

**STATE OF UTAH
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH**

Section 401 Water Quality Certification No. DWQ-2025-11001

Project Proponents: Brady Fredrickson
Planning Director
Salt Lake City International Airport
P.O. Box 145550
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Project: The Salt Lake City International Airport (the Project Proponent) is proposing the Airport Surplus Canal Relocation Project (Proposed Project), an essential infrastructure expansion situated on 280 acres at the former Wingpointe Golf Course in Salt Lake City, Utah. This project is designed to accommodate increasing aviation traffic, meet rising parking demand, and enhance airfield safety through the construction of a South End-Around Taxiway (SEAT) and a large-capacity parking facility for passengers and employees. Additional project elements include a new access road, a stormwater detention pond, a pump station, a sewer lift station, and necessary road realignments.

The development will result in 62.33 acres of permanent impacts to aquatic resources, which includes 38.69 acres (15,817 linear feet) of the Surplus Canal, North Point Canal, and onsite ponds, as well as 23.64 acres of wetlands that will be permanently filled. While various alternative sites and designs were evaluated, they were deemed infeasible due to stringent aviation safety, security, and operational requirements. To compensate for these aquatic impacts, the Project Proponent proposes to purchase credits from the Machine Lake Wetland Mitigation Bank. Furthermore, the Proponent commits to obtaining all necessary permits and implementing construction measures to minimize disturbances outside the project footprint.

Location: The project is located at West Terminal Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah. The approximate project location is Latitude 40.769964 degrees, Longitude -111.975989 degrees.

Watercourse(s): The Surplus Canal, North Canal, ponds and wetlands within the Jordan River Watershed.

USACE Section 404: SPK-2004-50019

Effective Date: Month, Day, Year

PV DRAFT

Table of Contents

I.	Definitions	4
II.	Acronyms	5
III.	Executive Summary	5
IV.	Background	6
V.	Aquatic Resource Impacts	7
VI.	Certification Conditions	8
VII.	Condition Justification and Citation	9
VIII.	Disclaimers	13
IX.	Public Notice and Comments	13
X.	Water Quality Certification	14

PND DRAFT

I. Definitions

- A. **Blue Ribbon Fishery:** status administered by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources and the Blue Ribbon Advisory Council that indicates the waterbody has high quality in the following attributes: fishing, outdoor experience, fish habitat, and economic benefits.
- B. **Beneficial Use Classes** are how waters of the state are grouped and classified to protect against controllable pollution the beneficial uses designated within each class. UAC R317-2-6.
- C. **Category 1 Waters** are *“Waters which have been determined by the Board to be of exceptional recreational or ecological significance or have been determined to be a State or National resource requiring protection, shall be maintained at existing high quality through designation, by the Board after public hearing, as Category 1 Waters.”* UAC R317-2-3.2
- D. **Category 2 Waters** *“are designated surface water segments which are treated as Category 1 Waters except that a point source discharge may be permitted provided that the discharge does not degrade existing water quality.”* UAC R317-2-3.3
- E. **Designated Beneficial Uses** means a water’s present most reasonable uses, grouped by use classes to protect the uses against controllable pollution. Beneficial uses designated within each class are described in Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-6 and waterbodies beneficial uses can be found in UAC R317-2-13. For the purposes of this document, the term “designated beneficial uses” will be used to describe all uses required to be protected by Utah water quality standards and antidegradation policy.
- F. **Director Notification** means submittal of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) application and any supplemental attachments to the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Director of the Utah Division of Water Quality (DWQ) for review.
- G. **Existing Uses** *“means those uses actually attained in a water body on or after November 28, 1975, whether or not they are included in the water quality standards.”* UAC R317-1-1. *“If a situation is found where there is an existing use which is a higher use (i.e., more stringent protection requirements) than that current designated use, the Director will apply the water quality standards and anti-degradation policy to protect the existing use.”* UAC R317-2-3.
- H. **Level I Antidegradation Review (ADR):** *“is conducted to insure that existing uses will be maintained and protected.”* UAC R317-2-3.5
- I. **Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR)** is conducted to insure that water quality degradation is necessary and that the proposed activity is documented to be both economically and socially important. Level II ADRs are required for any activity that’s impacts are not considered temporary and limited and is likely to result in degradation of water quality.
- J. **Project Proponent** *“means the applicant for license or permit or entity seeking certification.”* 40 CFR §121.1.
- K. **Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)** *“means the maximum amount of a particular pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet state water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources.”* UAC R317-1-1

- L. **Waters of the United States (WOTUS)** means waterbodies subject to the provisions of the Clean Water Act.
- M. **303(d) list** is a state’s list of impaired and threatened waters, including but not limited to; streams, lakes, and reservoirs adopted to implement the Clean Water Act Section 303(d).

