

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD MEETING

Department of Workforce Services
Housing and Community Development Division
Salt Lake City, Utah

MINUTES

April 2, 2026

Members Present

Curtis Wells	Chairman
Jerry Taylor	Five County Association of Governments
Kirt Slauch	State Treasurer
Bill Winfield	Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments - SERDA
Willis LeFevre	Uintah County
Jack Lytle	Uintah Basin Association of Governments
Greg Miles	Duchesne County
Ralph Brown	Sevier County
Laura Hanson	Governor's Office of Planning and Budget
Bruce Adams	State Transportation Commission
Scott Bartholomew	R6 Regional Council

Staff and Visitors

Candace Powers	Housing and Community Development
Heather Poulsen	Housing and Community Development
Paul Moberly	Housing and Community Development
Jennifer Domenici	Housing and Community Development
Brook McCarrick	Attorney General's Office
Brittany Hardy	Department of Workforce Services
Bart Jensen	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Ryan Cook	Uintah Transportation Special Service District
Brock Arnold	Naples City
Michael Davis	Naples City
Brooks Jones	Naples City
Danny Van Wagoner	Castle Dale City
Dale Pollock	Henrieville Town
Diane Ward	Henrieville Town
Kristi Westwood	Circleville Town
Korrin Olson	SERDA
Curtis Nielson	Ensign Engineering
Rowdy Miller	Henrieville Town
Mike Johnson	Five County Association of Governments
Riley Vanes	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Donia Jessop	Hildale City
Theil Cooke	Hildale City
Keith Heaton	Seven County Infrastructure Coalition
Kevin Yack	Uintah Basin Association of Governments
Nate Zilles	Uintah Basin Association of Governments
Carson DeMille	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Marty Palmer	Juab County Fire District
Kristin Miller	Juab County Fire District
Kurtis Park	Juab County Fire District
Parker Vercimak	Jones & DeMille Engineering
Cory Shurtleff	Moab City
Malcolm Nash	Sevier County/Brooklyn Special Service District
Phillip Scott Allen	Town of Altamont

Graham White
Josh Phillip

Brooklyn Special Service District
Town of Altamont

Virtual Attendees- not verified

*Skyler Davies
Christy Dahlberg
Michael Mowes
Tyler Timmons
Rebecca Banner
April Gardner*

*Division of Water Quality
Wasatch Front Regional Council
Housing and Community Development
R6 Regional Council
Department of Workforce Services
Department of Workforce Services*

WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS 9:02 am

- The Permanent Community Impact Fund Board (CIB) Meeting was held on Thursday, April 2, 2026, at 1385 South State Street, SLC, Utah. Chairman Curtis Wells called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.
- Pledge of Allegiance
- Board introductions.
- Welcome Commissioner Willis LeFevre; the new Board member representing Uintah County.
- Thank you Commissioner Ralph Brown for service on the Board representing Sevier County. This will be his last meeting. *(It was also noted that Mrs. Brown provided homemade turnovers for the Board and staff!)*
- Tricia Davis Winter, Director of Housing and Community Development provided a legislative including **HB68** which moves housing and infrastructure programs to GOED; **SB21** Geothermal Amendments concerning geothermal energy ownership and regulation was updated; **HB436** Implements a temporary pause to the majority of moderate income housing reporting for municipalities and counties; **H.C.R. 6** was a formal statement of support for the implementation of the Utah Housing Strategic Plan; **HB492** creates new infrastructure fund and a State Housing Infrastructure Partnership Board; **SB39** streamlines housing zones and tax increment financing; **HB507** sunsets Hops, Fiz, Terz on December 31st, 2027 and the state will transition to a more regionally significant development zone referred to as RSDZ - that model will combine those programs on January 1, 2028; **SB206** creates a statewide tax administration and technology solutions program.
During the session, legislature conducted a broad review of state general fund investments across all state departments to identify potential 5% reductions. The rural single-family Rehab and loan programs were included among many programs that were identified statewide to be cut as part of a broader statewide budget review- certainly not a reflection of the importance or effectiveness of these programs.

BRIEFING - ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

1. Financial Review & Review of Agenda Items

All the applications received for the February application deadline (February 2) are being reviewed at today's meeting. Kaylee Beck, DWS Finance provided a review of the mineral lease revenues. The funds cannot cover all the grant requests which must be allocated from the mineral lease fund to approve the projects as they are presented to you today. Grants and 0% interest loans must be allocated from the mineral lease fund. The Bonus fund can only be loans with an interest. In March the total mineral lease revenue to the State of Utah was only \$28,000; CIB will see about \$7,000 in mineral lease revenue. The bonus receipts were a negative \$40,000. It is difficult to forecast.

There are 8 new projects and 2 supplemental / scope change requests on today's agenda.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES [23:54]

Chairman Wells called for a motion to approve the minutes from the June 4, 2026 meeting.

Jack Lytle made and Bill Winfield seconded a motion to approve the minutes of June 4, 2026 Meeting. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4. NEW PROJECTS [9:46]

4.1 Naples City – 2500 South Road Reconstruction Project (Uintah)

Naples City presented a funding presented a funding assistance request for a \$2,259,000,000 Grant / \$969,000 Loan, 10y @ 0.0% for the 2500 South road reconstruction to include expanding it to a 36-foot asphalt roadway with two 12-foot lanes, an 8-foot shoulder on the north side and a 4-foot shoulder on the south side to include approximately 3,334 cubic yards of granular borrow, 6,667 cubic yards of roadway excavation, 1,667 cubic yards of untreated base course, 200 cubic yards of asphalt pavement spot repair, 1,744 tons of hot mix asphalt, 14 tons of emulsified asphalt, 4,335 linear feet of concrete curb and gutter. 22,000 square feet of concrete sidewalk, 4,667 square yards (1400 feet) of chip seal coat, 8,333 square yards of asphalt pulverization, 12 each manhole reconstruction, 5 each valve box reconstruction, 27 each driveway tie-in, 230 feet of 15-inch drainage pipe, reconstruct 6 each 4X6 combo boxes, 7 each 2X4 catch basins, paint striping, signage, engineering and bonding. All elements to follow American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) safety and design standards.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$2,259,000 Grant / \$969,000 Loan, 10Y @ 0.5%

TOOL MED \$1,129,000 Grant / \$2,099,000 Loan, 10Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$3,228,000 Loan, 10Y @ 4.0%

The applicant stated there are over a hundred oil and gas industries that service their area. They don't have a Main Street; the main street is Highway 40. The highway splits the town. It is a small community of 2,500 people. This corridor is vital. The existing road will be a 22-foot asphalt road, replaced with a 36-foot asphalt road, which includes two 12-foot lanes, 8-foot shoulder on the north side, to accommodate parking, a 4-foot shoulder on the south side, curb gutter, and sidewalk on both sides. The public showed up for the hearing, and they supported a sidewalk on both sides for the kids with a shoulder to allow a bike lane.

