



**THE CITY OF WEST JORDAN  
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE  
MEETING  
March 24, 2026**

[VIEW THE MEETING](#)

8000 S Redwood Road, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
West Jordan, UT 84088

***Welcome to Committee of the Whole meeting!***

*While the Council encourages in-person attendance, you may attend virtually by using the links in the top right corner.*

***WEST JORDAN PUBLIC MEETING RULES***

*To view meeting materials for any agenda item, click the item title to expand it, then select the view icon to access attachments, or visit <https://westjordan.primegov.com/public/portal>*

**WORK SESSION 4:00 pm**

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

**2. DISCUSSION TOPICS**

- a. Discussion on Performing a Sports Venue Study for the West Jordan Rodeo Arena
- b. Discussion of West Jordan City Code – Title 7, Chapter 6 – Electric Bicycles
- c. Discussion on Proposed Amendments to Council Policies and Procedures Rule 4.1, 4.3, 6.2, 9.3, and Appendix C
- d. Post Legislative Session Overview

**3. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS**

*Topics not included on the agenda, brought up for discussion to address matters of importance or items needing prompt attention. Final action on these topics will not be taken at this meeting.*

**4. ADJOURN**

*Please note at the conclusion of this meeting, the Council will convene for its Regular Council meeting.*

**UPCOMING MEETINGS**

- Tuesday, March 31, 2026 – Committee of the Whole (6:00p)
- Tuesday, April 14, 2026 – Committee of the Whole (4:00p) – Regular City Council (7:00p)
- Tuesday, April 28, 2026 – Committee of the Whole (4:00p) – Regular City Council (7:00p)

***CERTIFICATE OF POSTING***

*I certify that the foregoing agenda was posted at the principal office of the public body, on the Utah*

Public Notice website <https://www.utah.gov/pmn/>, on West Jordan City's website <https://westjordan.primegov.com/public/portal>, and notification was sent to the Salt Lake Tribune, Deseret News, and West Jordan Journal.

**Posted and dated March 20, 2026      Cindy M. Quick, MMC, Council Office Clerk**



# REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

**Action:** Request Feedback from Council

**Meeting Date Requested** : 03/24/2026

**Presenter:** Korban Lee, City Administrator

**Deadline of item** : 04/15/2026

**Applicant:**

**Department Sponsor:** Administration

**Agenda Type:** DISCUSSION TOPICS

**Presentation Time:** 15 Minutes

*(Council may elect to provide more or less time)*

## 1. AGENDA SUBJECT

Discussion on Performing a Sports Venue Study for the West Jordan Rodeo Arena

## 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On numerous occasions, the City Council has expressed interest in improving the use and long-term value of the City's rodeo grounds. Ideas raised by individual Council Members have included expanding the arena, adding a multipurpose floor, replacing the stands, or exploring an indoor multi-use facility.

At a prior Committee of the Whole meeting, the Council directed Administration to gather cost information for a possible rodeo arena study. Administration subsequently spoke with six consulting firms experienced in sports and entertainment venue studies, including rodeo arenas and fairground complexes. Preliminary cost estimates range from approximately \$40,000 to \$100,000, depending on the scope.

Those discussions also showed that additional Council direction is needed before a final scope of work or Request for Proposals can be prepared. This discussion is intended to help clarify whether the study should focus on rodeo improvements only or broader venue options, what type of analysis should be included, and whether Administration should return with a future appropriation request for consulting services.

## 3. TIME SENSITIVITY / URGENCY

None at this time

## 4. FISCAL NOTE

The cost estimates to do a study for the City on the Rodeo Arena range between \$40k and \$100k depending on the scope of the study.

## 5. MAYOR RECOMMENDATION

## 6. COUNCIL STAFF ANALYSIS

### Timeline & Background Information

The Council has been discussed the future of the rodeo grounds during broader Veterans Park Master Plan conversations. During a [Committee of the Whole on September 18, 2024](#) Council expressed interest in improving traffic flow and site visibility and requested additional design

options. During a [Committee of the Whole meeting on October 14, 2025](#), City Administrator Korban Lee noted the Council's interest in obtaining greater community value from the site and asked whether the Council supported hiring outside consultants to study the rodeo grounds. Council Members expressed support.