II. Acronyms

AU – Assessment Unit
BMPs – Best Management Practices
CFR – Code of Federal Regulations
CWA – Clean Water Act
CY – cubic yards
DEQ – Utah Department of Environmental Quality
DWQ – Utah Division of Water Quality
EO – Executive Order
EIS – Environmental Impact Statement
EPA – Environmental Protection Agency
mg/L – milligrams per liter
MS4 – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
NEPA – National Environmental Policy Act
NOI – Notice of Intent
NTU – Nephelometric Turbidity Units
RGP – regional general permit
SWPPP – stormwater pollution prevention plan
TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Load
TSS – total suspended solids
UAC – Utah Administrative Code
UPDES – Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
USACE – U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
WQC – Water Quality Certification
WQS – Utah Water Quality Standards
WOTUS – Waters of the United States

III. Executive Summary

Pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA 33 U.S.C. Section 1251 et seq., the DWQ grants Water Quality Certification (Certification) to all USACE issued National Energy Emergency Activities Regional General Permit (RGP) in Utah proposed by 90 FR 26100 except those that involve dam maintenance/rehabilitation or reservoir dewatering. Certification is subject to the conditions outlined in this document and adherence to the Sacramento Districts Regional Conditions, and adherence to any conditions outlined in the proposed RGP. The conditions outlined in this Certification are necessary to assure compliance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and/or other applicable laws and regulations adopted for state primacy of the CWA.

DWQ’s conditions are based on and are necessary to comply with applicable state rules. Specifically, the following Utah rules represent overarching considerations that require the conditions outlined by this document to apply to the USACE Section 404 RGP: Utah’s rules promulgating standards of quality for waters of the State affirm “*it shall be unlawful and a violation of these rules for any person to discharge or place any wastes or other substances in such manner as may interfere with designated uses protected by assigned classes or to cause any of the applicable standards to be violated*” UAC R317-2-7.1.a. Additionally, “*all actions to control waste discharges under these rules shall be modified as necessary to protect downstream designated uses*” UAC R317-2-8. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed

discharge “*impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6*” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “*exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7*” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “*fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7*” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3.

On July 9, 2025, Merissa Davis with Bowen Collins and Associates requested a pre-filing meeting with DWQ for the Proposed Project. DWQ attended a pre-filing meeting with Merrissa Davis on July 10, 2025, for the Proposed Project. DWQ received a complete 401 Certification request on November 20, 2025, for the Proposed Project. The USACE determined the reasonable period of time (RPOT) for DWQ to act on the certification request is six months. The certification will be considered waived if DWQ does not act on the request by May 20, 2026.

IV. Background

The Salt Lake City International Airport (the Project Proponent) proposes expanding its parking facilities and constructing a South End-Around Taxiway (SEAT). The Airport Surplus Canal Relocation Project (the Proposed Project) is intended to accommodate increasing airport traffic and address safety concerns for aircraft. The Proposed Project will be situated along the north side of Interstate 80 and the east side of exit 115B to North Terminal Drive in Salt Lake City, Utah. The Proposed Project footprint is approximately 280 acres and is located on the former site of the Wingpointe Golf Course.

The Proposed Project includes a parking area with approximately 8,200 parking stalls, each measuring 380 square feet, to serve both airport employees and passengers. These additional lots are intended to accommodate the anticipated growth in aviation activity. The SEAT will be constructed to provide fully independent taxi and runway operations in all weather conditions. While the SEAT itself will be about 135 feet wide the required grading and clearance expand its footprint to approximately 285 feet. This design will optimize airfield circulation, reduce traffic congestion, and enhance safety by minimizing the risk of collisions. An access road will be built along the SEAT’s perimeter. Additional project elements include road realignment and the construction of a stormwater detention pond, pump station, and sewer lift station.