Greg Miles made and Jerry Taylor seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$2,259,000,000 grant and a \$969,000 loan for 10y @ 0.0%.

Laura Hanson asked to view the Utah Project Portal before the Board votes to see how this project was ranked.

Bruce Adams asked if there was an interest rate on the loan.

Greg Miles indicated his motion was as requested.

Mr. Slaugh supported the project and suggested an interest rate which would take the loan from the bonus fund and keep the funding within the tool.

Kirt Slaugh made and Laura Hanson seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$2,259,000,000 grant and a \$969,000 loan for 10y @ 0.5%.

Commissioner LeFevre indicated that he had been told that a producing county should be a 0% interest.

Ms. Hanson stated the Board has developed the funding tool and the top consideration is production; Naples received 32 out of 32 possible points for production. The tool is recommending the .5% as the minimum interest rate. Usually the Board uses the tool; not a general rule of 0% for producing county.

Mr. Slaugh stated that others had stated an understanding all loans from Uintah County would be at 0%, but that is not a policy of the CIB board. The Board has discussed how to weigh factors including production.

Commissioner Adams stated he has been on the Board for several years and that assumption has never been the policy of the board. Most counties are producing counties and acknowledged that Uintah County is the

highest producer. Also, as noted in the financial report, most of the available funding is in the bonus account which requires an interest rate.

Commissioner LeFevre indicated in doing his homework prior to the Board meeting, that was the information he received but he understands that it is not a policy. He asked the applicant if they could take the interest rate.

The applicant indicated they would take it.

Ms. Hanson referred to the Utah Project Portal encouraging the Board to utilize the portal for viewing the priority of the projects. Naples has two projects in the short-term portal; this is priority #2. The #1 priority is a trail. The street project is more critical; all the other information in the portal matches the application. She appreciated the applicant's use of the portal.

The Chairman called the question on the substitute motion.

Kirt Slauch made and Laura Hanson seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$2,259,000,000 grant and a \$969,000 loan for 10y @ 0.5%. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4.2 Town of Altamont – Fire Truck Acquisition (Duchesne) [42:40]

The Town of Altamont presented a funding assistance request for a \$658,000 grant for the purchase of a type III Fire Truck. This project consists of the procurement of one new 2026 Freightliner type III fire truck with approximate specifications to include a 4-Door 4x4 Freightliner M2-106, cob and chassis with 1,00 GPM Hole MBP PTO driven pump, auxiliary pump and 500-gal/on water tank for the Altamont Fire Department.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$460,000 Grant / \$198,000 Loan, 15Y @ 0.5%

TOOL MED \$230,000 Grant / \$428,000 Loan, 15Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$658,000 Loan, 15Y @ 4.0%

The applicant indicated they are in the heart of oil country. Most of the residents inside town limits and outside town limits are tied into the oil field one way or another. They are about half a mile wide by half a mile long and have 147 parcels; 23 are commercial. They are requesting a full grant due to economic hardship. They do not have the money to fund this equipment. This is to replace a 1997 fire truck that was purchased used. This is to upgrade to a new truck to meet NFPA standards which recommend that at 15 years a truck be pulled out of frontline service and at 25 years be taken out of service completely. The current truck is 27 years old. The fire district provides fire protection to Altamont; a town of about 250 people and has an agreement with the county for protection of another 2,500 residents. This truck will be one of the frontline pieces of equipment; a Type 3 engine specifically made for rugged, mountainous terrain.

Jack Lytle made and Scott Bartholomew seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$658,000 grant citing a financial hardship exemption.

Commissioner Miles stated he was formerly fire chief of this fire department and in 2012, he flew to Huntsville, Alabama and drove the current Type 3 that Altamont uses cross-country to Altamont. However, in a tiny little Town of Shawnee, Oklahoma, the serpentine belt came apart many miles from home with no tools to fix it. He took out his pocketknife and shaved off the broken parts of the belt, then drove another 40 miles to the closest O'Reilly's. They let him borrow some tools and he slapped a new belt on the truck and came home; it is an old truck. He expressed appreciation for what the fire departments do in the communities.

Ms. Hanson noted that in the Utah Project Portal, this project is Altamont's #1 priority and what is entered into the portal matches the application request.

Commissioner Miles expressed appreciation for this entity and their ability to work things out on their own

noting they have only been to CIB three times in their existence. A lot of other entities come every year.

Mr. Slauch suggested an interest rate to be consistent. The Board could make financial hardship exemptions for every applicant noting it's not easy anywhere in rural Utah. He encouraged the Board to give a little bit more credence to the tool. The Board has worked on the tool and needs to be conscious of the fund while giving entities the most they can. Every project can't be outside the tool, or the tool has no meaning. He noted he was going to make a substitute motion but then only suggested the board not be so quick to jump outside the tool.

Chairman Wells acknowledged the point made by Treasurer Slauch but noted this applicant is in the belly of the beast of production and the support of oil and gas does matter. Though financial hardship is a case that many communities can make.

Commissioner Miles appreciated the comments made by the good treasurer's designee but there are real impacts in areas of production. Hundreds of families rely on industry, 73% of the roads are impacted by production, water systems, fire departments, EMS, search and rescue. There should be some leverage given to these communities that are in the middle of it.

Ms. Hanson noted that the tool does factor in production and Altamont received the maximum number of points for production in the tool. The Board spent a lot of time talking about how to weight production and it is the highest because everyone agrees.

Chairman Wells called the question to approve as requested.

