

2
3 Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on
4 Tuesday, March 3, 2026, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North
5 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 p.m. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are
6 televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel
7 at: [go.loganutah.gov/CouncilMeetings](https://www.go.loganutah.gov/CouncilMeetings)

8
9 Councilmembers present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Mike Johnson, Vice
10 Chair Ernesto López, Councilmember Jeannie F. Simmonds and Councilmember Katie
11 Lee-Koven. Administration present: Mayor Mark A. Anderson, City Attorney Craig
12 Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, and City Recorder Teresa Harris.

13 Excused: Councilmember Melissa Dahle.

14 Chair Johnson welcomed those present. There were approximately 24 people in
15 attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

16 **OPENING CEREMONY:**

17 Chair Johnson led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

18 **Meeting Minutes.** Minutes of the Council meeting held on February 17, 2026 were
19 reviewed and approved.

20
21 **Meeting Agenda.** Chair Johnson announced there are no public hearings scheduled for
22 tonight's Council meeting.

23
24 **ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Councilmember Lee-**
25 **Koven to approve minutes from the February 17, 2026 Council meeting and the**
26 **March 3, 2026 agenda as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

27 **Dahle: Excused**

28 **Johnson: Aye**

29 **Lee-Koven: Aye**

30 **López: Aye**

31 **Simmonds: Aye**

32
33 **Meeting Schedule.** Chair Johnson announced that regular Council meetings are held on
34 the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 p.m. The next regular Council meeting is
35 Tuesday, March 17, 2026.

36
37 **QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL: (3:10)**

38
39 **Chair Johnson explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not**
40 **otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the**
41 **microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should**

42 be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by
43 the Council Chair. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the
44 time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items and items
45 that are germane or relevant to the authority of the City Council. Items brought
46 forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond to
47 outside of the City Council meeting.

48 There were no comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

49 **MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:**

50 No Mayor/Staff Reports were provided.

51

52 **COUNCIL BUSINESS: (4:04)**

53

54 **Logan Youth City Council Report – Chair Johnson**

55

56 Vice Chair López reported that in January a group from the Youth Council attended
57 Local Officials Day at the Capitol. Approximately eleven students participated, with
58 assistance from Teresa Harris in registering the students. Due to the busy schedules of
59 many Youth Council members, participation had to be limited. Youth Council members
60 were invited to share highlights of their experience at this time.

61

62 Youth Council member Madden Hebdon, a junior at Logan High School, expressed
63 appreciation to the Council for supporting the students' attendance at Local Officials Day
64 at the Capitol. He reported that the experience provided an opportunity for students to
65 meet with their local senator and representative. A copy of *The Five Levels of*
66 *Leadership* by John C. Maxwell was donated to each member of the Logan Youth City
67 Council. Madden noted that students were also able to interact with members of other
68 youth city councils and described the event as a valuable and positive experience.

69

70 Youth Council member Hyrum Martin, a sophomore at Logan High School, shared that
71 participation in Youth Council and attending Local Officials Day at the Capitol has been
72 a valuable experience as he is interested in pursuing a career in politics or public service.
73 He noted that involvement in community activities, including volunteering at the
74 Warming Center, has helped him better understand community needs.

75

76 Hyrum stated that he regularly watches City Council meetings and asks questions of staff
77 regarding workshop items and council processes, which has helped him learn more about
78 how local government operates. He expressed appreciation to the Council for supporting
79 Youth Council members with opportunities such as attending Local Officials Day and
80 meeting with legislators.

81

82 He also noted that his participation in speech and debate has allowed him to connect
83 topics discussed at the state and federal levels with issues debated in his competitions,
84 including legislation related to corporate ownership of single-family homes. He thanked
85 the Council again for the opportunity and support provided to Youth Council members.

