



**ROY CITY**  
**Roy City Council Meeting Minutes**  
**November 18, 2025– 5:30 p.m.**  
Roy City Council  
5051 S 1900 W Roy, UT 84067

Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in person in the Roy City Council Chambers and streamed on YouTube on November 18, 2025, at 5:30 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Utah Public Notice Website at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was also posted on the Roy City website.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Dandoy  
Councilmember Paul  
Councilmember Saxton  
Councilmember Scadden  
Councilmember Wilson

City Manager, Matt Andrews  
City Recorder, Brittany Fowers  
City Attorney, Matt Wilson

Excused: Councilmember Jackson

Also present were: Police Captain, Armando Perez; Fire Chief, Theron Williams; Public Works Director, Brandon Edwards; Parks and Recreation Director, Michelle Howard; Gary Holley, Glenda Moore, Jhan Jensen, Farah Mohammed, Brad Brown, Cammy Whitechurch, Mindi Turpin, Alan Turpin, Dianne Murray, Robert Percival, Kevin Homer, Mike VanAlfen, Rick Scadden, Brenda and Dave Griggs, Nicole Williams, Ali Slagowski, Brianna Kent, Mark Seib, Tracy Hammon Giles, Tim Giles, Eric and Terra Cottle, Cindy Whinham, Leon Wilson, Janel Hulbert, Dennis Brown, Bud Ford, Leisa and Darrin Albright, Lawerna and Richard Jensen, Nancy Inman, Tim Watkins, Tony Kent, Shelley Polston, Alexis Jackson, Ty Chaston, Jason Sphar, and Bob Barnes.

**A. Welcome & Roll Call**

Mayor Dandoy welcomed those in attendance and noted Councilmembers Scadden, Saxton, Paul, and Wilson were present. He recused Councilmember Jackson.

**B. Moment of Silence**

Councilmember Paul invited the audience to observe a moment of silence.

**C. Pledge of Allegiance**

Councilmember Paul led the audience to recite the Pledge of Allegiance.

**D. Consent Items**

1. September 16, 2025, Roy City Council Meeting Minutes and October 7, 2025, Roy City Council Meeting Minutes

Councilmember Wilson indicated she had sent edits to the draft minutes to City Recorder Fowers.

2. Surplus Vehicles

Mayor Dandoy asked City Manager Andrews for clarification about the sale of vehicles and City Manager Andrews explained that because the City was able to purchase new vehicles at a discounted rate, they were able to make a profit when they sold them to the public.

3. September 2025 Financial Statement

City Manager Andrews highlighted some key points of the statement.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve the consent items with adjustments to the minutes as provided by Councilmember Wilson. Councilmember Wilson second the motion, all present members voted “Aye” and the motion carried.**

**E. Public Comments – 4 minutes**

Mayor Dandoy opened the floor for public comments.

Dennis Brown, 2119 W 6000 S, Roy, asked about the retention pond on 6000 South. He expressed concerns that it would flood if the drainage issue was not resolved. He also discussed the new property tax increase and asked about the process going forward. He thanked Mayor Dandoy for attempting to stop the 28% tax increase. He asked the Council to consider the financial consequences of all the projects they approved throughout the year so that they could avoid another tax increase.

Mindy Turpin, 3908 S 2525 W, Roy, spoke about the proposed access point for the new development north of 4000 South. She felt the addition of that access point would increase traffic and asked if the access point could be located elsewhere.

Tony Kent, 5987 S 2200 W, Roy, asked if a crosswalk could be added at 2200 West 6000 South and discussed how dangerous the intersection was. He acknowledged that flashing lights were expensive but asked if there was another safety feature that could be installed. Mr. Kent also expressed he was in favor of Councilmember Wilson’s proposal about chickens.

Eric Cottle, 6061 S 2225 W, Roy, echoed Mr. Kent’s comments about the intersection at 2200 West 6000 South and called for a crosswalk.

