City of WASHINGTON TERRACE Utah

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, April 21, 2026
5249 South 400 East
Washington Terrace, UT 84405
801.393.8681
www.washingtonterrace.gov

As a public service, Council Meetings are streamed on the City of Washington Terrace YouTube channel at : www.youtube.com/@WashingtonTerraceCity

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PERMISSION NOTICE
By attending this meeting/event, you consent to the use of your photograph, voice, likeness, and image in broadcasts of this meeting/event, and in subsequent productions drawn from video or audio recordings of this meeting/event, in the sole and absolute discretion of the City of Washington Terrace. The city retains copyright for all video and audio recordings. Video and audio recordings may not be modified, manipulated, or distributed in any way without the express written consent of the City Manager.

1. WORK SESSION 5:00 P.M.

1.1 PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: FY 2026-27 TENTATIVE BUDGET AND FY 2028-31 BUDGET PLAN

Staff will present the budget adoption timeline and significant assumptions that will be used in shaping the FY 2026-27 Tentative Budget and FY 2028-2031 Budget Plan. A timeline of the budget process will be presented.

2. ROLL CALL 6:00 P.M.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. WELCOME

5. CONSENT ITEMS

5.1 APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND APRIL 7, 2026, COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES AND APRIL 14, 2026, COUNCIL WORK SESSION MINUTES

Any point of order or issue regarding items on the agenda or the order of the agenda needs to be addressed here prior to the approval of the agenda. Minutes approved in open meeting become the official record

6. SPECIAL ORDER

Special orders will proceed as follows: Chair introduction of item, staff/applicant presentation, questions by Council, Chair opens public hearing, citizen input; Chair closes public hearing, then Council final discussion.

6.1 PUBLIC HEARING: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) SECOND PUBLIC HEARING TO HEAR CITIZEN INPUT CONCERNING THE PROJECT THAT WAS AWARDED UNDER THE 2026 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons who have need of special accommodation should contact the City Recorder at 801-395-8283.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

The undersigned, duly appointed City Recorder, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted in three public places within the City of Washington Terrace City limits and sent to the *Standard Examiner* at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. Amy Rodriguez, City Recorder.

7. CITIZEN COMMENTS

This is an opportunity to address the Council regarding your concerns or ideas that are not on the agenda as part of a public hearing. Please limit your comments to no more than 3 minutes. "Washington Terrace City is committed to civility. We strive to act and speak with dignity, courtesy, and respect at all times. All are asked to join us, and act and speak accordingly."

8. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 MOTION: APPOINTMENT OF PLANNING COMMISSIONER

The Mayor will appoint an applicant to the Planning Commission to serve a four-year term with Council Consent.

8.2 DISCUSSION/MOTION: APPROVAL TO AWARD THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR 2026 STREET MAINTENANCE PROJECTS

Sealed bids were opened on April 14, 2026. The project entails road surface treatments throughout the city.

8.3 MOTION/ RESOLUTION 26-04: APPROVAL OF THE MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER PLANNING PROGRAM REPORT

The Utah Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) requires the City to implement the Utah Sewer Management Program. The Resolution will approve the self-assessment report for 2025

.

8.4 PRESENTATION: ANIMAL CONTROL QUARTERLY REPORT

8.5 PRESENTATION: SHERIFF OFFICE QUARTERLY REPORT

9. COUNCIL COMMUNICATION WITH STAFF

This is a discussion item only. No final action will be taken.

10. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

This is an opportunity for staff to address the Council pertaining to administrative items.

11. UPCOMING EVENTS

April 30th : Planning Commission Meeting 6:00 p.m.
May 5th : City Council Work Session (5:00 p.m.) and City Council Meeting (6:00 p.m.)
May 5th : RDA Meeting following the regular meeting
May 19th : City Council Work Session (5:00 p.m.) City Council Meeting (6:00p.m.)
May 25th : City Offices closed in observance of Memorial Day
May 28th: Planning Commission Meeting 6:00 p.m. (Tentative)

12. ADJOURN THE MEETING

13. WORK SESSION (Immediately following the regular meeting)

Topics to include; but are not limited to: Building Permit Inspection Fees

---

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons who have need of special accommodation should contact the City Recorder at 801-395-8283.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

The undersigned, duly appointed City Recorder, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted in three public places within the City of Washington Terrace City limits and sent to the *Standard Examiner* at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. Amy Rodriguez, City Recorder.

City of Washington Terrace

Minutes of a Regular City Council meeting
Held on April 17, 2026
City Hall, 5249 South 400 East, Washington Terrace City, Utah

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT
Mayor Mark C. Allen
Council Member Anna Davidson
Council Member Zunayid Z. Zishan
Council Member Cheryl Parkinson
Council Member Jeff West
Council Member Michael Thomas - excused
Finance Director Shari' Garrett
Fire Chief Clay Peterson
City Recorder Amy Rodriguez
City Manager Tom Hanson

OTHERS PRESENT:
None

1. WORK SESSION : 5:00 P.M.
Topics to include; but are not limited to:
- **Fire Department Heavy Equipment Capital Replacement Plan**

Hanson stated that the Building Fees were adjusted based on the work session conversation at the last meeting. They will be presented at the regular meeting.

Fire Department
Chief Peterson stated that he re-vamped how the Department administers the equipment replacement plan. He stated that replacement is based upon age, maintenance, funding options, usage, mileage, among other factors.
Chief Peterson stated that the funding is not available for the ladder replacement in 2027, and it has been pushed out to 2028. He stated that building a unit takes around 3-5 years.
Chief stated that a good percentage of Fire Equipment has been awarded through grants. He stated that the future grant funding is unsure at this time.
Chief Peterson explained that a ladder truck is necessary due to the tall story buildings that are coming into the city. He stated that the ladder will function the same regardless of bells and whistles. He stated that the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) will go before Council tonight for approval to move ahead and funding options will be decided later on through the plan. Hanson stated that the intent is to put place markers on replacement equipment so that a strategic funding plan can be discussed. He stated that at this time funding options have not been discussed beyond grants.

Garrett stated that the five-year plan has been built into the budget document so that there is a plan. She

stated that there is a plan, however, the timeframes of some of the equipment and dollar amounts have changed so much necessitate the plan to come before Council at this time.
Chief Peterson stated that a new apparatus has coverage included. He stated that buying a used apparatus comes with unknowns and risks. Chief Peterson stated that he built inflation into his projections. Chief Peterson stated that it depends on Council as to how old an apparatus can be for used purchase.

Chief Peterson confirmed that Council would be approving the plan priorities, not the funding or purchase of the equipment.
Garrett stated that the items are essential items, not "nice to have". She stated that grant or no grant, the items will need to be replaced. She stated that Council agreeing to the five-year plan, she can start building it into the plan and can begin advising Council on how to fund the items. She stated that the decisions today will set us up for the future. She stated that these are marching orders for her. She stated that approval is not locking Council into anything, but the plan will be used as a guiding tool as to how to plan the funding.

Chief Peterson stated that the wear-and-tear on equipment can be very costly. He stated that there is a 20-25 life span on a ladder truck. He stated that our current 2002 ladder truck was bought used. Garrett stated that we purchased the truck in 2015 for $220,000.

Chief Peterson stated that the five-year CIP summary shows the ladder truck in 2028, and the SCBA is scheduled for 2030. He stated that the radios are scheduled to be replaced in 2032. He stated that the skid unit can be taken off and put onto a new chassis platform in 2033. He stated that there are too many variables to go out any further.

Garrett stated that she may not be prepared to make a recommendation in the coming months on the ladder truck, however, she feels confident that she should be able to make recommendations on the other items in the plan. Garrett stated that the buy in on the five-year plans give her the marching orders to build them into the budget or see if we need to go out for other types of funding.