86
87 Youth Council member Mia Zecena, shared highlights from Local Officials Day at the
88 Capitol. She noted that students had the opportunity to visit the House chamber, where
89 the Logan Youth City Council received recognition during the legislative session.
90 Mia also explained that students participated in a scavenger-hunt style activity around the
91 Capitol that encouraged them to meet and interact with members of other youth councils
92 and learn more about the Capitol building and legislative process. She stated that students
93 had the opportunity to speak with their legislative representatives, who also provided
94 books to the Youth Council members. Additionally, the group was able to remain for
95 remarks from Governor Spencer Cox, which Mia described as a memorable experience.

96
97 Vice Chair López added that Representative Jason Thompson and Chris Wilson spent
98 time meeting with the group, with Representative Thompson speaking with the students
99 for approximately thirty minutes and sharing both personal experiences and insights
100 about serving as a representative for the community. The experience was described as
101 valuable and meaningful for the Youth Council members.

102 103 **Planning Commission Update – Councilmember Simmonds**

104
105 Councilmember Simmonds provided a report on a recent Planning Commission meeting.
106 She stated that the Planning Commission reviewed four projects at its most recent
107 meeting, two of which were resubmittals. These projects had previously been approved
108 but expired because building permits were not obtained within the required timeframe.
109 The first resubmitted project is located at 655 East 400 North in the Campus Residential
110 (CR) zone and will be developed as student housing. The second project, located at 651
111 East 600 North, also in the Campus Residential zone, will likewise provide student
112 housing. The development at 400 North is expected to accommodate a larger number of
113 students than the project on 600 North.

114
115 The third item approved was an expansion and upgrade to Island Market. The proposal
116 includes removing the existing roof over the sidewalk and adding approximately 491
117 square feet to the building. The improvements will also include additional green space
118 and designated parking areas.

119
120 The fourth item involved a proposal related to the Public Works facility on 1000 West.
121 The existing building has reached the end of its useful life and is no longer able to
122 adequately accommodate some of the City’s larger equipment. The Planning Commission
123 approved Phase One of a new campus plan that will serve Public Works, Power and

124 Light, and Parks and Recreation. Phase One includes construction of repair bays, a truck
125 wash, and a storage building for Parks and Recreation equipment.
126 The Council expressed appreciation to Councilmember Simmonds for attending the
127 Planning Commission meetings and providing updates.

128

129 **Council Announcements – Chair Johnson**

130

131 Councilmember Simmonds reported that the Library Board recently held a productive
132 meeting. The Board discussed the increasing popularity of the library’s makerspace,
133 which has experienced significant growth in use after a slower start. Due to the rising
134 demand, there is concern about having knowledgeable staff available to assist patrons
135 with the equipment. The Board discussed the possibility of offering classes or training
136 opportunities to help users learn how to operate the machines and plans to continue
137 working toward solutions.

138

139 Councilmember Simmonds also reported attending a Council of Governments (COG)
140 training in Cache County. The Council of Governments is composed of the mayors
141 within the county and works closely with the Cache Metropolitan Planning Organization
142 (CMPO). The CMPO receives transportation planning funding, while the Council of
143 Governments also receives a portion of county sales tax dedicated to road projects. The
144 group is responsible for helping implement transportation projects that align with the
145 county’s master transportation plan. The training included participation from several
146 newly elected mayors and provided helpful information about the role and
147 responsibilities of the organization.

148

149 Vice Chair López reported that members of the Youth Council recently visited the
150 Warming Center. The visit provided an opportunity for students to better understand how
151 the facility operates and the services it provides to individuals experiencing vulnerability
152 in the community. He noted that the experience was insightful and expressed appreciation
153 that such a resource is available in Logan.

154

155 Vice Chair López also shared that in recent weeks they had received several inquiries,
156 requests, and complaints from constituents. He encouraged residents to continue reaching
157 out to City Council members when concerns arise. As an example, he described a
158 situation involving a winter parking citation issued to a resident who had a valid winter
159 parking permit. After reviewing the matter, it was determined that the issue resulted from
160 a license plate discrepancy. He noted that communication from residents can help bring
161 attention to issues and allow them to be addressed more quickly.

