



2
3 **MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL WASATCH COMMISSION (“CWC”) BOARD**
4 **MEETING HELD FRIDAY, OCTOBER 31, 2025, AT 9:00 AM. THE MEETING WAS**
5 **CONDUCTED BOTH IN-PERSON AND VIRTUALLY VIA ZOOM. THE ANCHOR**
6 **LOCATION WAS MILLCREEK CITY HALL, LOCATED AT 1330 EAST CHAMBERS**
7 **AVENUE, MILLCREEK, UTAH.**

8
9 **Board Members:** Mayor Jeff Silvestrini, Chair

10 Christopher F. Robinson

11 Mayor Dan Knopp

12 Ellen Birrell

13 Mayor Roger Bourke

14 Bill Ciraco

15 Mayor Erin Mendenhall

16 Emily Gray

17 Carlton Christensen, Ex-Officio

18 Annalee Munsey, Ex-Officio

19 Caroline Rodriguez, Ex-Officio

20
21 **Stakeholders Council:** Maura Hahnenberger

22
23 **Special Advisors:** Amber Broadway

24 Jack Stauss

25
26 **Staff:** Lindsey Nielsen, Executive Director

27 Sam Kilpack, Director of Operations

28 Shane Topham, CWC Legal Counsel

29
30 **Other:** Laura Briefer

31
32 **OPENING**

33
34 **1. Chair Jeff Silvestrini will Call the Meeting to Order and Welcome Board Members**
35 **and the Public.**

36
37 Chair Jeff Silvestrini called the Central Wasatch Commission (“CWC”) Board Meeting to order
38 at 9:00 AM and welcomed those present. It was noted that Mayor Mendenhall would join the
39 CWC Board Meeting approximately 20 minutes late. As a result, the meeting agenda was
40 reordered so that the non-voting items would be heard first, starting with the Stakeholders Council
41 Discussion item.

1
2. **(Action) The Board will Consider Approving the Minutes of the CWC Board Meeting**
3 **on June 23, 2025.**

4
5 **MOTION:** _____ moved to APPROVE the Minutes of the CWC Board Meeting on June 23,
6 2025. Erin Mendenhall seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of
7 the Board.

8
PUBLIC COMMENT

9
10 There were no public comments.

11
CHANGES TO BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND LEADERSHIP

12
13. **(Action) The Board will Consider Resolution 2025-35 - Thanking Jeff Silvestrini for**
14 **His Service on the Central Wasatch Commission and Releasing Him from the Board.**

15 Commissioner Robinson reported that Resolution 2025-35 – Thanking Jeff Silvestrini for His
16 Service on the Central Wasatch Commission and Releasing Him from the Board was currently
17 before the CWC Board. It had been an honor to have Chair Silvestrini serve as the Mayor of
18 Millcreek and to have him serve as a member of the CWC. This would be his last CWC Meeting
19 and the CWC Board Members expressed appreciation to him for all of his hard work.

20 Commissioner Robinson read Resolution 2025-35, which acknowledges the exemplary service
21 Chair Silvestrini has provided as the Mayor of Millcreek. During his time in office, he worked
22 diligently and innovatively to better Millcreek. One example of his exemplary public service was
23 his active participation on the CWC. Chair Silvestrini gave generously of his time, energy, talents,
24 and resources to further the work of the Commission. In doing so, he richly benefited the
25 organization, members, and both the present and future inhabitants of the Wasatch Front and
26 Wasatch Back. The CWC Board expressed appreciation to Chair Silvestrini for his work and
27 service to the Commission.

28 **MOTION:** Christopher Robinson moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-35 – Thanking Jeff
29 Silvestrini for His Service on the Central Wasatch Commission and Releasing Him from the Board.
30 Dan Knopp seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Board.

31 Chair Silvestrini thanked the CWC Board for their support. Focusing on the protection of the
32 mountains has always been important to him because the mountains mean a lot to the residents of
33 Millcreek. Those attending the meeting in person took a photograph with Chair Silvestrini.

34
35. **(Action) The Board will Consider Resolution 2025-36 - Appointing New Officers of**
36 **the CWC Board.**

37 Chair Silvestrini reported that there have been conversations with those willing to serve in various
38 positions on the CWC Board. This includes the Chair, Co-Chair, and Secretary/Treasurer
39 positions. Normally, Officers would be appointed in January but given his resignation as Chair, it

1 seemed appropriate to discuss the positions now rather than wait until the next CWC Board
2 Meeting. What is currently proposed is Mayor Mendenhall as Chair, Mayor Roger Bourke as Co-
3 Chair, and Commissioner Robinson as Secretary/Treasurer. He appreciated their willingness to
4 serve.

