

Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on Tuesday, January 6, 2026, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 p.m. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at: bit.ly/LoganCouncilMeetings

Councilmembers present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Mike Johnson, Vice Chair Ernesto López, Councilmember Jeannie F. Simmonds, Councilmember Katie Lee-Koven and Councilmember Melissa Dahle. Administration present: Mayor Mark A. Anderson, City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, and City Recorder Teresa Harris.

Chair Johnson welcomed those present. There were approximately 125 people in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

OPENING CEREMONY:

Chair Johnson led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

OATH OF OFFICE: Justice Court Judge Lee Edwards administered the Oath of Office to Mayor Elect Mark A. Anderson and Councilmembers Elect Ernesto López, Katie Lee-Koven and Melissa Dahle .

Meeting Minutes. Minutes of the Council meeting held on December 16, 2025 were reviewed and approved.

Meeting Agenda. Chair Johnson announced there is one public hearing scheduled for tonight's Council meeting.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Vice Chair López to approve tonight's agenda and minutes from the December 16, 2025 Council meeting as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (5-0).

Dahle: Aye

Johnson: Aye

Lee-Koven: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Aye

Meeting Schedule. Chair Johnson announced that regular Council meetings are held on the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 p.m. The next regular Council meeting is Tuesday, January 20, 2026.

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL:

Chair Johnson explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Council Chair. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items and items that are germane or relevant to the authority of the City Council. Items brought forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond to outside of the City Council meeting. [\(14:36\)](#)

Logan resident Gail Hanson addressed the Council. She congratulated the newly seated Mayor and Council and expressed hope for thoughtful deliberation. She referenced the constitutional preamble to emphasize domestic tranquility and the importance of amplifying minority voices. Her primary concern involved a pipeline crossing a fault at Highway 89, asking whether engineering safeguards such as flexible joints or shutoff valves were planned to address geological risks.

Mayor Anderson acknowledged her and confirmed staff would follow up on her questions.

Logan resident Joshua Molitor addressed the Council and congratulated the newly seated Council and expressed relief and optimism for change, noting that many residents share that desire. He emphasized that public trust and confidence must be earned through policymaking and cautioned against elected officials assuming they know what is in the public's best interest without input. He stated he plans to use future public comment time to share observations and suggestions to improve representation, communication, and connection with residents. He concluded on a positive note and congratulated the Council again.

Logan resident Patrick Belmont addressed the Council. He congratulated the newly elected officials and highlighted global climate developments, citing the United Arab Emirates' large solar project and projected long-term warming trends. He connected climate concerns to themes of public trust and community responsibility. He criticized the City's previous decision to pursue additional fossil-fuel power plants and urged the Council to take more informed, future-oriented actions. He encouraged deeper questioning, engagement with local expertise, and more reflective policymaking, ending with well wishes and an offer of support.

Logan resident Linda Johnson addressed the Council. She thanked the Council for being attentive and respectful to public input. She highlighted examples such as idle-free signage and trail maps to commend the City for thoughtful efforts that promote clean air, recreation, and quality of life. She expressed appreciation for the small actions that make Logan a good place to live.

There were no further comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:

Recognition Awards – Police Chief Jeff Simmons [\(25:08\)](#)

Chief Simmons addressed the Council. He recalled that in August 2025 the Tremonton Police Department lost two officers who were killed in the line of duty. Logan City Police played a major role in coordinating the funerals held at Utah State University. Hundreds of people and multiple agencies contributed, making the event a significant logistical effort.

Chief Simmons thanked the thousands of Logan residents who lined the streets in support as Officer Eric Estrada was brought home and also recognized the loss of Sergeant Lee Sorensen. He expressed appreciation for the community's patience with road closures and disruptions. Chief Simmons then presented plaques to seven groups for their support and contributions to the memorial services.

- 1) Justin Allen, Logan City Cemetery Sexton - Justin facilitated the cemetery prep for Officer Estrada's graveside and burial.
- 2) Utah State Police Department Lt. Scott Murray - Lt. Murray was the liaison with USU facilities, parking and logistics.
- 3) Daniel Watkins and Sara Teeples with Watkins Printing - Watkins Printing donated all the funeral programs, about 8,000.
- 4) Taylor Ferrin, Brad Clark, and Kevin Cutlip with AWP Safety - Donated and set up five large display signs for traffic notification.
- 5) Gillian Crozier and Jody Kimball with Connect Public Transit - Donated and coordinated shuttle busses with cones for all those attending both funerals.
- 6) Jordan Porter, Jed Olson and Kasey Erickson, with Logan City Public Works - Public Works assisted with cones and barricades as well as hanging flags.
- 7) Brandon Hansen, the Associate Director of USU Event Services - Brandon and his staff coordinated all the ushers, seating, rooms and TV broadcasts.