162

163 Chair Johnson reported that he is attending the Legislative Policy Committee (LPC)
164 meetings each Monday during the state legislative session. The committee, which
165 includes representatives from Utah cities, towns, and utilities, reviews legislation being
166 considered at the state level. He noted that the committee discusses a large number of

167 bills during the session and highlighted several measures of interest, including proposals
168 related to property tax policy and potential limits on the amount of reserve funds
169 municipalities may hold. These issues may have implications for cities and will continue
170 to be monitored as the legislative session progresses.

171

172 Chair Johnson mentioned House Bill 514 – Utah Energy Council Amendments, which
173 discusses the potential creation of a special district that could allow the state to have a
174 role in owning or supporting energy production facilities.

175

176 Additionally, Chair Johnson reported that Mayor Anderson and members of the City
177 Council have begun holding monthly open office hours for residents. These meetings
178 take place on the first Tuesday of each month at 4:30 p.m. in the City Hall conference
179 room. The first session was held today and provided an opportunity for residents to
180 discuss community topics directly with city officials. Council Members will rotate
181 participation in the monthly office hours moving forward.

182

183 Councilmember Lee-Koven reported that a Website Redesign Committee has been
184 formed with Mayor Anderson, staff members, herself and Chair Johnson. The committee
185 is in the early stages of reviewing the City’s website to evaluate what is working well and
186 where improvements may be needed. The group plans to review other municipal websites
187 and best-practice models as part of the process. She noted that the effort responds to
188 community interest in improving the City’s website and enhancing accessibility and
189 usability.

190

191 Councilmember Lee-Koven also mentioned a General Plan neighborhood meeting held
192 with residents of the Hillcrest neighborhood at Hillcrest Elementary School. The meeting
193 was part of the City’s ongoing outreach related to the General Plan update. She was
194 unable to attend and therefore could not provide additional details about the discussion.

195

196 Chair Johnson reported that he and Councilmember Simmonds attended the meeting and
197 stated that the engagement activities were effective in encouraging participation. He said
198 that Aaron Smith facilitated a hands-on format that allowed residents to interact and share
199 ideas, which helped generate thoughtful input from those in attendance. Council
200 Members expressed appreciation for the staff’s efforts in organizing and leading the
201 meeting.

202

203 No further Council Business items were presented.

204

205 **ACTION ITEM:**

206

207 **Consideration of a proposed resolution supporting America 250 and Recognizing**
208 **and Approving the America 250 Committee for the City of Logan –**
209 **Resolution 26-06 - Mayor Anderson (20:47)**

210 Mayor Anderson introduced Resolution 26-06, explaining that it is primarily
211 administrative in nature and necessary for Logan City to participate in the Utah America
212 250 celebration.

213
214 Mayor Anderson stated that in order to participate and gain access to official logos,
215 branding, and related materials associated with the America 250 initiative, the City must
216 establish a local committee. The resolution formally creates the Logan City Utah 250
217 Committee and approves its membership.

218
219 He noted that the timeline required the City to act quickly, so members of the executive
220 committee were invited to serve on the newly formed committee in order to move the
221 process forward efficiently.

222 Through this resolution, Logan City formally:

- 223 1. Recognizes the City of Logan, Utah 250 Committee as its official committee;
- 224 2. Agrees to partner with America250 Utah;
- 225 3. Supports the signature programs of the America250 Utah Commission; and
- 226 4. Supports the City of Logan, Utah 250 Committee in its efforts to educate, engage,
227 and unite residents and visitors in Logan City.

228 **ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Vice Chair López**
229 **to approve Resolution 26-06 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

230 **Dahle: Excused**

231 **Johnson: Aye**

232 **Lee-Koven: Aye**

233 **López: Aye**

234 **Simmonds: Aye**

235

236 **WORKSHOP ITEMS:**

237

238 **JED WILLETS DOWNZONE – Consideration of a proposed downzone. Russ**
239 **Holley/Logan City are requesting a downzone of a .22-acre parcel located at 1354**
240 **North 220 West from Mixed Residential Medium (MR-20) back to Mixed**
241 **Residential Low (MR-12) in the Bridger Neighborhood – Ordinance 26-06 –**
242 **Russ Holley, Community Development Director ([22:10](#))**

243 Community Development Russ Holley presented Ordinance 26-06 a workshop item
244 related to a property with a long zoning history. Mr. Holley explained that approximately
245 ten years ago a property owner purchased land in the MR-12 zone and believed he could
246 construct a duplex on the site. During review, staff discovered the parcel was part of an
247 illegal subdivision, which prevented the project from moving forward as planned.

