

Request for Proposal for Saratoga Springs City

Saratoga Road & Pony Express Parkway Widening Project

Construction Management, Inspections, and Materials Testing Services

KEY DATES

Proposal Deadline:	February 17, 2026 @ 3:00 pm MST
Questions Deadline:	February 12, 2026 @ 3:00 pm MST
Project completion:	July 31, 2027 (Estimated)

1. NOTICE TO PROFESSIONALS

Through this Request for Proposals (RFP), the City of Saratoga Springs (hereinafter the 'City') is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from construction management firms (Inspector, Firm, or Consultant) who have been previously qualified by the City to provide construction management services and inspection services for the Saratoga Springs Saratoga Road and Pony Express Parkway Widening project. Only those firms who are on the pre-qualified Construction Management list are eligible to submit a proposal.

The City intends to compare and evaluate all eligible proposals and select the most qualified firm as outlined in subsection 4.13 EVALUATION CRITERIA of this RFP. This is a RFP only and should not be interpreted as a solicitation for services or as a contract for services. Submittals should be comprehensive, concise, and directly responsive to the format as outlined in this RFP.

2. BACKGROUND

The project consists of Widening to 5 lanes both Saratoga Road (from Pony Express Parkway to Pioneer Crossing – a distance of approximately 0.8 miles) and Pony Express Parkway (from Saratoga Road to 100 West – a distance of approximately 1.1 miles) which includes 41,000 square yards of pavement removal, 22,000 square yards of a 5-in mill and Overlay, 22,100 square yards of cement treating existing base in place, 19,300 tons of HMA, and 16,000 square feet of 5-in concrete walks. Included with the roadway construction will be 5,000 linear feet of new storm drain, 7,300 feet of new fiber ducts, 2,000 linear feet of overhead burial, 2 new signalized intersections, culvert modifications, and all associated appurtenances for these items.

CMT is the design engineer (Engineer) for the project. The inspector will need to coordinate efforts with the Engineer and the City. The Engineer will provide some of the construction management services including:

- Coordination of submittal review.
- Review of change order proposals.
- Responding to requests for information.

The project timetable is described below in Section 4.1.

Once an inspector is assigned to a project, he or she shall remain as the inspector until final completion of the project unless a change is agreed to by Saratoga Springs City.

GPS data collection is not required as part of the construction management scope of work. Data collection in the field will be provided by the City or City's Representatives. It shall be the

responsibility of the consultant to coordinate with data collection personnel to ensure infrastructure is surveyed prior to backfill.

3. SCOPE OF WORK

The City is requesting construction management and inspection services for the **Saratoga Road and Pony Express Parkway Widening project**. The services provided shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, those outlined below:

Construction Management - Inspection Services

- Review and become familiar with the construction plans and specifications.
- Review those submittals assigned by the Engineer, including shop drawings, materials submittals, including project schedule and schedule of values. For purposes of this RFP an assumed number of submittals is defined below.
- Attend weekly project meetings conducted by the Contractor.
- Maintain minutes for the weekly construction meetings.
- Provide and manage a browser-based project management software compatible with either internet explorer or google chrome and not require the installation of software on the user's computer. No expenses or charges to the City or the contractor may be required as a condition to use the software.
- Review submittals and maintain a record log for submittal reviews.
- Review phasing plans, staging plans, traffic control plans, project schedule, and any other contractor-produced documents pertaining to the project.
- Respond to and track RFI's, requests for material and equipment substitutions, pay requests, and change order requests.
- Field order directive (FOD) processing.
- Provide construction observation services. A fee is requested for three levels of observation services; see details in Section 4.5 below.
- Inspect all new infrastructure and ancillary work. Inspections shall ensure compliance with:
 - Project Contract Documents (Drawings, Technical Specifications, FOD, and Change Orders);
 - UDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction; and
 - Saratoga Springs City Development Standards
- Produce inspection observation reports.
- Provide periodic construction monitoring, including warranty inspections and observations as needed for critical components.
- Maintain redlines for record drawings.
- General coordination with Owner, Engineer, and Contractor.
- Work with the contractor to establish and complete a punch list for substantial completion and final completion milestones.
- Prepare all information required to create record drawings—including a compilation of field order directives and change orders and a redlined set of drawings—for the full project and provide it to the Engineer who will prepare Record Drawings. Preparation of CAD files is not a requirement. Field Notes and revisions documented in the field shall be provided in electronic format and may include scanned documents, notes, and/or drawings in PDF format.
- Complete a warranty walkthrough (1 year from project completion) and create a punch list of items requiring attention from the contractor.