Law Enforcement

Hanson stated that there was an internal audit done at the County. He stated that the County Commissioners will be reducing the number of officers in the general fund by four units and the Sheriff will be increasing theirs by six. He stated that the Commission is set on the reduction, and they do not seem interested that the audit report was not completed. He stated that the report was not complete to plan from.
Hanson stated that he should receive the preliminary numbers from the Sheriff tomorrow and anticipates that the numbers will be a little softer than before. He stated that it may be a 22 percent Truth in Taxation increase. Hanson stated that he reached out to Riverdale and will reach out to Ogden to see if they want to take care of our law enforcement needs.
Hanson stated that there are benefits to being a contract city and taking advantage of all of the services. He stated that we receive a lot of services for our money. Council Member Zishan stated that he recognizes the benefit that we receive from the County, but he stated that their pay schedule may become too unaffordable.

Mayor Allen stated that they met with the School District today on percentage of payment for School Resource Officers (SRO). Hanson stated that we are asking the District to pay 100 percent of the 70 percent of the time the SRO are in session. Hanson stated that we are not asking them to pay for the time the officers are not in school. Hanson stated that the School District does not want to pay their full freight. He stated that

the District is not interested in moving the funding to the general fund.
Hanson stated that the contract entities pay the freight for SRO's. He stated that the residents will pay either way, either through our TNT or the School District TNT. Hanson stated that essentially all property tax is paying for law enforcement.

Hanson stated that we are moving forward in preparation with the numbers that we currently have.
Garrett stated that we are preparing for Truth in Taxation Process. She stated that the start date has been pushed up this legislation session. Garrett stated that the 22 percent spoken of earlier is based off the 2025 assessed value calculation. She stated that it is based off of the February numbers from the Sheriff. She stated that she believes that the final numbers will be plus or minus 3 percent. She stated that we will not receive our numbers until June. She noted that we do not receive our rates until late June. She stated that we will be using estimates going through the process.

Council Member Parkinson asked if we could look at our budget and determine what we can cut to help with the numbers. She stated that there are some things that don't make a difference in the budget.
Council Member Zishan stated that the economy is bad right now. He suggested taking the $600,000 that we will receive in October to buy us time. Garrett stated that one-time monies will compound the ongoing cost. She stated that the cost will continue to rise and within three years we will be three years behind.
Council Member Zishan stated that if we could use some of the monies to buy us time to cover this year and have a plan for next year. Mayor Allen stated that the County Commission has been kicking the can down the road and now we have a problem. He stated that we knew this outcome was coming for two years and have been planning. He stated that the Commission raised the amount more than was discussed.
Council Member Parkinson asked if the $600,00 can be used for fire equipment. Garrett stated that the Council earmarked the funds for parks and we have leveraged some of those funds against grants.
She stated that the earmark can be moved. She stated that we are in the phases of the budget season and would like to work on bringing back some more information.

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Mayor Mark C. Allen
Council Member Anna Davidson
Council Member Zunayid Z. Zishan
Council Member Cheryl Parkinson
Council Member Jeff West
Council Member Michael Thomas - excused.
Finance Director Shari' Garrett
City Recorder Amy Rodriguez
City Manager Tom Hanson
Lt. Sean Endsley, Weber County Sheriff

OTHERS PRESENT:

2. ROLL CALL 6:00 P.M.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. WELCOME

5. CONSENT ITEMS

5.1 APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MARCH 17, 2026, COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

Item 5.1 is approved by general consent.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

There were no citizen comments.

7. RECURRING BUSINESS

Recurring Business are agenda items that have previously been brought to Council for discussion

7.1 MOTION/RESOLUTION 26-03: TO AMEND BUILDING PERMIT INSPECTION FEES

Garrett stated that the resolution addresses the direction from Council to change the base fee to eliminate the reinspection fee. She stated that staff are proposing for the fee to reflect the total actual service cost. She stated that the reinspection or no-show fee is new, and it is proposed at $50.00.
Garrett stated that the specialized inspection is an inspection that is required by law to have a minimum of two inspections. Council Member Zishan stated that we have not increased our fees in over two decades. He stated that he understands that $47.00 is under cost but would rather implement the fees in phases as to not overburden people with dumping major fees changes upon them.

Council Member Zishan asked if a surrounding city comparison was completed. Garrett stated that the Building Inspector spoke on surrounding cities at the work session, but was unsure if he had

communicated the results in writing. Council Member Zishan asked to table the item for more discussion. Mayor Allen stated that he recognizes the concern that the fees are higher and he considered a phase in of the fee as an option as well, but he understands that we have not raised fees in over 20 years. He stated that kicking the fees down the road will compound the issue. Council Member West stated that the fees are not affecting all residents, just those who are using the service. He stated that he doesn't know how we could phase in an increase because someone putting on a roof this year will not be paying a fee next year. He stated that the residents using it next year will have a higher price so it would be the same concern next year. Council Member Zishan suggested a four-year phase in approach.
Mayor Allen stated that his idea of phasing is a two-year approach.
Garrett stated that staff are looking at the data so that the programs cover the cost of the service being used. She stated that staff follow Council Priorities to remain fiscally responsible. She noted that Council directed staff to find ways to pay for specialized services by having the resident using the service pay for the cost of that service and not have other residents subsidize their service.
She stated that a lot of the permits are pulled through contractors, however, some residents pull their own permits. She stated that we could put a notice out to inform residents.

Garrett stated that the fees that are being considered are for "over the counter" types of permits, not new construction. Council Member West stated that he likes the idea of postponing the effective date to give people notice to plan. Council Member West stated that we cannot continue to subsidize, however, he would like to postpone until August.
Council Member Parkinson stated that if the fees are not covered by people using the service, all residents will be taking on the responsibility of paying for the service through taxes. She stated that she is hesitant to agree to wait on implementation. She stated that the reality is that 99 percent of the residents do not know these fees exist until they go to get their permit.
Garrett stated that the fee schedule can go into effect at a date of Council's choosing.
Council Member Zishan asked for a work session to discuss the city comparison information and review the fee suggestions.

Motion by Council Member Zishan
Seconded by Council Member Parkinson
To table the resolution 26-03
For further discussion through a work session
Approved (4-0)

A tentative work session is scheduled for after the meeting on April 21st pending completion of the city comparison report.

8. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 PRESENTATION: FIRE DEPARTMENT QUARTERLY REPORT

Chief Peterson stated that the department still has 32 percent of calls going to nursing homes.
He stated that he has seen a large increase in wildland and grass fires due to the dry and hot season. Chief Peterson stated that Council could consider options for fireworks and fire prohibition this year. He stated that we cannot legally ban fireworks throughout the entire city, but areas can be discussed and no-fire bans can be put in place. He stated that no smoking outside of cars or homes could be a consideration.
Chief Peterson stated that there is a 5K this Saturday and it will affect Ridgeline avenue and Golden West

Credit Union.
Chief Peterson stated that there will be an Emergency Preparedness Fair on May 2nd from 10:00-2:00 at the West Stake. The Fire Department will be participating in the Fair.

8.2 DISCUSSION/MOTION: APPROVAL OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT MAJOR BUDGET ITEMS 5 YEAR CAPITAL REPLACEMENT PRIORITY PLAN

Chief Peterson stated that he is asking for approval of the five-year capital plan replacement plan which was discussed in the work session and is explained in the packet so that we can put place markers in the budget and strategize funding options moving forward.
Council Member West stated that the plan was discussed earlier at the work session and wanted to note to those not in attendance that the first replacement item is not due until 2028.

Motion by Council Member West
Seconded by Council Member Parkinson
To approve the Fire Department Major Budget Items
Five Year Capital Replacement Priority Plan
Approved unanimously (4-0)

9. COUNCIL COMMUNICATION WITH STAFF

Council Member Davidson stated that Grava did a great job with the Easter Egg Hunt and appreciates all the hard work in organizing the event.