248 Staff attempted to resolve the subdivision issue with the assistance of then City Attorney
249 Kymber Housley, but after approximately a year and a half without success, the City
250 pursued an upzone to allow the owner to build the duplex as originally intended. The
251 zoning change was approved; however, the owner did not move forward and the approval
252 eventually expired, resulting in the property being downzoned.

253 The owner later returned requesting another upzone to allow development of the duplex.
254 The Council again approved the zoning change, but the owner ultimately determined that
255 a fourplex would be a better fit for the property and allowed the approval to expire. The
256 Council approved a third upzone last year to allow the fourplex.

257 Mr. Holley noted that the Council directed that the zoning should not be extended again if
258 the project did not proceed. As the deadline approached, the property was sold to a new
259 owner, who pulled a building permit approximately two weeks before the zoning
260 approval expired, thereby entitling the property for the fourplex project. As of the
261 meeting date, the permit had been issued but construction had not yet begun. The permit
262 holder has a limited timeframe to initiate construction in order to keep the permit active.

263 Mr. Holley briefly reviewed the property's location and the current zoning map reflecting
264 the MR-20 designation associated with the approved fourplex. Councilmembers
265 acknowledged the lengthy history associated with the property.

266 Mr. Holley explained the request would bring the zoning into alignment with surrounding
267 neighborhood development patterns and reduce allowable density. The applicant intends
268 to construct a single residence on the property. The proposal is consistent with the Future
269 Land Use Plan designation for mixed residential uses and supports neighborhood
270 compatibility.

271 The Planning Commission reviewed the request on February 12, 2026, and voted 6-0 to
272 recommend approval of the downzone. Adjacent land uses include commercial uses to
273 the north and east and residential uses to the south and west. Public notice was provided
274 to property owners within 300 feet of the site, and no public comments were received.

275 The ordinance provides that, if approved, the zoning designation for the subject property
276 will be amended from MR-20 to MR-12 and will become effective upon publication.

277 The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the March 17, 2026
278 Council meeting.

279

280 **MAPLE VIEW SUBDIVISION REZONE – Consideration of a proposed rezone.**
281 **Brad Brown/V Dean Adams & Joyce Y Adams Family Trust, authorized**
282 **agent/owner are requesting a rezone of a 10-acre parcel located at approximately**
283 **350 South 1400 West from Suburban Neighborhood Residential (NR-4) to**

284 **Traditional Mixed Residential Transitional (MR-9) in the Woodruff Neighborhood**
285 **– Ordinance 26-07 – Russ Holley (25:35)**

286 Mr. Holley presented the Maple View Subdivision Rezone request submitted by Brad
287 Brown on behalf of property owners Dean and Joyce Adams for property located at 350
288 South 1400 West, just west of Equestrian Estates. The request involves approximately 10
289 acres of largely vacant land, which currently contains an agricultural barn.

290 The applicant is requesting a rezone from NR-4 (Neighborhood Residential) to MR-9
291 (Mixed Residential). The NR-4 zone allows single-family homes on quarter-acre lots, or
292 approximately four units per acre, while the requested MR-9 zone would allow up to nine
293 units per acre and a mix of housing types including townhomes and duplexes.

294 Mr. Holley noted that the request is only for a zoning change and does not include a
295 subdivision plan or development design at this time.

296 The City's General Plan and Future Land Use Plan (FLUP) designate the area as
297 Detached Residential, which primarily anticipates single-family housing. Staff also
298 identified a landfill overlay zone affecting a small portion of the northwest corner of the
299 property. Residential structures are not permitted within this overlay area due to potential
300 groundwater contamination concerns. Staff suggested the area could instead be used for
301 features such as stormwater retention, open space, or a small neighborhood park.