Following Council direction, Administration contacted six consulting firms with experience in sports and entertainment venue studies, including rodeo arenas and fairground complexes. Preliminary cost estimates ranged from \$40,000 to \$100,000, depending on the scope. Those discussions also showed that additional Council direction is needed before staff can prepare a clear Request for Proposals or return with a funding request.

### **Possible Scenarios & Key Tradeoffs**

The study could focus narrowly on improvements to the existing rodeo arena, or it could more broadly evaluate whether a different sports or entertainment venue model would better serve the site. It could also range from a basic feasibility review to a more detailed analysis with site concepts, cost estimates, business planning, and economic impact review.

The main tradeoff is between a narrower, lower-cost study and a broader, more expensive study that may answer more long-term questions. Another tradeoff is whether to prioritize preserving the rodeo identity of the site or exploring options that may bring more frequent use or greater return on investment.

### **Potential Questions & Discussion Points**

- Should the study focus only on rodeo-related improvements, or also consider broader sports and entertainment uses?
- What level of detail does the Council want included in the study?
- Should the study include business planning, economic impact, or both?
- How much public and stakeholder input should be included?
- Should infrastructure, traffic, and utility capacity be part of the study scope?

## **7. POSSIBLE COUNCIL ACTION**

The Council may choose to:

1. Move the item forward to a future Council Meeting for consideration and possible final action;
2. Continue the item to a future Committee of the Whole meeting;
3. As applicable, refer the item to the Planning Commission, a Council Subcommittee, or an Ad Hoc Committee;
4. Table the item indefinitely;
5. Make requests of Council Staff, Administrative Staff, or the Mayor for information by way of four agreeing Council Members.

## **8. ATTACHMENTS**





# REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

**Action:** Request Feedback from Council

**Meeting Date Requested** : 03/24/2026

**Presenter:** Patrick S Boice, Senior Assistant City Attorney

**Deadline of item** :

**Applicant:** Council Members Shelton and Bedore

**Department Sponsor:** Council Office

**Agenda Type:** DISCUSSION TOPICS

**Presentation Time:** 15 Minutes

*(Council may elect to provide more or less time)*

## 1. AGENDA SUBJECT

Discussion of West Jordan City Code – Title 7, Chapter 6 – Electric Bicycles

## 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council had previously requested staff put together an initial draft for a Code amendment addressing the use of electric assisted vehicles on sidewalks and in parks. Staff has met and discussed the issue and a draft proposal has been included in the packet. Direction from the Council at the previous meeting was to incorporate provisions of state law into City Code so that expectations for residents could be made clear. The included proposal addresses the most problematic areas and modes of transport.

[Section 41-6a-1115.5](#) permits a municipality to adopt restrictions on electric assisted bicycles on a sidewalk, path, or trail. On [September 23, 2025](#), the Council voiced the desire to limit the speed of bicycles on those surfaces to 10 miles per hour. Additional clarification in being requested since the last discussion with the council.

## 3. TIME SENSITIVITY / URGENCY

None

## 4. FISCAL NOTE

None

## 5. MAYOR RECOMMENDATION

## 6. COUNCIL STAFF ANALYSIS

### Timeline & Background Information

The Council previously discussed this item during the [September 23, 2025 Committee of the Whole Meeting](#), where they directed staff to draft code for future consideration.

### What You Need To Know – A Plain Language Summary

Purpose of the Proposal: The City Council is asked to consider adopting a new ordinance (Title 7, Chapter 6) regarding the use of electric bicycles (e-bikes) and scooters. The primary goal is to establish clear safety rules for these vehicles on city sidewalks, paths, and trails to prevent conflicts between riders and pedestrians.

**Council Action:** The Council may choose to approve the ordinance as proposed, approve it with amendments (such as adjusting speed limits or age restrictions), or deny it. The proposed ordinance would implement specific speed limits, age requirements, and supervision rules for e-bike users within West Jordan.

**State and Local Compliance:** The proposal complies with Utah State Code 41-6a-1115.5, which explicitly grants municipalities the authority to regulate or restrict e-bike use on sidewalks, paths, and trails within their jurisdiction. The draft ordinance mirrors state defaults regarding age restrictions for Class 3 e-bikes (prohibited for those under 16) but adds specific local restrictions for younger riders.