Council Member Zishan stated that Victory Park looks open and looks great. Hanson stated that the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony will be held on April 15th at 6:00 p.m.

Council Member Parkinson also expressed appreciation to Grava and his team, plus the Bonneville Students who helped fill the eggs for the event. She stated that it is a very well attended event and appreciated the great job of the kind Easter Bunny.
Council Member Parkinson stated that residents are concerned with water conservation and reminded staff to be mindful with our city water use. Hanson stated that he wants to make sure that people do not use their culinary to water the lawn until the secondary water comes on.

Council Member West stated that there were a lot of people enjoying Victory Park and agreed that it looked great.

10. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

Hanson stated that he and Grava expressed appreciation to the RAMP Board. He stated that the RAMP grant funding is a county wide effort. He stated that we are fortunate to have a clean application process to make better projects as a result of the funding pulled from the entire county.

Hanson stated that the Public Works Shop is open 7:00am-3:30pm for Green Waste drop off through April. He stated that the curbside pickup service is April 20-24th. Hanson stated that the Rohmer Park Spring Cleanup service project will be on April 25th.

11. UPCOMING EVENTS

April 7th: Work Session (5:00 p.m.) and Council Meeting (6:00 p.m.)
April 11th: Golden West 5K Run-for-Schools event 8:30 a.m.
April 14th : Special Council Work Session 5:00 p.m.
April 20-24th: Spring Green Waste Curbside Pick-up
April 1-30th : Spring Green Waste Drop-off (7:00 a.m.- 3:30 p.m. Sundays excluded)
April 21st: Work Session (5:00 p.m.) and Council Meeting (6:00 p.m.) – tentative
April 22-24th: Utah League of Cities and Towns Conference- St. George
April 25th: Rohmer Spring Clean Up 8-11a.m.
April 30th Planning Commission Meeting 6:00 p.m. (tentative)

12. ADJOURN THE MEETING

Motion by Council Member Parkinson
Seconded by Council Member Davidson
To adjourn the meeting
Approved unanimously (4-0)
Time: 6:49 p.m.

Date Approved **City Recorder**

.+

City of Washington Terrace

Minutes of a Special City Council Work Session
Held on April 14, 2026
City Hall, 5249 South 400 East, Washington Terrace City, Utah

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Mayor Mark C. Allen
Council Member Anna Davidson
Council Member Zunayid Z. Zishan
Council Member Cheryl Parkinson
Council Member Jeff West – arrived at 5:50 p.m.
Council Member Michael Thomas
Finance Director Shari' Garrett
City Recorder Amy Rodriguez
City Manager Tom Hanson

OTHERS PRESENT:

None

1. WORK SESSION 5:00 P.M.

PRESENTATION: FY 2027 TENTATIVE BUDGET AND FY 2028-31 BUDGET PLAN

Topics to Include. But are not Limited to:

- **Major Budget Priorities**
- **Truth in Taxation considerations**

Hanson reviewed the Vision and Mission Statement from the Council Retreat. He reviewed the SWOT exercise and the Council Policies and Priorities, noting that the budget items all link to the priorities set by the Council. Hanson stated that Major Budget Priorities are the items in the budget that have the greatest impact on the budget.

Hanson stated that we balance service levels with our capacity to pay. Hanson stated that staff do a lot of work to balance our capital needs with grant funding. He stated that it is a good tool for one-time use, but not a good tool for ongoing expenses.

Public Safety

Hanson stated that law enforcement will be changing this year. Hanson explained the contract entities process on how they are charged. He stated it is 60 percent calls for service and 40 percent in population, which increased our obligation for law enforcement. He stated that as other cities grow, they are picking up the burden, allowing a softening on our side. Council Member Parkinson stated that she is concerned that we have a high call for services. She stated that what is happening in our city is causing high volume of calls. Hanson stated that staffing levels should make it possible for more proactive policing within the

city. Mayor Allen noted that we have four schools within our city limits. He stated that homes and people in close proximity typically have higher incidents of crime activity.
Hanson stated that the new Sheriff numbers are estimated at $1,335,522. He stated that it is a lower amount first quoted to the city. Hanson stated that the county will do a true-up on the gap. He stated that we are anticipating a 7% increase annually to keep up with inflation.
Hanson stated that he could research to see if it makes sense to contract with Ogden City for services. He stated that he has not reached out to South Ogden. He stated that the county projected 5% annual increases.
Garrett stated that it has been difficult to get averaging out of this contract, as it has been many years of non-consistent increases. She stated that we are at the mercy of the market and inflation any time we outsource services. Garrett stated that 7% would include the whole package (personnel, fleet, equipment, etc.).

Hanson stated that there is a shift from General Fund to contract entities for operations. He explained that it is an overlapping service within the county. Hanson stated that citizens pay into the county for General Fund operations, which include some law enforcement. He stated that on top of that, the contract entities pay for a higher level of service that is over the level of service than the general fund services.
Hanson stated that the contract entities are picking up four officers from the general fund services, plus an additional six officers who will be hired into the system. Council Member Zishan asked how the additional officers, or elevated services, are clearly defined. Hanson stated that we would have a more robust response as we have more personnel actively in our area. Hanson stated that the intent is that the contract cities are served in proportion as to what we are asking for as contract cities.

Garrett stated that she did a ten-year average of the contract prices over the last ten years. Garrett stated that to get to the same price point that is proposed, the contract would have increased 5.7 every year. She stated that there is an annual true-up. She stated that if there is a one-time need for equipment, it is put into the contract for that year. She noted that the price will drop as the one-time need is no longer needed. She wanted Council to be mindful of the ups and downs of the contract prices.

Hanson stated that this amount will be in the tentative budget. Mayor Allen stated that the amount may decrease slightly if the SRO amount changes.

Garrett stated that she took our last budget that we had for our own law enforcement. She used the assumption of a 5 percent increase through 2017, and then percent from then on.
She stated that Washington Terrace PD would be at 3.7 million a year if we had kept our own department.

Hanson stated that there is a link to Truth-in Taxation for this funding source.

Fire and EMS

Hanson stated that the City worked on a study for a Fire District with South Ogden, Roy, and Riverdale and it was concluded that the cost was higher than the sustainable approach that we are using for our Fire Department. Hanson stated that if we cannot continue with the Volunteer Fire Department, the costs will be substantially higher.

Council Member Parkinson asked how much of an increase will be built into the budget for the Fire Department. Garrett stated that there will be a compiled budget in the Tentative Budget at the May 5th

meeting. She stated that it has not been bundled as of yet. Hanson stated that we try to work within our pressure points (fees, sales tax, property taxes).

Park Capital Improvements

Council Member Zishan stated that he believes that we should use funds to maintain equipment and parks that we already have but does not think we should fund any new projects like the Rohmer Park Project right now when other capital is needed. Council Member Parkinson stated that Council would have to decide what would have to be cut to allow for other projects to be funded.
Hanson stated that the five-year plan for Park Improvements is modest. He stated that we will be finishing up Phase four at Rohmer Park. Hanson stated that Little Rohmer will have to have maintenance soon. He stated that they are budgeted with the assumption that we will use grants.
Garrett noted that the plan that Council approved has a new addition of a Food Truck park at the Fire House Park. Council Member Zishan stated that we would have to generate enough people and interest in the park for food trucks. Council Member Davidson stated that we could potentially bring people into the city to spend money who may not normally come into the city. Council Member West asked how conservative can we be in creating the park and still have an area that would bring people in.
Garrett stated that $38,00 of the funds earmarked for Parks has been used to match monies with grants. She stated that the remaining $611,000 balance will be used to help match the RAMP grants for the projects that have not yet been completed. Council Member Zishan stated that he rather the one-time monies generated by the sale of property be used for something that we need, and not a "want". Garrett stated that the budget assumes that the park projects will partially be funded through grants. She noted that the Fire Equipment is not a want, it is a need.