The Proposed Project will result in permanent impacts to the North Point Canal, Surplus Canal, and several ponds and wetlands within the project area. To facilitate the SEAT and expanded parking, the canal system will be relocated. The Surplus Canal, currently north of the project site, will be realigned to run adjacent to the North Point Canal along the southern project boundary. Both canals will maintain their flow and functionality. The new alignment for the North Point Canal Conveyance System will include a ponded section to replicate current site conditions and realignment to the west side of the property. Overall, 38.69 acres (15,817 linear feet) of canal and ponds will be permanently affected. Approximately 284,300 cubic yards of material will be placed in the current Surplus Canal alignment, 29,400 cubic yards in the North Point Canal Conveyance System, and 24,900 cubic yards in the existing ponds. The project will also cause permanent impacts to wetlands adjacent to the canals and within the project area, totaling 23.64 acres—23.36 acres for the SEAT and 0.28 acres for the parking area. The combined permanent impacts to aquatic resources for the Airport Surplus Canal Relocation Project total 62.33 acres.

The Project Proponent states that alternatives to avoid and minimize impacts to Waters of the United States (WOTUS) were thoroughly considered but did not satisfy the project’s purpose and need. Three alternative sites were evaluated during planning, but none were available or suitable. Site selection was further constrained by specific safety and security requirements. Ultimately, the impacts to waterways and wetlands are necessary to meet project specifications and reduce safety conflicts between runways and wildlife. An

alternative parking garage was also considered to reduce environmental impacts, but this option proved infeasible. As compensatory mitigation, the Project Proponent proposes purchasing credits from the Machine Lake Wetland Mitigation Bank. The proponent also commits to securing all required permits and implementing measures to minimize impacts during construction. Because of the site's history of contamination, a Treated Groundwater Surface Water General Permit may be required for dewatering activities. Additional steps will be taken to limit disturbance to surrounding wetlands.

V. Aquatic Resource Impacts

All Waters of the State of Utah (defined in UAC R317-1-1) are protected from pollutant discharges that affect water quality by narrative standards (see UAC R317-2-7.2); broadly, discharges should not become offensive or cause undesirable conditions in human health effects or aquatic life. In addition, some particularly sensitive classes of water are further protected from deleterious effects of specific pollutants by application of numeric criteria to designated beneficial uses of that waterbody. Listed below are the water features, grouped by AUs, impacted by the Project, their associated designated beneficial uses (see UAC R317-2-6 and UAC R317-2-13) and any impairments:

A. Surplus Canal from the Great Salt Lake wetlands to diversion from Jordan River

1. Beneficial Use Designations

- a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- b. Class 3B: Protected for warm water species of game fish and other warm water aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- c. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- d. Class 4: Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

2. Impairments and TMDLs: N/A

3. Antidegradation Review

The Surplus Canal is considered a Category 3 water for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where "point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5", as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the Proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where BMPs would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

B. North Surplus Canal and ponds-AU undefined Great Salt Lake Watershed

1. Beneficial Use Designations

- a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- b. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

2. Impairments and TMDLs: N/A

3. Antidegradation Review

North Canal and ponds within the undefined Great Salt Lake Watershed Assessment Unit are considered Category 3 waters for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where “point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5”, as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the Proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where BMPs would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

C. Wetlands ¹

1. Beneficial Use Designations

- a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- b. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

2. Impairments and TMDLs: N/A

3. Antidegradation Review

Wetlands are considered a Category 3 water for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where “point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5”, as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the Proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where BMPs would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

VI. Certification Conditions

- A. All activities with a potential discharge to WOTUS must implement and maintain BMPs to fully protect the waterbodies assigned beneficial use(s).
- B. Hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials (e.g. oil, gasoline, chemicals, trash, sawdust, etc.) shall not be stored, disposed of, or accumulated or conveyed through adjacent to or in immediate vicinity WOTUS unless adequate measures and controls are provided to ensure those materials would not enter WOTUS in the State of Utah. **Any spill or discharge of oil or other substance which may cause pollution to WOTUS in the State of Utah, including wetlands, must be immediately reported to the Utah DEQ Hotline at (801) 536-4123, a 24-hour phone number.**
- C. All activities shall not cause further degradation of impaired waterbodies, as defined in DWQ’s most recent 303(d) list, regardless of whether a TMDL has been completed. The Project Proponent must review impairments on the waterbodies where the Project has the potential to discharge and is responsible for ensuring that water quality standards are not exceeded and designated beneficial uses are not impaired.

¹ In UAC R317-2-13, all waters not specifically classified are presumptively classified 2B and 3D.

