16, 2026**, at Sunset City Hall. The City Recorder is directed to publish notice of the public hearing in accordance with applicable State law.

Section 3. Public Inspection. Copies of the Tentative Budgets shall be made available for public inspection at Sunset City Hall and on the City’s official website during normal business hours from the date of this Resolution through the date of final adoption.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Sunset City Council at a duly noticed public meeting on this 5th day of May, 2026.

Scott Wiggill, Mayor



Attest:

Nicole Supp, Recorder

Council Member Carlson: AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

Council Member Thompson: AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

Council Member Smalling: AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

Council Member Rigley: AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

Council Member Hunter: AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

SUNSET CITY FY2027 TENTATIVE BUDGET

GENERAL FUND REVENUES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	TAXES			
10-31-100	Property Tax-Current Year	391,065	390,190	391,000
10-31-120	Fees-Registered Vehicle	21,280	23,000	21,000
10-31-200	Property Tax Delinquent	19,095	20,000	20,000
10-31-300	Sales & Use Taxes	1,179,015	1,000,000	1,100,000
10-31-310	Transient Room Tax	3,765	3,400	3,500
10-31-400	Franchise Taxes	281,175	295,000	280,000
10-31-500	Davis/Weber Canal Revenue	14,180	14,495	14,180
	Total Taxes	1,909,575	1,746,085	1,829,680
	LICENSES & PERMITS			
10-32-100	Business Licenses	33,380	30,000	30,000
10-32-210	Building Permits	36,530	59,645	45,000
10-32-211	Plan Check Fees	14,650	32,825	15,000
10-32-212	Fence Permits	20	40	20
10-32-220	State Surcharge, Bldg. Permit	(135)	460	30
10-32-230	Excavation Permits	1,500	1,700	1,200
	Total License & Permits	85,945	124,670	91,250
	INTERGOVERNMENTAL			
10-33-630	Davis County School District	58,400	58,400	58,400
	Total Intergovernmental	58,400	58,400	58,400
	CHARGES FOR SERVICES			
10-34-910	Park Bowery/Field Rental	2,840	2,845	2,500
10-34-920	Special Service/Misc.	2,105	500	500
10-34-925	Police Reports	3,890	3,750	3,750
10-34-940	Ambulance Fees	0	0	0
10-34-980	Return Check Charges	220	120	100
10-34-985	Sunset Room Rental Fees	10,900	8,000	9,000
	Total Charges for Services	19,955	15,215	15,850
	FINES & FORFEITURES			
10-35-110	Justice Court Revenue	609,845	400,000	475,000
	Total Justice Court Revenue	609,845	400,000	475,000

GENERAL FUND REVENUES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	RECREATION REVENUE			
10-36-917	Miscellaneous	1815	1,000	1,000
10-36-930	Fun Days -Business Licenses	2155	1,500	1,500
10-36-931	Fun Days - Car Show	(15)	530	300
10-36-932	Fun Days - Skateboard/Bike	(15)	210	100
10-36-933	Fun Days - 5K Run	(15)	505	100
10-36-934	Fun Days - Breakfast	0	240	300
10-36-935	Fun Days - Miscellaneous	70	80	50
	Total Recreation Revenue	3,995	4,065	3,350
	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE			
10-37-100	Interest Earned-St. Treasurer	116,550	145,000	120,000
10-37-601	Sale of Equipment/Land	18,990	75	0
10-37-900	Sundry	405	100	100
10-37-910	Lease Proceeds	0	0	0
10-37-921	T-Mobile Lease	0	0	0
10-37-922	Verizon Lease	17,160	15,840	17,420
10-37-925	AT&T Lease	12,710	11,700	13,305
10-37-926	Bus Shelter Revenue	390	335	330
10-37-990	HAFB/Well Project	20,000	20,000	20,000
	Total Miscellaneous Revenue	186,205	193,050	171,155
	TRANSFERS & CONTRIBUTIONS			
10-38-610	Transfer from Cap Proj Funds	0	0	0
10-38-700	Other Financing Sources	0	0	0
	Total Transfers & Contributions	0	0	0
	USE OF FUND BALANCE			
10-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
10-38-610	Transfer from Cap Proj Funds	0	0	650,000
10-38-500	Transfer from Economic Dev	0	0	0
10-38-700	Other Financing Sources	0	0	0
	Total Use of Fund Balance	0	0	650,000
	TOTAL REVENUES	2,873,920	2,541,485	3,294,685

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	*MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL			
10-41-110	Mayor & Council Salaries	20,670	20,670	21,685
10-41-140	FICA	1,585	1,585	1,665
10-41-190	Expense Allowance	1,240	1,240	1,240
10-41-220	Public Notices	60	200	300
10-41-230	Travel & Training	780	800	2,800
10-41-240	Office Supplies	315	500	500
10-41-285	Cellular Telephones	240	240	240
10-41-380	Municipal Election	0	9,545	0
10-41-590	Newsletter & Postage	1,730	1,750	1,750
10-41-600	Economic Dev. Meeting Expenses	0	0	0
10-41-770	Computer Equipment & Software	0	0	0
	Total Mayor & City Council	26,620	36,530	30,180