Human Resources

Hanson stated that Human Resources is coming in fairly comfortable in how things are boiling down.
Hanson stated that we need to stay competitive to recruit and retain the best employees.
Hanson stated that we are looking at 3.5 percent increase in wages. He stated that our benefits package has increased by 6 percent.
Rodriguez stated that the increases are in alignment with the national wage gains (4.1%), noting that Weber County wage gains exceeded national levels and were (5.1%). Rodriguez stated that nothing unexpected occurred with the analysis this year. She stated that there was only one added item to the compensation analysis, which was the addition of a permanent crossing guard at 5000 S and 300 W. Rodriguez stated that a temporary guard was included in the budget last year because of the construction of Roosevelt Elm. Council had approved to continue the position through this fiscal year and then determine if they wanted to keep the position. Rodriguez stated that the position would add a new on-going cost of $7,628 for FY 27 and then possible increases each year after. Council determined that the extra crossing guard is in a good location, as the intersection is a busy intersection. They determined that the cost is worth the positive effects that it would have on the community and would be seen as a benefit.

Utilities

Garrett stated that utilities pay for themselves, noting that water fees pay for water, sewer fees pay for sewer and so on.

Waste Management and Recycling

Garrett stated that there are three main cost drivers in Garbage and Recycling: Waste Collections, Waste Removal and Personnel and Overhead. Garret stated that our contract is expected to increase 5%., noting that the rates are subject to the Water Sewer Trash Index. Garrett stated that the fuel surcharge recovery fees will be addressed as needed, noting that no one knows how long we will see the elevated duel prices. Mayor Allen stated that we are going to keep the Weber Transfer Station Open for at least one more year. He stated that he was at meetings with Waste Management, Ogden Transfer Stations, and Republic Services. He stated that we have a competitive price for waste removal. It is unclear what will happen with waste removal moving forward.

Garrett stated that the impact equates to $0 .90 for Waste Management and Recycling monthly increase.

Culinary Water

Garrett stated that the main cost drivers for Culinary water are: Weber Basin Water increases (estimated at 14-15 % annually), Personnel and Overhead (5%), Capital Investment adjusted 3% for inflation and Debt Service repayment. Garrett stated that we will have the final payment of the debt service obligation this year. She proposes to take the payment capacity (around 89K) and put it back into Capital within the Culinary Water system.

Garrett stated that the impacts equate to a $1.40 monthly increase.

Sanitary Sewer

Garrett stated that the largest cost driver within Sanitary Sewer is paying for the treatment of our sewage. She stated that there is a 6% increase with the Sewer District, and it is expected to increase 6% annually through 2031. She stated that the debt service requirements will reach full term this year to free up $146,000 and just like with culinary water, the capacity will stay within the capital system.

Garrett stated that the impact equates to $1.40 monthly increase.

Storm Water

She stated that the increase to Capital Investment is the 3% inflationary cost. She stated that Overhead and Personnel costs are expected to increase by 4%.

Garrett stated that the impact equates to $0.25 monthly increase.

Garrett stated that the overall impact to the final utility rate is $3.95 increase, bringing the monthly base rate up 5% to $89.20.

Garrett stated that there have been a lot of changes with Truth-in-Taxation requirements. She stated that there have been ups and downs with contract negotiations this year, but they are being worked through. She stated that the next meeting will have economic assumptions, a timeline through August, and what Public Relations efforts will be taken to get the major budget items out there.

Garrett stated that new legislation requires that the city make a statement that we are exploring Truth-in-Taxation. She stated that an impact statement will be given to the public at that time.

The Work Session concluded at 6:51 p.m.

_____ _____

Date Approved City Recorder

City of WASHINGTON TERRACE Utah

City Council Staff Report

Author: **Amy Rodriguez**
Subject: **CDBG SECOND PUBLIC HEARING**
Date: **4-21-26** **Admin Dept.**
Type of Item: **Public Hearing**

Summary Recommendations: The City Council will hear public comment on the 2026 CDBG Program Year- 500 West Trenchless Sewer Rehabilitation Project.
Questions from the audience need to be responded to (particularly those who may be immediately impacted by the projects).

Description:

A. Topic: CDBG SECOND PUBLIC HEARING

B. **Background:**
CDBG requires that a second public hearing be held after an applicant has been notified that their application has been funded by the Rating and Ranking committee (RRC) but before the final application is due in May. The City was notified in late March that the proposed Project was recommended for funding of a total of $215,795.

C. Analysis:
CDBG further requires that the second public hearing be conducted as follows:

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PUBLIC HEARING
Mayor Allen opened the second public hearing for the CDBG program. **Mayor Allen stated that this hearing was called to allow all citizens to provide input concerning the project that was awarded under the 2026 Grant Year Community Development Block Grant Program.**

The City has amended its capital investment plan and decided to apply for funds on behalf of the 500 West Trenchless Sewer Rehabilitation Project located on 500 West between 4800 South and 4400 South.

The Mayor introduced Amy Rodriguez as Grant Manager and Jake Meibos as the Project Manager.

The Mayor explained that the application was successful in the regional rating and ranking process and The 500 West Sewer Rehabilitation Project was awarded $215,795 of the total project cost of $350,886.

The Mayor explained the project to those in attendance. The project consists of rehabilitation of 1735 linear feet of a 12 inch concrete sewer main located on 500 West in between 4800 Soth and 4400 South.

The Mayor then asked for any comments, questions and concerns from the audience.
The Mayor stated that copies of the capital investment plan are available if anyone would like a copy.

If there are no more comments the hearing can be adjourned.

City Council
Staff Report

Author: Jake Meibos
Subject: 2026 Street Maintenance Projects
Date: 4/21/2026
Type of Item: Discussion and Motion

Summary Recommendation: Award the contracts to selected contractor(s) for the 2026 Street Maintenance Project for the total bid amount of $335,469
Low bid received:
Asphalt repairs, street maintenance and paint striping: **Staker Parsons Co. $335,469.**

Description:

A. **Topic:** City Council approval is requested for awarding the 2025 Street Maintenance Projects.
The scope of the projects consists of:
   - Asphalt repairs
   - Slurry Seal
   - Seal Coats
   - Chip Seals
   - Paint Striping in selected areas throughout the city

B. **Background:** The Local Technical Assistant Program (LTAP) conducted an Analysis and Recommendation, which was established in August 2024. This analysis enabled us to identify and prioritize maintenance project areas effectively. By leveraging the insights from the LTAP analysis and assessing the specific regions, we have determined the appropriate applications for each area. The 2026 maintenance projects will sustain an average of 11.3 RSL.

C. **Analysis:** The engineers' construction estimate including engineering cost and contingency for completion of the 2026 Street Maintenance Project is **$335,469** Quantities and cost estimates for each application were estimated using previous projects and area calculations.

D. Department Review: Finance, Public Works, City Manager, Jones & Associates

Alternatives:

A. **Approve the Request:** Allow the award of the 2026 Street Maintenance Projects to said contractor(s) for the total amount of **$335,469** and authorize Staff and the Mayor to execute all applicable contract documents.

B. **Fiscal Impact:** Road maintenance projects are funded by a variety of funding sources such as Class C road funds distributed by the State. Road projects are reported in the Roads Capital Project Fund 56.

The Road Maintenance budget balance to address current and future needs is (GL#56-41-02) $1,003,416

Staker Parson Companies	$335,469
Engineering (10%)	33,547
Contingency (10%)	33,547
Total Project cost	**$402,562**

C. Deny The Request: By denying or delaying all or part of this request, the street maintenance project(s) will not be completed. The projects may need to be rebid.