**Comparison to Neighboring Cities:**

- **Speed Limits:** The West Jordan proposal sets a 10 mph speed limit on sidewalks and trails. This is stricter than some neighboring areas. For example, Park City and the Daybreak community (South Jordan) recently established a 15 mph speed limit on their pathways. Council members may wish to discuss whether 10 mph is the optimal balance for West Jordan or if it may be difficult for cyclists to maintain.
- **Sidewalk Use:** Salt Lake City prohibits riding on sidewalks in downtown areas entirely, whereas South Jordan generally permits e-bikes on sidewalks and trails provided they operate safely.

### **Active Transportation Plan & Transportation Master Plan**

This proposal directly relates to the City's Active Transportation Plan by governing how multi-modal paths are used.

- **Conflict Reduction:** As e-bike popularity grows, the speed differential between motorized bicycles (often 20+ mph) and pedestrians (3 mph) creates safety risks. The proposed 10 mph limit aligns with the goal of keeping paths safe for all ages and abilities, though it may reduce the utility of these paths for commuters seeking efficient travel.
- **Signage:** If approved, the City may need to budget for and install signage along major trails (such as the Jordan River Trail) to inform users of the new speed limit and age restrictions, similar to the educational approach taken by Park City.

### **Possible Scenarios & Key Tradeoffs**

**Safety vs. Efficiency**

- **Scenario A (Strict Safety):** Adopting the 10 mph limit prioritizes pedestrian comfort and safety. It reduces the risk of high-speed collisions. However, it may discourage residents from using e-bikes for commuting or errands, as 10 mph is a relatively slow pace for cycling (analogous to a brisk run).
- **Scenario B (Commuter Utility):** If the limit were raised to 15 mph (matching Park City/Daybreak), it might increase utility for cyclists but could increase the "startle factor" for pedestrians.

**Enforcement vs. Education**

- **Enforcement Challenges:** Enforcing a specific 10 mph speed limit or confirming a rider's exact age (e.g., distinguishing a 13-year-old from a 14-year-old) may be difficult for law enforcement. A "negligent operation" standard (Section 7-6-2 B) is easier to enforce when an accident occurs but harder to use preventatively.

- **Parental Liability:** The ordinance holds parents responsible for supervision and owners responsible for permitting violations. This shifts the burden from police catching juveniles to holding adults accountable, which is a key policy tradeoff.

### **Potential Discussion Points & Questions**

1. Is 10 mph the right speed?
  - *Question:* "Neighboring communities like Park City have adopted a 15 mph limit for trails. Is 10 mph realistic for cyclists to maintain, and do we have data suggesting 10 mph is significantly safer than 15 mph?"
2. Enforcement Feasibility:
  - *Question:* "How does the Police Department intend to enforce the 'under 14 direct supervision' rule? Will this be a primary focus, or largely complaint-driven?"
3. Electric Motorcycles vs. E-Bikes:
  - *Question:* "The ordinance bans electric motorcycles on paths (Section 7-6-2 G). Since many modern e-bikes look like motorcycles (e.g., Sur-Rons), are our definitions clear enough for officers to distinguish between a legal Class 2 e-bike and a prohibited electric motorcycle?"
4. Education Campaign:
  - *Question:* "Before issuing citations, should we plan for a grace period or an educational campaign (e.g., signage, social media) to inform residents of these new age and speed restrictions?"

### **Applicable Guiding Principles from the General Plan**

#### TRANSPORTATION

- Provide a safe and efficient multi-modal transportation system.

#### PARKS, RECREATION, AND OPEN SPACE

- Provide relief from the asphalt, concrete, steel, and vehicular environments of a suburban city.

#### LAND USE

- Land use designs must promote quality of life, safety, and good urban design.

## **7. POSSIBLE COUNCIL ACTION**

The Council may choose to:

1. Move the item forward to a future Council Meeting for consideration and possible final action;
2. Continue the item to a future Committee of the Whole meeting;
3. As applicable, refer the item to the Planning Commission, a Council Subcommittee, or an Ad Hoc Committee;
4. Table the item indefinitely;
5. Make requests of Council Staff, Administrative Staff, or the Mayor for information by way of four agreeing Council Members.