302 Surrounding development in the area consists primarily of single-family detached homes
303 with varying lot sizes. Nearby neighborhoods include Equestrian Estates, which features
304 larger lots of up to half an acre, and Ashberry, which includes NR-6 zoning with smaller
305 lot sizes. Other nearby developments to the south include a mix of residential types.

306 Mr. Holley reported receiving two written comments after the staff report was issued.
307 Additionally, approximately a dozen residents attended the Planning Commission
308 meeting, with about nine individuals speaking. Most comments expressed concern that
309 the proposed MR-9 zoning would be incompatible with the surrounding single-family
310 neighborhood pattern.

311 After discussion, the Planning Commission recommended **denial** of the rezone request by
312 a vote of 6–0.

313 Councilmember Simmonds noted that the Woodruff Neighborhood Plan emphasized
314 maintaining a variety of housing types and lot sizes to allow residents to remain in the
315 neighborhood throughout different stages of life. The plan intentionally included NR-4
316 zoning within the mix alongside other residential zones.

317 Mr. Holley clarified that a nearby development with smaller lots was approved as MR-9,
318 but its overall density remained closer to four units per acre due to wetlands on the
319 property and included a mix of townhomes and single-family homes.

320 Mr. Holley explained the landfill overlay zone affecting a portion of the property. The
321 overlay is based on a quarter-mile setback from the landfill, consistent with state law
322 intended to limit residential construction in areas where groundwater contamination could
323 be a concern. The boundary is irregular because it follows the landfill's actual perimeter
324 rather than a perfect circular radius. The overlay is mapped through the City's GIS
325 system and adopted as part of the official zoning map, similar to other overlay zones such
326 as historic or corridor overlays.

327 Chair Johnson asked how property owners or buyers would be notified if part of a lot
328 falls within the overlay zone.

329 Mr. Holley explained that during the subdivision process, restrictions can be identified on
330 the recorded plat and in deed language indicating that portions of a property are restricted
331 from residential structures. At the current stage, however, the request is only for zoning,
332 so those details would typically be addressed later during subdivision review.

333 Chair Johnson stated that he talked with the applicant Brad Brown and he had indicated a
334 willingness to consider a deed restriction and development agreement, but the Planning
335 Commission noted that the City now generally uses the Planned Development Overlay
336 (PDO) instead of deed restrictions.

337 City Attorney Craig Carlston responded and said a PDO allows the Council greater
338 involvement early in the process by reviewing the development concept and establishing
339 binding standards for the project. While a PDO provides more transparency and clearer
340 documentation within the City code, it generally requires a longer review timeline,
341 typically several months due to additional notice and review steps. The City no longer
342 does deed restrictions which does not offer a lot of input from the beginning.

343 Mr. Holley added that a PDO was offered up front to the applicant and he thought that a
344 straight rezone was a better option for this project. In the beginning a deed restriction was
345 offered. A PDO does take longer and is a two-step process. If the applicant would like to
346 apply for a PDO staff would need to complete a 10-day notice and the PDO would be
347 added to an upcoming Planning Commission meeting agenda. He added that would be a
348 concept review, feedback would be given and it would go back to the start and to the final
349 process. Taking all of these things into consideration, the process would take
350 approximately four months.

351 The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the March 17, 2026
352 Council meeting.

353
354 **Consideration of a proposed resolution authorizing and approving the amended and**
355 **restated Power Pooling Agreement with the Utah Associated Municipal Power**
356 **Systems (UAMPS) for the Pool Project; authorizing execution and delivery thereof;**
357 **and related matters – Resolution 26-07 – Tyson Godfrey, Light & Power Resource**
358 **Manager ([38:10](#))**

359 Logan Light & Power Resource Manager Tyson Godfrey presented Resolution 26-07
360 authorizing and approving an Amended and Restated Power Pooling Agreement between
361 Logan City and Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS) for participation in
362 the UAMPS Pool Project.