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	COURT			
10-42-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	86,305	85,100	87,250
10-42-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	55,400	60,000	60,360
10-42-130	Overtime	0	115	100
10-42-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	805
10-42-140	FICA	10,775	12,000	12,000
10-42-150	Retirement	37,935	34,550	42,600
10-42-160	Insurance	3,805	12,825	4,800
10-42-210	Books/Subscrip/Memberships	4,810	3,000	4,500
10-42-220	Public Notices	0	100	150
10-42-230	Travel & Training	2,160	2,200	2,750
10-42-240	Office Supplies	2,575	3,000	3,000
10-42-250	Equipment Supplies & Maint.	450	500	1,000
10-42-285	Cellular Telephones	660	660	660
10-42-370	Witness & Juror Fees	130	3,000	3,000
10-42-380	Substitute Judge	300	2,000	2,000
10-42-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
10-42-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0
10-42-770	Computer Equip. & Software	0	0	0
	Total Court	205,305	219,050	224,975

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	PROSECUTION / DEFENSE			
10-43-340	Prosecution Attorney	24,000	35,290	41,100
10-43-341	Appt. Defense Attny. & Interpreter	23,050	23,000	23,000
	Total Prosecution / Defense	47,050	58,290	64,100

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE			
10-44-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	163,100	157,680	165,940
10-44-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
10-44-130	Overtime	375	1315	1,000
10-44-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	757
10-44-140	FICA	12,260	12,240	12,240
10-44-150	Retirement	31,540	42,360	32,100
10-44-160	Insurance	15,245	25,000	21,300
10-44-200	Postage	4,930	7,000	5,000
10-44-210	Books/Subscrip/Memberships	1,065	1,300	1,300
10-44-220	Public Notices	0	50	100
10-44-230	Travel & Training	1,240	9,900	6,600
10-44-240	Office Supplies	3,100	3,600	4,000
10-44-250	Equipment Maintenance	10,740	2,000	2,000
10-44-270	Computer Software Support	5,640	5,945	5,400
10-44-285	Cellular Telephones	270	270	270
10-44-320	Audit & Accounting	6,665	8,700	9,000
10-44-600	Sundry	19,475	5,000	5,000
10-44-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
10-44-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	3,700
10-44-770	Computer Equip. & Software	805	730	0
	Total Administrative Office	276,450	283,090	275,707

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	PLANNING & ZONING			
10-46-210	Books/Subscription/Memberships	0	0	0
10-46-230	Travel & Training	1,130	1,000	1,300
10-46-240	Plan Comm/B of A Supplies	345	250	250
10-46-350	Planning & Adjust. Boards	2,130	3,060	3100
	Total Planning & Zoning	3,605	4,310	4,650

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	BUILDING INSPECTION			
10-47-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	2,500	2,500	2,500
10-47-240	Supplies	0	0	50
10-47-320	Building Inspector	56,040	72,000	60,000
	Total Building Inspection	58,540	74,500	62,550

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	NON-DEPARTMENTAL			
10-50-210	Books/Subscrip/Memberships	3,380	4,680	9,180
10-50-250	Office Equipment Maintenance	0	8,000	10,000
10-50-280	Telephone	13,600	7,900	10,000
10-50-340	Prof/Technical/Attorney	4,565	14,900	10,000
10-50-510	Insurance & Surety Bonds	50,085	52,000	50,300
10-50-520	Lights & Power	18,050	16,000	18,000
10-50-540	Natural Gas	1,185	2,000	2,000
10-50-600	Promotion of City	2,195	6,900	6,150
10-50-605	Employee Christmas Party	2,400	2,700	3,000
10-50-610	Employee Appreciation Awards	1,180	1,000	1,000
10-50-630	Trans to Youth City Council	2,500	2,500	1,500
10-50-635	Transfer to Retirement Ins. Fund	5,000	5,000	0
10-50-640	Transfer to Capital Projects	900,000	0	0
10-50-672	Beautification	100	1,000	1,000
10-50-678	Donations/Contributions	400	1,200	1,200
10-50-680	Trans to Emergency Prep.	0	5,000	2500
10-50-686	Codification of Ordinances	860	800	1,000
10-50-731	Public Works Bldg Loan Payment	0	0	0
10-50-770	Computer Equipment/Software	25,545	0	17,500
	Total Non-Departmental	1,031,045	131,580	144,330