## **8. ATTACHMENTS**

Title 7, Chapter 6 – Electric Bicycles

TITLE 7  
MOTOR VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC

CHAPTER 6  
ELECTRIC BICYCLES

SECTION:

7-6-1: Definitions

7-6-2: Restrictions

7-6-3: Penalties

7-6-1: DEFINITIONS:

The words and phrases used in this section shall have the meanings and definitions contained in section 1-3-2 of this code and in Utah Code section 41-6a-102, or its successor provision.

7-6-2: RESTRICTIONS:

- A. An individual may not operate an electric assisted bicycle or a motor assisted scooter on a sidewalk, path, or trail in excess of 10 miles per hour within the city.
- B. An individual may not operate an electric assisted bicycle in a negligent manner or in a way which creates an undue risk to pedestrians or interferes with pedestrian traffic.
- C. An individual under 16 years old may not operate a class 3 electric assisted bicycle.
- D. An individual under 14 years old may not operate an electric assisted bicycle with the motor engaged on any public property, highway, path, or sidewalk unless under the direct supervision of the individual's parent or guardian.
- E. An individual under eight years old may not operate an electric assisted bicycle with the motor engaged on any public property, highway, path, or sidewalk.
- F. An individual under eight years old may not operate a motor assisted scooter with the motor running on any public property, highway, path, or sidewalk.
- G. Electric motorcycles, not properly outfitted for street use and properly licensed, are prohibited on all highways. Electric motorcycles are prohibited from all public property, paths, and sidewalks.
- H. The owner of an electric assisted bicycle may not authorize or knowingly permit an individual to operate an electric assisted bicycle in violation of this section.

7-6-3: PENALTIES:

A violation of this section may be prosecuted as an infraction or as a civil citation.



# REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

**Action:** Request Feedback from Council

**Meeting Date Requested** : 03/24/2026

**Presenter:** Alan Anderson, Council Office Director

**Deadline of item** :

**Applicant:**

**Department Sponsor:** Council Office

**Agenda Type:** DISCUSSION TOPICS

**Presentation Time:** 25 Minutes

*(Council may elect to provide more or less time)*

## 1. AGENDA SUBJECT

Discussion on Proposed Amendments to Council Policies and Procedures Rule 4.1, 4.3, 6.2, 9.3, and Appendix C

## 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council is being asked to consider amendments to Council Rules clarifying existing practices and improve administrative consistency. The proposed changes are based on issues identified during staff trainings and are intended to address specific rules at this time, with additional rule updates available for future Council discussions if directed.

If approved, the amendments would update the Council Rules to better reflect current practice and provide clearer direction for Council Members and staff.

Summary of proposed amendments:

- Rule 4.1 - Attendance of Members
  - Clarified requirements for remote participation in Council meetings by changing “shall attempt to” to “shall” when notifying leadership in advance. This change is intended to ensure adequate notice so meeting technology and participation requirements can be properly managed.
  - Adds a reference to Section 1-6-10(F) in subsection D(v) to clarify the order of the presiding officer.
- Rule 4.3 - Signing Documents
  - Clarifies that a Chair who recuses from a vote may not sign the related ordinance or resolution.
- Rule 6.2 - Council Transition Phase
  - Clarifies expectations regarding current Council Members participation in new Council Member orientation and refines language related to transition procedures and collection of necessary information.
  - Clarifies procedures for departing Council Members, including reimaging City-issued computers, and email accounts are deactivated and archived.
  - Clarifies that retiring Council Members are no longer eligible for conference participation or similar Council-sponsored activities following the end of their term.
- Rule 9.3 - Removal of Agenda Items
  - Clarifies that Council meeting packets are to be entered in PrimeGov two weeks prior to the meeting.

- Provides that any additions or removals within that two-week period are to be considered by the Council Chair and leadership as last-minute changes to the agenda or packet.
- Appendix C – Packet Workflow
  - Broadens the workflow language to include Interlocal agreements and grants within the simple review process.
  - Adds fee waivers and services in lieu of fees to the simple workflow category.
  - Adds the Annual Budget to complex workflow category.