363 Mr. Godfrey presented an overview of the proposed Amended and Restated Power
364 Pooling Agreement between Logan City Light & Power and Utah Associated Municipal
365 Power Systems. The agreement governs how Logan participates in power markets
366 through UAMPS, a joint-action organization composed of municipal utilities across Utah
367 that collectively participate in energy projects and wholesale power markets.

368 Mr. Godfrey explained that the existing agreement dates back to the late 1980s and no
369 longer reflects current market operations. The update is required in part because western
370 electricity markets are transitioning to the Extended Day Ahead Market (EDAM)
371 beginning May 1, 2026. Municipal utilities cannot participate directly in this market;
372 instead, participation occurs through UAMPS, which acts as the scheduling and market
373 agent for member cities.

374 **Purpose of the Agreement**

375 Mr. Godfrey explained that the updated agreement accomplishes several key functions:

- 376 • Authorizes UAMPS to act as Logan’s scheduling agent, including bidding
377 Logan’s resources into the EDAM market.
- 378 • Establishes rules for market purchases, allowing UAMPS to purchase power
379 when Logan’s forecasted demand exceeds available resources such as CRSP,
380 WAPA allocations, or local generation.
- 381 • Clarifies settlement and billing procedures, with UAMPS handling market
382 settlements and allocating charges and revenues to member cities using cost-
383 causation principles.
- 384 • Replaces the outdated agreement and formalizes operational practices that had
385 previously existed informally.

386

387

388 **How the Process Works**

389 Mr. Godfrey reviewed the operational process used under the agreement:

- 390 1. Forecast – Logan and UAMPS jointly forecast load demand and available
391 generation resources.
392 2. Plan – An annual purchase plan identifies anticipated power deficiencies.
393 3. Consign Resources – Logan authorizes UAMPS to schedule specific outside
394 resources, such as CRSP or WAPA allocations.
395 4. Market Participation – UAMPS bids resources and purchases power in the EDAM
396 market on Logan’s behalf.
397 5. Settlement – Monthly charges and revenues are allocated and billed to Logan.

398 Mr. Godfrey emphasized that Logan retains full control over its generation resources,
399 including determining what resources are offered into the market and when they operate.
400 UAMPS must follow Logan’s scheduling instructions when submitting bids.

401 **Improvements Over the Previous Agreement**

402 Several improvements were highlighted in comparison with the prior agreement:

- 403 • Formal written protection ensuring Logan controls scheduling of its resources.
404 • Required annual load and resource forecasting with Logan’s participation.
405 • Structured annual purchase planning rather than ad-hoc purchasing.
406 • Adoption of cost-causation principles for allocating costs among member cities.
407 • Creation of a formal governance structure through a project management
408 committee.
409 • Codified billing procedures, dispute resolution, and audit rights.

410 **Governance and Representation**

411 Mr. Godfrey explained that the agreement establishes a Project Management Committee
412 consisting of one voting representative from each participating city. The committee
413 approves annual purchase plans, credit agreements, and cost-allocation policies.

414 Under the proposed resolution:

- 415 • Mark Montgomery will serve as Logan’s representative.
416 • Tyson Godfrey will serve as the alternate representative.
417 • The representative will report to the Council on a quarterly basis.

418

419 **Financial Obligations and Risks**

420 Mr. Godfrey also reviewed several standard contractual risks associated with
421 participation in the pooling agreement:

- 422 • Logan is financially responsible for power purchases and its proportional share of
423 UAMPS administrative costs.
- 424 • Payments must be made even if disputes arise, with disputes resolved afterward
425 through the agreement's dispute process.
- 426 • UAMPS may borrow funds for pooled projects with committee approval, with
427 member cities proportionally responsible for repayment.
- 428 • Withdrawal from the agreement requires a minimum five-year notice, though
429 Logan's participation in various UAMPS projects extends beyond that timeframe.