- Manage Quality Assurance materials testing by an independent materials testing firm contracted by the City. Oversee and coordinate material testing to ensure it is performed according to the Project Specifications and to review results for compliance to project specifications
- Communicate with the Contractor and the City whenever Best Management Practices (BMPs) outlined in the Erosion Control Plan (ECP) are not functioning and in good repair. The awarded inspector will not need to provide inspection, management, or enforcement for this project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP).

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE MATERIALS TESTING TECHNICIAN AND LABORATORY

- a. Certification - Firms Lab and Personnel must be UDOT Certified. Copies of Certifications must be provided to the City.
- b. Testing - Perform the required materials test per the individual project specifications (generally UDOT Standard Specifications, and City Special Provisions). Test results are to be returned to City in 24 hours or less so that Contractors may adjust their work and materials to be within specification with minimal delay.
- c. Report non-conforming issues - The testing technician shall bring non-conforming items to the immediate attention of City.
- d. Furnish daily reports - testing technician shall complete and sign both the inspector record and the daily report form for each day's inspections. Copies must be delivered to City within 24 hours of the material being sampled

4. INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS

4.1 PROJECT TIMETABLE

The following timetable has been established for this project. *LATE PROPOSALS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.*

- Closing date for questions on the U3P/Bonfire Website Thursday, February 12, 2026, at 3:00 pm
- Closing date for receipt of proposals Tuesday, February 17, 2026, at 3:00 pm
- Kickoff meeting/commencement of work February 23, 2026 (projected)

4.2 PRE-PROPOSAL SITE VISIT

Proposers are strongly encouraged to visit the proposed site and examine the conditions prior to submitting their proposals. A pre-proposal meeting will not be held. A link to a copy of the plans and other project documents will be provided on the U3P via Bonfire website

4.3 PROPOSALS EVALUATION

The procedure for response to this RFP, evaluation of proposals, and selection of an Engineer is as follows:

1. Interested entities will prepare and submit their proposals according to the Project timetable contained in Subsection 4.1
2. The City and/or its representatives will evaluate all submitted proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria as outlined in Subsection 4.13.
3. A Professional Services Agreement incorporating the provisions, terms and conditions of this RFP will be executed between the City and the selected Engineer.

4.4 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

Each respondent must upload a complete PDF proposal to U3P via Bonfire, <[U3P link](#)>, using vendor attachments by Wednesday, February 17, 2026 no later than 3:00 pm. The proposal document must be clearly labeled "Saratoga Road and Pony Express Widening Project – CM Services - [Consultant Name]".

4.5 PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION AND CONTENT

Proposal Guidelines: Proposals should be no more than 10 pages (8.5" x 11") in length and contain the following information:

1. Transmittal Letter: This shall be a one-page summary of the proposal and identify the individual(s) who would be the firm's lead person(s) in completing work under this agreement. The letter shall include the signature of the person authorized to obligate the firm or joint venture. The signature of the authorized representative attests that the information contained in the proposal is truthful, accurate, and complete at time of submittal. The letter shall also include the following:
 - a. A statement that the respondent will comply with all terms and conditions as indicated in the RFP.
 - b. A statement indicating whether the respondent is a corporation or other legal entity.
 - c. A statement of affirmative action that the respondent does not discriminate in its employment practices with regard to race, color, religion, age (except as provided by law), sex, marital status, political affiliation, national origin, or handicap.
 - d. A certification statement to the effect that the person signing the proposal is authorized to do so, on behalf of the respondent.
 - e. Names of two key contacts with their firm title, telephone number, and email address.
 - f. Name, complete mailing address, telephone number, and email address of the engineering firm.

2. Qualifications and Experience:

- a. Firm qualifications are not required. *RFP is being sent to pre-qualified firms on the City's design pool list.*
- b. Identify all professional personnel expected to work on this project, their specific qualifications and experience, and percentage of time available to the project.
- c. Identify at least five (5) Construction Management and Materials Testing Projects completed by the project team in the past five (5) years.
- d. All key personnel designated in this proposal will be expected to work on the project to their commitments unless the selected Engineer is given permission by the City to change designated personnel. Failure to meet personnel commitments as listed in the selected firm's proposal may result in modification of contract scope and rates by the City.