D. **Continue the Item/Impact:**

Significant Impacts: The 2026 Street Maintenance and Paint Striping initiative is essential for ensuring both safety and durability of our roadways. Postponing maintenance efforts will lead to the necessity for more intensive and costly interventions to extend the lifespan of the streets. Additionally, clear and vibrant road markings play a crucial role in preventing accidents and directing traffic effectively.

Consequences of not taking the recommended action: Not awarding the contracts in this council meeting may delay the completion of the Street Maintenance Projects throughout Washington Terrace City.

City Council
Staff Report

Author: Jake Meibos
Subject: 2025 MWPP Annual Report
Date: 4/21/2026
Type of Item: Discussion / Adoption

Summary Recommendation: Adopt by resolution the Washington Terrace City 2025 Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual Report. (MWPP)

Description:

A. **Topic:** Adopt by resolution the 2024 Washington Terrace City MWPP Annual Report.

B. **Background:** The Utah Division of Water Quality (DWQ) has been given primacy by the Environment Protection Agency to oversee all wastewater discharges in the state of Utah. All municipal entities with a Utah Pollution Discharge Elimination System (UPDE) permit shall develop a Sewer System Management Plan (SSMP) to operate, maintain, upgrade, and resolve overflow problems within the system. In 2015, the SSMP was expanded to the requirements by the DWQ and adopted by resolution.

C. **Analysis:** The annual report evaluates on-going planning, operations, and financial funding for the sewer system. The report also ensures the future planning, financial requirements and daily operations are consistent with Sanitary Sewer Capital Improvement Plan.

D. **Fiscal Impact:** No fiscal impact

E. Department Review: Public Works

Alternatives:

A. **Approve the Request:** Staff are recommending that Council approve the 2025 Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual Report.

B. Deny The Request: Noncompliant with DWQ regulations.

CITY OF WASHINGTON TERRACE
RESOLUTION 26-04

MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER PLANNING PROGRAM

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF WASHINGTON TERRACE, UTAH, APPROVING THE MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER PLANNING PROGRAM SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR 2025 .

WHEREAS, Washington Terrace City (hereafter "City") is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Utah;

WHEREAS, the City Council is the legislative body of the City;

WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) adopted Rule 317-801 in 2012 which requires the City to implement the Utah Sewer Management Program in accordance with state law;

WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) enforces the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) and provides the City a permit for the same;

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to approve the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Self- Assessment Report for 2025;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Washington Terrace, Utah, as follows:

Section 1. Review and Adoption.
The City Council has reviewed and hereby approves the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Self-Assessment Report for 2025 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. UPDES Compliance.
That to the best knowledge of the City Council, the City has taken all appropriate actions necessary to maintain collection system operation effluent requirements stipulated in the City UPDES Permit No. UTG580110.

Section 3. Effective Date
This Resolution is effective immediately upon passage and approval.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Washington Terrace City Council this ____ day of _____, 2026

_____
MARK ALLEN, Mayor
City of Washington Terrace

ATTEST:

_____
AMY RODRIGUEZ, City Recorder

Roll Call Vote
Council Member Anna Davidson
Council Member Cheryl Parkinson
Council Member Michael Thomas
Council Member Jeff West
Council Member Zunayid Z. Zishan

What is the name of the Facility? *

Washington Terrace City

What is the Name of the person responsible for this organization?

**

Jake Meibos

What is the Title of the person responsible for this organization? *

Public Works Director

What is the Email Address for the person responsible for this organization? *

jakem@washingtonterrace.gov

What is the Phone number for the person responsible for this organization? *

8013958289

Please identify the Facility Location? *

Please provide either Longitude and Latitude, address, or a written description of the location (with area or point).

5249 South 400 East Washington Terrace City

Are you a federal facility?

A federal facility is a military base, a national park, or a facility associated with a federal government organization (e.g., BLM, Forest Service, etc.)

Yes

No

Financial Evaluation Section

As you begin this survey you must keep in mind which part of the wastewater system that you represent, unless you represent it all (e.g., collections, treatment, or both). If you only represent the collection system please respond to each question thinking only of collection system data as you proceed through this survey. The same goes for treatment and both. If you get a question that does not apply to the part of the system which you represent then leave it unanswered. However, please try to answer as many questions as you possibly can.

This section is completed by:

Jake Meibos

Are sewer revenues maintained in a dedicated purpose enterprise/district account?

Yes

No

Are you collecting 95% or more of your anticipated sewer revenue?

Yes

No

Are Debt Service Reserve Fund requirements being met?

Yes

No

Where are sewer revenues maintained?

General Fund

Combined Utilities Fund

Other

What was the average MONTHLY User Charge for 2025?

39.33

Do you have a water and/or sewer customer assistance program (CAP)?

Yes

No

Are property taxes or other assessments applied to the sewer systems?

Yes

No

What is the yearly amount of revenue that you receive from these taxes?

NA

Are sewer revenues sufficient to cover operations & maintenance costs, and repair & replacement costs (OM&R) at this time?

Yes

No

Are projected sewer revenues sufficient to cover operation & maintenance, and repair and replacement costs for the next five years?

Yes

No

Does the sewer system have sufficient staff to provide proper operation & maintenance, and repair and replacement?

Yes

No

Has a repair and replacement sinking fund been established for the sewer system?

Yes

No

Is the repair & replacement sinking fund sufficient to meet anticipated needs?

Yes

No

Are sewer revenues sufficient to cover all costs of current capital improvements projects?

Yes

No

Has a Capital Improvements Reserve Fund been established to provide for anticipated capital improvement projects?

Yes

No

Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the next five years?

Yes

No

Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the next ten years?

Yes

No

Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the next twenty years?

Yes

No

Have you completed a rate study within the last five years?

Yes

No

Do you charge Impact fees?

Yes

No

If you charged Impact Fees, how much were they? =

If not a flat fee, use total collected impact fees for the year divided by the total number of entities who paid fees that year.

533

Have you completed an impact fee study in accordance with UCA 11-36a-3 within the last five years?

Yes

No

Do you maintain a Plan of Operations?

Yes

No

Have you updated your Capital Facility Plan within the last five years?

Yes

No

In what year was the Capital Facility Plan last updated?

2018

Do you use an Asset Management system for your sewer systems?

Yes

No

Do you know the total replacement cost of your total sewer system capital assets?

Yes

No

Replacement Cost =

Do you fund sewer system capital improvements annually with sewer revenues at 2% or more of the total replacement cost?

Yes

No

What is the sewer/treatment system annual asset renewal cost as a percentage of its total replacement cost?

NA

Describe the Asset Management System. Check all that apply:

Spreadsheet

☑ GPS

Accounting Software

Specialized Software

What is the 2025 Capital Assets Cumulative Depreciation for your facility?

2,0820248

What is the 2025 Capital Assets Book Value?

Book Value = (total cost) - (accumulated depreciation)

3666375

Cost of projected capital improvements - Please enter a valid numerical value - 2025?

0

Cost of projected capital improvements - Please enter a valid numerical value - 2026 through 2030?

2009971

Cost of projected capital improvements - Please enter a valid numerical value - 2031 through 2035?

2004252

Cost of projected capital improvements - Please enter a valid numerical value - 2036 through 2040?

1425203

Cost of projected capital improvements - Please enter a valid numerical value - 2041 through 2045?

1425203

Purpose of Capital Improvements - 2025? Check all that apply.

- ☑ Replace/Restore
- New Technology
- Increased Capacity

Purpose of projected Capital Improvements - 2026 through 2030? - Check all that apply.

- ☑ Replace/Restore
- New Technology
- ☑ Increased Capacity

Purpose of projected Capital Improvements - 2031 through 2035 Check all that apply.?

☑ Replace/Restore

New Technology

☑ Increased Capacity

Purpose of projected Capital Improvements - 2036 through 2040? - Check all that apply.