- D. Waterbodies classified as beneficial use class 2B for recreation and 3A for cold water aquatic life cannot increase water turbidity by more than 10 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs). Project activities shall not cause an increase in water turbidity by more than 15 NTUS in waterbodies classified as beneficial use class 3D.
- E. All activities conducted in WOTUS in the State of Utah shall be conducted in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable, by diverting flow utilizing cofferdams, berms constructed of sandbags, clean rock (containing no fine sediment) or other non-erodible, non-toxic material. All diversion materials shall be removed at the completion of the work. The Project Proponent shall consider conducting instream work during low flow conditions and work shall not be conducted during spawning season. Additionally, construction machinery shall not be operated within WOTUS in the State of Utah unless it is unavoidable, in which case it shall be conducted in the “dry” as stated above. The work shall be conducted in a manner to minimize the duration of the disturbance, turbidity increases, substrate disturbance, and minimize the removal of riparian vegetation. Construction machinery shall be clean to prevent the transfer of aquatic invasive species.
- F. Construction activities that disturb either greater than one acre of land, or less than one acre of land and is part of a larger common plan of development that would disturb greater than one acre, are required to obtain coverage under the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) Storm Water General Permit for Construction Activities (Permit No. UTRC00000^[2]). The permit requires the development of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to be implemented and updated from the commencement of any soil disturbing activities at the site, until final stabilization of the project. The SWPPP should include, but not be limited to, final site maps and legible plans, location of storm water outfalls/discharges, and information pertaining to any storm water retention requirements.
- G. Dewatering activities, if necessary during construction, may require coverage under the UPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering (Permit No. UTG070000^[3]) applies to the construction dewatering of uncontaminated groundwater or surface water sources due to construction activities; hydrostatic testing of pipelines or other fluids vessels; water used in disinfection of drinking water vessels; and other similar discharges in the State of Utah that have no discharge of process wastewater. The permit requires submission of a Notice of Intent (NOI); maintenance of a discharge log; development and implementation of a dewatering control plan; and monitoring for Flow, Oil & Grease, pH, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and Chlorine (required when chlorinated water is used and discharged to a stream with a chlorine standard). Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) are required to be submitted monthly, regardless of whether a site discharges in a particular month.

VII. Condition Justification and Citation

- A. Implementation of BMPs. Project approval is conditioned on implementation of BMPs, which are required to be implemented by the antidegradation policy in UAC R317-2-3. Water quality standards could be violated

² <https://deq.utah.gov/water-quality/general-construction-storm-water-updes-permits#general-permit>

³ <https://deq.utah.gov/water-quality/general-construction-storm-water-updes-permits#construction-dewatering-hydrostatic-testing>

unless appropriate BMPs are incorporated to minimize the erosion-sediment and nutrient load. Violations of water quality standards could cause a waterbody to fail to meet its designated beneficial uses. As required by Utah's antidegradation policy UAC R317-2-3.1 "*Existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses.*" As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge "*impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6*" UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., "*exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7*" UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2. or "*fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7*" UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3 when making a Certification decision. If appropriate BMPs are incorporated, there is assurance that the Project will not violate water quality standards or impair a waterbody's beneficial use.

Citation(s): UAC R317-2-3.1, UAC R317-15-6.1, UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3.

- B. Proper Storage of Hazardous and Otherwise Deleterious Materials. Project approval is conditioned on proper storage of hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials, and notification of any discharge of those materials, to assure that water quality and narrative standards are not violated. When projects are occurring in or around waterbodies, there is a chance for pollutants to inadvertently be spilled/discharged into waterbodies due to increased risk from project related activities (e.g. presence of machinery, onsite chemical and gas storage, improper waste storage, and failure to use proper BMPs). To prevent or reduce the possibility that hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials are inadvertently discharged into a waterbody, Project Proponents must not store, dispose of, or accumulated such materials adjacent to or in immediate vicinity of WOTUS unless adequate measures and controls are provided to ensure those materials would not enter waters of the State. If there is a discharge to WOTUS in the State of Utah, it must be immediately reported to the DEQ, as stated in Utah Code Section 19-5-114. An inadvertent discharge of pollutants can cause violations with Utah's Narrative Standards, which states "*It shall be unlawful, and a violation of these rules, for any person to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste; or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures; or determined by biological assessments in Subsection R317-2-7.3*" UAC R317-3-7.2. Utah's rules promulgating standards of quality for waters of the State affirm "*it shall be unlawful and a violation of these rules for any person to discharge or place any wastes or other substances in such manner as may interfere with designated uses protected by assigned classes or to cause any of the applicable standards to be violated*" UAC R317-2-7.1.a. Discharges of pollutants, even inadvertently, could cause both a violation of applicable water quality standards and possibly interfere with a waterbodies designated uses.