Dave and Brenda Griggs, 2009 W 4350 S, Roy. Mrs. Griggs expressed support for additional off-street parking for Roy residents. She detailed how she and her husband had been asked to move their trailer that was parked in front of their home and said that they, like many Roy residents, had not known that it was a Code violation to park additional vehicles in front of the home. She discussed this issue was not only in Roy, but throughout the County.

Wally Rogers, Roy, 4376 S 2675 W, also discussed the additional parking issue. He said some of his adult children lived with him, and they did not have enough parking space on his driveway for all of the residents in the home. He thanked the Council for looking into options about how to address the need for extra parking.

Cindy Whinham, 4152 Lily Dr, Roy, brought up the construction of new townhomes on the north side of 4000. She spoke about how the new development would greatly increase traffic in the area and noted the only point of egress was located near the railroad crossing. She asked what the Council would do to ensure the road remained safe. Mrs. Whinham suggested that rather than building more townhomes, Roy City should address the affordability issue in the City and create pathways for home ownership. She complained that more townhomes and apartments would change the culture of the City.

Mike VanAlfen, 5353 S 3750 W, Roy, echoed Mrs. Whinham’s comments and asked the Council to talk to constituents to see what kind of developments they wanted to see in Roy City. He said that developers seemed to build whatever they wanted and then left the City.

Terra Cottle, 6061 S 2225 W, Roy, also asked for something to be done about the 2200 West 6000 South intersection and spoke about how dangerous the area was. She also brought up beautification in the downtown area and noted there were many rundown buildings. She thought the City should fix the existing buildings they had rather than build new ones. She said that the property owners downtown should take better care of the lots.

Mayor Dandoy closed the floor for public comment.

Mayor Dandoy said that the crosswalk and the parking situation would be discussed later in the meeting. He acknowledged Mrs. Cottle's comments about beautification downtown and said the best thing they could do was ensure that the landowners downtown were adhering to the Code. He thanked Mr. Brown for bringing the retention pond to their attention and said they had alerted UDOT to the issue.

Mayor Dandoy read a written statement from Planning Commissioner Tanner about the additional parking item. The comment pointed out the safety and access problems posed by allowing parking in the front of properties and called for a combined work session with the Planning Commission and City Council to collaborate and think about a safe solution for this issue.

### **Presentation Items**

#### **1. Water Usage and Preservation Element of the General Plan**

City Planner Parkinson summarized that the City needed to make changes the Water Usage and Preservation Element in their General Code based on requirements in State law and provided a history of what City Staff had done thus far to update the document. He noted the document was not yet completed and said they still needed to make some edits. He then introduced Tim Watkins of Wasatch Front Regional Council.

Mr. Watkins introduced himself as a regional planner providing technical assistance to Roy City for this project. He shared an overview of what Wasatch Front Regional Council had been studying in Roy City and explained the purpose of the Water Usage and Preservation Element. He summarized that some of the main goals the element was to reduce wasteful water usage and water features in the City, effectively manage storm water runoff, focus on drought-tolerant trees and plants.

Mr. Watkins noted the adoption deadline was in December and said that there was funding available from the Commission of Natural Resources. He summarized the adoption process so far and said there would be a public hearing on December 16<sup>th</sup> in which the Council would consider adopting the plan.

Mr. Watkins summarized key features of the proposal. He discussed the projected growth in Roy City in the coming decades and explained they had considered both current and future land uses when they had created the goals of the document. He said that the General Plan called for different housing and development types, and he overviewed the potential developments that could be built in Roy City in the next five, ten, and fifteen years and discussed the amounts of water that would be consumed by those new buildings. He explained how they differentiated between indoor and outdoor water, noting that there were more opportunities for conservation with outdoor water than indoor given that outdoor water was absorbed into the atmosphere. He commented about turf grass and water-wise landscaping options.

Mr. Watkins presented the high, moderate, and low estimates for water use applied to their projected future growth. He said the water-saving possibilities with new landscaping techniques was substantial. Mr. Watkins stated that Roy City had been very prudent in their water-saving efforts thus far.