Jack Lytle made and Scott Bartholomew seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB funding meeting as a \$658,000 grant citing a financial hardship exemption. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4.3 Castle Dale City – All Abilities Park (Emery) [57:67]

Castle Dale City presented a funding assistance request for \$300,200 grant for an all-abilities park project. This project consists of a 122 foot 6 inch X 79 foot 6 inch all ability park to be located at 200 East 8th North in Castle Dale to include a Main Kids Choice Ramped Structure, a Cyclocone Plus Climber Spinner, Jumping Bean, Ten Spin, Mm Momentum Corridor, Mm Dynamics Lab, Concerto 5-Congas, Concerto Tall Chimes, Concerto Vibes, Tots Choice Structure, 5-Inch OD Arch Swings, 3.5 Bay, 2 Tots, 2 Belts, 1 Inclusive, 1 Multi-User Seat, Miracle Machine- Gooaaaalll, Miracle Machine- Curiosity Thrilled the Cat, Miracle Machine- My Cup Of Tea, Mini City Playhouse, Frog Climber, Mini City Sensory Garden, Double Sided, Magical Musical and A-Maze-ing Inserts, 8,725 square feet of poured in place rubber, 8-foot fall height, compacted stone base preparation, installation, permitting and bonding. [Property donation: \\$70,000; Community Donations \\$269,480.](#)

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$239,200 Grant / \$61,000 Loan, 30Y @ 0.5%

TOOL MED \$119,200 Grant / \$181,000 Loan, 30Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$301,000 Loan, 30Y @ 4.0%

The applicant indicated the \$339,000 applicant cash comes from grandparents, a community of cities, the County and a 9-year-old boy who saw a friend playing baseball. The friend has [Duchenne muscular dystrophy](#) but he loves baseball. Last year he couldn't put his heels down and ran on his tippy toes. This year he's in a wheelchair. The 9-year-old boy asked his mom about it, and she explained he's got a disease. That's why they're building a park for kids that have a disability. This 9-year-old raised \$6,000 in one day. They also put on a Luau at the swimming pool which is where this all abilities park will be built. At the one-day event there is a luau, swimming, fishing games etc. He also stated that one of the old farmers who has twin sons both with disabilities said with tears in his eyes that he is so glad they do this because if it wasn't for this, his kids wouldn't leave the house all summer long. He wants to provide something in southeastern Utah; there are 7 different cities and towns in Emery County on the west side. All the mayors from those cities have expressed their support and have raised nearly \$300 thousand dollars. The applicant is requesting \$300,000 in grant funding to finish this off.

Commissioner Adams indicated he has known Mayor Van Wagoner for 10 years and he is always willing to serve. He has had heart surgeries in the last year, and he is now working on a park for disabled kids. He commended the mayor for having raised half the cost for the all abilities park.

Bruce Adams made and Jerry Taylor seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$300,200 grant.

Commissioner Winfield stated he knows Mayor Van Wagoner and the work he does and the people he helps. His health issues were a great concern to many. He loves helping people.

Commissioner LeFevre indicated that a world-class all wheels park was built in the Vernal City area, and it is one of the best things in their community. It pulls people together and is a place for kids of all kinds to go and enjoy. He supports the project, loved the story and appreciates the heart and willingness to help.

Ms. Hanson expressed her appreciation for Mayor Van Wagoner and this amazing project. She is an urban planner, and these types of projects bring communities together. She referred to the Utah Project Portal which shows Castle Dale has a street project listed as the next priority. This funding is outside the tool, and the Board needs to move some money into an interest-bearing loan which is where the available revenue is. She loves the park but there are critical needs like water and fire trucks to fund. She offered a substitute motion at the minimum funding tool recommendation; a \$239,200 grant and a \$61,000 loan for 30 years at 0.5%. She noted Emery County has been a producing county and the funding tool captures that. The funding tool shows 24 of 32 points for production.

Staff noted that a \$61,000 loan might be expensive as it requires additional costs to issue a bond.

Mr. Slauch would have seconded a motion at the suggested tool minimum but does not want to make an inefficient transaction. He suggested in the future, if a minimum reasonable loan should be \$100,000, then the funding tool should not recommend a lower loan placing the Board in a situation like this.

The Board could offer more funding to cover the costs of a loan as bonding is an eligible expense.

Commissioner Taylor indicated he is impressed they have come with 53% of the funding for this project.

Ms. Hanson noted the contribution is taken into consideration in the funding tool as well; 8 of 10 points for that.

Commissioner Adams stated this is a special circumstance as they are contributing half the cost of the project through various forms of fund raising.

Commissioner Lytle referred to the funding tool scoring and indicated this project is rated very highly. He supports the request and feels the Board is consistent in funding the request where they are contributing 53% of the cost.

Commissioner Bartholomew respectfully offered a comment noting the Board spends a gazillion hours on small requests; this project request is for \$300,000. Yet the Board will approve millions of dollars with little or no discussion. With respect to the tool-it's a tool. If the Board is going to use it every single time, then just use it and the Board can go home.

Chairman Wells acknowledged the comments and called the question.

Bruce Adams made and Jerry Taylor seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$300,200 grant citing a financial hardship exemption. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

Break 10:15 am [1:13:57]

4.4 Moab City – Spanish Valley Culinary Storage Water Tank (Grand) [1:14:55]

Moab City presented a funding assistance request for a \$500,000 Grant / \$2,500,000 Loan, 20y @ 1.5% (total \$3,000,000) for the Spanish Valley Culinary Storage Water Tank. This project consists of the construction of a new 1.5 million gallon cast-in-place reinforced concrete water storage tank to support 2,256 culinary water connections to include a concrete valve vault, Inlet and outlet piping (assumed 16-inch diameter at 30% design; final sizing to be confirmed during hydraulic modeling), new chlorination station, piping and distribution system connections, electrical, instrumentation, telemetry, and SCADA integration, earthwork, access improvements, site security, associated valves, flow control structures, and appurtenances, engineering and bonding. \$897,727 applicant cash; \$200,000 in-kind land; GOEO Grant \$1,714,000.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$2,100,000 Grant / \$900,000 Loan, 30Y @ 0.5%

TOOL MED \$1,050,000 Grant / \$1,950,000 Loan, 30Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$3,000,000 Loan, 30Y @ 4.0%

The applicant indicated the City of Moab is proposing to construct a new 1.5-million-gallon reinforced concrete culinary water storage tank, and an associated on-site chlorination station to address the existing water storage deficiencies, improve system resiliency, and prepare for long-term growth and emergency demands. The Moab Water Distribution Storage Master Plan identified the need to increase that storage capacity and ensure adequate services for both, existing, those future water users. The project is located on a 3.3-acre city-owned property in Grand County. The chlorination station is upstream of the tank which will be partially buried to improve visual integrity and thermal protection. This improves our equalization of storage, emergency response capacities, and fire suppression and creates support for the shutdown of other tanks due to maintenance or emergencies. The tentative start date is late summer to early fall of this year and would be completed in approximately 33 months. The total cost is estimated to be \$5,611,772 for approximately 5,000 residents, 2,200 culinary water connections, 4,000 other residents of Grand County and some residents of San Juan County. This project has been identified as a critical need to support the MOAB area community and others who visit the region.