COUNCIL BUSINESS:

Planning Commission Update – Councilmember Simmonds [\(35:13\)](#)

Chair Simmonds reported that the Planning Commission will meet on Thursday, January 8, 2026 so she does not have an update at this time.

Council Announcements – Chair Johnson

Chair Johnson reported that “Item 7B” is a new agenda item to give Council Members a chance to bring anything to the Council or the public each time we meet. This could be something from a board meeting, a neighborhood meeting, an event, or something the Council would like the public to be aware of. In the past the Council has provided board and committee reports. He feels this might be more efficient if each of the Council report as needed.

Proposed Council Board and Committee Assignments for 2026 – Chair Johnson

Chair Johnson presented the proposed Council Board and Committee Assignments for 2026. The Council supported the assignments presented.

LOGAN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL - 2026 BOARD AND COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
Mayor Mark A. Anderson

Mike Johnson Chair	Audit Committee (2)	As needed	City Hall
	*Light & Power Advisory Board	Quarterly	Light & Power Building
	*Golf Course Advisory Board	3rd Thur, 5:00 PM	Logan River Golf Course
	Water, Sewer & Stormwater Advisory Board	3rd, Thur, 4:00 PM	Public Works Building
	BRAG Housing Authority Board	TBD	TBD
	Transportation Board	TBD	TBD
	**CDBG	Annually	City Hall
	Ellis Neighborhood Representative	As needed	TBA
Ernesto López Vice Chair	**Solid Waste Advisory Board	As needed	Environmental Building
	*Public Arts/Fine Arts Committee (2)	As Needed	City Hall
	Economic Development (2)	2nd Thur, 3:30 PM	City Hall
	Renewable Energy & Sustainability Advisory Board (RESAB) (2)	1st Thur, (bimonthly), 8:30 AM	City Hall
	Logan City Youth Council	TBD	Logan High School
	**CDBG	Annually	City Hall
	Adams/Bridger Neighborhood Representative	As needed	TBD
Katie Lee-Koven	Forestry Board	As needed	Logan Environmental Building
	*Historic Preservation Committee	1st/3rd Mon, Noon	City Hall
	*Public Arts/Fine Arts Committee (2)	As needed	City Hall
	Cache Valley Center for the Arts	1st Thur, 5:15 PM	TBA
	Economic Development (2)	2nd Thur, 3:30 PM	City Hall
	**CDBG	Annually	City Hall
	Hillcrest Neighborhood Representative	As needed	TBD
Joannie F. Simmonds	Audit Committee (2)	As needed	City Hall
	*Library Board	3rd Mon, 5:30 PM	Library
	Planning Commission	2nd & 4th Thur, 5:30 PM	City Hall
	*Cache Metropolitan Planning Organization (CMPO)	1st Mon, 5:00 PM	TBD
	*Logan Cache Airport Authority Board	1st Thur, 8:30 AM	City Hall
	**CDBG	Annually	City Hall
	Wilson Neighborhood Representative	As needed	TBD
Melissa Dahle	Bear River Local Homeless Council	1st Tues, 3:00 PM	Virtual with two in person annually
	Parks & Recreation Advisory Board	3rd Thur, 3:30 PM	Recreation Center Conference Room
	*Library Board	3rd Mon, 5:30 PM	Library
	Renewable Energy & Sustainability Advisory Board (RESAB) (2)	1st Thur (bimonthly), 8:30 AM	City Hall
	Logan City Youth Council	TBD	Logan High School
	**CDBG	Annually	City Hall
	Woodruff Neighborhood Representative	As needed	TBD

APPROVED January 6, 2026 - * = Mayor Appointed; ** = Non-City Committees and Boards

Open and Public Meetings Act Training – Craig Carlston, City Attorney

City Attorney Craig Carlston addressed the Council regarding the Open and Public Meetings Act Training. He said State Code requires public bodies, including the City Council, to receive annual training. He stated the Open and Public Meetings Act was enacted upon the premise that the State, its agencies, and its political subdivisions exist to aid in the conduct of the people’s business. As such, a public body should deliberate and act openly.