Mr. Watkins reported on the feedback they had received at a recent Open House where they had presented

the plan to the public. He said the feedback had been helpful and mentioned one person in particular who had asked them about the wisdom of reducing the number of trees in the City. Mr. Watkins said that in discussing that question, they had realized that trees would actually increase shade and decrease the rate of evaporation for low-lying plants, so they were making changes to the plan to increase the number of trees. He added that other people had asked about invasive species and abatement, as well as what the plan for 2030 looked like.

Mr. Watkins next reported on the goals that had been identified by City work sessions in 2024. He read a list of the items and commented on the feasibility of moving forward with the various goals. He summarized that the City Staff had come up with great goals and it was reasonable to move forward with the things that they had outlined. He also spoke about the plan to keep a line of communication open between the City and the public as they worked on this project and he noted this element was important.

Councilmember Wilson suggested that the estimates be put in terms of dollars, in addition to gallons, since that might be more digestible for the public. Mr. Watkins thanked her for the suggestion. Councilmember Paul thanked Mr. Watkins for his work.

Mayor Dandoy laid out the timeline for the adoption of the draft. He asked Mr. Watkins to get the final draft ready for the Council as quickly as possible so they had ample time to review it before the public hearing on December 16th. Councilmember Wilson asked when the draft would be reviewed by the Division of Water Resources Committee and City Planner Parkinson explained the process for review from the State.

### **Action Items**

#### **1. Approval of the 2025 General Election Canvass**

City Recorder Fowers read the names of the candidates for the position of Mayor, the two four-year Councilmember seats, and the two-year Councilmember seat. She listed the total number of ballots mailed out, delivered, and rejected and said the total number of votes cast was 5,868. She read the results as follows: Ann Jackson with 3,008 votes, Mike VanAlfen with 2,730 votes; Janel Hulbert with 3,066; Diane Wilson with 2,539; Jason Spahr with 2,319; Anthony Dick with 2,087; Alexis Jackson with 3,397; and Randy Scadden with 2,034 votes. City Recorder Fowers produced a form for the Councilmembers to sign that would certify the results of the election.

Mayor Dandoy commented about the importance of engagement with public office.

#### **2. Proclamation No. 2025-1 Honoring the Impact of 100 Years of PTA Service by Proclaiming October as PTA Month in the City of Roy.**

Farah Mohammed introduced herself as the PTA president of Roy City and also introduced the women with her that evening: Cammy Whitchurch, Nicole Williams, and Janel Hulbert. Mrs. Mohammed spoke about the history of the PTA in Utah and explained the purpose and impacts of the association.

Councilmember Paul spoke about the importance of the PTA in Roy City and thanked those who were involved with it.

**Councilmember Paul motioned to approve Proclamation No. 2025-1 Honoring the Impact of 100 Years of PTA Service by Proclaiming October as PTA Month in the City of Roy. Councilmember Scadden seconded the motion, all present Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the motion passed.**

#### **3. Consideration of Resolution 25-27; A Resolution of the Roy City Council**

Establishing 2026 City Council Meeting Dates.

City Manager Andrews stated that the schedule was the same as the previous year and there were no conflicts with holidays.

**Councilmember Wilson motioned to approve Resolution 25-27; A Resolution of the Roy City Council Establishing 2026 City Council Meeting Dates. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion, a roll call vote was taken, all present Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the motion passed.**

4. **Consideration of Ordinance 25-16; An Ordinance of Roy City Council Approving a Development Agreement for a Residential Development within the Station Area Plan Located at Approximately 2400 West 4000 South; and Providing for an Effective Date.**

CED Brody indicated the location of the property and explained the purpose of this ordinance was for a development agreement that allowed the developer to extend the height ranges on the property.

Brad Brown of Stuart Land Company introduced himself and shared some of the other projects his company had developed. Mr. Brown explained that this project included some City land, mainly a retention basin. He said that they planned to build townhomes and apartments, as the City wanted, and explained that with this plan, the City would be relieved of the maintenance of the retention basin. He opined that the project was good for both their company and for the goals of the City. He shared examples of other townhomes and apartments that his company had designed.