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	POLICE DEPARTMENT			
10-54-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	798,745	915,000	1,049,740
10-54-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	73,355	40,000	40,800
10-54-130	Overtime	20,035	25,500	30,000
10-54-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	18,500
10-54-140	FICA	70,670	76,580	79,980
10-54-150	Retirement	229,595	249,800	340,220
10-54-160	Insurance	119,040	223,070	193,380
10-54-175	Survivor Benefit	900	1,000	1,100
10-54-185	Uniform Allowance	12,465	13,200	13,200
10-54-210	Books/Subscrip/Memberships	1,720	2,800	2,500
10-54-230	Travel & Training	6,820	7,000	8,500
10-54-240	Supplies	53,495	58,000	70,000
10-54-250	Equipment Maintenance	16,700	17,000	17,000
10-54-285	Cellular Telephones	3,300	3,800	3,900
10-54-290	Laptop & Radio Fees	6,835	3,800	3,700
10-54-395	Narcotics Strike Force	4,820	6,160	8,000
10-54-396	Victims Advocate	11,105	15,000	15,000
10-54-430	Vehicle Maintenance	22,835	15,000	20,000
10-54-740	Capital Outlay	23,055	20,000	30,000
10-54-750	Cap. Outlay - Lease Purchase	119,995	0	0
10-54-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0
10-54-770	Computer Equipment & Software	0	0	0
10-54-780	Machinery & Equipment	0	0	0
10-54-790	Auto & Trucks	57,705	118,180	60,000
	Total Police Department	1,653,190	1,810,890	2,005,520

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	STREETS			
10-60-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	62,595	71,060	79,355
10-60-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
10-60-130	Overtime	2,070	4,700	4,500
10-60-135	Vacation Pay Out	0	0	1,565
10-60-140	FICA	4,530	5,630	6,560
10-60-150	Retirement	18,690	21,150	20,675
10-60-160	Insurance	375	9,275	15,225
10-60-230	Travel & Training	0	1,000	500
10-60-250	Equipment Maintenance	2,835	15,300	15,000
10-60-330	Engineering	0	0	0
10-60-420	Fuel	12,820	21,000	21,000
10-60-430	Vehicle Maintenance	3,750	9,000	10,000
10-60-450	Materials & Supplies	0	1,000	250
10-60-460	Painting & Marking	1,400	4,000	4,000
10-60-530	Street Lighting	38,920	42,000	42,000
10-60-740	Capital Outlay	4,000	16,500	0
10-60-750	Transfer to Capital Proj. Funds	0	0	0
10-60-751	Capital Outlay/Lease Purchase	0	0	0
10-60-754	Street Projects Upgrade	0	0	0
10-60-755	Sidewalk Curb & Gutter	0	0	0
10-60-756	Capital Equipment/Projects	0	0	0
10-60-780	Machinery & Equipment	0	0	0
10-60-790	Auto & Trucks	0	0	0
	Total Streets	151,985	221,615	220,630

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	BUILDINGS & GROUNDS			
10-64-241	Janitorial Supplies	365	1,000	1,000
10-64-250	Maintenance & Materials	8,200	8,000	5,000
10-64-260	Building Maint/Contract Cleaning	5,680	14,350	12,000
10-64-330	Engineering	3,000	0	0
10-64-720	Building Upgrade	8,550	1,000	0
10-64-730	Parking Lot Improvement	0	2,000	2,500
10-64-735	Christmas Lights/Decorations	13,080	2,500	2,500
10-64-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
10-64-750	Transfer to Cap. Project Funds	0	0	0
10-64-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0
10-64-770	Computer Equipment & Software	0	0	0
	Total Buildings & Grounds	38,875	28,850	23,000

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	CITY SHOPS			
10-65-240	Supplies & Expense	1,530	2,200	1,650
10-65-242	Personnel Supplies/Uniforms	460	950	1,250
10-65-285	Cellular Telephones	1,740	850	950
10-65-720	Building Upgrade	0	0	0
10-65-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
10-65-750	Transfer to Capital Projects Funds	0	0	0
	Total City Shops	3,730	4,000	3,850

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	PARKS			
10-70-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	74,940	117,500	119,100
10-70-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
10-70-130	Overtime	5,630	7,500	7,500
10-70-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	1,360
10-70-140	FICA	5,710	8,880	5,960
10-70-150	Retirement	21,335	28,060	18,795
10-70-160	Insurance	18,885	25,000	21,715
10-70-230	Travel & Training	0	500	250
10-70-250	Equipment Supplies & Maint.	16,170	18,000	20,000
10-70-520	Lights & Power	2,545	2,630	1,000
10-70-740	Capital Outlay	21,500	81,500	30,000
10-70-780	Machinery & Equipment	0	0	0
	Total Parks	166,715	289,570	225,680

GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	RECREATION			
10-72-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	13,570	4,395	0
10-72-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
10-72-130	Overtime	1,630	720	0
10-72-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	0
10-72-140	FICA	1,115	395	0
10-42-150	Retirement	3,925	1,170	0
10-72-160	Insurance	2,590	35	0
10-72-220	Public Notices	0	50	100
10-72-230	Travel & Training	0	250	0
10-72-250	Equipment / Supplies	0	500	0
10-72-285	Cellular Telephones	600	1,200	1,200
10-72-409	Miscellaneous Events	0	0	2,000
10-72-415	Softball	0	0	0
10-72-416	Sunset Sam Winter Fest	1,145	1,045	1,000
10-72-417	Miscellaneous Expense	190	1,000	1,000
10-72-418	Veterans Day Program	1,280	870	1,500
10-72-640	Fun Days - Miscellaneous	47,305	35,000	35,000
10-72-641	Fun Days - Car Show	1,545	1,100	1,100
10-72-643	Fun Days - 5K Run	625	700	850
10-72-644	Fun Days - Breakfast	3,010	3,500	4,000
10-72-646	Fun Days - Skateboard/Bike Jam	2,185	1,500	2,500
10-72-647	Santa on Firetruck	0	555	500
10-72-648	Easter Egg Hunt	3,820	3,640	4,000
10-72-649	Fun Days-Wellness Fair	2,235	4,420	5,000
10-72-650	Senior Citizen Program	3,935	3,795	5,000
10-72-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
	Total Recreation	90,705	65,840	64,750
	OTHER			
10-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	Total Other	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		3,753,815	3,228,115	3,349,922
REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES		(879,895)	(686,630)	(55,237)

UTILITY FUND INCOME		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	MISCELLANEOUS INCOME			
51-36-510	Miscellaneous Income	695	500	500
51-36-601	Sale of Equipment	3,600	0	0
51-36-910	Lease Proceeds	0	0	0
	Total Miscellaneous Income	4,295	500	500
	CHARGES FOR SERVICES			
51-37-100	Metered Water Fees	1,174,585	1,152,350	1,100,000
51-37-140	Turn On & New Connections	10,460	20,020	11,000
51-37-145	Sewer Connection Fees	400	12,400	650
51-37-300	N Davis Sewer District Fees	696,655	695,000	695,000
51-37-700	Wasatch Int./Solid Waste Fees	517,735	560,000	576,000
51-37-710	Extra Garbage Can Rental Fees	410	150	150
51-37-800	Storm Sewer Fees	138,835	138,000	138,000
51-37-810	Storm Water Connection Fees	250	7,750	250
51-37-900	Utility Late Fees	28,650	30,000	28,140
	Total Charges for Services	2,567,980	2,615,670	2,549,190
	USE OF RETAINED EARNINGS			
51-38-610	General Account/CR	0	0	0
51-38-500	Transfer from Capital Project Fund	0	0	0
	Total Use of Retained Earnings	0	0	0
	TOTAL INCOME	2,572,275	2,616,170	2,549,690

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL			
51-41-110	Mayor & Council Salaries	20,670	20,670	21,685
51-41-140	FICA	1,580	1,585	1,665
51-41-190	Expense Allowance	1,240	1,240	1,240
51-41-230	Travel & Training	780	800	2,800
51-41-240	Office Supplies	315	500	500
51-41-285	Cellular Telephones	240	240	240
51-41-590	Newsletter & Postage	1,730	1,550	1,550
51-41-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0
51-41-770	Computer Equipment & Software	0	0	0
	Total Mayor & City Council	26,555	26,585	29,680

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE			
51-44-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	168,680	188,500	198,370
51-44-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
51-44-130	Overtime	335	1240	900
51-44-135	Vacation Cash Out	6,195	0	4,870
51-44-140	FICA	12,630	14,430	14,430
51-44-150	Retirement	44,035	45,745	40,400
51-44-160	Insurance	37,490	47,100	42,650
51-44-200	Postage/Utility Bills	8,405	13,000	12,000
51-44-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	1,130	1,145	1,150
51-44-220	Public Notices	0	100	100
51-44-230	Travel & Training	1,805	9,950	6,100
51-44-240	Office Supplies	3,200	3,500	4,000
51-44-250	Equipment Maintenance	10,740	3,000	3,000
51-44-270	Computer Software Support	7,725	6,950	6,950
51-44-285	Cellular Telephones	570	570	570
51-44-320	Audit & Accounting	6,665	8,700	9,000
51-44-500	Bad Debt Write Off	500	500	500
51-44-682	Miscellaneous Expense	0	250	250
51-44-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
51-44-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	3,700
51-44-770	Computer Equipment & Software	805	730	0
	Total Administrative Office	310,910	345,410	348,940

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	NON-DEPARTMENTAL			
51-50-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	3,310	4,520	9,370
51-50-250	Office Equipment Maintenance	0	8,000	12,000
51-50-280	Telephone	13,610	8,200	10,000
51-50-510	Insurance & Surety Bonds	26,970	35,325	35,000
51-50-520	Lights & Power	11,440	12,000	12,000
51-50-540	Natural Gas	1,185	2,500	2,500
51-50-600	Promotion of City	1,325	6,700	8,300
51-50-630	Transfer to Retirement Ins. Fund	0	0	23,000
51-50-640	Transfer to Capital Projects	0	0	0
51-50-686	Codification of Ordinances	860	1,000	1,400
51-50-770	Computer Equipment/Software	0	0	0
	Total Non-Departmental	58,700	78,245	113,570