Mr. Carlston noted that public notice is required for every public meeting. Usually at least 24-hour notice, sometimes more. Notice includes the agenda, date, time, and location. The agenda needs to be specific enough so that the public knows what's happening. A question that is often raised is: can items raised by the public that aren't on the agenda be discussed? They can be discussed, but no action can be taken. A closed meeting may occur if a quorum is present. A quorum in this case would be three members, a simple majority. The meeting has to start as an open meeting, and two-thirds of the members present must vote to approve closing the meeting. The reasons for the closure are entered into the record, and a recording of the closed meeting is kept. There are a handful of reasons why a public body may go into a closed meeting. Primary reasons include discussing the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual; discussing pending or imminent litigation; or discussing real estate transactions. There are a couple of other reasons, but overall, most public business should be conducted in public.

Also, no ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, contract, or appointment may be approved in a closed meeting. The Council does not go into a closed meeting to vote. It is only to discuss those specific items.

OPEN AND PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT TRAINING

City of Logan, January 2026

1. Intent of the Act:
 - a. Public bodies “exist to aid in the conduct of the people’s business.”
 - b. Public bodies should “take their actions openly; and conduct their deliberations openly.”
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-102)

2. Definition of a Meeting:
 - a. The gathering of a public body, with a quorum present (whether in person or by electronic means), “for the express purpose of acting as a public body to: receive public comment about a relevant matter; deliberate about a relevant matter; or take action upon a relevant matter.”
(Utah Code Ann. §§52-4-103(6), 52-4-208)

3. Definition of a Quorum: “Quorum” means a simple majority of the membership of a public body, unless otherwise defined by law.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-103 (11))

4. Public Notice for a Meeting:
 - a. At least 24-hour notice of the meeting, including the agenda, date, time and location of the meeting.
 - b. Notice must be posted at the principle office of the public body and on the Utah Public Notice website and newspaper or local media correspondent.

- c. The schedule of regularly scheduled meetings must also be noticed once a year.
 - d. Emergency meetings once approved by a majority of the public body require the best notice practicable.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-202)
- 5. Agenda for Meeting:
 - a. Agenda items should have reasonable specificity to notify public.
 - b. Items raised by the public, but not on the agenda, may be discussed but no action may be taken.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-202(6)(c))
- 6. Written Minutes/ Meeting Recording:
 - a. Written minutes of an open meeting include the date, time and place of the meeting; the names of the members present and absent; and the substance of the matters discussed; a record of each vote taken; a summary of public comments.
 - b. A recording of an open meeting shall be a complete and unedited record of the open meeting.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-203)
- 7. Closed Meeting:
 - a. A closed meeting may be held if a quorum is present; the meeting starts out as an open meeting for which proper notice has been given; two-thirds of the members present vote to approve closing the meeting; the reasons for closing the meeting are entered into the record of the public portion of the meeting; a recording of the closed meeting is kept (exception for discussion on individual or for security issues).
 - b. A list of allowed purposes to enter into a closed meeting are set forth in Utah Code Ann. §52-4-205, but the primary allowed reasons are to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual; pending or imminent litigation; real estate transactions, (if the public discussion could compromise the public body's ability to negotiate the best possible terms).
 - c. An ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, contract or appointment may not be approved at a closed meeting.
(Utah Code Ann. §§52-4-204, 205, 206)
- 8. Electronic Meeting: Allowed if done pursuant to an adopted resolution, rule, or ordinance and is properly noticed.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-207)
- 9. Disruption of Meetings: Individuals can be removed from a public meeting if they willfully disrupt the meeting to the extent orderly conduct is seriously compromised.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-301)

10. Enforcement:

- a. The attorney general and county attorney have the authority to enforce.
- b. Criminal penalty for intentionally violating closed meeting provisions.
(Utah Code Ann. §52-4-303,305)

No further Council Business items were presented.

WORKSHOP ITEMS:

Budget Adjustments FY 2025-2026 appropriating: \$2,500 donated funds for replacement glass for the Police Rescue Vehicle; \$2,500 donated funds for a storage container at the Fire Training Facility; \$225,000 funds Public Works received from UDOT to improve safety at the railroad crossing at 200 North - Resolution 26-01 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director [\(40:28\)](#)

Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments.

Vice Chair López asked, do we know what kind of improvements there will be on 200 North.

Public Works Director Paul Lindhardt replied that the entire crossing will be improved, but specifically the West spur. There might also be a pedestrian crossing on the north side of 200 North going East and West across the tracks. Currently, there is not a pedestrian crossing on the North side.