Mr. Brown stated they planned to landscape the retention basin so it looked nice as well as offer amenities so that the area functioned as a nice community space. He listed the benefits that the development would bring to the City, and said this property was big enough to cultivate a sense of community without overtaking the existing fabric of the area overall. He acknowledged it would increase the traffic but felt the traffic impact was manageable and said they had spent a lot of time working with the City to ensure the intersection remained safe. He said that Roy was special and expressed excitement to be involved with the City.

Mayor Dandoy recalled that the original height allowance for this zone had been 60 feet, but it had been dropped down to 50 feet and so he noted the request for this project to change the limit back up to 60 feet would bring it to the original height. He then asked for clarification about overall acreage, noting that the Planning Commission had calculated a different acreage than the 29 acres that CED Brody had stated earlier. CED Brody explained that part of one of the parcels was not in Roy City, which did not affect the project but that was where the discrepancy in acreage came from. CED Brody added that the minimum lot size for the City's cemetery was 6.5 acres.

Mayor Dandoy asked if the public had an opportunity to voice their opinion about this topic. CED Brody replied that there would be a public hearing, but noted that all other aspects of their MDA adhered to the Code so the approval of those items was administrative. CED Brody further noted that they were in a very preliminary stage of the design. Mayor Dandoy also asked if the road connecting to the cemetery was public and Mr. Brown confirmed that it was. Mayor Dandoy asked about emergency access as well and CED Brody replied there was an emergency access point on the north end in addition to the two points already recorded in the document. CED Brody stated that the Council was only approving the height change that evening; all other elements of the plan were still subject to review and approval.

Councilmember Wilson clarified that they could discuss other elements of the plan that day, but they were only voting on the height difference that evening. CED Brody replied that was correct. Councilmember Wilson also confirmed that they were only changing the height for this single proposal and would revert back to the original zoning if this project doesn't go there and CED Brody confirmed that as well. Councilmember Scadden asked about other land that the City could use for a cemetery and he and Mayor Dandoy discussed that this was the only parcel that could be turned into a cemetery unless they

appropriated a public park to serve that purpose. Mayor Dandoy commented that many residents of Roy had asked for a cemetery and said this was something they had been working on for years. Councilmember Saxton clarified that of 400 total units, half of them would be owner-occupied townhomes and Mr. Brown replied that was the plan.

Mayor Dandoy asked for a motion.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Ordinance 25-16; An Ordinance of Roy City Council Approving a Development Agreement for a Residential Development within the Station Area Plan Located at Approximately 2400 West 4000 South; and Providing for an Effective Date. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion, a roll call vote was taken, all present Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the motion passed.**

4a. Discussion on A Resolution of the Roy City Council Authorizing Exchange of Certain Real Property as Consistent with State Law and Policy and Authorizing the Execution of a Real Estate Exchange and Purchase Agreement to Exchange Certain Real Property; and Providing an Effective Date.

CED Brody explained this item and provided some background. He explained the proposal was essentially to a swap the retention pond by 4000 that was owned by Roy City and the parcels that were not owned by the City. He clarified that no money was being exchanged and reported that this was a good deal for Roy City. CED Brody outlined the next steps and said there was currently a notice out for an upcoming public hearing. Mayor Dandoy explained how a public hearing functioned to the audience.

**5. Consideration of Ordinance 25-17; An Ordinance of Roy City Council Approving a Development Agreement for a Mixed-Use Development Located at Approximately 2718 West 4000 South; and Providing for an Effective Date.**

CED Brody explained this item as well. He indicated the location of the site and explained that the development agreement was asking for a height extension in the middle of the project as well as a waiver for the commercial requirement along 4000 South. He said the developer had an agreement with the City and UDOT to cover existing retention basins and turn the area into a commercial space, including a parking garage. CED Brody noted that the retention pond area was better suited for commercial space than the frontage on 4000.

The applicant spoke to this item as well and provided some history. He echoed that the section of 4000 where the commercial space was currently supposed to be built was not ideal and said it was better suited for housing. He spoke about the difficulties of securing housing in Roy City. He shared images of the site and indicated the areas slated for commercial and residential development. He also shared images of the proposed development.