**3. TIME SENSITIVITY / URGENCY**

None

**4. FISCAL NOTE**

None

**5. POSSIBLE COUNCIL ACTION**

The Council may choose to:

1. Move the item forward to a future Council Meeting for consideration and possible final action;
2. Continue the item to a future Committee of the Whole meeting;
3. As applicable, refer the item to the Planning Commission, a Council Subcommittee, or an Ad Hoc Committee;
4. Table the item indefinitely;
5. Make requests of Council Staff, Administrative Staff, or the Mayor for information by way of four agreeing Council Members.

**6. ATTACHMENTS**

Council Rules and Appendix C (legislative)

## 4.1 Attendance of Members

- A. Council Members are expected to attend all regularly scheduled City Council meetings, Committee of the Whole Meetings, and any Special meetings as reasonably practicable. In person attendance of Council Members at such meetings is preferred.
  - i. Remote/electronic attendance is encouraged when a Council Member's in person attendance is not feasible.
- B. Anticipated absences shall be communicated to the Council Office Director and Council Chair in advance or as soon as a Council Member recognizes they have a conflict.
- C. To participate remotely/electronically in a meeting, a Council Member shall ~~attempt to~~ provide advance notice to the Council Chair and the Council Director (or the Council Director's designee) at least 24-hours prior to the meeting.
- D. A quorum of the city council for Open Public Meetings Act (OPMA) purposes is defined as four or more members participating in a meeting, whether in person, electronic, or a combination of both.
  - i. Council members attending in person are visually seen entering and exiting the meeting and their attendance can be noted by the Council Staff for minutes.
  - ii. Council member(s) attending electronically shall remain active participants in the meeting.
    - a. If a Council Member attending electronically must step away, either momentarily or for the duration of the meeting, the Council Staff and Council Chair must be immediately notified both upon the Council Member's exit and re-entry.
  - iii. Council Members participating electronically, are encouraged to leave their cameras on.
  - iv. If technical or environmental issues prevent a Council Member from maintaining their camera on, Council Members are permitted to turn off their camera but should remain an active participant in the meeting and notify the Council Chair and Council Office Director of the issue.
  - v. If the presiding officer is participating remotely/electronically, but four (4) or more Council Members are present at an anchor location, the presiding officer defined in West Jordan City Code §§[1-6-10\(E\)](#) ~~and (F)~~ shall Chair the meeting.

## 4.3 Signing Documents

- A. The Council Chair shall sign all ordinances, resolutions, contracts, and other documents adopted by the City Council requiring an official signature.



A.i. If the council chair recuses themselves from action on the agenda item, the vice-chair or past-chair must sign that Ordinance or Resolution where the chair was recused.

- B. If the Council Chair is unavailable, the Vice Chair may sign documents listed in subsection (A).
- C. If the Council Chair and Vice Chair are unavailable, the Council Past-Chair may sign documents listed in subsection (A).
- D. If members of Council Leadership are unavailable, any willing Council Member who was present at the Council meeting where the City Council adopted the ordinance, resolution, contract, or other document may sign on behalf of the City Council.

## 6.2 Council Transition Phase

- A. The period between the November Board of Canvass meeting and the first Monday of January is considered to be the “Council Transition Phase.”
- B. During the Council Transition Phase, the Council Member(s)-Elect shall:
  - i. be contacted and welcomed by the Council Chair and/or Council Office Director on the first business day following the Board of Canvass meeting;
  - ii. be invited, as an observer, to attend:
    - a. all regular Council Meetings; and
    - b. Committee of the Whole meetings.
  - iii. receive agenda transmittals and related agenda documents from Council Staff;
  - iv. receive copies of routine mail and other materials sent to the Council body from Council Staff;
  - v. prior to the first scheduled meeting in January, receive technology to assist in their duties as a Council Member; and
  - vi. attend a Council Member-elect training hosted by Council Staff and up to three (3) current Council Members prior to January.
- C. Council Staff will be available to Council Member(s)-elect for assistance with various intermediary tasks, such as drafting ~~a-council members~~members' biographybiographies, providing and collecting needed personnel forms, scheduling orientation meetings as requested, scheduling photography sessions and ordering any needed supplies.
- D. During the Council Transition Phase, the out-going Council Member(s) shall:
  - i. prior to noon on the day of the Oath of Office Ceremony, deliver any City-owned equipment to the Council Office Director, including:
    - a. computers and any City-purchased peripherals and accessories;
      - a-1. Computers are to be reimaged and emails deactivated and archived.
    - b. printers;
    - c. software;
    - d. cell phones;
    - e. office keys;