Chairman Wells thanked Mr. Shurtleff for his presentation. He indicated that CIB has authorized funding for approximately \$25 million in Moab City projects. He noted that he is a resident. Moab City has a very consistent and well-demonstrated record of opposing all production of oil and gas and coal on public lands. The CIB funding tool shows a score of 16 out of 32 on production; that production comes from the unincorporated areas in the county, as well as the ranking for public lands. He expressed concern with continuing to fund projects for the City of Moab and especially with grants as the community continues litigating and protesting and advocating for the removal of production on public lands, both in southeastern Utah and the rest of Utah. He noted his involvement in the local government of his community for about the past 15 years, and it is his opinion that the City consistently elects or hosts environmental activists and individuals that have created a nucleus of anti-state and anti-business policy of multiple use and production on public lands. They protest production in the region and outside of it. He noted on their website there are resolutions and presentations opposing all energy development in the region. In the early 2000's, during the oil and gas boom they had the hottest producing well in the lower 48 for just under a year. That oil field has been the most heavily regulated oil field in the history of the United States of America, and they're still not happy with it. The CIB recently funded the Kane Creek Boulevard project which appears to be the most expensive mile of road the CIB has ever financed; it includes a million dollars in landscaping. CIB struggles with grant funding opportunities for communities. If the institution of the CIB is going to be protested, insulted or disrespected, there should be a conversation and some merit behind their request for CIB funding. He cited that CIB receives plaques, thanks, respect and acknowledgement of where the revenue comes from in many communities where CIB finances projects. Moab does not acknowledge the CIB on their project signs. The mayor has provided op-eds outlining all the projects they've done; they're CIB-funded projects to include Kane Creek [blvd], 400 East, the road to USU Moab and the CIB built and financed Moab City Hall. They fight the producing counties and network with environmental activists. He has a fiduciary responsibility to defend the CIB. Elected leaders know that they should be here today but did not attend. It is suggested that there be legislation and a resolution affirming support for oil and gas and coal and acknowledge CIB's involvement in all

their projects. He will prepare a letter to Moab and send it to the board for review. It is further recommended to deny funding for this project and to have a more constructive conversation with elected leadership from the City of Moab.

Commissioner Bartholomew acknowledged the situation and offered a motion to deny.

Commissioner LeFevre also acknowledged the issue and suggested perhaps funding as an interest-bearing loan and no grant funding until they meet the board's suggested criteria.

Chairman Wells indicated that there needs to be a community conversation back in Moab about who's financing and subsidizing the poor financial decisions in Moab and the way to do that is to send some constructive direction.

Treasurer Slauch stated that even with a 4% interest rate, it is subsidized funding versus the bank financing.

Commissioner Miles seconded the motion to deny.

Commissioner Adams suggested placing it on the pending list and then asking the leadership to come back to discuss the issues. He noted Mr. Shurtleff is not an elected official but expressed appreciation for his willingness to present.

Chairman Wells indicated Mr. Shurtleff is not being asked to answer to policy decisions. There is a need to have an important conversation *with elected officials*. They can always reapply if they meet those requests; it would be a surprise if the City of Moab can pass a resolution to support oil and gas. Moab does not want to acknowledge CIB on the local level; if you were just a standard citizen, you'd think this is being financed through sales tax.

Commissioner Taylor referenced Garfield County which has about 5,200 residents and they are 93% federally owned. He was mayor of Escalante. Without CIB, Escalante would not have running water, a clinic, a dental office, a community center, an operating room in the Panguitch Hospital. CIB is important to rural Utah. Garfield County produces a little bit of oil and gas but could produce more if they opened it up [for production]. He stands with the Chairman.

Commissioner Miles supported everything that has been said. It was interesting in the application they indicated they really didn't have any impacts from oil and gas extraction. There was some mention of UDOT roads; thank you, UDOT, for maintaining the roads. Oil and gas are where CIB funds come from. Grand County's number 9 in production. The revenue from Grand County was \$4.8 million. Grand County received CIB funding in the amount of \$ 11,007,092; a return of \$4.24 for every \$1.00. It is troubling for mineral lease funds to fund an entity that fights oil and gas at every turn.

Commissioner Winfield expressed concern for Mr. Shurtleff; the conversation should be with leadership. He expressed support but Mr. Shurtleff should not be asked to deliver this message.

Chairman Wells noted he will write a letter and that Mr. Shurtleff is not being asked to respond to policy decisions.

Commissioner Lytle noted that the Division of Drinking Water did not provide any funding to this project and that the Rural Development funding timeline was not good for the water. The application noted USDA defined additional sources for financing, but discussions suggest that funding would not occur within the timeline that is needed for the project.

Mr. Shurtleff indicated that is correct in that they are coordinating with GOEO with strict construction deadlines. This project will support those that are within the city limits and within Grand County for essential services for water and fire suppression. This is about the people. He expressed his respect for the board and the

comments made, but that is his testimony to the project and thanked the Board for the time.

Chairman Wells noted the CIB has limited funds and revenue is in decline. The Board's mandate is to appropriate these funds to impacted communities. He does not like to be in this position and it isn't fun for anybody but there comes a point where a line is drawn; to have a frank conversation about where this revenue comes from. The working relationship is being harmed and is at an all-time low. The Kane Creek funding of \$11 million is the most [CIB] funding that Grand County or the city has ever received. The elected leadership in Moab should note how they build roads in Duchesne and Uintah County, where the production comes from. They don't spend millions on landscaping with custom lighting. They do support the industry that this funding comes from. CIB is here to help the citizens and has a fiduciary responsibility. He indicated he has been working for several years to have a better working relationship with the City of Moab concerning CIB funds but it continues to get worse.

The Chairman called the question.

Scott Bartholomew made and Greg Miles seconded a motion to deny the funding for the Spanish Valley Culinary Storage Water Tank at this time. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

The Chairman will prepare a letter for Board approval clarifying the Board's decision which will be sent to the City of Moab.

Commissioner Miles stated that the Board has requested that projects be presented by someone who could talk with authority; [an elected official]. This discussion should have been heard by someone in a decision-making capacity; that is who needs to come to present.