The applicant next explained the retention basin. He said that the basin was intended for stormwater collection and said it was currently only able to accommodate 27,000 cubic feet of stormwater. He explained the redesign to move it underground would increase its capacity. The applicant shared aerial images of the proposed residential space and explained why it was well positioned. He noted that there was great outdoor space there and anticipated the development would be very attractive for high-income residents of Roy City.

Mayor Dandoy asked for clarification about the setback requirements. CED Brody clarified that the setback requirement was changed because the commercial was being moved to the bigger parcels where the retention basins were located. CED Brody elaborated that the setback requirement for commercial developments would apply in the new area, and he noted that nothing was being rezoned.

Councilmember Wilson asked a clarifying question about the height in the center area and asked if the height requirement included design requirements. CED Brody replied that they could limit the depth.

The applicant added that their objective was to exceed the setback requirement in the Code. Councilmember Wilson also asked about the density and acreage, and the applicant replied they had about 1.5 acres of commercial space and 120 units per acre. Councilmember Wilson also asked if the units were for rent or for sale, and the applicant discussed that some of the units could be platted for sale although that was not their intention.

Councilmember Paul asked about the underground parking and the applicant discussed the access points. The applicant said that emergency vehicles would use the main roads in the development.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to approve Ordinance 25-17; An Ordinance of Roy City Council Approving a Development Agreement for a Mixed-Use Development Located at Approximately 2718 West 4000 South; and Providing for an Effective Date. Councilmember Saxton seconded the motion, a roll call vote was taken, all present Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the motion passed.**

5a. Discussion on A Resolution of the Roy City Council Authorizing Exchange of Certain Real Property as Consistent with State Law and Policy and Authorizing the Execution of a Real Estate Exchange and Purchase Agreement to Exchange Certain Real Property; and Providing an Effective Date.

CED Brody explained that the proposed commercial space on Midland included property owned by the City, UDOT, and a private owner. He outlined that the proposal was to give the City-owned retention basin to the private landowner on the condition that he improve the basin and develop the space. Mayor Dandoy commented that the retention basin was currently not providing any value to the City and cost them to maintain it. CED Brody noted there would be a public hearing for this proposal.

## **F. Discussion Item**

### **1. Single-family residential chicken allowance – Councilmember Wilson**

Councilmember Wilson stated that the City had received an email from a resident that discussed the requirements for chickens in private residences. She said in speaking with staff they thought it would be appropriate to allow chickens in all residential zones as long as the square footage a minimum of 6,000 square feet. The Council indicated that they wished to send this item to Planning Commission for further consideration.

### **2. Off-street parking – Councilmember Wilson**

Councilmember Wilson said that many residents, including the Griggs, had brought up additional vehicle parking and complained that the ordinance that prohibited street parking was restrictive. She said that both the Planning Commission and other residents had brought up that off-street parking posed safety issues and reduced narrow roads to single-lane roads. She also brought up cultural changes and said that the norm was no longer single-family homes, leading to more cars per household. Councilmember Wilson added as well that some older homes only included one carport, further exacerbating the parking issue.

Councilmember Wilson stated that the Council had discussed three possible options. She reported that they had researched the policies of other cities and found that their requirements largely aligned with other municipalities. She said the first option was to allow a drive from the property line to the side of the home. She said if they allowed this option, people with trucks or trailers would likely not be able to fit their vehicles, although it would accommodate smaller vehicles. She said the second option was to allow at least six feet in front of the home, which would allow for a 14-foot driveway assuming an eight-foot

setback. She said the third option would be a hammerhead driveway or a U-drive, or a hammerhead driveway which would be good for people who had trees or landscaping that inhibited their options of what they could do with their space.

Mayor Dandoy outlined the process moving forward. He said that generally, the Planning Commission would issue a recommendation about this item as the land use authority for the City, although in this case the Planning Commission had been working on this item for a year and had not yet issued a recommendation. CED Brody stated that by law, a failure of the Planning Commission to make a timely recommendation could be interpreted as a negative recommendation, and so the City Council was allowed to take over the item now if they chose to.