- f. desk keys; and
  - g. official City identification (badges, etc.); and
  - h. no longer be eligible to participate in Council travel for conferences between the time of the Board of Canvass meeting and the Oath of Office ceremony unless:
    - 1. the Council Member secures consent by a majority vote of the City Council in a public meeting, before requesting travel arrangements; or
    - 2.1. the Council Member is attending final sessions of external committee meetings on which the Council Member was the sole Council Member who actively participated while in office or for other good cause shown.
- E. Outgoing Council Members may be recognized in December prior to their term ending.

### 9.3 Removal of Agenda Item

- A. Agenda items may be considered by the Council Chair and council leadership for addition or removal to any regular Council Meeting as long as Council Staff has time to post the revised agenda according to Utah State Code §52-4-202, and within two weeks prior to the meeting; however, if the requestor of the item removal is:
- i. a Council Member who sponsored the item, removal of the item must be approved by the Council Chair after consultation with the council leadership, or by any four Council Members;
  - ii. an administrative staff member who is responsible for the item, removal of the item must be approved by the ~~presiding officer~~Council Chair, or four Council Members; or
  - iii. an applicant who generated the item, removal of the item must be approved by the Council Chair after consultation with council leadership and must be before public hearing notices have been sent.
- B. If an agenda item was removed by request of an applicant prior to public notices being sent, the applicant must provide a new prospective Council Meeting date in which they would like to be heard.
- C. Should an applicant request a public hearing be removed from an agenda after public notices have been sent the Council may:
- i. still hold the noticed public hearing;
  - ii. take formal action after holding the scheduled public hearing;
  - iii. continue the public hearing to a future date; or
  - iv. take other action allowed under city or state code.

D. Should the applicant refuse to request a new date, the application will be considered withdrawn.

# Item Workflows

|                | Simple Workflow  | Typical Workflow   | Complex Workflow   |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| COTW           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not required</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes – one or more</li> </ul>  |
| Agenda Item    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consent</li> <li>• Business Item</li> <li>• Public Hearing</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consent</li> <li>• Business Item</li> <li>• Public Hearing</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consent</li> <li>• Business Item</li> <li>• Public Hearing</li> </ul>   |
| Origin/Sponsor | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff/Admin/Legal</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any two council members</li> <li>• Staff/Admin/Legal</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any two council members</li> <li>• Staff/Admin/Legal</li> </ul>   |
| Assessments    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Common knowledge of parties</li> <li>• Minimal debate expected</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need deliberation or input</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technical discussion (deliberation or input needed; not common knowledge)</li> </ul>  |
| Examples       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Renewing</del> interlocal agreements (ILA) and <del>renewing</del> grants</li> <li>• Code amendments (small sections of code and amendments)</li> <li>• Budget amendments</li> <li>• <u>Fee waivers</u></li> <li>• <u>Service in lieu of fees</u></li> </ul>                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most land use</li> <li>• Code amendments (multiple sections or a chapter)</li> <li>• MDA/MDP</li> <li>• Rezone</li> <li>• Land map amendments</li> <li>• Need executive staff time or more than 60 minutes</li> <li>• Direction to spend money</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some land use</li> <li>• Code amendments (titles or multiple sections with expected council deliberations or input)</li> <li>• MDA/MDP</li> <li>• Some rezones</li> <li>• <u>Master plans as needed</u></li> <li>• <u>Annual Budget</u></li> </ul>                              |
| Outcomes       | <p><b>Possible Council motions from CC Meeting:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approve or deny;</li> <li>• Continue to future date;</li> <li>• Move to unspecified date;</li> <li>• Refer to COTW, Council subcommittee or ad hoc committee;</li> <li>• Refer to Council staff or Administrative staff.</li> </ul> |  | <p><b>Possible Council direction from COTW meeting:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move to future CC Meeting for possible action;</li> <li>• Continue to future COTW;</li> <li>• Refer to Planning Commission, Council subcommittee, or an ad hoc committee;</li> <li>• Table indefinitely.</li> </ul> |