4.5 Town of Henrieville – Culinary Water Improvements 2026 (Garfield) [1:38:33]

The Town of Henrieville presented a funding assistance request for a \$3,036,000 Grant for culinary water improvements. This project consists of equipping the existing well to include a new well pump and controls, site grading and preparation, control panel and variable frequency drive, SCADA controls, installing a new 4-inch transmission line from well to filtration building, upsizing culinary water distribution lines with 8" culinary water pipe, replacing faulty fire hydrants, flushing and cleaning main line, replacing faulty gate valves, new service connections, upgrading disinfection and filtration system to include removal of existing chlorinator and existing iron filtration system and installing new chlorination and filtration systems and controls, new culinary water meters and master meters on existing horizontal well, roadway repairs, NEPA permitting, easements, water rights, fencing, engineering and bonding.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$2,125,000 Grant / \$911,000 Loan, 30Y @ 0.5%

TOOL MED \$1,062,000 Grant / \$1,974,000 Loan, 30Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$3,036,000 Loan, 30Y @ 4.0%

The applicant indicated that in 2002, Henrieville had a catastrophic failure in their main water system. The Division of Drinking Water helped with an emergency loan to fix that line, and they were also drilled a secondary well. In 2016 the lines coming from the water tank to the town separated; the lines filled with mud and rocks causing continuing issues with production. In 2022 Henrieville received a \$117,500 grant for well improvements to place a fence and build a well house. They subsequently updated their water master plan and returned the CIB grant funding for the fencing and well house. The project today is to redo the entire culinary water system in Henrieville Town including fire hydrants and updated water meters. The only source of income is their water system. They are currently using old mag meters; new meters will be more accurate. It was noted that presenting this request for \$3,036,000 is easier than increasing the water rates from a whole whopping \$32 a month to \$37 a month and decreasing the amount of gallons allotted; the increase is incremental through 2029; an increase of \$2 a year and decrease the bottom tier by 1,000 gallons.

Commissioner Adams asked about the number of water connections and water rates.

The applicant indicated there are 230 connections. This is the third year of the rate increase, and it is \$37.000.

The rate goes up incrementally by usage.

Ms. Hanson commended Henrieville for considering a rate increase noting it is difficult to do. It is good planning and is easier incrementally. She referred to the Utah Project Portal. Henrieville has this one project listed. Henrieville is a small community with little revenue other than the water utility.

Laura Hanson made and Jerry Taylor seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$3,036,000 grant citing a financial hardship exemption.

Treasurer Slauch indicated the funding tool recommends a \$911,000 loan for 30Y @ 0.5% with an annual payment of \$32,700 and asked if the rate increase would cover that payment and asked how many residents live in Henrieville.

The applicant indicated the loan would not be affordable. There are 230 residents and 125 connections.

Treasurer Slauch noted this is an expensive project - \$120 per hookup.

The applicant indicated that this project would also allow Henrieville to tie-in to the secondary water source which would satisfy the Division of Drinking Water requirements. The current loan payment of \$14,000 a year is the max they can afford.

Commissioner Miles indicated that he was on a water board for 12 years and understands the difficulties. He commended their public hearing minutes noting there were comments stating they could take a little loan and asked if they could take some loan funding with a deferred first payment.

The applicant indicated they could with a deferral until 2029 with a maximum loan of \$350,000 to keep the payment at \$14,000 which is the existing payment.

Commissioner Winfield stated that a \$37 a month water fee seems extremely low and is a huge bargain, Utah is starving for water; a \$30 to \$40 water rate is very low, and many small communities are faced with this same situation in Utah. He supports the project, but water and water rates are a much bigger problem, and he does not know how we address it.

Commissioner Lytle noted the DDW requirements in their review suggesting those requirements be included in the motion.

Laura Hanson made and Jerry Taylor seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$3,036,000 grant citing a financial hardship exemption and the requirement that the plans and specs for the project be reviewed by DDW prior to advertising for bids. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4.6 Hildale City – Water Improvements – Back Up Well (Washington) [1:55:07]

Hildale City presented a funding assistance request for a \$4,745,000 Grant for water system improvements to include a back-up well. This project consists of \$699,400 for well drilling and testing, \$512,000 for well equipping and connection to mainline, well pump building and appurtenances, SCADA, power connection and construction staking, \$111,000 for distribution system improvements, \$30,000 for land acquisition, \$213,000 for engineering and \$10,000 for bonding. This project consists of developing a new well on a privately owned parcel in Water Canyon including a pump station, chlorination system, treatment building, and pipeline connection to the existing distribution system; Rehabilitation of the Powerplant Well located in an industrial area with limited public access to improve water quality and reliability to include the installation of new pumps and controls, a new treatment building, and a pump test to verify performance. The site is currently owned by the city, though expansion may be required depending on the final treatment design; A new Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system will be installed to include hardware, software, a workstation,

and backup systems to enable real-time monitoring and control of pressures, flows, and well performance; Water Meter Replacement and Radio Upgrades to include replacing 10% of the city's ageing meters, installing radio transmitters on all meters for remote reading; mapping and documentation of meter locations will also be included.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$2,372,000 Grant / \$2,373,000 Loan, 30Y @ 1.0%

TOOL MED \$1,186,000 Grant / \$3,559,000 Loan, 30Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$4,745,000 Loan, 30Y @ 4.0%

The applicant indicated the funding request is to drill two new wells. Hildale received just over \$3 million about 18 months ago to secure their spring water and that project is finishing up. They will be hosting an event on June 27th with a band to show what Hildale has done with that money. That project was the precursor to this project. There is a severe deficit for water. There is water up in the canyon and Hildale has worked with a private landowner who is leasing his land for 50 years at \$3,500 a year to drill a new well. They are also going to rehabilitate the Power Plant well that has been shut off for several years. Hildale currently has a deficit of 400 gallons per minute. It was noted that the mayor's water bill is about \$200 to \$285 a month. Hildale has implemented a 3-year rate increase. The project also includes SCADA. There are currently manual and electronic meters; most of the meters are very old and need to be updated.

Commissioner Miles asked if the mayor's water rate is typical. What is the base rate and per gallon charge?

The applicant indicated the base is \$60. They set the rate during the winter during the lowest use, and it's still at a \$60 base rate. The infrastructure is old and they have a joint utility system with Colorado City, Arizona. The wells that are producing wells are in Arizona and have PFAS, arsenic, and radium. They mix between the wells and can only use so much out of each well. The new wells will be spring water from up in the canyons to include into the water system.

Commissioner Bartholomew noted they will be leasing the site for the wells and asked if they own the water rights?

The applicant indicated they have all the legal documentation in place for the land and they do own the water rights. The lease will be reviewed every 5 years and there may be an increase. At 50 years the lease will automatically be re-upped.

Treasurer Slaugh stated these are some very significant water projects and acknowledged the need for the community. Hildale is in Washington County, which is not a producer of oil and gas and other minerals which is a consideration for CIB funding. What kind of other funding sources have been pursued through other state or federal programs for this significant water development? He stated water projects are very needed projects, but CIB can't be the funding source for all water projects.