Citation(s): Utah Code § 19-5-114, UAC R317-3-7.2, UAC R317-2-7.1.A, UAC R317-15-6.1., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2.

- C. Protection of Impaired Waterbodies. Waters that are impaired and conjunctively on Utah's most up to date 303(d) list are not currently meeting their designated beneficial uses. According to Utah's Final 2024

Integrated Report ⁴ the waters identified as impaired are not meeting their designated beneficial uses because “the concentration of the pollutant- or several pollutants- exceeds numeric water quality criteria, or quantitative biological assessments indicate that the biological designated uses are not supported (Narrative water quality standards are violated).” Utah’s antidegradation policy states “existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses.” UAC R317-2-3.1. In order to ensure that proposed Project meets Utah’s antidegradation policy and that discharges do not further degrade water quality the Project Proponent needs to be aware of the waterbodies assessment, more specifically if the waterbody is impaired and listed on Utah’s most current 303(d) list. If the potential discharge contains pollutants/parameters that the waterbody is listed as impaired for, the Project Proponent needs to take extra precautions to minimize and prevent discharges that could further degrade the waterbodies and prevent the waterbodies from meeting its beneficial and existing uses. Typical pollutants associated with USACE Section 404 permits (e.g. sediment), especially when a waterbody proposed for discharge is impaired, could cause applicable water quality standards to be violated, if appropriate measures are taken. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3. when making a Certification decision.

Citations: UAC R317-2-3.1, UAC R317-2-6, UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., R317-2-7, UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3

- D. Turbidity Increases. Beneficial uses associated with recreation and aquatic life have been assigned numeric criteria for turbidity. An increase of more than 10 NTUs in class 2B and 3A waterbodies above the turbidity of that waterbody would be a violation of instream criteria for waterbodies that have recreation or aquatic life uses. Similarly, an increase of more than 15 NTUs in class 3D waterbodies above the turbidity of that waterbody would be a violation of instream criteria for waterbodies that have aquatic life uses. UAC R317-2-14.1 and UAC R317-2-14.2. Therefore, turbidity increases above those allowed by this Certification could cause the waterbody to fail to meet its designated beneficial use classes. Utah’s antidegradation policy states “existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses” UAC R317-2 3.1. Failure to minimize turbidity increases that result in the failure to maintain beneficial use class 2B or 3A would be considered a violation of Utah’s rules and promulgated standards of quality for waters of the State, specifically Utah’s antidegradation policy found at UAC R317-2-3. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3 when making a certification decision.

Citations: UAC R317-2-3.1, UAC R317-2-3, UAC R317-2-14.1, UAC R317-2-14.2 R317-15-6.1, UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1, UAC R317-15-6.1A.2., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3

- E. Dry Conditions to the Maximum Extent Practicable. Project approval is conditioned on conducting activities under dry conditions to the maximum extent practicable to assure that water quality standards are not exceeded. Construction machinery used within a waterbody can cause significant impacts to water quality if

⁴ <https://deq.utah.gov/water-quality/2024-integrated-report>

adequate precautions are not taken. When it is unavoidable to operate construction machinery within the waterbody the Project Proponent should focus on minimizing the duration of the disturbance, turbidity increase, substrate disturbance, removal of riparian vegetation, and work shall be conducted in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable. Minimizing the duration of impact reduces the chance that the impacts will accumulate and cause significant impacts to water quality. Minimizing turbidity increases is important because the State of Utah has numeric water quality criteria for turbidity in certain use designations, which could be violated if the Project Proponent does not take proper steps to minimize the increases. Water quality criteria for turbidity will be violated if there is an increase of 10 NTUs in waterbodies with designated uses related to recreation and if there is an increase of 10 NTUs (class 3A and 3B) or 15 NTUs (class 3C and 3D) in waterbodies with aquatic wildlife designated uses. UAC R317-2-14.1 and UAC R317-2-14.2. Conducting work in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable will help reduce the risk of the numeric criteria for turbidity to be exceeded, as well as reduce the risk of a significant sediment load being transported downstream. Discharges of sediment can not only violate numeric criteria, but also, risk violating Utah’s narrative standard *“It shall be unlawful, and a violation of these rules, for any person to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste; or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures; or determined by biological assessments in Subsection R317-2-7.3.”* UAC R317-2-7.2. Violations of numeric and narrative criteria could cause a waterbody not to meet its designated beneficial use and a transport of sediment downstream could prevent a downstream waterbody from meeting its designated beneficial uses. As required by Utah’s antidegradation policy UAC R317-2-3.1 *“Existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses”*. Additionally, *“All actions to control waste discharges under these rules shall be modified as necessary to protect downstream designated uses”* UAC R317-2-8. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge *“impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6”* UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., *“exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7”* UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or *“fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7”* UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3 when making a certification decision.