☑ Replace/Restore

New Technology

☑ Increased Capacity

Purpose of projected Capital Improvements from 2041 through 2045? - Check all that apply.

☑ Replace/Restore

New Technology

☑ Increased Capacity

To the best of my knowledge, the Financial Evaluation section is completed and accurate.

True

False

Do you have a collection system? *

Yes

No

Collection System

Including piping and lift stations.

This form is completed by [name]?

The person completing this form may receive Continuing Education Units (CEUs).

Jake Meibos

Part I: SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Please answer the following questions regarding SYSTEM DESCRIPTION.

What is the largest diameter pipe in the collection system?

Please enter the diameter in inches.

12

What is the average depth of the collection system?

Please enter the depth in feet.

7

What is the total length of sewer pipe in the collection system?

Please enter the length in miles.

32

How many lift/pump stations are there in the collection system?

0

What is the largest capacity lift/pump station in the collection system?

Please enter the design capacity in gpm.

NA

Do seasonal daily peak flows exceed the average peak daily flow by 100 percent or more?

Yes

No

What year was your collection system first constructed?

This can be an approximate guess if you really are not sure.

1954

In what year was the largest diameter sewer pipe in the collection system constructed, replaced or renewed?

If more than one, cite the oldest.

Unknown

Part II: DISCHARGES

Please answer the following questions regarding DISCHARGES.

How many days last year was there a sewage bypass, overflow or basement flooding in the system due to rain or snowmelt?

0

How many days last year was there a sewage bypass, overflow or basement flooding due to equipment failure, except plugged laterals?

0

Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)

Class 1 - a Significant SSO means a SSO backup that is not caused by a private lateral obstruction or problem that:
(a) affects more than five private structures;
(b) affects one or more public, commercial or industrial structure(s);
(c) may result in a public health risk to the general public;
(d) has a spill volume that exceeds 5,000 gallons, excluding those in single private structures; or
(e) discharges to Waters of the State.

Class 2 - a Non-Significant SSO means a SSO or backup that is not caused by a private lateral obstruction or problem that does not meet the Class 1 SSO criteria

How many Class 1 SSOs were there in Calendar year 2025?

0

How many Class 2 SSOs were there in Calendar year 2025?

0

Please indicate what caused the SSO(s) in the previous 2 questions.

NA

Please specify whether the SSOs were caused by contract or tributary community, etc.

NA

Part III: NEW DEVELOPMENT

Please answer the following questions regarding NEW DEVELOPMENT.

Did an industry or other development enter the community or expand production in the past two years, such that flow or wastewater loadings to the sewerage system increased by 10% or more?

Yes

No

Are new developments (industrial, commercial, or residential) anticipated in the next 2 - 3 years that will increase flow or BOD5 loadings to the sewerage system by 25% or more?

Yes

No

What is the number of new commercial/industrial connections in 2025?

2

What is the number of new residential sewer connections added in 2025?

4

How many equivalent residential connections are served?

2901

Part IV: OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

Please answer the following questions regarding OPERATOR CERTIFICATION.

How many collection system operators do you employ?

4

What is the approximate population served?

9800

State of Utah Administrative Rules requires all public system operators considered to be in Direct Responsible Charge (DRC) to be appropriately certified at leaset at the Facility's Grade. List the designated Chief Operator/DRC for the Collection System by: First and Last Name, Grade, and email.

Grades: SLS17-1, Grade I, Grade II, Grade III, and Grade IV.

Jake Meibos grade II jakem@washingtonterrace.gov

Please list all other wastewater collection system operators with DRC responsibilities in the field, by name and certification grade. Please separate names and certification grade for each operator by commas.

Grades: SLS17-1, Grade I, Grade II, Grade III, and Grade IV.

Denzil Remington, grade IV,

Please list all other wastewater collection system operators by name and certification grade. Please separate names and certification grades for each operator by commas.

Grades: SLS17-1, Grade I, Grade II, Grade III, and Grade IV.

Curtis Gerritsen grade II, Dustin Goza grade II

Is/are your collection DRC operator(s) currently certified at the appropriate grade for this facility?

Yes

No

Part V: FACILITY MAINTENANCE

Please answer the following questions regarding FACILITY MAINTENANCE.

Have you implemented a preventative maintenance program for your collection system?

Yes

No

Have you updated the collection system operations and maintenance manual within the past 5 years?

Yes

No

Do you have a written emergency response plan for sewer systems?

Yes

No

Do you have a written safety plan for sewer systems?

Yes

No

Is the entire collections system TV inspected at least every 5 years?

Yes

No

Is at least 85% of the collections system mapped in GIS?

Yes

No

Part VI: SSMP EVALUATION

Please answer the following questions regarding SSMP EVALUATION.

Have you completed a Sewer System Management Plan (SSMP)?

Yes

No

Has the SSMP been adopted by the permittees governing body at a public meeting?

Yes

No

Has the completed SSMP been public noticed?

Yes

No

When USMP to be PNed

When will the USMP be Public Noticed?

MM

/

DD

/

YYYY

Continue 1

During the annual assessment of the SSMP, were any adjustments needed based on the performance of the plan?

Yes

No

What adjustments were made to the SSMP (i.e. line cleaning, CCTV inspections, manhole inspections, and/or SSO events)?

NA

During 2025, was any part of the SSMP audited as part of the five year audit?

Yes

No

If yes, what part of the SSMP was audited and were changes made to the SSMP as a result of the audit?

NA

Have you completed a System Evaluation and Capacity Assurance Plan (SECAP) as defined by the Utah Sewer Management Plan?

Yes

No

Does the collection system have more than 2,000 connections?

Yes

No

Has a fats, oil, and grease (FOG) or fats, oil, sand, and grease program been developed by the collection system?

Yes

No

Part VII: NARRATIVE EVALUATION

Please answer the following questions regarding NARRATIVE EVALUATION.

Describe the physical condition of the sewerage system: (lift stations, etc. included)

The system is in adequate physical condition.

What sewerage system capital improvements does the utility need to implement in the next 10 years?

Replace/Restore

What sewerage system problems, other than plugging, have you had over the last year?

NA

Is your utility currently preparing or updating its capital facilities plan?

Yes

No

Does the municipality/district pay for the continuing education expenses of operators?

100%

Partially

Does not pay

Is there a written policy regarding continued education and training for wastewater operators?

Yes

No

Do you have any additional comments?

NA

To the best of my knowledge, the Collections System section is completed and accurate

True

False

Wastewater Treatment Options

You have either just completed or just bypassed questions about a Collection System. If this section was bypassed by mistake, in the next question you will have the option to return to the questions on a Collection System. If you are good with the progress up to now, next you will determine what kind of Wastewater Treatment you have, or you can choose NO Wastewater Treatment.

What kind of wastewater treatment do you have in your wastewater treatment system?

Mechanical Plant

Discharging Lagoon

Non-Discharging Lagoon

No Treatment of Wastewater

Collections (go back to Collections)

Adopt & Sign

I have reviewed this report and to the best of my knowledge the information provided in this report is correct. *

True

False

Has this been adopted by the City Council or District Board? *

yes

No

End of Survey

This is the end of the survey. Please make sure you have submitted your responses for each section. Thank you for your participation.

Also, if you want a copy of your response to this survey you must click the button immediately below and you must do it before you submit the survey.