5
6 Mayor Knopp asked Mayor Bourke for information about his run for re-election. Mayor Bourke
7 reported that he intends to serve one term and did not initially seek election for a second term. One
8 of the Council Members signed up to run as Mayor, and that was the only person on the ballot.
9 Mayor Knopp believed there should be more than one person running in the election. As a result,
10 he put his name on the ballot as well but then the other candidate withdrew due to health issues.

11
12 **MOTION:** Emily Gray moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-36 – Appointing New Officers of
13 the CWC Board. Dan Knopp seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous
14 consent of the Board.

15
16 Mayor Mendenhall thanked Chair Silvestrini for his work and stated that it has been a pleasure to
17 work with him. He is a wonderful person and has led well. Mayor Mendenhall hoped he would
18 keep in touch with the CWC Board and wished him well in his retirement. She reiterated her
19 appreciation for his efforts.

20
21 **BOARD BUSINESS**

22
23 **1. (Action) The Board will Consider Resolution 2025-37 - Approving a Meeting**
24 **Schedule for 2026.**

25
26 Chair Silvestrini reported that the meeting schedule for 2026 is included in the Meeting Materials
27 Packet. The CWC Board will move to quarterly meetings to accommodate the Officer schedules.
28 Commissioner Robinson reported that between those dates, there will still be
29 Executive/Budget/Audit Committee Meetings, so items can be addressed in between scheduled
30 meetings. Chair Silvestrini confirmed this and noted that it is possible to schedule Special
31 Meetings when needed. There was discussion about the Executive/Budget/Audit Committee.
32 Executive Director, Lindsey Nielsen, reported that the Officers serve on the Committee, but other
33 CWC Board Members can attend and participate. Chair Silvestrini stated that those meetings are
34 public and are noticed ahead of time.

35
36 **MOTION:** Dan Knopp moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-37 – Approving a Meeting
37 Schedule for 2026. Emily Gray seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous
38 consent of the Board.

39
40 Mayor Mendenhall noted that there are newer members of the CWC Board. She asked CWC Staff
41 to include additional information on meeting agendas in the future. For instance, the name of each
42 CWC Board Member and additional roles served within the organization. With this addition,
43 members of the public can see who is on the CWC Board, the area each Commissioner represents,
44 and where each member serves. Ms. Nielsen confirmed that this information can be added to
45 agendas.

1 2. **The Board will Discuss the Program for the 2026 Central Wasatch Symposium.**

3 4. **a. (Action) The Board will Consider Resolution 2025-38 - Approving a Catering**
Contract for the 2026 Symposium.

6 Ms. Nielsen shared information about the Central Wasatch Symposium, which will take place
7 January 8 and 9, 2026. She reported that the program details are being worked out but the program
8 is not ready to be released to the public. She asked for CWC Board review of the draft program.
9 The Central Wasatch Symposium will be a meaningful and informative event. She hopes all CWC
10 Board Members will be able to attend. Ticket sales are currently open, and 40 tickets have been
11 sold so far. This will be the second year the Central Wasatch Symposium will be held.

13 Commissioner Robinson asked who the keynote speaker will be this year. Ms. Nielsen reported
14 that the keynote speaker will be Darren Parry, a Shoshone Elder and a decorated speaker. That
15 will be formally announced soon, and a trailer will be released. During the Central Wasatch
16 Symposium, there will be a presentation about rock glaciers in the Central Wasatch with one of
17 the new Stakeholders Council Members, Scott Hotaling. In addition, there will be a presentation
18 from Caroline Rodriguez and Devin Weder about building a transit district. Carrying capacity in
19 the Central Wasatch Mountains will be discussed by Dr. CJ Blye from the University of Utah.
20 Indicator species in the Central Wasatch will be discussed, as well as the economic value of
21 wilderness and how the mountains impact mental and physical well-being. There are a lot of
22 different topics that will be covered. When the program is finalized, it will be shared with all of
23 the CWC Board Members.

25 Director of Operations, Samantha Kilpack, shared information about the catering contract for the
26 Central Wasatch Symposium. There will be one meal provided during the Central Wasatch
27 Symposium instead of the two that were provided last year. There will be box lunches provided,
28 and Resolution 2025-38 – Approving a Catering Contract for the 2026 Symposium will finalize
29 the contract. It was noted that the amount is \$2,577, and the same caterer as last year will be used.