Mr. Anderson further explained that the City is receiving a grant to do work on a road the City of Logan does not own, because 200 North is a state-owned road. It's unusual that we would have funds for this but since it's in the form of a grant, that is why Council approval is needed.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the January 20, 2026 Council meeting.

FUTURE BOOKSHOP REZONE – Consideration of a proposed rezone. Annie & Greg Waddoups/Jeffrey L. Peterson Estate, authorized agent/owner, is requesting a zone change of a .52 acre property located at 404 Park Avenue from Traditional Neighborhood Residential (NR-6) to Neighborhood Center (NC) in the Woodruff Neighborhood – Ordinance 26-01 – Aimee Egbert, Planner [\(43:00\)](#)

Planner Aimee Egbert addressed the Council regarding the proposed rezone.

Staff recommended approval of a rezone request for the “Future Bookshop” project at 404 Park Ave from Traditional Neighborhood Residential (NR-6) to Neighborhood

Center (NC). The applicants intend to convert an existing historic home into a small neighborhood bookstore with a coffee shop, maintaining the structure, removing a front garage for outdoor patio use, and adding parking on the north side.

Although the current future land use designation is Detached Residential, staff found the NC zone appropriate due to the property's historic neighborhood-hub character and proximity to several civic and recreational uses. The NC zone supports small-scale, pedestrian-oriented commercial uses that serve nearby residents, such as bookstores and cafés, both of which are permitted.

The rezone request is strictly for a zoning map amendment; no site plans or operational details are approved at this stage. Any future development must meet the standards of the NC zone and undergo required permitting and review.

No agency, department, or public comments were received. Public noticing requirements were met.

Ms. Egbert concluded the request is compatible with the Logan City General Plan and on December 11, 2025, the Planning Commission voted 5–0 to recommend approval.

Councilmember Dahle expressed concern about traffic safety and visibility, specifically the curve on Park Avenue and 400 South, describing it as a “blind corner” with fast-moving traffic.

Ms. Egbert responded that engineering had reviewed the proposed driveway location and preferred it over the existing driveway, which is more difficult to see. Additional engineering review and safety conditions would occur if the design proceeded.

Chair Johnson reported having spoken with several neighbors in the area. Most were supportive of the rezone and the bookstore concept, while expressing minor concerns regarding traffic, parking, and walkability. A few suggested reducing the parking count and increasing green space to preserve neighborhood character.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the January 20, 2026 Council meeting.

FAIRGROUNDS NORTH REZONE – Consideration of a proposed rezone. Tony Johnson/Alliance Acquisition LLC, authorized agent/owner, is requesting the zone change of a .26 acre property located at 390 South 400 West from Mixed Residential Low (MR-12) to Neighborhood Center (NC) in the Woodruff Neighborhood – Ordinance 26-02 – Russ Holley, Planner [\(51:08\)](#)

Planner Russ Holley addressed the Council regarding the proposed rezone.

Staff recommended approval of a rezone from Mixed Residential Low (MR-12) to Neighborhood Center (NC) for the Fairgrounds North property at 390 South 400 West, a 0.26-acre parcel containing a 1920 single-family home. The applicant intends to convert the existing home into a small food service business, aligning with the NC zone's purpose of providing modest, neighborhood-serving commercial uses.

The site is located near the Cache County Fairgrounds, Logan Aquatic Center, and Logan High School, and directly across the street from another NC-zoned property now being converted into a small bagel shop. Together, these two sites would form a small West side commercial node, complementing NC areas already established on the East side of the City. Staff noted that the West side is currently underserved for neighborhood-scale commercial services.

Although the property's Future Land Use designation is Mixed Residential, the NC zone is considered a floating zone suitable when neighborhood-oriented commercial uses are appropriate and compatible. NC zoning limits building size, preserves neighborhood character, requires pedestrian orientation, and restricts impacts to adjacent residential areas.

No public or agency comments were received, and all noticing requirements were met. The proposal does not approve any specific site plan, future development must comply with NC standards and may require a Conditional Use Permit.

On December 11, 2025, the Planning Commission voted 5–0 to recommend approval of the rezone to the Municipal Council.

Councilmember Simmonds asked for clarification on the size limitations within the Neighborhood Center (NC) zone, particularly when multiple parcels across the street from one another are involved.