The applicant indicated that they have pursued different grants and loan opportunities in Arizona, on the Arizona side for the production of the wells in Arizona using State Revolving Funds (SRF). They have also secured some SRF funds in Utah for a low-pressure portion of the system. There are more projects anticipated that will be submitted to DDW. There is a tremendous need; not one entity can provide all the financial support. They are pursuing several different avenues. This project is predominantly the well sources, the SCADA and meters. The DDW loan is to boost the pressure in the system. They noted Washington County is not a producer, but this is a tremendous need.

Ms. Hanson stated the DDW revolving loan fund is a blend of state funding and federal funding. She referred to the UPP which listed large projects for Hildale.

Commissioner LeFevre noted the request is for all grant funding. He referred to the 'rainbow sheet' showing the revenue and awards from CIB. Washington County has been awarded a lot versus their revenue.

Commissioner Miles noted the same concerns. He suggested funding at the tool minimum. Though that may

be tough to accommodate, the board should preserve the funding as much as possible. The tool minimum is \$2,372,000 grant and a \$2,373,000 loan for 30 years at 1%.

Greg Miles made and Willis LeFevre seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$2,372,000 grant and a \$2,373,000 loan for 30 years at 1% (total \$4,745,000).

Treasurer Slauch asked how many connections they have and what that rate would be.

The Division of Drinking Water review shows a monthly water rate funded at 50/50 shows a higher interest rate and a \$48.51 base rate.

Hildale indicated their current rate is approximately \$60 per month. The rate increase was after the Division of Drinking water review. Hildale has 220 of the total 650 connections on the system. All the producing wells are all in Arizona.

The Chairman called the question.

Greg Miles made and Willis LeFevre seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$2,372,000 grant and a \$2,373,000 loan for 30 years at 1% (total \$4,745,000). This funding is subject to the DDW requirement that plans and specifications for the project must be reviewed and approved by the Division of Drinking Water prior to advertising the project for bids. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4.7 Juab Special Service Fire District – Fire Truck Acquisition (Juab) [2:14:34]

Juab Special Service Fire District presented a funding assistance request for a \$755,464 Grant / \$767,000 Loan, 15y @ 0.5% (total \$1,522,464) for a new fire truck. The project consists of the purchase of a new Rosenbauer foot Viper Aerial fire truck with Rosenbauer Commander chassis ladder truck to enhance fire protection capabilities throughout Juab County.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$608,464 Grant / \$914,000 Loan, 15Y @ 1.5%
TOOL MED \$304,464 Grant / \$1,218,000 Loan, 15Y @ 3.0%
TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$1,523,000 Loan, 15Y @ 4.0%

The applicant stated the communities experience steady growth with no anticipation of a reduction in the rate of growth to include multi-story residential development, new hotels and expanding industrial operations. They recently approved a power plant and critical infrastructure that now requires vertical response capability. The request is to fund the purchase of a 109-foot aerial ladder truck for the Juab County Fire District which serves Nephi City and the surrounding communities. The existing aerial apparatus is aging, costly to maintain and no longer dependable for long-term service. The wildland fire program will provide the revenue source for repayment without placing additional burden on taxpayers. This will ensure the firefighters have the tools that they need to respond effectively.

Bruce Adams made a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$755,464 grant and a \$767,000 loan for 15y @ 0.5% (total \$1,522,464).

Commissioner Bartholomew suggested the funding tool minimum; a \$608,000 grant and a \$914,000 loan for 15 years @ 1.5%.

The applicant indicated it would be tough.

Ms. Hanson suggested extending the terms from 15 years to 20 years.

The applicant stated the apparatus will be outdated and at the end of its lifetime at 20 years, so they requested a 15-year term.

Treasurer Slaugh indicated that Juab County is low in terms of mineral production revenue. Though there are other factors involved, it is problematic to approve this project outside the recommended funding tool range.

The applicant noted Juab County has the largest beryllium mine in the world which produces 75-80% of the beryllium used in defense but they do not collect a lot of money - the point of sale is in Millard County, so Millard County collects that revenue though the mine is in Juab County.

Commissioner Bartholomew seconded the original motion.

Bruce Adams made and Scott Bartholomew seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$755,464 grant and a \$767,000 loan for 15y @ 0.5% (total \$1,522,464).

Commissioner LeFevre acknowledged it is an important project but struggled with the funding as requested.

Greg Miles made and Jack Lytle seconded a substitute motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$608,464 grant and a \$914,000 loan for 15Y @ 1.5%. The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

4.8 Town of Circleville – Spring Redevelopment (Piute) [2:22:54]

The Town of Circleville presented a funding assistance request for a \$1,200,000 grant for a spring redevelopment project. This project consists of the redevelopment of Wade Canyon Springs to include removal of deteriorated or obsolete spring infrastructure, excavation and stabilization of spring collection areas to improve yield, protect water quality, and enhance structural integrity, installation of new spring collection and containment materials, including spring boxes, gravel packs, liners, and protective structures to meet Utah Division of Drinking Water standards, fencing, vault covers, and sanitary seals, installation of new conveyance piping and valves to integrate the spring source into the municipal culinary water system, site restoration, erosion control, backfill, grading, revegetation, and surface drainage improvements, environmental assessment, design, engineering, construction administration, testing, disinfection, and bonding.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$600,000 Grant / \$600,000 Loan, 30Y @ 1.0%

TOOL MED \$300,000 Grant / \$900,000 Loan, 30Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$1,200,000 Loan, 30Y @ 4.0%

The applicant stated this project is for the redevelopment of the Wade Canyon Spring which was one of the original water sources for the community. They had two springs; Cottonwood and Wade Canyon. Cottonwood Spring is still functioning, but Wade Canyon is no longer functioning at a rate that is sustainable. It's collected in two different areas and one of the areas where it's collected has a contamination issue testing high for total chloroform. They can't put the water in their system. At the other collection site, the infrastructure is old and is losing a lot of water. The estimate of water loss that should be available is about 60% of that water. They have placed water restrictions for the community. This project will help Circleville get more sustainable, safe drinking water.

Commissioner Brown indicated Sevier County is a shoulder county to Piute County. He understands the situation that Circleville is in. He moved to fund as requested citing an emergency response exemption for community health, safety, and welfare.

Ralph Brown made and Bruce Adams seconded a motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$1,200,000 grant citing an emergency response exemption.

Commissioner Miles referred to their water shortage and asked if they have an increasing block rate or a conservation rate in place.

