

Sound Wall Trail RFP Questions/Responses/Discussions

1. Invitation For Bids (Should be page C-100)
 - a. “non-motorized” vs specifically saying, “golf carts and pedestrian traffic”.
 - i. Does not need redefining, we don’t want to state specific vehicles, the trail remains the same either way and will be used regardless of whomever might use it. Golf carts, e bikes, electric scooters, bicycles, etc., per UDOT we need to stay with “non-motorized shared use asphalt trail” jargon, no specific vehicles of any kind.
 - b. All spaces for dates have been left blank intentionally until we are sure of what/when we are done with our notes and will fill them in appropriately (pre bid meeting, pre bid questions due, procurement docs available, times/dates, etc.)
2. Table of Contents
 - a. Do we have APWA spec book on hand?
 - i. No APWA spec book on hand, we never have given one for any project. We have the necessary APWA standards defined as part of this document.
3. Instructions to Bidders (C-200)
 - a. 1.01 A, after “James Hanelka” put “General Manager”
 - b. 5.01, All references to dates and times for document releases/questions/meetings will be written appropriately and will match once everyone in the committee agrees we are done planning and all concerns have been quashed.
 - c. 7.01, the Agency will issue addenda through U3P (state procurement department) but will coordinate with Ensign for all addenda information before releasing to U3P.
 - d. 8.01, we have a work schedule that was made several months ago and does not reflect current dates, it should be updated to reflect current dates
 - e. 11.01, “before Notice of Award” is underlined, why? Regarding Agency having ability to request subcontractor change if we have an objection to the GC having a specific sub.
 - f. 11.14, “if any” in regard to a Bidder having a license to work in Utah should be removed from jargon. All bidders require licenses, should be re-worded.

4. Bid Form (C-410)

- a. Note on Article 1: “If any addenda are issued, be sure to check this page at bid time”, explain this comment.
- b. 5.02 A, APWA 01 29 00 Payment Procedures, “where is this document?”
 - i. I believe it is referenced in a general sense in regard to the Utah Chapter of APWA for public works projects, defining how project costs, unit prices, and materials are measured and paid. It establishes guidelines for submitting schedules of values, applications for payment, and procedures for project closeout to ensure standardized, fair compensation.
- c. 6.02, Repeated values/tables and seems confusing, need to separate this more clearly.
- d. 6.02, Clarify/separate “bituminous” from “concrete” mixes, needs sharp clarity.
- e. 6.04, Separate the “Sound Wall Trail” portion from “250’ Portion”, How do we want to separate for the contractor to bid on it clearly and so we review it clearly?
- f. 6.04, the yards and square feet, again how do we want to separate project for cost proposal with absolute clarity?
- g. 6.05, Title of section, “with” vs. “to add”, again how to we want to create absolute clarity for bidder and us?
- h. 6.05, repeated categories as the other table seems to have, need clarity and to remove and redundancies.
- i. 6.11, “installments” to be changed to “installations”
 - i. “end of every month” to end of every “week”
- j. 6.15, bituminous vs. concrete clarification

5. Bid Form Supplemental

- a. 11.03, Compaction testing/quality control to be done by Agency or Contractor?

6. Measurement & Payment

- a. 4.01/4.03, Contradictory statements of lump sum payment at end vs. progress payments.
- b. 4.08 G, tack on “including the final sign off by the building inspector”.
- c. 6.03 Section E, progress reports by the end of every WEEK, not month.

7. Agreement/Form of Agreement

- a. 4.02 C, WEEKLY progress reports
 - i. Do we have proposed schedule? Yes, needs updating.
 - ii. have contractor to provide their own schedule up front as part of documents?

8. General Conditions

- a. 2.05 A1, “prelim phased project schedule”, so we do have standards to have a proposed schedule from contractor in docs.
- b. 2.05 A2, “preliminary schedule of submittals”, critical to maintain deliveries.
- c. 2.06, Pre Construction conference, need to be clear up front with expectations on both parties for commitment and expectations (shop drawings, as built, processing payment, maintaining records).
- d. 4.01 A, need to have temp easement contract signed and given to contractor from dentists, need to get with Nathan ASAP.
- e. 4.02 B3, No Geo Tech surveys were done by Ensign, Agency did not sub it out, UDOT has not voiced any concern over it, UDOT has done geo tech work for SR36 widening I’m sure, but we do not have that data.
- f. 5.01 A, requiring payment & performance bond? We have bid bond, but does this “payment & performance” bond need to be stated anywhere else?
- g. 5.03 Certs of Insurance, Yes we will receive before any work takes place.
- h. 6.02, Working Hours, all work during “regular working hours”, do we want these defined specifically? What is the concern?
- i. 6.04, Progress schedule as referenced in 2.05, Contractor is required to submit project schedule before work begins, we will work with keeping milestones up to date for payment install and UDOT updates.
- j. 6.08, Permits, we will ensure that Contractor is aware they are responsible for all permits at pre bid meeting.
- k. 6.10, We will provide tax exempt form for contractor (awarded bidder only) and will make crystal clear the Agency is in fact tax exempt.
- l. 6.17 A, Shop Drawings & Samples, what is the concern?
- m. 6.17 C, Submittal Procedures, what is the concern?
- n. 6.17 D, Engineer’s Review, what is the concern?
- o. 12.01 C2, “Fixed fee for changes”, ask for contractor’s fee on changes (change orders, correct?) as part of bid document.
- p. 13.03, Tests & Inspections, says owner will employ pay for testing services unless required to be approved by Agency employee, it is responsibility of Contractor to facilitate all testing? Contractor to do all testing, not Agency?

9. Supplementary Conditions

- a. 5.04 COI, is there a specific concern or just that we need to have it before work begins?

Other comments:

1. Index shows C-100, C200, etc., but Cover Sheet (Invitation to Bid) doesn't have C-100 on the bottom. How do we want to notate in Table of Contents?
2. C-100 Article 1, concern of actual title of documents, "Bid Form" & "Bid Form (Supplemental)", do we want to change the latter's title to something different so there's no confusion?
3. C-410 (Bid Form (Supplemental)), starts with "Article 10", should be "Article 11" assuming we want to stick with the same titles for the documents and we consider them direct continuations. (Should be Article 11 & 12, not Article 10 & 11 is the concern).
4. C-450S (Measurement & Payment) Article 3, sections call for Contractor to provide Engineer with submittals, test samples at site, field investigations, work completion, verifications, etc., do we want to change jargon to Project Manager? And work in conjunction with Ensign to ensure correctness? How do we want to proceed?
5. C-405S Article 4, Payment schedule should be consistent and defined. "NO progress payments, lump sum at end" vs "monthly payment requests"
6. C-700 8.1, "Communication through Engineer", should be changed to "Project Manager"
7. C-700 8.2, Jargon of "Engineer" vs "Project Manager" should reflect as Project Manager in all of these instances, PM will work with Engineer as necessary throughout project, but will be the main contact, not Engineer.
8. C-700 9.01, Same train of thought for using "Engineer" & "Project Manager" interchangeably throughout the entirety of the documents. Should only be PM as contact for approval, Engineer will be contacted by PM when necessary, "Engineer" will have not approval authority other than as a consult to the "Project Manager".
9. C-700 10.05A, more jargon confusion between "Engineer" & "Project Manager".
10. C-700 11.01 C, Jim's note vs John's note for applicability and relevance of change costs
11. C-700 13.03 B/C, Tests & Inspections, owner to employ & pay for inspections vs. contractor needing to for conflict of Agency employee being the one to approve the test submissions?