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	WATER			
51-61-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	66,485	106,450	76,315
51-61-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
51-61-130	Overtime	5,555	8,500	8,500
51-61-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	825
51-61-140	FICA	5,235	8,175	10,450
51-61-150	Retirement	17,665	26,980	33,120
51-61-160	Insurance	15,545	35,540	16,500
51-61-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	8,910	12,000	13,500
51-61-230	Travel & Training	1,715	4,500	5,000
51-61-240	Water Meters	11,605	200,000	140,000
51-61-250	Repair & Maintenance	13,390	30,000	30,000
51-61-260	Cellular Fee for Meters	0	5,000	5,000
51-61-330	Engineering	0	0	0
51-61-662	Water Samples & Reports	2,650	5,000	5,500
51-61-685	Water Purchase - Weber Basin	400,070	447,530	520,300
51-61-740	Capital Outlay	175	0	0
51-61-750	Valve/Hydrant Repair/Replacement	1,320	15,000	15,000
51-61-756	Capital Improvement/Waterline	0	0	100,000
51-61-757	Transfer to Capital Project Funds	0	0	0
51-61-760	Valve Box Cleaning	0	0	0
51-61-790	Autos & Trucks	1,220	0	0
51-61-850	Depreciation	0	0	(78,000)
	Total Water	551,540	904,675	902,010

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	SEWER			
51-62-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	33,195	33,160	35,120
51-62-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
51-62-130	Overtime	870	3,000	3,000
51-62-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	875
51-62-140	FICA	2,325	2,580	915
51-62-150	Retirement	10,230	8,650	2,695
51-62-160	Insurance	1,960	3,620	3,500
51-62-230	Travel & Training	0	500	0
51-62-240	Supplies / Materials	100	1,500	1,500
51-62-250	Equipment Maintenance	165	0	2,500
51-62-480	Sanitary Sewer/Video	0	0	0
51-62-550	N Davis Sewer District Fees	515,270	560,000	560,000
51-62-740	Capital Outlay	3,265	0	0
51-62-741	Capital Outlay/Lease Purchase	0	0	0
51-62-752	Sewer Equipment	0	500	1,500
51-62-757	Transfer to Capital Project Funds	0	0	0
51-62-790	Autos & Trucks	0	0	0
51-62-850	Depreciation	0	0	0
	Total Sewer	567,380	613,510	611,605

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	GARBAGE			
51-63-240	Department Expense	4,480	4,500	4,500
51-63-492	Garbage Can Replace/Repair	8,565	10,800	10,800
51-63-560	Refuse Collection	177,830	251,000	266,000
51-63-565	Wasatch Integrated Waste Mgmt.	195,715	240,000	240,000
51-63-740	Capital Outlay	48,000	0	0
	Total Refuse	434,590	506,300	521,300

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	BUILDING & GROUNDS			
51-64-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	55,760	94,680	111,325
51-64-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
51-64-130	Overtime	4,610	6,700	6,500
51-64-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	1,200
51-64-140	FICA	4,340	6,650	8,180
51-64-150	Retirement	15,605	23,200	25,630
51-64-160	Insurance	15,655	21,150	25,750
51-64-240	Supplies & Expense	16,615	18,000	18,000
51-64-241	Janitorial Supplies	825	2,000	2,000
51-64-250	Maintenance - Parking Lot	0	2,000	2,000
51-64-260	Building Maint/Contract Cleaning	4,055	11,000	12,000
51-64-330	Engineering	3,405	0	3,500
51-64-420	Fuel	12,805	25,000	25,000
51-64-600	Transfer to Capital Project Funds	0	0	0
51-64-720	Building Upgrade	17,690	1,000	0
51-64-730	Parking Lot Improvement	0	2,500	0
51-64-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
51-64-760	Office Furniture & Equipment	0	0	0
	Total Building & Grounds	151,365	213,880	241,085

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	CITY SHOPS			
51-65-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	19,325	31,600	38,480
51-65-130	Overtime	1,430	2,500	2,800
51-65-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	375
51-65-140	FICA	1,590	2,400	3,165
51-65-150	Retirement	4,815	8,260	9,720
51-65-160	Insurance	135	9,090	12,780
51-65-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	410	350	350
51-65-240	Supplies & Expense	4,025	4,000	4,800
51-65-242	Personnel Supplies/Uniform	4,330	4,000	4,800
51-65-285	Cellular Telephone	1,190	2,500	2,500
51-65-600	Transfer to Capital Projects Funds	0	0	0
51-65-720	Building Upgrade	3,800	1,500	0
51-65-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
	Total City Shops	41,050	66,200	79,770