3. Project Approach:

- a. A detailed description of the Engineer's approach to completing the project, including identification of potential risks and the strategies for mitigating those risks.
- b. A detailed description of recommended Value Engineering activities and concepts that the Engineer commits to performing to manage overall costs of the construction project and mitigate change orders.
- c. Identification of Anticipated Potential Problems: Proposal should identify and describe any potential problems with respect to providing the Services described in Section 3.

4. Fee and Schedule: Proposals shall include the Engineer's design fee, covering all tasks outlined in Section 3 and the proposed project approach. A detailed fee proposal shall be submitted, itemizing tasks, staff hours, staff clarifications, and billing rates for each assigned team member. A proposed project schedule shall also be included.

- a. The City anticipates that the contract will be based on time and materials, not to exceed. Price shall be broken out with a with the total cost for the construction management services and a separate cost for daily inspection services. Pricing shall be all inclusive of all costs, including mileage and other expenses for the scope of work described in the RFP above.
- b. The proposed cost for Materials Testing shall consist of the per unit cost for each test requested or an hourly rate for technicians on site. Said cost shall include all labor, materials and incidentals necessary to complete the work. Testing shall meet the minimum requirements of UDOT's Manual of Instruction for materials testing. Materials Testing pricing shall include at a minimum the following

TESTING UNIT COST SOILS

Proctors UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO	\$ Each
Proctors UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO (Rock Corrected)	\$ Each
Technician Time-Field Densities UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO	\$ Hour
Soil Classifications per UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO	\$ Each
Atterburg Limits UDOT/ASTM /AASHTO	\$ Each
Sieve Analysis UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO (-3/4")	\$ Each

Sieve Analysis UDOT/ASTM/AASHTO (+3/4")	\$ Each
Sample Pick Up (Inclusive of Mileage)	\$ Hour

CONCRETE

Cylinders (set of 4, Inclusive of Casting and Break)	\$ Each
Technician Time Air & Slump Testing (Inclusive of Mileage)	\$ Hour
Cylinders Pick Up (Inclusive of Mileage)	\$ Hour

ASPHALT

Binder Content/Ignition Oven	\$ Each
Aggregate Gradation	\$ Each
Unit Weight Rice (3 minimum)	\$ Each
One Point Marshall	\$ Each
Field Sample and Pick Up (Inclusive of Mileage)	\$ Hour
Technician Time-Density Testing w/ Thin Lift Gauge (Inclusive of Mileage)	\$ Hour
Coring (Inclusive of Thickness and Density of cores)	\$ Each

4.6 ORAL PRESENTATION

As part of the proposal evaluation process, selected proposers may be invited to make oral presentations to the City. These presentations must be made by the same project team personnel who will be assigned to the project should the proposer be awarded a contract.

4.7 SUBMITTAL OWNERSHIP

All proposals (and the information contained therein) shall become the property of the City. Proposers should carefully consider the items submitted before submitting items that would not be disposable to the proposer. Proposals submitted may be reviewed and evaluated by any persons at the discretion of the City. No proposal shall be returned to the respondent regardless of the outcome of the selection process. The cost for developing proposals and making proposal presentations is entirely the responsibility of the proposer and shall not be chargeable in any manner to the City.

4.8 CITY USE OF PROPOSAL IDEAS

The City reserves the right to use any or all ideas presented. Selection or rejection of the proposal does not affect this right.

4.9 QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

All questions regarding this RFP should be submitted through U3P/Bonfire

4.10 ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL

- A. The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals for any reason and or waive minor irregularities when to do so would be in the best interests of the City. Minor irregularities are those which will not have a significant adverse effect on overall competition or performance levels.
- B. The responding party agrees that the City may terminate this procurement procedure at any time, and the City shall have no liability or responsibility to the responding party for any costs or expenses incurred in connection with this RFP, or such party's response.