The applicant indicated they do not. They have been discussing some sort of change in water rates. The base rate is \$26 per month, and they allow up to 20,000 gallons before an overage charge. The discussions include reducing the amount of gallons before an overage.

Commissioner Miles referred to serving on a culinary water board. He noted that Circleville has not done all they can to conserve and preserve without an increasing block rate and a way to curb usage. He offered a substitute motion at the funding tool minimum which may facilitate the discussion of rates with residents.

Greg Miles made and Laura Hanson seconded a substitute motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$600,000 grant and a \$600,000 loan for 30Y @ 1.0% (total \$1,200,000).

Commissioner Adams noted that Piute County has a lack of revenue. He was not sure they could afford a loan. He does want them to facilitate conservation rates. They don't have any commercial property in the county so it's going to be difficult for them.

Commissioner Miles is not in opposition to Commissioner Brown's motion but noted people pay more for their cell phone plan than they do for their water.

Commissioner Brown recalled Piute County is decreasing in population and that as a reason they need help.

Commissioner Lytle indicated he is from the other smallest county in the state and understands the difficulties in the small communities. Piute is not a rich county, but some responsibility must be taken for the water rates and being able to afford and maintain the system in the community. It is going to cost a little more to have water. The board is sensitive to the economic difficulties of being a small county. Water rates need to come up enough to maintain the system.

Treasurer Slauch stated that when they have adjusted water rates, but the economics still don't work, the board may be more open to additional grant funding. If the project is funded as requested, the difficulty in implementing water rate increases continues.

Commissioner Taylor asked if they could afford a loan.

The applicant indicated that right now a loan would be difficult.

Commissioner Miles indicated that funding as requested with 100% grant is not incentive to adjust the water rates and Circleville will continue to need financial assistance. The town can help their own situation by adjusting the rates; the board is trying to help and acknowledged that rate increases can be painful but needed.

The applicant expressed appreciation for the feedback.

Commissioner Miles stated the motion is to fund the project at the minimum. The applicant can go back and present the offer to constituents noting they are only paying \$26 a month without an increasing block rate. The situation needs to change. Their homework is to present the offer then before the funding meeting, the terms can be finalized.

Treasurer Slauch suggested they change the base volume; 20,000 gallons is extremely high.

The applicant referred to a water master plan they conducted a couple years ago which included a good plan for rate increases and they will revisit that conversation.

The Chairman called the question.

Greg Miles made and Laura Hanson seconded a substitute motion to place this project on the Priority List for possible funding at the June 4, 2026 CIB Funding Meeting as a \$600,000 grant and a \$600,000 loan for 30Y @ 1.0% (total \$1,200,000). *This funding is subject to the DDW requirement that plans and specifications for the project must be reviewed and approved by the Division of Drinking Water prior to advertising the project for bids.* The motion carried with the chairman abstaining.

Break 2:38:42

5. Infrastructure Projects N/A

6. Pending Projects N/A

7. Supplemental Requests [2:39:33]

7.1 Uintah Transportation Special Service District – Redwash Road Imp- Supplemental funding [2:38:42]

Uintah Transportation Special Service District presented a supplemental funding assistance request for a \$4,892,000 grant for the Redwash Road Improvement project.

The original project was funded in April 2022 as a \$3,532,000.00 grant

Applicant cash \$3,000,000.

Uintah County \$2,000,000 Class B Road Funds.

Total \$8,532,000.

This project consists of 4.4 miles of roadway design, realignment, drainage, pavement, shoulder improvements and rights of way to the north end of Redwash Road to meet AASHTO standards. The existing roadway will be realigned, pavement pulverized, and the milled asphalt and base materials will be mixed, compacted and utilized in the sub-grade of the new roadway, additional imported granular borrow will be utilized as the sub-base of the new roadway with untreated base course as the base of the roadway. Hot mix asphalt will be placed as the surface course of the roadway. A chip seal coat is anticipated the year following the reconstruction of the roadway and is included in the project cost. AASHTO standard paint striping, and signage for improved safety and functionality.

**Proposed funding tool loan/grant scenario:*

TOOL MIN \$2,935,000 Grant / \$1,957,000 Loan, 15Y @ 1.0%

TOOL MED \$1,467,000 Grant / \$3,425,000 Loan, 15Y @ 2.5%

TOOL MAX \$ Grant / \$4,892,000 Loan, 15Y @ 4.0%

The applicant provided a brief history of the Redwash Road reconstruction project. The road is a major collector roadway that links US40 just east of Jensen to the Bonanza Highway which is State Road 45. It provides access to many oil and gas operations. This is a key road for those operations and accesses the Bonanza Power Plant, agricultural and residential traffic. The project received initial funding in 2022. Some cutouts were needed to bring this road up to safety standards. There have been some challenges and setbacks. The area had some wildlife; the yellow-billed cuckoo was noted and during the previous administration they put everybody on hold giving attention to Bears Ears, San Rafael Swell and other issues. During the process it was noted that they needed to have some conversations with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service concerning the wildlife. The culverts were undersized, so they all needed to be replaced. Permitting took a lot of time as well as some final approvals. The scope of the project has not changed. The cost has subsequently increased. The road construction costs came in at \$11,373,733.50 so the supplemental funding request is for a \$4,892,000 grant. It has also been determined that the best application is a Highly Modified Hot Mix Asphalt (HMHMA) which increased the cost by just over \$400,000. The applicant stated the original request included a \$3 million contribution from UTSSD and \$2 million from Uinah County's B&C Road Funds.

Commissioner Adams clarified that the 2022 CIB funding was a \$3,532,000.00 grant.

Commissioner LeFevre indicated the oil fields are the heart and these important roads are the arteries; without this road, much of the commerce would not happen.

Commissioner Adams recalled the Seep Ridge Road project to which CIB contributed a lot (\$57,559,000 since 2009) and asked if they were still using Seep Ridge Road.

Commissioner LeFevre indicated they use it all the time, but Seep Ridge is in a different section of the county.

The applicant referenced the 3 main roads; the Seep Ridge, Bonanza Highway and Redwash Road.

Commissioner LeFevre noted the Stanton Road ties Rangeley Oil Field with other oil fields and is another artery. Key roads within Uintah County are the infrastructure that enables production. He commended the Transportation District utilizing HMMMA; a new product which may provide 6-10 years to the life of the road; the upgrade of \$400,000 money well spent.

Commissioner Miles provided an update on the rail project; the Surface Transportation Board is to reinstate their authority. Some environmental information had to be updated because of the long delay and a little bit of engineering to be completed. Upon approval, the alignment will be refined.