31 **MOTION:** Bill Ciraco moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-38 – Approving a Catering
32 Contract for the 2026 Symposium. Emily Gray seconded the motion. The motion passed with the
33 unanimous consent of the Board.

35 3. **(Action) The Board will Consider Resolution 2025-39 - Approving the Memorandum**
of Understanding with Sandy City for the Ski Bus Priority Access Program.

38 Chair Silvestrini reported that the CWC will be supporting the Ski Bus Priority Access Program
39 again this year. It is a program where police officers assist buses, so there is priority access around
40 traffic. This is one of the more meaningful items the CWC has funded in recent years to address
41 the transportation issues that exist in Little Cottonwood Canyon. What is before the CWC Board
42 is an agreement with Sandy City. He noted that the Sandy City Police Department provides the
43 staffing for this program. Ms. Nielsen stated that the Sandy City Police Department appreciates
44 the partnership with the CWC, and there is a commitment to maintain the ski bus-related work.

1 Mayor Mendenhall was frustrated by the decreased investment in the CWC from Sandy City. The
2 not to exceed \$30,000 agreement that is being considered is more than the contribution that Sandy
3 City has made to the CWC. Chair Silvestrini reported that there was some communication from
4 Mayor Zoltanski. The Sandy City Council agreed to pay \$60,000, which is at least more than the
5 \$30,000 associated with this program. He was pleased that Mayor Zoltanski advocated for the
6 CWC with the Sandy City Council. Mayor Mendenhall was grateful to Mayor Zoltanski and
7 knows she is a supporter of the work done by the CWC. She hopes that the Sandy City Council
8 will return to a full investment in the CWC based on the formula that has been determined.
9

10 Special Advisor, Jack Stauss, asked if there had been any additional conversations with Utah
11 Transit Authority (“UTA”) about a path forward to expand the ski bus service. Ms. Nielsen
12 reported that Mayor Zoltanski was not present at this meeting. As a result, she requested to
13 continue the follow-up discussion from the Transportation Work Session to a future meeting.
14

15 Commissioner Birrell commented that she needs to interface with the Cottonwood Heights Police
16 Department. She shared information about the Utah Department of Transportation (“UDOT”)
17 work to widen the roadway with the intention of creating space for vehicles to pull off into the
18 shoulder during peak hours in the winter season. She had not been informed about how that will
19 be funded, as far as the Cottonwood Heights Police Department directing vehicles onto the
20 shoulder. She would continue to look into the matter and share information with the CWC Board.
21 Commissioner Birrell suggested that CWC Board Members travel down SR-210 from Bengal
22 Boulevard south to the mouth of Little Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has been paving, where
23 possible, to create these pull-off areas. She pointed out that it is not a continuous shoulder. Chair
24 Silvestrini thanked her for the information.
25

26 **MOTION:** Dan Knopp moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-39 – Approving the Memorandum
27 of Understanding with Sandy City for the Ski Bus Priority Access Program. Erin Mendenhall
28 seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Board.
29

30 **STAKEHOLDERS COUNCIL DISCUSSION**

31

32 **1. The Stakeholders Council Chair and Co-Chair will Present the Recent Activities of**
the Stakeholders Council and Engage with the Commission for Guidance and
Feedback.
33

34 Stakeholders Council Chair, Maura Hahnenberger, shared updates with the CWC Board. She
35 explained that there are three items that will be discussed during this portion of the meeting,
36 including an overview of the recent Stakeholders Council Meeting, a letter to rental car agencies
37 drafted by the Transportation System Committee, and the appointment of new Stakeholders
38 Council Members.
39

40 Ms. Hahnenberger reported that the most recent Stakeholders Council Meeting was focused on the
41 sustainability actions of different ski resorts. There were representatives from Alta Ski Area,
42 Brighton Resort, and Snowbird who shared information about the various sustainability actions
43 being taken at those resorts. In addition, there was a discussion about individual responsibilities.
44 Different ideas will be discussed by the System Committees to see if further actions will be
45

1 proposed in the future. She noted that there have been discussions about the carrying capacity of
2 the Cottonwood Canyons at the Stakeholders Council level, specifically the environmental and
3 recreational impacts. She stressed the importance of protecting the environment while also
4 prioritizing the visitor experience.