Create your own Google Form

Does this form look suspicious? Report

Combined Statistics Report

Intake Type 03/01/26 to 03/31/26 WASHINGTON TER

Total Intakes:

Outcome Type 03/01/26 to 03/31/26 WASHINGTON TER

Dogs	**1**
ADOPTION	1
OWNER NEW	1

Total Outcomes: **1**

WASHINGTON TERRACE FIRST QUARTER COMPARISON 2025 - 2026											
	Attack/Bite	Barking	Stray/Roaming	Carcass Removal	Citizen Assist.	Followup	Injured animal	Citations	Cruelty	Calls For Service	Extra Patrol
Mar-26	1	3	14	0	7	8	1	0	3	38	1
Feb-26	1	1	13	1	2	5	0	0	0	24	1
Jan-26	1	0	4	1	1	3	0	0	1	14	3
quarterly totals for 2026	3	4	31	2	10	16	1	0	4	76	5
Mar-25	1	1	10	0	4	2	0	0	3	22	1
Feb-25	3	3	8	2	1	4	2	0	2	25	0
Jan-25	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
quarterly totals for 2025	5	4	24	3	5	6	2	0	5	55	1

26SO02181	EXTRA PATROL	wt	5100 S 625 W; ROHMER PARK	16:12:46 03/10/26
26SO01982	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	251 E 4800 S; BONNEVILLE HIGH S	11:25:17 03/04/26
26SO01985	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	139 E 5350 S	12:52:52 03/04/26
26SO02018	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	182 W 5000 S	08:02:44 03/05/26
26SO02167	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	75 W 4400 S	13:24:39 03/10/26
26SO02184	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	75 W 4400 S	16:55:40 03/10/26
26SO02186	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	4581 S 150 W	17:25:52 03/10/26
26SO02200	BARKING DOG	WT	232 E 5000 S	20:53:56 03/11/26
26SO02235	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	391 W 4800 S APT # 706; TERRACE	14:11:28 03/12/26

26SO01972	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	07:29:27 03/04/26	RMK	5000 S 300 W
26SO02173	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	14:55:24 03/10/26	RMK	164 E 4475 S
26SO02321	ANIMAL CONTROL	WT	19:15:42 03/15/26		361 E 4650 S

26SO02380	ANIMAL CO	WT	12:05:19 03/17/26	RMK	5195 S 300 W
26SO02392	ANIMAL CO	WT	15:36:23 03/17/26	CLO	4609 S 250 W
26SO2425	ANIMAL CO	WT	13:17:53 03/18/26	RMK	145 W 5000 S
26SO2427	CRUELTY AI	WT	13:56:07 03/18/26	RMK	424 W 5000 S
26SO2453	ANIMAL CO	WT	12:12:44 03/19/26	RMK	250 W 4900 S
26SO2456	ANIMAL CO	WT	13:08:59 03/19/26	RMK	177 E 5200 S
26SO2459	ANIMAL CO	WT	13:58:38 03/19/26	RMK	5040 S 525 W
26SO2461	ANIMAL CO	WT	13:39:32 03/19/26	RMK	4373 S 125 W
26SO2468	ANIMAL CO	WT	16:09:41 03/19/26		149 E 5200 S
26SO2473	ANIMAL CO	WT	16:09:21 03/19/26		471 W 5200 S
26SO2491	CRUELTY AI	WT	13:20:59 03/20/26	CLO	203 W 4900 S APT # 1
26SO2713	FOLLOW UP	WT	12:54:05 03/27/26	RMK	203 W 4900 S APT # 1

26SO2420	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	11:37:03 03/18/2	RMK	5055 S 550 W
26SO2421	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	11:45:00 03/18/2	RMK	4373 S 125 W
26SO2557	CRUELTY ANI	WT	10:50:57 03/21/2	RMK	203 W 4900 S APT # 1
26SO2562	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	10:05:32 03/23/2	RMK	4383 S 275 W
26SO2569	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	13:20:34 03/23/2	RMK	203 W 4900 S APT # 1
26SO2572	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	13:39:03 03/23/2	RMK	130 W 4300 S
26SO2575	ANIMAL CONTI	WT	14:22:45 03/23/2	RMK	4626 S RIDGELINE DR; 500 W

26SO2847	FOLLOW UP	WT	13:41:53 03/31/26	RMK	163 E 5200 S
26SO2860	ANIMAL CONTR	WT	16:34:34 03/31/26	CLO	376 W 4575 S

26SO2841	ANIMAL CONTR	WT	11:39:51 03/31/26	RMK	5055 S 550 W
26SO2855	FOLLOW UP	WT	15:44:10 03/31/26	OPN	5045 S 550 W

	Attack/Bite	Barking	Stray/Roaming	Carcass Removal	Citizen Assist.	Followup	Injured animal	Citations	Cruelty	Calls For Service	Extra Patrol
Mar-26	1	3	14	0	7	8	1	0	3	38	1
Feb-26	1	1	13	1	2	5	0	0	0	24	1
Jan-26	1	0	4	1	1	3	0	0	1	14	3
Dec-26	1	2	6	0	0	9	1	1	1	25	4
Nov-25	0	0	7	1	3	2	0	0	0	20	7
Oct-25	0	2	16	1	3	2	1	0	0	32	7
Sep-25	3	1	14	3	1	1	3	0	3	40	11
Aug-25	1	2	12	1	1	2	2	0	0	31	10
Jul-25	1	3	17	1	5	3	3	0	4	42	5
Jun-25	0	1	9	0	1	4	0	0	2	20	3
May-25	1	1	14	0	3	3	0	1	3	27	2
Apr-25	2	1	6	4	4	6	0	1	0	24	0
Mar-25	1	1	10	0	4	2	0	0	3	22	1
Feb-25	3	3	8	2	1	4	2	0	2	25	0
Jan-25	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Dec-24	1	3	9	0	5	2	0	2	3	25	0
Nov-24	0	3	7	1	3	8	0	0	1	23	0
Oct-24	2	3	18	4	1	4	2	0	0	36	2
Sep-24	1	3	10	1	2	13	0	1	5	37	1
Aug-24	1	1	8	1	2	10	0	1	3	30	3
Jul-24	1	0	12	3	3	3	0	3	2	32	5
Jun-24	2	1	8	0	0	5	1	3	4	26	2
May-24	2	2	11	0	2	8	2	0	2	31	2
Apr-24	0	3	7	0	3	0	1	0	2	16	0
Mar-24	0	2	9	3	2	5	0	0	0	21	0
Feb-24	4	1	24	0	3	0	0	0	2	35	1
Jan 24	0	1	11	1	1	9	1	0	6	30	0
Dec-23	3	4	14	0	0	6	0	0	5	32	0
Nov-23	4	2	13	0	0	3	1	4	2	29	0

Oct -23	1		2		#		1		0		4		2		4		3				0					
Sep-23		0		4		18		1		1		4		3		1		4		37		1				
Aug-23		0		2		11		0		3		10		0		1		3		23		2				
Jul-23	1		1				1		3		5		2		3		3		#		1					
Jun-23		1		5		18		3		2		1		4		2		4		41		1				
May-23		1		4		18		0		0		3		1		5		2		38		4				
Apr-23		4		3		13		1		1		4		0		1		3		32		2				
Mar-23	1		2		#		0		3		2		1		0		2				0					
Feb-23		1		3		16		0		0		3		0		0		2		26		1				
Jan-23		2		2		8		0		0		2		0		0		6		20		0				
Dec-22		1		2		8		1		3		1		0		0		3		19		0				
Nov-22		2		2		5		0		1		0		1		2		0		14		1				
Oct-22	1		2		#		2		0		6		4		5		2		#		3					
Sep-22		3		6		21		0		1		3		1		5		1		44		3				
Aug-22		1		2		13		1		1		6		2		1		0		29		2				
Jul-22		6		2		17		5		2		5		0		0		2		42		3				
Jun-22		2		2		18		0		0		3		0		0		1		29		3				
May-22		0		5		9		0		0		8		0		8		6		39		3				
Apr-22		0		5		11		1		2		4		0		8		0		33		11				
Mar-22		0		4		6		1		0		5		0		1		1		17		0				
Feb-22		0		5		7		2		2		4		0		0		1		23		2				
Jan-22		1		7		11		1		2		8		0		0		1		32		1				
Dec-21		0		0		6		1		1		5		0		4		1		18		0				
Nov-21		5		0		15		2		0		8		1		4		3		40		2				
Oct-21		2		1		21		3		0		15		0		10		1		58		5				
Sep-21		1		1		14		0		0		2		0		3		0		23		2				
Aug-21		0		1		15		1		2		4		1		0		2		26		0				
Jul-21		1		7		11		0		2		4		1		1		1		33		5				
Jun-21		1		7		12		1		5		6		1		0		3		39		3				
May-21		5		2		9		0		0		4		1		0		0		22		1				
Apr-21		3		2		8		0		1		4		0		0		1		19		0				
Mar-21	3		6		#		1		0		1		0		0		1		#		2					
Feb-21		5		5		11		0		0		12		0		0		2		39		4				
Jan-21		2		1		9		1		0		3		1		1		1		23		4				
Dec-20		1		6		5		0		1		2		0		5		3		30		7				
Nov-20		1		0		10		0		0		1		1		5		1		22		3				