Citation(s): UAC R317-2-3.5., UAC R317-2-7.1.A., UAC R317-2-14.1, UAC R317-2-14.2., UAC R317-2-7.1.a., UAC R317-2-7.2., UAC R317-2-3.1, UAC R317-2-8. , UAC R317-15-6.1, UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1, UAC R317-15-6.1A.2., UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3.

F. UPDES Storm Water General Permit for Construction Activities (Permit No. UTRC00000). UAC R317-8-2.5, gives the Director authority to issue general permits to cover specific categories of discharges, including storm water and construction dewatering that is discharged to a surface water. According to UAC R317-8-3.9 (6)(d), construction activities that result in a land disturbance of equal to or greater than one acre, including clearing, grading, and excavation are “industrial activities” under UAC R317-8-3.9(1)(a) and are therefore required to obtain and comply with a UPDES Permit for storm water discharges. This only applies to projects that meet or exceed one acre of disturbance.

Citation(s): UAC R317-8-3.9(6)(d) and UAC R317-8-3.9(1)(a)

- G. UPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering (Permit No. UTG070000). UAC R317-8-2.5, gives the Director authority to issue general permits to cover specific categories of discharges, including storm water and construction dewatering that is discharged to a surface water. Under the authority granted by UAC R317-8-2.5, the Director issued the General Permit for Construction Dewatering and Hydrostatic Testing, UPDES Permit No. UTG070000 renewed and effective as of February 1, 2020. UPDES Permit No. UTG070000 applies to construction dewatering of uncontaminated groundwater or surface water sources due to construction activities, hydrostatic testing of pipelines or other fluids vessels, water used in disinfection of drinking water vessels and other similar discharges in the State of Utah that have no discharge of process wastewater. This only applies to projects that require dewatering and discharge to surface water.

Citation(s): UAC R317-8-2.5

VIII. Disclaimers

A. Fees

1. The legislatively mandated fee for the 2026 fiscal year is \$125.00/hour for review and issuance of the Section 401 Water Quality Certification. A quarterly invoice will be sent, and your payment is due within 30 days.

B. Disclaimers

1. The Project Proponent must acquire all necessary easements, access authorizations and permits to ensure they are able to implement the Project. This Section 401 Certification does not convey any property rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize access or injury to private property.
2. This Section 401 Certification does not preclude the Project Proponent's responsibility of complying with all applicable Federal, State or local laws, regulations or ordinances, including water quality standards. Permit coverage does not release the project proponent from any liability or penalty, should violations to the permit terms and conditions or Federal or State Laws occur.
3. A Project within a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) jurisdiction must comply with all the conditions required in that UPDES MS4 Permit and associated ordinances. No condition of this Section 401 Certification shall reduce or minimize any requirements provided in the MS4 Permit. In the case of conflicting requirements, the most stringent criteria shall apply.

IX. Public Notice and Comments

As Stated in UAC R317-15-5., this Certification decision is subject to a 30 public notice period. Per UAC R317-15-5 draft certification decisions are subject to thirty (30) day public notice. After considering public comments, the Director may execute the Certification issuance, revise it, or abandon it.

A. Public Notice Dates:

B. Public Notice Comments/Response:

- ### **C. During finalization of the Certification certain dates, spelling edits, and minor language or formatting corrections may have been completed. Due to the nature of these changes, they were not considered major and the Certification will not be Public Noticed again.**

X. Water Quality Certification

The Utah DWQ certifies that if the Project Proponent adheres to the conditions outlined in this Certification and adheres to any USACE Section 404 NWP's Conditions, then the Project will comply with water quality requirements and applicable provisions of the CWA sections 301 (Effluent Limitations), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 303 (Water Quality Standards and Implementation Plans), 306 (National Standards of Performance), and 307 (Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Standards).

Candice A. Hasenyager, P.E., Director

Date

DWQ-2026-002030

P/N D R A F E T