5

6 a. Letter to Car Rental Agencies Regarding Traction Law Compliance.

7

8 Ms. Hahnenberger explained that the main action item at the last Stakeholders Council Meeting
9 was a letter to the rental car agencies. This was originally drafted by the Transportation System
10 Committee and was then forwarded to the Stakeholders Council for consideration. The letter has
11 been included in the Meeting Materials Packet for CWC Board review. Ms. Hahnenberger
12 reported that the letter includes a few action items for the rental car agencies. This includes sharing
13 information about the traction law and the associated requirements, as well as a request that traction
14 law-compliant vehicles in the fleet be stickered. Ms. Hahnenberger stressed the importance of
15 information being provided to customers interested in renting a vehicle. There could be handouts
16 provided at the rental car agency counters, signs posted, or information shared in the actual
17 vehicles.

18

19 The rental car agency letter was forwarded to the CWC Board for consideration. The Stakeholders
20 Council is asking the CWC Board to send out this letter on behalf of the organization. Chair
21 Silvestrini asked if there is support to send the letter to the rental car agencies, which was
22 confirmed. He asked whether a Resolution is needed. CWC Legal Counsel, Shane Topham, did
23 not believe a formal Resolution would be needed to take this type of action. Chair Silvestrini
24 suggested making a motion to approve the rental car agency letter during the current CWC Board
25 Meeting.

26

27 **MOTION:** Dan Knopp moved to APPROVE the Rental Car Agency Letter related to Traction
28 Law Compliance. Bill Ciraco seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous
29 consent of the Board.

30

31 Chair Silvestrini believed that some of the rental car agencies were originally resistant to providing
32 vehicles with snow tires, because the vehicles move across the country. However, a lot of rental
33 car companies have a higher amount of Four Wheel Drive vehicles available for rent in Utah. He
34 hopes the rental car agencies contacted will focus on the sticker program and traction law
35 compliance.

36

37 Commissioner Birrell reported that she was involved in marketing and management at Snowbird
38 for a period of time. It is possible to ask the resort stakeholders to look at the information shared
39 when guest reservations are confirmed. Shuttle could be promoted, which could address some of
40 the traffic-related challenges in the canyons. Visitors need to be aware of the alternatives to renting
41 a vehicle. Chair Silvestrini agreed and noted that it might be beneficial to create a packet for ski
42 visitors. There can be communication with hotel management so visitors can be advised about the
43 traction law. This will ensure that visitors to the area are not surprised by the requirements and
44 can be more proactive about how the canyons are accessed. Commissioner Birrell pointed out that
45 this could be a Chamber of Commerce effort. She expressed support for the rental car agency
46 letter.

1
2 **b. (Action) Resolution 2025-40 - Appointing New Stakeholders Council**
3 **Members.**

5 Ms. Hahnenberger reported that Resolution 2025-40 – Appointing New Stakeholders Council
6 Members relates to new appointments to the Stakeholders Council. Two new members were
7 proposed to be added to the Council consisting of Shauna Hart and Scott Hotaling. Mayor Knopp
8 asked if additional members were being added or if these are replacements. Ms. Kilpack reported
9 that there are currently three vacancies, so these appointments will fill two of the existing vacancies
10 on the Stakeholders Council. Chair Silvestrini thanked those willing to fill the vacancies on the
11 Council.

12 **MOTION:** Dan Knopp moved to APPROVE Resolution 2025-40 – Appointing New
13 Stakeholders Council Members. Ellen Birrell seconded the motion. The motion passed with the
14 unanimous consent of the Board.

15 Mayor Bourke asked how the appointments on the Stakeholders Council are determined. He
16 wanted to know if there is any consideration of the geographic areas or of the specific areas of
17 interest. Ms. Nielsen reported that an application must be submitted to inform CWC Staff of an
18 interest in serving on the Stakeholders Council. Several considerations are taken into account,
19 including the location of the applicant. There is a desire to have representation from both the
20 Wasatch Front and Wasatch Back. In addition, the four focus areas of the CWC are taken into
21 account. She reminded those present that the focus areas include: transportation, environment,
22 recreation, and economy. The goal of the Stakeholders Council is to have representation from
23 each of the four focus areas. Ms. Nielsen explained that the intention is to have a diverse
24 membership on the Stakeholders Council.