Mr. Holley explained that NC limitations apply per parcel, with a maximum of 3,000 sq. ft. of commercial space per parcel, or up to 5,000 sq. ft. with a Conditional Use Permit. The Municipal Code does not limit the number of parcels that may be included within an NC designation. He stated that it's Council's role to determine when the scale of combined parcels remains neighborhood-serving versus when it becomes large enough to require a Community Commercial classification instead.

Mr. Holley referenced Fredrico's / Beaver Mountain / former bookstore area as an example of a larger grouping, noting that it includes five parcels totaling approximately 15,000 sq. ft. of commercial space, which is why that area is zoned Community Commercial rather than NC.

Councilmember Dahle asked about the square footage comparison between the Fairway Bagel property and the proposed rezone.

Mr. Holley clarified that Fairway Bagel is a very small structure, approximately 1,000 sq. ft., and that the small home to the rear, although a short-term rental and categorized differently, is located on the same parcel and therefore included within the NC zone's overall square footage.

He confirmed that both parcels fall within the NC zone and that total square footage remains within allowable limits.

With no further questions, Council thanked Mr. Holley for his presentation.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the January 20, 2026 Council meeting.

RIGHT OF WAY VACATION – Consideration of a proposed ordinance vacating certain Right of Way located at 900 North 1000 West – Ordinance 26-03 – Paul Lindhardt, Public Works Director [\(57:11\)](#)

Public Works Director Paul Lindhardt addressed the Council regarding the proposed vacation. He provided an overview of the statutory process for vacating a public right-of-way or public utility easement, noting that procedures are governed under Title 10 of the Utah Municipal Code. Requirements include formal petitioning, public noticing, and opportunities for adjacent property owners to comment or sign in support.

He outlined the two primary criteria the legislative body must consider when approving or denying a vacation:

1. Whether good cause exists, and
2. Whether any person's interest will be materially injured by the vacation.

Mr. Lindhardt stated the proposed vacation concerns a right-of-way located near 900 North, between 1000 West and 1100 West, within the industrial district. The roadway was originally dedicated as part of the Cache Valley Electric Subdivision in 2009. Adjacent uses include Costco, Gossner Foods, FedEx, and Cache Valley Electric.

Petitions were mailed to adjacent property owners and properties within 300 feet of the right-of-way. One inquiry was received regarding timelines and public hearing dates.

Mr. Lindhardt explained that if vacated, ownership of the right-of-way would revert half to properties north and half to properties south, per state statute. To avoid loss of access, the applicant coordinated with neighboring property owners to establish a recorded cross-access easement, including maintenance responsibilities, ensuring continued use by all three properties.

Public Works and Planning noted:

- Street access will be maintained as-is, with no change to physical operation.
- The City does not currently plow this road; Cache Valley Electric maintains it.
- The City performs occasional minor maintenance such as chip sealing and striping.

Mr. Lindhardt explained that in industrial areas, the City historically preserves “super blocks” and prioritizes even-numbered gridded streets, which support large building footprints and truck operations. Odd-numbered streets are not always retained. The road in question currently dead-ends on both sides and does not serve a broader transportation function.

Councilmember Simmonds asked if this was supposed to be a street and why would we vacate.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that the roadway currently exists as a public street within the industrial area. The City typically maintains a “super block” pattern in industrial zones, preserving the even-numbered street grid to support large development footprints, truck circulation, and building operations. Odd-numbered streets are less frequently preserved. He added that the purpose of the application is not to physically change or remove the road. The road and access would remain in place. The vacation would allow the adjacent property owner to construct a building closer to the access road without public right-of-way setback requirements.

Councilmember Simmonds asked if the City is giving up value by vacating this right-of-way.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that the right-of-way was dedicated during subdivision approval, not purchased by the City, and therefore the City is not giving up a purchased asset value. He also explained that without a recorded cross-access easement, neighboring properties might lose value, which is why the easement was negotiated and recorded.

Councilmember Lee-Koven asked if the City is currently maintaining the road.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that the City does not plow the road. The City occasionally chip seals or stripes it. Cache Valley Electric currently performs winter maintenance. If vacated, private parties would assume full maintenance responsibility.

Chair Johnson asked if any of the adjacent property owners raised concerns.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that notices were sent, the 10-day response period had just begun, and no comments had yet been received.

Vice Chair López asked what is the zoning for the surrounding parcels.

Mr. Lindhardt confirmed the surrounding area is zoned Industrial.

Chair Johnson asked if it is excessive to vacate an entire street for a setback encroachment.