Oct-20	3	2	11	1	1	8	0	8	2	38	2
Sep-20	4	3	16	0	0	7	0	3	3	41	5
Aug-20	0	0	7	2	2	7	1	4	3	35	7
Jul-20	1	2	9	1	1	1	0	0	1	22	6
Jun-20	0	4	4	0	1	0	0	0	6	19	4
May-20	3	2	7	0	1	1	1	15	2	33	1
Apr-20	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	7	4	26	6
Mar-20	4	0	12	1	0	1	0	0	3	27	6
Feb-20	1	4	13	0	1	4	1	4	4	30	2
Jan-20	0	0	12	0	1	1	0	0	0	16	2
Dec-20	0	2	9	1	3	0	0	0	1	22	6
Nov-19	2	5	5	1	2	4	0	0	3	30	8
Oct-19	1	5	8	1	0	4	1	0	1	29	8
Sep-19	1	1	7	1	0	3	0	3	2	19	1
Aug-19	2	4	11	0	1	0	1	1	1	21	0
Jul-19	3	1	6	1	2	4	3	0	1	24	3
Jun-19	2	10	9	0	2	2	0	0	2	29	1
May-19	3	6	8	0	1	1	1	1	3	29	5
Apr-19	0	5	18	0	1	4	0	0	1	32	3
Mar-19	0	1	10	2	3	1	0	0	3	25	5
Feb-19	0	1	8	0	1	2	0	4	1	23	6
Jan-19	2	3	9	1	4	1	1	0	2	23	0
Dec-19	0	0	6	0	2	1	1	0	3	13	0
Nov-18	1	7	12	0	1	2	0	2	2	31	4
Oct-18	1	4	8	0	1	3	0	1	1	23	4
Sep-18	2	4	13	0	6	4	1	0	2	34	2
Aug-18	0	3	9	1	4	3	0	0	1	23	2
Jul-18	0	1	8	0	2	4	0	0	2	17	0
Jun-18	3	0	18	0	1	0	0	0	2	28	4
May-18	0	4	10	1	0	0	1	21	2	41	3
Apr-18	0	6	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	26	13
Mar-18	2	7	8	1	2	3	0	2	1	26	0
Feb-18	1	2	6	2	0	1	0	0	3	15	0

Jan-18	**2**	**2**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**22**	**1**
Dec-18	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**5**	**15**	**0**
Nov-17	**0**	**4**	**18**	**0**	**1**	**7**	**0**	**5**	**4**	**40**	**0**
Oct-17	**2**	**2**	**11**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**6**	**0**	**23**	**1**
Sep-17	**0**	**1**	**16**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**26**	**3**
Aug-17	**2**	**0**	**13**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**30**	**3**
Jul-17	**2**	**1**	**11**	**1**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**2**	**33**	**1**
Jun-17	1	**2**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**9**	**2**	**25**	**1**
May-17	**2**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**1**	**10**	**2**	**44**	**5**
Apr-17	**4**	**3**	**16**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**17**	**1**	**48**	**11**
Mar-17	**0**	**1**	**13**	**0**	**2**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**33**	**4**
Feb-17	**0**	**0**	**16**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**5**
Jan-17	1	7	9	0	4	11	1	4	3	40	0
Dec-16	4	1	22	2	7	2	1	0	3	43	1
Nov-16	1	1	10	0	2	5	0		1	25	5
Oct-16		2	11		3	8			2	29	3
Sep-16	4	2	18	4		5	1	0	0	35	3
Aug-16	1	2	8	0	3	9	2	0	2	27	6
Jul-16	2	1	8	0	2	1	0	4	0	23	9
Jun-16	4	1	11		4	4			2	32	6
May-16	2	5	16	0	2	10	2	5	5	59	18
Apr-16	1	1	13	1	4	6			4	54	23
Mar-16	0	1	5	0	2	12	0	0	2	24	7
Feb-16	1	4	10	1	3	1	0	5	3	23	1
Jan-16	3	3	13	0	5	7	2	2	1	30	0
Dec 15	0	1	16	0	2	1	0	2	1	21	0
Nov 15	1	3	7	1	1	1	1	0	1	17	1
Oct-15	2	9	14	2	1	0	1	3	0	28	2
Sep-15	2	4	17	1	0	5	2	9	0	32	0
Aug-15	3	12	10	1	5	8	0	0	5	44	1
Jul-15	4	2	11	0	1	3	1	3	1	26	0
Jun-15	1	7	7	1	2	13	0	4	3	34	0
May-15	2	3	16	1	0	14	1	0	2	39	0

Apr-15	3	1	15	0	2	4	0	2	2	21	3
Mar-15	2	1	8	0	0	2	0	2	2	18	1
Feb-15	1	5	10	0	0	2	0	4	1	24	5
Jan-15	1	2	7	1	0	4	0	2	2	21	3
Dec-15	1	3	7	0	4	7		1	2	24	1
Nov-14	1	6	6	0	2	0	0	0	5	22	2
Oct-14	2	1	27	0	2	1	2	1	1	38	1
Sep-14	3	6	8	0	3	3	0	4	1	26	3
Aug-14	1	2	11	5	1	1	1	2	1	35	13
Jul-14	1	6	11	0	0	11	2	11	2	33	10
Jun-14	0	5	12	0	0	1	1	4	2	23	
May-14	0	3	14	0	4	0	1	6	0	20	
Apr-14	2	3	19	1	3	2	0	25	0	34	
Mar-14	1	9	13	2	0	2	4	1	0	31	
Feb-14	2	1	7	1	1	1	1	0	2	16	
Jan-14	1	2	10	2		2	1		3	19	
Dec-13	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1		1	
Nov-13	2	0	11	1	0	3	0	2		19	
Oct-13	2	3	14	0	8	1	1	2		32	
Sep-13	4	1	16	0	5	1	0	0		30	
Aug-13	4	0	7	0	7	1	2	17		26	
Jul-13	1	0	11	0	8	3	1	5		24	
Jun-13	2	4	12	0	5	2	0	1		25	
May-13	1	1	10	0	4	16	4	4		29	
Apr-13	3	1	6	2	3	8	1	5		24	
Mar-13	0	3	16	0	2	8	0	1		29	
Feb-13	0	3	12	1	1	2	1	0		20	
Jan-13	1	3	6	0	0	0	0	0		19	
Dec-12	1	5	4	0	2	2	1	1		16	
Nov-12	2	6	10	1	0	3	0	3		19	
Oct-12	2	7	11	1	3	2	2	6		28	
Sep-12	1	6	15	2	2	0	2	1		27	
Aug-12	1	4	9	1	3	0	0	1		16	
Jul-12	1	3	3	1	4	1	1	1		23	