4.11 DISQUALIFICATION OF PROPOSAL

- A. The City reserves the right to reject any and all proposals received by reason of this RFP, or to negotiate separately with any source whatsoever in any manner necessary to serve the best interests of the City. The City may or may not award a contract solely on the basis of this RFP and will not pay for the information solicited or obtained. The information obtained will be used in determining the proposal that best meets the City's needs and is the most advantageous proposal received. No oral, telegraphic or telephonic proposals or modifications will be considered.

- B. The occurrence of any of the following may result in the disqualification of a proposal:
 - 1. Failure to respond by the established submission deadline.
 - 2. Failure to completely answer all questions posed in the RFP.
 - 3. Use of any other type of form or format other than those indicated in the RFP.
 - 4. Failure to provide requested documentation at the time of proposal submission.
 - 5. Illegible responses.
 - 6. If the proposer adds any provisions reserving the right to accept or reject an award or to enter into a contract pursuant to an award, or any other unauthorized conditions, limitations or provisions.
 - 7. If the proposer is unable to evidence a satisfactory record of integrity.
 - 8. If the proposer is not qualified legally to contract.

The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals

4.12 WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSAL

The proposal may be withdrawn upon request by the proposer, without prejudice, prior to, but not after, the time fixed to receive proposals.

4.13 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- A. All requirements identified in this RFP must be satisfied to ensure that the proposal will qualify for consideration. The City desires to receive proposals from firms that can demonstrate operational and technical qualifications and capabilities.

- B. All proposals will be evaluated by representatives of the City to identify the proposal that best meets the needs of the City as set forth in the RFP. A component-based system will be used to evaluate and rank all proposals weighted as shown below. A brief description of each component includes:
 - 1. Qualifications and Experience (25%): This category represents an evaluation of the proposing firm's demonstrated experience with similar roadway design projects with similar challenges.

 - 2. Key Personnel (25%): This category deals with the experience level of the key personnel proposed to work directly on this project and the proposer's willingness and demonstrated ability to work effectively with the City. Experience relates to the overall assessment of the proposer's assigned personnel. Evaluation will be based on resumes that are provided, direct contact with identified current and previous clients, submitted information in response to the RFP, and the oral interviews

3. Project Approach (25%): This category represents an evaluation of the proposing firm's plan for managing the project, providing inspection services, and managing the materials testing.
4. Fee Proposal (15%): This category will evaluate the proposing firm's fees.
5. Schedule (10%): This category will evaluate the proposing firm's schedule.

5. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

5.1 AMENDMENTS

No oral modifications or amendments to this RFP shall be effective. If it becomes necessary to revise any part of the RFP, an addendum will be provided to all who received an RFP.

5.2 EMPLOYMENT STATUS VERIFICATION

Engineer shall register and participate in the Status Verification System and comply with Utah Code Annotated Section 63G-11-103 of the Identity Documents and Verification Act. Engineer shall, by contract, require its contractors, subcontractors, contract employees, staffing agencies, or any contractors regardless of their tier to register and participate in the Status Verification System and comply with Utah Code Annotated Section 63G-11-103 of the Identity Documents and Verification Act.

Engineer shall also agree to abide by the Federal and State regulations pertaining to Equal Opportunity Employment that requires project participants not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin. The City will make every effort to ensure all bidders are treated fairly and equally throughout the entire advertisement, review, and selection process.

5.3 COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES

The City intends to enter into a professional services contract covering the projects outlined in this RFP. Failure of the successful proposer to enter into a written contract may result in cancellation of the award. Compensation for the services rendered will be based on a task completion basis and may not exceed the fees provided in this proposal.

5.4 NON-COLLUSION

Engineer shall guarantee that the proposal submitted is not a product of collusion with any other bidder and no effort has been made to fix the proposal price of any bidder or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost estimate of any proposal or its price.

5.5 OMISSIONS

Should the RFP not contain sufficient information in order for the firm to obtain a clear understanding of the services required by the City, or should it appear that the instructions outlined in the RFP are not clear or contradictory, the Engineer may obtain written clarification from the City at least 24 hours prior to the required time and date for proposal submission. Engineer shall include a copy of the written clarification with its submission.