Councilmember Dahle asked what happens for future landowners, is access grandfathered.

Mr. Lindhardt confirmed that a recorded cross-access easement will run with the land, ensuring continued access for future owners.

Councilmember Lee-Koven asked if there was a benefit to vacating this road over a variance.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that the applicant discussed both options and chose the vacation process which provides a cleaner long-term solution for building placement and future development, and variances are not guaranteed.

Vice Chair López asked does the cross access agreement allow the owns to change the width of the road in the future.

Mr. Lindhardt noted the easement is an agreement between private parties. Changes could occur if both parties agree. He could not confirm whether such changes would trigger City review.

Vice Chair Lopez asked would changing the width affect fire access.

Councilmember Simmonds commented that fire apparatus would likely be accessible from 1000 West.

Mr. Lindhardt was asked to consult with the Fire Department regarding future width changes and agreed to return with clarification.

He added that a public hearing and action on the ordinance will occur in two weeks and no objections were noted from Public Works in regard to the vacation. Street function remains unchanged; only ownership and maintenance transition from public to private.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the January 20, 2026 Council meeting.

ELECTRICAL EASEMENT VACATION – Consideration of a proposed ordinance vacating sections of Electrical Easement and Public Utility Easement at the Cache Valley Marketplace development – Ordinance 26 -04 – Paul Lindhardt [\(1:11:36\)](#)

Public Works Director Paul Lindhardt addressed the Council regarding the proposed vacation of electrical easements and public utility easements located within the Cache Valley Marketplace subdivision (commonly known as Cache Valley Mall/Target area), between 1400 North and 1250 North, Main Street, and 200 East.

He explained that several easements recorded in earlier phases of the development are no longer aligned with current parcel boundaries due to boundary line adjustments, subdivision modifications, and shifting building locations. These easements restrict construction and require utilities to be relocated.

All utilities within the affected easements have already been relocated, and no active utilities remain within the easements proposed for vacation. The easements shown in the exhibits include both: Electrical easements, and Public utility easements. Both easement types now conflict with updated parcel lines and development plans.

Mr. Lindhardt noted that this item follows the same statutory process as right-of-way vacations but concerns easements rather than public streets.

Council commented that easements through the center of buildings under construction would not be appropriate, and agreed the proposed vacation appears necessary given the updated subdivision layout.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the January 20, 2026 Council meeting.

No further workshop items were presented.

ACTION ITEM:

PUBLIC HEARING - Budget Adjustments FY 2025-2026 appropriating: \$5,000 funds the Fire Department received from the State of Utah. These funds will be used for Peer Support Training of Firefighters; \$60,126 funds dispatch received to support 911 operations - Resolution 25-49 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director [\(1:14:04\)](#)

At the December 16, 2025 Council meeting, Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments.

Councilmember Simmonds noted the allocation was approximately half of what the City received previously and asked whether the reduction would affect the City's ability to operate its 911 center and whether future budget adjustments would be necessary.

Mr. Anderson responded that the reduction does not impact current operations, as the City does not budget grant revenues until they are received. The grant will be used for one-time expenditures, such as training or equipment, that would not otherwise be funded. He also noted that dispatch operations remain adequately funded through the City's existing budget, but future infrastructure needs such as construction of a standalone 911 center will require long-term planning.

Chair Johnson opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Logan resident Gail Hanson asked whether, in light of the recent deaths of two Tremonton Police Officers, the City is being proactive in providing specialized support and training for domestic violence response. She encouraged continued focus on non-lethal intervention strategies and "soft support" resources for domestic violence situations.

Councilmember Simmonds noted that the Logan City Police Department works closely with CAPSA (Citizens Against Physical and Sexual Abuse), the community's domestic violence service provider, and that the partnership includes cross-training and coordinated response practices to support victims and improve safety outcomes.

Councilmember Lee-Koven further stated that with the police collaboration and cross training with CAPSA is that we are an example in the State of Utah and have been a resource to others throughout the State.

Police Chief Jeff Simmons added that we led the State in developing and implementing the Lethality Assessment Protocol, which has since been adopted and expanded statewide. The program has been viewed as a beneficial tool to enhance domestic violence response and connect victims to resources.

There were no further comments and Chair Johnson closed the public hearing.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Councilmember Dahle to approve Resolution 25-49 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (5-0).

Dahle: Aye

Johnson: Aye

Lee-Koven: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Aye

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

No further considerations were discussed.

ADJOURNED:

There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

Teresa Harris, City Recorder