25 **MILLCREEK CANYON SHUTTLE FEASIBILITY STUDY DRAFT UPDATE**

26 1. **Staff will Present the Draft Update of the Millcreek Canyon Shuttle Feasibility Study.**
27
28 2. **Staff will Present the Results of the Public Comment Period for the Millcreek Canyon**
29 **Shuttle Feasibility Study Draft Update.**

30 Chair Silvestrini reported that Fehr & Peers was asked to update the Millcreek Canyon Shuttle
31 Feasibility Study. The draft version was shared, and then there was a public comment period.
32 CWC Staff has received all of the public comments and will now share information about the draft
33 update.

34 Ms. Nielsen explained that the draft update is included in the Meeting Materials Packet. The draft
35 update is available for CWC Board review and comment during the meeting. The final study
36 update will be presented at the CWC Board Meeting in January 2026. The Meeting Materials
37 Packet included approximately 90 public comments related to the draft update. There were nearly
38 400 comments collected at the beginning of this process, specific to the general concept of a
39 Millcreek Canyon shuttle. She added that CWC Staff will provide a comment summary as well
40 as the final study at the CWC Board Meeting in January 2026. Before that meeting, CWC Staff

1 and Fehr & Peers will schedule a review meeting with agency partners, such as the U.S. Forest
2 Service, UDOT, the Granite School District, Millcreek City, and Salt Lake County. The main
3 finding of this draft is that a Millcreek Canyon shuttle is desired by the populace and is feasible.
4 Operation models were provided in the draft, including a 15-minute headway and a 30-minute
5 headway for the shuttle. Ms. Nielsen pointed out that there are cost differences between the two.
6 It will be more than double the cost for the 15-minute option outlined.

7
8 Commissioner Gray mentioned the Executive Summary. It sounded like this would only operate
9 during the summer months. She believed there needs to be more clarity provided to explain that
10 this would also only operate on weekends (Friday, Saturday, and Sunday). Commissioner Gray
11 reported that an email was received from Ed Marshall, who stated that this is a parking issue rather
12 than a traffic issue. She tends to agree that the problems in Millcreek Canyon are more related to
13 parking than traffic, but it seemed like a semantic issue. She asked that there be some follow-up
14 from CWC Staff. Ms. Nielsen confirmed that there will be communication with Mr. Marshall.

15
16 Chair Silvestrini thought it would be useful for Fehr & Peers to look at whether the expansion and
17 improvement of parking contemplated with the Federal Lands Access Program (“FLAP”) grant
18 work will mitigate some of the parking issues. He suspects there will still be a parking problem
19 even with those improvements, so a shuttle will still likely be able to address the issue. However,
20 it would be valuable to address the impact of the FLAP grant improvements as part of the study.
21 The report should consider the conditions after the FLAP grant work is completed in more detail.

22
23 Mayor Mendenhall understands that shifting to a 15-minute headway is more than double the cost,
24 but asked what the increase in potential ridership would be with more frequent headways. It would
25 be meaningful to consider the revenue generation as part of this process. When the frequency is
26 increased, the ridership tends to increase as well. Ms. Nielsen reported that the ridership impact
27 of more frequent service is not included in the draft, but that comment can be taken back to Fehr
28 & Peers to see if it might be possible to include in the final version of the study. The nearly 400
29 public comments received on the shuttle concept and scope mentioned a desire for 15-minute
30 headways.

31
32 Ex Officio Member Carlton Christensen guessed that the challenge with the cost has to do with
33 the additional shuttle buses that would be needed to provide 15-minute service. It also means an
34 additional driver would be needed. There are significant costs associated with vehicles and labor.
35 That being said, the comment from Mayor Mendenhall is correct that increased frequency almost
36 always increases the ridership numbers. Commissioner Birrell is supportive of shuttles generally
37 to relieve the vehicle miles traveled. She responded directly to the email that Mr. Marshall
38 submitted to the CWC Board. She agrees with his comment that a Millcreek Canyon shuttle needs
39 to be equipped in a way that those coming into the canyon to walk their dogs will be able to utilize
40 it.