UTILITY FUND EXPENSES		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	STORM SEWER			
51-66-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	48,675	51,580	55,390
51-64-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
51-66-130	Overtime	2,120	4,500	4,500
51-66-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	1,210
51-66-140	FICA	3,545	4,000	2,510
51-66-150	Retirement	14,175	13,790	7,890
51-66-160	Insurance	6,485	8,150	8,340
51-66-210	Books/Subscrip/Memberships	2,245	4,570	3,800
51-66-230	Travel & Training	0	2,500	1,500
51-66-240	Supplies & Expense	5,045	5,000	7,500
51-66-250	Shop SWPPP Maintenance	9,650	38,000	7,500
51-66-330	Engineering	0	0	4,500
51-66-600	Trans. to Capital Projects Funds	0	0	0
51-66-740	Capital Outlay	3,265	0	4,500
51-66-790	Autos & Trucks	0	0	0
51-66-850	Depreciation	0	0	0
	Total Storm Sewer	95,205	132,090	109,140
	OTHER			
51-80-600	Addition to Retained Earnings	0	0	0
	Total Other	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENSES		2,237,295	2,886,895	2,957,100
INCOME OVER EXPENSES		334,980	(270,725)	(407,410)

CLASS C ROAD FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
	INTERGOVERNMENTAL			
21-33-560	Class "C" Road Allotment	272,730	215,000	250,000
	Total Intergovernmental	272,730	215,000	250,000
	MISCELLANEOUS			
21-36-100	Road Fund Interest	32,865	30,000	30,000
26-36-910	Lease Proceeds	0	0	0
	Total Miscellaneous	32,865	30,000	30,000
	USE OF FUND BALANCE			
21-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	Total Use of Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	305,595	245,000	280,000
	EXPENDITURES			
21-40-110	Full-Time Salaries & Wages	26,410	26,100	30,270
21-40-120	Part-Time Salaries & Wages	0	0	0
21-40-130	Overtime	915	2,800	2,800
21-40-135	Vacation Cash Out	0	0	620
21-40-140	FICA	1,905	2,420	2,210
21-40-150	Retirement	7,855	7,960	8,145
21-40-160	Insurance	485	2,990	4,730
21-40-220	Public Notices	0	0	0
21-40-230	Travel & Training	0	500	250
21-40-250	Equipment Repair & Maint.	7,530	15,000	18,000
21-40-255	Personnel Material/Supplies	0	2,000	2,500
21-40-330	Engineering	0	0	0
21-40-420	Fuel	25,460	37,500	37,500
21-40-430	Vehicle Maintenance	9,375	9,000	15,000
21-40-440	Maintenance & Materials	890	9,000	9,000
21-40-450	Asphalt	4,485	8,000	10,000
21-40-460	Signs & Street Markings	3,115	3,500	3,500
21-40-461	Sand & Salt	5,025	10,000	10,000
21-40-462	Gravel/Roadbase	1,900	5,500	6,500
21-40-700	Street Repairs	11,115	35,000	35,000
21-40-740	Capital Outlay	4,455	13,000	0
21-40-741	Capital - Overlays	0	0	0
21-40-742	Capital - Chip/Crack/Slurry Seals	0	106,500	71,000
21-40-743	Capital Outlay/Lease Purchase	23,940	12,870	13,000
21-40-744	Transfer to Cap Projects Funds	0	0	0
21-40-780	Machinery & Equipment	64,780	0	0
21-40-790	Autos & Trucks	178,135	0	0
	SUB-TOTAL EXPENDITURES	377,775	309,640	280,025
21-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	377,775	309,640	280,025
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(72,180)	(64,640)	(25)

DISPATCH FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
22-36-500	County Disp/Animal Fees Collec.	21,310	21,240	21,240
22-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	21,310	21,240	21,240
	EXPENDITURES			
22-40-510	Dispatch Fees - Davis County	23,990	31,650	97,755
22-40-520	Animal Control Fees - Davis Co.	0	0	0
22-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	23,990	31,650	97,755
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(2,680)	(10,410)	(76,515)

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
23-33-580	Contribution from General Fund	0	0	0
23-36-500	Donations	0	0	0
23-36-501	Grants	0	0	0
23-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	0	0	0
	EXPENDITURES			
23-40-230	Travel & Training	0	0	0
23-40-240	Supplies	2,575	3,020	2,500
23-40-250	Grant Purchases	0	0	0
23-40-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
23-40-750	Transfer to General Fund	0	0	0
23-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,575	3,020	2,500
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(2,575)	(3,020)	(2,500)

LIQUOR CONTROL FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
24-33-580	Liquor Control Allotment	7,155	10,000	8,000
24-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	7,155	10,000	8,000
	EXPENDITURES			
24-40-130	Alcohol Enforcement Overtime	5,505	13,000	13,000
24-40-230	Travel & Training	0	0	0
24-40-240	Supplies	0	2,000	2,000
24-40-250	Equipment Repair & Maintenance	0	0	0
24-40-740	Capital Outlay	14,000	0	0
24-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	19,505	15,000	15,000
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(12,350)	(5,000)	(7,000)