430 Councilmembers discussed how Logan maintains control over its resource scheduling
431 and confirmed that UAMPS acts only as a market agent implementing the city's
432 instructions. Staff noted that participating through UAMPS is significantly more efficient
433 than attempting to operate directly in the market, which would require extensive staffing
434 and technology resources.

435 **Staff Recommendation**

436 Staff recommended approval of the amended and restated Power Pooling Agreement,
437 noting that:

- 438 • The agreement required Logan to participate in the EDAM market beginning May
439 1, 2026.
- 440 • Legal counsel has reviewed the agreement with no concerns.
- 441 • Logan's entitlement shares and long-term project commitments through UAMPS
442 are well understood.
- 443 • The updated agreement provides stronger protection and clearer governance than
444 the existing contract.

445 The resolution provides that it becomes effective May 1, 2026 if approved by the City
446 Council.

447 The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the March 17, 2026
448 Council meeting.

449

450 **Budget Adjustments FY 2025-2026 appropriating: \$30,710 insurance proceeds**
451 **toward equipment repairs; \$10,786 funds the Library received from the State of**
452 **Utah. The Community Library Enhancement Fund (CLEF) grant will be used for**
453 **collection development and technology use; \$400,000 additional construction budget**

454 **for electrical connections and infrastructure costs associated with new construction;**
455 **\$361,625 wildland reimbursements to the Fire Department; \$39,002 wildland**
456 **reimbursements to the EMS fund – Resolution 26-08 – Richard Anderson, Finance**
457 **Director ([56:05](#))**

458
459 Finance Director Richard Anderson presented several budget adjustment requests for
460 Council consideration.

461 **1. Insurance Proceeds – Fire Engine Repair**

462 Request to appropriate \$30,710 in insurance proceeds to reimburse the Fire
463 Department for repairs to a fire engine that had been struck in a vehicle accident.
464 The repairs have already been completed, and the request reflects the final
465 insurance reimbursement associated with the incident.

466 **2. Library CLEF Grant**

467 Request for to appropriate \$10,786 from the Library CLEF Grant, which the
468 Logan Library receives annually. The grant supports collection development and
469 technology improvements within the library.

470 **3. Electrical Construction Budget Adjustment**

471 Request to appropriate an additional \$400,000 for electrical construction within
472 the Light and Power budget. Mr. Anderson explained that the city has more
473 electrical service connections than originally anticipated, requiring additional
474 construction work. Although the budget needs to be increased, the costs are
475 covered through connection fees and associated revenue. He noted that both
476 construction costs and development activity have fluctuated in recent years, and
477 future budgets may need adjustment to better reflect these changing conditions.

478 Councilmember Simmonds noted the increasing cost variability associated with electrical
479 construction and development activity, noting that staff may need to monitor future
480 budget levels depending on growth patterns and construction costs.

481 **4. Wildland Fire Deployment Reimbursements**

482 Two appropriations were requested related to wildland fire deployments in which
483 Logan firefighters assisted with firefighting operations and emergency response:

- 484 ○ \$361,625 appropriated to the Fire Department General Fund
- 485 ○ \$39,002 appropriated to the EMS Fund

486 Mr. Anderson explained that Logan firefighters are frequently deployed to assist with
487 wildland fires through state coordination. The city receives reimbursement for personnel
488 time and related costs after the deployments occur. These reimbursements primarily
489 relate to wildland fire responses during the previous summer.

490 Chair Johnson asked whether the reimbursements came from the state.

491 Mr. Anderson confirmed that the deployments are coordinated through the state and
492 reimbursed accordingly. He noted that, similar to police overtime reimbursements, these
493 budget adjustments are typically processed after the city receives the reimbursement
494 funds.

495 Councilmembers discussed the increasing cost variability associated with electrical
496 construction and development activity, noting that staff may need to monitor future
497 budget levels depending on growth patterns and construction costs.

498 The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the March 17, 2026
499 Council meeting.

500

501 No further workshop items were presented.

502

503 **OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:**

504

505 No further considerations were discussed.

506

507 **ADJOURNED:**

508

509 There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

510

511

512

513 Teresa Harris, City Recorder