Ms. Hanson referred to the project portal which shows a lot of UTSSD projects and noted the project being discussed today has been moved as completed in anticipation of today's funding. Stanton Road is on the list and it was noted that the rail will not reduce the need for road funding. She asked about the status of the other cash pledged for this project.

The applicant indicated the other cash is still allocated to the project.

Commissioner Taylor asked if there is additional funding coming from the other sources as well as CIB.

The applicant indicated they are only seeking additional funding from CIB.

Commissioner Miles indicated the original scope included chip seal; now the scope includes HMMMA which is a good choice for heavy truck traffic.

The applicant stated because of the increasing costs they excluded the chip seal. The chip seal is planned as a maintenance item on the county side at some point.

Commissioner Miles referred to the CIB funding a water line for this road as well.

The applicant indicated the water project was spawned by the road project in a tight area between the river and the hillside. There have been multiple water leaks there and since there is to be a new road, they wanted to replace the water line under the road before paving the new road project. The water line needs to go in the hillside that is just being cut out.

Commissioner Miles asked if the water district is contributing to the reparations on the road?

The applicant indicated the water district was not planning to do the project at this time, but it did need to be done they approached UTSSD with their own project for the waterline portion. The road and the water project were bid at the same time and tied together so that the same contractor was responsible for both. The lowest combined price is how they chose the contractor. There was a cost savings.

Commissioner Lytle referenced the original CIB funding was all grant in the amount of \$3,532,000 with \$3 million from the district and \$2 million from the county. Perhaps the district and the county contribute additional funding as CIB is \$1.1 million short in grant revenue. He also suggested the shortfall be allocated as a loan.

Treasurer Slauch noted that a \$1,100,000 loan was still less than the funding tool minimum.

Commissioner Lytle acknowledged it was below the minimum but there is insufficient grant funding. CIB has already provided grant funding and there is not enough funding for the total grant request. The project is underway so CIB can award some loan funding to keep the project moving. It would also put more of their

'skin in the game'. Or another alternative is to fund at the funding tool minimum. He cited his exemption is special circumstances.

The applicant stated the project is underway and reminded the board that they contributed \$5 million to the project; a pretty big contribution and requested that the funding be a full grant.

The Chairman noted the motion on the table has been seconded by Willis LeFevre and called the question.

Jack Lytle made and Willis LeFevre seconded a motion to fund the supplemental request as a \$3,792,000 grant and a \$1,100,000 loan for 15Y @ 1.0% (total \$4,892,000) citing a special circumstances exemption. The motion carried with Greg Miles absent for the vote and the chairman abstaining.

7.2 Brooklyn Special Service District – Culinary Water Improvements – Change of Scope (Sevier) [3:12:05]

Brooklyn Special Service District presented a request to add items to the original scope of work.

This project was reviewed on April 3, 2025; funded June 2025 as a \$4,355,000 Grant.

The original scope of work for water system improvements included replacing all undersized transmission and distribution pipes with adequately sized pipe to include 3 each system master meters on the Brooklyn Tapline system, 172 each new water meters, 15,200 linear feet of culinary service line, 3,900 square yards of Class "A" road repair, 4,500 square yards of class "C" road repair, 100 square yards of class "D" road repair, 56 each fire hydrants, 28,300 linear feet of 8 inch PVC pipe, 8,100 linear feet of 10 inch PVC pipe, 80 each moling/long side hookups, 4 each canal crossings, 2 each road jack and bore, 60 each 8 inch gate valves, 10 each 10 inch gate valves, purchasing the 2019 pipe and fire hydrants in Elsinore Town. This project will provide the required flows within the public right-of-way and connect new distribution lines back to the existing home service lines along Brooklyn Road, and 1400 South and looping the south end of the system (Sierra Vista Road and 900 N), engineering and bonding. \$500,000 applicant cash

The applicant's request is to add additional items to the scope of work.

Brooklyn Special Service District was created in the south end of Sevier County. They incorporated a small private company which had serviced an area including the north part of Elsinore and created a service district. They received funding from CIB last year in June to improve the water system. They also received some from the federal government and Sevier County. The project is about two-thirds of the way through. This is on of the few times where things have lined up favorably. The scope of the project is under the budget, and they would like to make some changes to the scope which will increase the overall effectiveness of the system. The revised scope will include Road Repair/Resurfacing, drilling a new well, purchasing water rights, Meadow Lark Loop, East Addition Loop and a Mini-excavator and equipment trailer.

Commissioner Lytle acknowledged the savings on the project and they have extra funds.

The applicant stated the bid environment was very favorable when the project was bid. They received 12 bidders for one phase and 15 bidders for the other phase. The bids were under the engineer's estimate. This now allows them to add looping and additional pipes to the system primarily, to improve water pressure and water circulation. They will also drill a new as an additional source of water for the district.

Commissioner Brown referred to last year's bus ride to Fish Lake which went through the project. This was a service district that started with nothing and now there will be building lots and it will support an affordable housing project. Since they bid on the project, the cost has doubled. The well is a vital part. There are people that have some well shares they will transfer to the system. The goal is to be self-sufficient regarding water.

Commissioner LeFevre asked about the wells.

The applicant indicated these are private wells that will become part of the system

Commissioner Adams suggested approving all the additional items including the mini excavator and the trailer.

Commissioner Brown suggested the option of a mini excavator **or** a backhoe.

Bruce Adams made and Jack Lytle seconded a motion to approve the additional scope including road Repair/Resurfacing, drilling a new well, purchasing water rights, Meadow Lark Loop, East Addition Loop and the purchase of a mini-excavator or backhoe and equipment trailer. The motion carried with

Greg Miles absent for the vote and the chairman abstaining.

8. Special Consideration N/A

9. Board Member Discussion and/or Action Items

- 9.1 CIB Board Funding Meeting – June 4, 2026 – Bryce Canyon City, Utah
- 9.2 CIB Board Policy Sessions – June 4-5, 2026 – Bryce Canyon City, Utah

Garfield County will be hosting the 2026 CIB Policy Sessions:

Commissioner Taylor asked the board if they would like a bus tour to see several projects in the area; some could be as much as an hour. And weather permitting, dinner on Thursday would be out on the rim of Bryce Canyon. There are other sites to include Sunrise Point and Sunset Point which are both amazing to see. There are a lot of hiking trails and hopefully it will be a good time in Bryce Canyon.

Meeting Adjourned 12:57 PM.

Submitted by;
Candace Powers