DARE FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
25-33-560	Contribution from General Fund	0	612	0
25-36-500	Contributions	0	0	0
25-36-510	DARE Auction	0	0	0
25-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	0	612	0
	EXPENDITURES			
25-40-230	Travel & Training	0	0	0
25-40-240	Supplies	1,000	5,610	0
25-40-260	DARE Auction Supplies	0	0	0
25-40-500	Trasfer to General Fund (Officer)	0	0	0
25-40-740	Capitail Outlay	0	0	0
25-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,000	5,610	0
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(1,000)	(4,998)	0

YOUTH CITY COUNCIL FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
26-33-560	Contribution from General Fund	2,500	2,500	0
26-36-500	Contributions	120	0	0
26-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	2,620	2,500	0
	EXPENDITURES			
26-40-210	Books/Subscrip./Memberships	0	0	0
26-40-230	Travel & Training	0	500	500
26-40-240	Supplies	175	500	1,000
26-40-740	Capital Outlay	0	0	0
26-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	175	1,000	1,500
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	2,445	1,500	(1,500)

MISCELLANEOUS GRANTS		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
27-33-620	Public Works Grants	0	0	0
27-33-630	Police Grants	0	0	0
27-33-640	Court Grants	0	0	0
27-33-650	CARES Act Funds	0	0	0
27-33-651	American Rescue Plan Funds	0	0	0
27-33-660	Planning Grants	0	0	0
27-38-500	Contribution from Planning Dept.	0	0	0
27-38-510	Contribution from Pub Wrks Dept.	0	0	0
27-38-520	Contribution from Police Dept.	0	0	0
27-38-530	Contribution from Court	0	0	0
27-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	0	0	0
	EXPENDITURES			
27-40-402	Public Works Grants	0	0	0
27-40-403	Police Grants	0	0	0
27-40-404	Court Grants	0	0	0
27-40-405	CARES Act Expenitures	0	0	0
27-40-406	Planning Grants	0	0	0
27-40-407	American Rescue Plan Act	0	0	0
27-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	0	0
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	0	0	0

RETIREMENT INSURANCE PREMIUM FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
30-36-500	Contributions from Other Funds	5,000	5,000	0
30-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	5,000	5,000	0
	EXPENDITURES			
30-40-250	Insurance Premiums	22,515	5,000	0
30-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	23,000
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	22,515	5,000	23,000
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(17,515)	0	(23,000)

LOCAL COUNTY OPTION HIGHWAY TRANSIT FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
32-36-510	Local Co. Option HW/TR Allotment	96,760	110,000	110,000
32-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	96,760	110,000	110,000
	EXPENDITURES			
32-40-740	Roadway Projects	0	113,000	40,000
32-40-240	Supplies & Expense	0	0	0
32-40-750	Capital Outlay	565	0	0
32-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	565	113,000	40,000
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	96,195	(3,000)	70,000

CDBG CAPITAL PROJECT FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
41-33-600	CDBG Grant	0	0	0
41-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
41-38-801	Contribution from Water Funds	0	0	250,000
41-38-802	Contribution from Sewer Funds	0	0	0
41-38-803	Contribution from Class C Funds	0	0	0
41-38-804	Contribution from General Fund	0	0	0
41-38-805	Contribution from Storm Sewer	0	0	0
41-38-806	Contrib. from Bldgs/Grnds Utilities	0	0	0
41-38-807	Contrib. from Capital Projects	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	0	0	250,000
	EXPENDITURES			
41-40-220	Public Notices	0	0	0
41-40-330	Engineering	0	0	0
41-40-702	Grant No. 8 - 1300 North	0	0	0
41-40-703	Grant No. 9 - 2 Storm Inlets	0	0	0
41-40-704	Grant No. 10 - 350 W/400 W Waterlines	0	0	350,000
41-40-900	Transfer Asset to Other Fund (storm inlets)	0	0	0
41-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	0	350,000
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	0	0	(100,000)

PUBLIC WORKS CAPITAL PROJECT FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
44-33-600	State Grants	86,580	0	0
44-36-100	Billboard Sign Revenue	18,515	18,515	18,515
44-36-110	1913 North Rental Property	0	0	0
44-36-120	City Culinary Water Impact Fee	0	24,210	2,690
44-36-300	Sale of Equip/Bldgs/Land	1,290,600	0	0
44-38-500	Contribution from General Fund	900,000	0	0
44-38-501	Contribution from Utility Fund	0	0	0
44-38-502	Contribution from Class C Funds	0	0	0
44-38-503	Cont. from Capital Project Funds	0	0	0
44-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	0	0	0
44-38-601	Other Financing/Lease Proceeds	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	2,295,695	42,725	21,205
	EXPENDITURES			
44-40-220	Public Notices	0	0	0
44-40-330	Engineering	61,410	0	0
44-40-400	Public Works Projects	96,590	125,000	125,000
44-40-410	1913 North Rental Property	0	0	0
44-40-500	Transfer to General Fund	0	0	650,000
44-40-510	Transfer to Utility Fund	0	0	0
44-40-520	Transfer to Class C Road Fund	0	0	0
44-40-530	Transfer to Capital Project Funds	0	0	0
44-40-730	Capital Outlay	180,970	298,985	219,300
44-80-600	Addition to Fund Balance	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	338,970	423,985	994,300
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	1,956,725	(381,260)	(973,095)