41
42 Commissioner Ciraco reported that in Park City this summer, there was the Transit to Trails service
43 with paid parking at Bonanza Flat. It worked out well, and there was an average of 770 riders per
44 week and approximately 1,000 parking transactions per week. There was a weekday parking rate
45 of \$4 per hour and a weekend parking rate of \$8 per hour. It was possible to turn over the parking
46 spaces in that area multiple times per day, which increased the utilization. This was an effective

1 tool to manage demand and preserve the asset. With the improvement of parking in Millcreek
2 Canyon, there will likely be more visitation. There needs to be a balance with the cost of the
3 shuttle. It should be low enough to incentivize use and high enough to help manage the use.
4 Commissioner Ciraco offered to speak to the Transportation Staff in Park City about the data from
5 the parking program at Bonanza Flat. That data can be shared with CWC Staff and Fehr & Peers.
6

7 Mr. Stauss was impressed with the study that has been conducted. After reading through the
8 comments submitted during the public comment period, it was clear that winter availability for the
9 shuttle is something that needs to be considered. Instead of completely taking winter use off the
10 table, the study can include information about reduced service in the winter months.
11

12 Commissioner Gray agreed with that suggestion and pointed out that winter recreation is on the
13 rise. She asked Commissioner Ciraco if dogs are allowed on the Park City shuttle mentioned
14 earlier. Commissioner Ciraco confirmed that dogs were allowed, but the dogs needed to be
15 muzzled on the shuttle. There were muzzles available on the shuttle for those who did not have
16 them on hand. In addition, there were some awareness events where free muzzles were handed
17 out. There were zero incidents on the shuttle this summer, so it worked out well between the
18 education and muzzles provided. Mayor Knopp asked what the cost of the shuttle was.
19 Commissioner Ciraco reported that it was free. On Thursday through Sunday, there was more
20 frequent service than the rest of the week.
21

22 Mayor Knopp asked if the draft study had been reviewed by the Forest Service. Ms. Nielsen
23 reported that the Forest Service is still on furlough but the plan is to have a partner agency
24 presentation and feedback meeting in the future. The Forest Service will be included in that
25 process. That meeting will happen before the final study document is presented to the CWC Board.
26

27 Commissioner Birrell noted that it is important to be mindful of how a Millcreek Canyon shuttle
28 is launched. It needs to incentivize people to change their travel habits. There should be a cost
29 analysis conducted to see what it would look like to offer the shuttle for free or for a low cost.
30 Chair Silvestrini agreed that in an ideal situation, the shuttle would be free. However, the program
31 needs to be funded. There are still discussions to be had about the funding of a potential canyon
32 shuttle.
33

34 TRANSPORTATION WORK SESSION FOLLOW-UP

36 1. The Board will Discuss the Transportation Work Session that Occurred During the 37 Previous Meeting and Determine Next Steps.

38 The above item was continued to a future CWC Board Meeting.
39

41 STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS

43 1. Youth Council Updates.

44 Ms. Kilpack reported that the CWC Youth Council is doing some restructuring. Instead of a
45 Committee structure, there have been discussions about individual roles that will be fulfilled. A
46

1 Board Liaison will attend all of the CWC Board Meetings and there will be some CWC Youth
2 Council Members writing guest blogs for the CWC website. She will continue to share updates
3 with the CWC Board as the new structure is finalized. Ms. Kilpack also informed the CWC Board
4 that the call for short-term projects will open in early January, shortly after the symposium.

5

6 **2. The Next CWC Board Meeting will be on January 5, 2026.**

7

8 Ms. Kilpack reported that the next CWC Board Meeting is scheduled for January 5, 2026.

9

10 **OTHER BUSINESS**

11

12 Commissioner Ciraco shared additional comments about the Millcreek Canyon shuttle. If Fehr &
13 Peers models out 15-minute weekend service, it might make sense to reach out to Granite School
14 District about the possibility of using some of the high school parking on the weekends. Chair
15 Silvestrini reported that there have been some conversations with them about that. As long as
16 there is no interference with school functions, there seems to be support. There would need to be
17 some coordination there. Chair Silvestrini added that some parking was striped on Virginia Way,
18 which is a frontage road on the west side of I-215. There are approximately 120 stalls that would
19 be available.

20

21 **COMMISSIONER COMMENT**

22

23 Chair Silvestrini thanked the CWC Board and stated that it has been an honor to serve with them.

24

25 **CLOSING**

26

27 **1. Chair Silvestrini will Call for a Motion to Adjourn the Board Meeting.**

28

29 **MOTION:** Jeff Silvestrini moved to ADJOURN the CWC Board Meeting. Dan Knopp seconded
30 the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Board.

31

32 The CWC Board Meeting adjourned at 10:10 AM.

1 *I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Central*
2 *Wasatch Commission Board Meeting held on Friday, October 31, 2025.*

3

4 Teri Forbes

5 Teri Forbes
6 T Forbes Group
7 Minutes Secretary
8
9 Minutes Approved: _____