Project #: 1005.2317

Project: Saratoga Road & 145 North

Location: Saratoga Springs, UT

By: RPB/JJM

Date: 12/11/2025

Filename: Saratoga Road & 145 North 90% estimate combined.xls

Saratoga Road & 145 North Full Buildout 90% Estimate

	ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
Base Estimate (3-Lane Pony Express)					
1	Mobilization	Lump	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
2	Quality Control	Lump	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
3	Traffic Control	Lump	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
4	Excision Control/SWPPP	Lump	1	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00
5	Survey Layout	Lump	1	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00
6	Survey As-Built with GIS	Lump	1	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
7	Clearing and Grubbing	SF	748,000	\$0.40	\$299,200.00
8	Asphalt/Concrete Sawcut	LF	2,100	\$2.50	\$5,250.00
9	Asphalt Removal	SY	40,800	\$4.00	\$163,200.00
10	Concrete Removal	SY	270	\$20.00	\$5,400.00
11	Base Course Removal & Relocation	CY	3,100	\$15.00	\$46,500.00
12	Curb & Gutter Removal	LF	350	\$10.00	\$3,500.00
13	Traffic Sign Removal	Each	14	\$125.00	\$1,750.00
14	Fence Removal	LF	9,400	\$5.00	\$47,000.00
15	Wall Removal	LF	170	\$15.00	\$2,550.00
16	Residential Building Removal & Utility Removal	Lump	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
17	Wellhouse Building Removal & Cap/Abandon Well	Lump	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
18	Export Undocumented Fill & Import Granular Borrow (Contingency Item)	CY	1,000	\$50.00	\$50,000.00
19	5" Mill & Overlay Existing Asphalt (Including HMA)	SY	22,000	\$25.00	\$550,000.00
20	Granular Borrow Fill	CY	13,300	\$50.00	\$665,000.00
21	Untreated Base Course	CY	10,200	\$50.00	\$510,000.00
22	Cement-treat existing base in place (6" depth)	SY	22,100	\$10.00	\$221,000.00
23	Hot Mix Asphalt	Ton	19,300	\$110.00	\$2,123,000.00
24	Soft Spot Repair (Contingency Item)	SY	8,800	\$25.00	\$220,000.00
25	30" Curb & Gutter	LF	4,800	\$45.00	\$216,000.00
26	5" Concrete Sidewalk	SF	16,000	\$10.00	\$160,000.00
27	Gravel Driveway (8" Depth)	SF	1,100	\$6.00	\$6,600.00
28	6" Drivable Concrete Sidewalk/Fitwork	SF	3,300	\$13.00	\$42,900.00
29	Retaining Wall (4' Max Height)	LF	175	\$200.00	\$35,000.00
30	Seeding	SY	17,000	\$5.00	\$85,000.00
31	Striping	Lump	1	\$70,000.00	\$70,000.00
32	Traffic/Street Sign	Each	28	\$1,000.00	\$28,000.00
33	Fence Relocation	LF	8,300	\$20.00	\$166,000.00
34	Custom Curb & Gutter & Low-Strength Concrete at RMP Steel Double-Decker	Lump	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
35	Tactile/Truncated Dome Pad	Each	13	\$500.00	\$6,500.00
Road & Excavation Subtotal					\$6,212,350.00
36	Rocky Mountain Power performed work and fees	Lump	1	\$134,860.00	\$134,860.00
37	12x7' Switchgear Vault	Each	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
38	3" Power Conduit	LF	750	\$25.00	\$18,750.00
39	5" Power Conduit	LF	1,250	\$30.00	\$37,500.00
40	Utility Locate Potholing (Contingency)	Each	20	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00
41	8-30" Pipe Removal	LF	200	\$75.00	\$15,000.00
42	Storm Drain / Utility Structure Removal	Each	10	\$1,600.00	\$16,000.00
43	Storm Drain Box Culvert Extension (incl. entrance retrofit & conc channel)	Lump	1	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
44	Adjust Utility structure to Grade & Collar	Each	60	\$1,500.00	\$90,000.00
45	15" RCP (Class III)	LF	1,400	\$150.00	\$210,000.00
46	18" RCP (Class III)	LF	780	\$160.00	\$124,800.00
47	24" RCP (Class III)	LF	1,300	\$180.00	\$234,000.00
48	30" RCP (Class III)	LF	170	\$225.00	\$37,275.00
49	36" RCP (Class III)	LF	1,300	\$275.00	\$357,500.00
50	Flared-End Outlet (30" & 36")	Each	2	\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00
51	4'x6' Catch Basin / Manhole Combo Box	Each	16	\$7,000.00	\$112,000.00
52	5' Diameter Manhole	Each	8	\$6,000.00	\$48,000.00
53	Stormwater Treatment Device	Each	2	\$100,000.00	\$200,000.00
54	5" PVC C900 Culinary Water	LF	70	\$200.00	\$14,000.00
55	5" Ductile Iron Secondary Water	LF	120	\$200.00	\$24,000.00
56	16" Ductile Iron Secondary Water	LF	120	\$250.00	\$30,000.00
57	1-D Fiber (Duct Only)	LF	7,300	\$40.00	\$292,000.00
Storm Drain / Utilities Subtotal					\$2,191,210.00
58	Traffic Signal at Saratoga Road & 145 North Intersection	Lump	1	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00
Traffic Signal Subtotal					\$450,000.00
59	Design Fees	Lump	1	\$254,838.00	\$254,838.00
60	Construction Management/Contract Administration Fees (Estimated)	Lump	1	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00
61	Right-of-way Acquisition Costs	Lump	1	\$212,245.00	\$212,245.00
Contingency				10%	\$885,356.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST (With 3-Lane Pony Express)					\$10,605,999.00
Differential Cost Estimate (Pony Express Widened to 5-Lane)					
62	30" C&G	LF	7,060	\$45.00	\$317,700.00
63	5" sidewalk	SF	13,100	\$10.00	\$131,000.00
64	Granular Borrow Fill	CY	14,500	\$30.00	\$435,000.00
65	Untreated Base Course	CY	10,500	\$50.00	\$525,000.00
66	Hot Mix Asphalt	Ton	15,900	\$110.00	\$1,749,000.00
67	Soft Spot Repair (Contingency Item)	SY	4,800	\$25.00	\$120,000.00
68	4'x6' Catch Basin / Manhole Combo Box	Each	19	\$7,000.00	\$133,000.00
69	15" RCP (Class III)	LF	600	\$150.00	\$90,000.00
5-Lane Differential Cost Subtotal					\$3,500,700.00
Contingency				10%	\$350,070.00
Estimated Cost (5-Lane Pony Express Differential)					\$3,850,770.00
GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED COST (With 5-Lane Pony Express)					\$14,456,769.00