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CAPITAL PROJECT FUND		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
	REVENUES			
45-36-600	Grants	0	0	0
45-36-601	Sale of Equipment / Land	0	0	0
45-36-920	Property Lease Revenue	0	0	0
45-38-500	Contribution from General Fund	0	0	0
45-38-501	Contribution from Utility Fund	0	0	0
45-38-600	Contribution from Fund Balance	84,330	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	84,330	0	0
	EXPENDITURES			
45-40-220	Public Notices	0	0	0
45-40-330	Engineering	0	0	0
45-40-404	Economic Development	16,330	34,465	36,025
45-80-600	Transfer to General Fund	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	16,330	34,465	36,025
	REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	68,000	(34,465)	(36,025)

GENERAL FUND SUMMARY

REVENUES	FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
TAXES	1,909,575	1,746,085	1,829,680
LICENSES AND PERMITS	85,945	124,670	91,250
INTERGOVERNMENTAL	58,400	58,400	58,400
CHARGES FOR SERVICES	19,955	15,215	15,850
FINES AND FORFEITURES	609,845	400,000	475,000
RECREATION	3,995	4,065	3,350
MISCELLANEOUS	186,205	193,050	171,155
TRANSFERS & CONTRIBUTIONS	0	0	0
USE OF FUND BALANCE	0	0	650,000
TOTAL REVENUES	2,873,920	2,541,485	3,294,685

EXPENDITURES	FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
MAYOR AND COUNCIL	26,620	36,530	30,180
COURT	205,305	219,050	224,975
PROSECUTION / DEFENSE	47,050	58,290	64,100
ADMINISTRATION	276,450	283,090	275,707
PLANNING AND ZONING	3,605	4,310	4,650
BUILDING INSPECTION	58,540	74,500	62,550
NON-DEPARTMENTAL	1,031,045	131,580	144,330
POLICE	1,653,190	1,810,890	2,005,520
STREETS	151,985	221,615	220,630
BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS	38,875	28,850	23,000
CITY SHOPS	3,730	4,000	3,850
PARKS	166,715	289,570	225,680
RECREATION	90,705	65,840	64,750
ADDITION TO FUND BALANCE	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	3,753,815	3,228,115	3,349,922

REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES	(879,895)	(686,630)	(55,237)
----------------------------------	------------------	------------------	-----------------

TOTAL ALL FUNDS

REVENUE/INCOME		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
10	GENERAL	2,873,920	2,541,485	3,294,685
51	UTILITY	2,572,275	2,616,170	2,549,690
21	CLASS C ROADS	305,595	245,000	280,000
22	DISPATCH/ANIMAL CONTROL FEES	21,310	21,240	21,240
23	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	0	0	0
24	LIQUOR CONTROL	7,155	10,000	8,000
25	DARE	0	612	0
26	YOUTH CITY COUNCIL	2,620	2,500	0
27	MISCELLANEOUS GRANTS	0	0	0
30	RETIREMENT INSURANCE PREMIUM	5,000	5,000	0
32	LOCAL COUNTY OPTION HWY/TRANSIT	96,760	110,000	110,000
41	CDBG	0	0	250,000
44	PUBLIC WORKS	2,295,695	42,725	21,205
45	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	84,330	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUES	8,264,660	5,594,732	6,534,820

EXPENDITURE/EXPENSE		FY2025 Actual Year End	FY2026 Estimated Year End	FY2027 TENTATIVE Budget
10	GENERAL	3,753,815	3,228,115	3,349,922
51	UTILITY	2,237,295	2,886,895	2,957,100
21	CLASS C ROADS	377,775	309,640	280,025
22	DISPATCH/ANIMAL CONTROL FEES	23,990	31,650	97,755
23	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	2,575	3,020	2,500
24	LIQUOR CONTROL	19,505	15,000	15,000
25	DARE	1,000	5,610	0
26	YOUTH CITY COUNCIL	175	1,000	1,500
27	MISCELLANEOUS GRANTS	0	0	0
30	RETIREMENT INSURANCE PREMIUM	22,515	5,000	23,000
32	LOCAL COUNTY OPTION HWY/TRANSIT	565	113,000	40,000
41	CDBG	0	0	350,000
44	PUBLIC WORKS	338,970	423,985	994,300
45	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	16,330	34,465	36,025
	TOTAL EXPENDITURE/EXPENSE	6,794,510	7,057,380	8,147,127

	REV/INC OVER EXPEND/EXPENS	1,470,150	(1,462,648)	(1,612,307)
--	-----------------------------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------------