October 16, 2025

Re: Engineering Services Request for Qualifications 2025

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for taking the time to submit a Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) in response to the City of Saratoga Springs' Request for Qualifications. We sincerely appreciate your interest and the effort that went into your submission. All SOQs were carefully reviewed, and the list of pre-qualified firms has now been finalized as shown on the following page.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeremy D. Lapin
Public Works Director



SARATOGA
SPRINGS
ENGINEERING

The following companies have been pre-qualified:

Sanitary Sewer

AE2S Advanced Engineering and Environmental
Bowen Collins & Associates
Hansen, Allen, & Luce (HAL)
Horrocks Engineers

Storm Drain

AE2S Advanced Engineering and Environmental
Bowen Collins & Associates
Hansen, Allen, & Luce (HAL)
Horrocks Engineers
Methods Consulting
WSP USA Inc

Roads/Transportation

CMT Technical Services
Horrocks Engineers
Methods Consulting
WSP USA Inc

Drinking Water

AE2S Advanced Engineering and Environmental
Bowen Collins & Associates
Hansen Allen & Luce (HAL)
Horrocks Engineers

Irrigation Water

AE2S Advanced Engineering and Environmental
Bowen Collins & Associates
Hansen Allen & Luce (HAL)

Construction Management*

AE2S Advanced Engineering and Environmental
Bowen Collins & Associates
Consor North America Inc
CMT Technical Services
Methods Consulting
RB&G Engineering
WSP USA Inc

Materials Testing*

Consor North America Inc
CMT Technical Services
GeoStrata
Methods Consulting
Ninyo & Moore
Professional Service Industries Inc (PSI)
RB&G Engineering
Universal Engineering Science
Utah Testing and Engineering

Parks & Recreation*

blu line designs
Civil Science
CMT Technical Services
Consor North America Inc
G. Brown Design
Landmark Design
Psomas

** This category includes firms retained from the 2022 RFQ pool due to the limited number of responses received during the 2025 update.*