Councilmember Scadden recalled that the concept of horseshoe driveways had been a topic of discussion when he was first elected to City Council. He said that horseshoe driveways were not allowed in the City because of the width requirements, even though he personally thought they were very tasteful. He also recalled that he and Councilmember Wilson had sat in on a Planning Commission session in which they had tried to work through this problem and had come up with the proposal of hammerhead driveways. Councilmember Scadden said he was in favor of option three since he thought it gave residents the most options and he thought the design was tasteful. He also thought that it made backing out of the driveway safer. He agreed they needed to modernize their approach and find solutions that worked for the current needs of their residents. He said he was libertarian in his approach to private land management and thought that option three was the most fair to property owners in terms of land use.

Councilmember Paul asked for clarification about the width requirements for horseshoe driveways and the Council briefly discussed the requirements. Councilmember Scadden noted that horseshoe driveways were allowed on UDOT roads.

Councilmember Saxton expressed concern about parked cars narrowing the width of the street. He thought bringing cars off the properties and onto the street would be a public nuisance and a threat to public safety. He said he was in favor of option three as well.

Mayor Dandoy said this was a land use discussion and said they needed to have a way for these kinds of issues to come to a vote. Mayor Dandoy said they needed to ensure that the Planning Commission, as the land use authority for the City, made a vote on important issues like this one. He noted this was the second time that the Commission had not come to a conclusion in a timely manner and said that could not continue to happen. He emphasized it was important for the Planning Commission to come to a vote.

#### **G. City Manager & Council Report**

City Manager Andrews reported that MIDA, the Military Installation Development Authority, had a Municipal Services fund that could allocate \$50,000 of their surplus to Roy City. He also updated the Council on upcoming events and encouraged the Councilmembers to attend.

Mayor Dandoy spoke about traffic calming devices and asked the Council to think about a policy for calming devices in the coming year. He also brought up a City-wide survey and noted that Weber State University was willing to help coordinate it. He said if the Council was going to do a survey, they needed to come up with a longer list of productive questions to make the survey worthwhile.

Mayor Dandoy next addressed the need to make modifications to the existing contract with the County's transfer stations. He said City Manager Andrews was looking into other options and said the Council needed to decide if they were willing to make an extension from six months to two years. He said the extension would make it easier for the County to plan things out.



Councilmember Saxton asked if they could put a warning beacon on the intersection at 6000 South 2200 West. He said it would cost about \$8,000 to install the beacon and said he needed the Council's support. He listed other locations that had beacons. Councilmember Wilson asked if traffic studies were done for beacons and City Manager Andrews replied that they had done them in the past and he discussed that residents responded well to beacons. Mayor Dandoy said they wanted to take action before someone got hurt at the crosswalk. Mayor Dandoy added that at certain times of day, a crossing guard was appointed to the crosswalk but there was concern about the safety of the area when the guard was not on-duty. The Council indicated they were in favor of getting the beacons and directed the Staff to continue looking into this possibility.

Councilmember Saxton next proposed that the Council take \$250,000 out of the Reserve Fund and use it to provide the Roy City staff with a COLA increase for the coming year. He said it would amount to a 2.5% increase in the rate if they paid it back, which they were not obligated to do. He acknowledged that the Council did not like to pull money out of the reserve fund, but he thought this would be a nice thing to do for the employees.

Councilmember Wilson thought there could be some other options, and said it was not good practice to use one-time funds for ongoing expenses. She thought they could find a variation to use one-time funds for a single expense. Councilmember Scadden thought they should table this issue until they had a new Council in January. Councilmember Scadden said he respected what Councilmember Saxton wanted to do but said he could not vote in favor of it in good conscience since it would create a problem for future Councilmembers to solve.

Councilmember Paul asked if they could use the \$50,000 that they were getting from MIDA. The other Councilmembers said they could do that, as long as it was a one-time bonus and not a COLA increase.

Councilmember Saxton said he was not in favor of taxes. Councilmember Scadden reiterated the point about not using one-time funds for ongoing costs. Councilmember Paul said she would not vote for this item either, for the same reason as Councilmember Scadden. Councilmember Paul asked if they could use the MIDA funds for personnel and City Manager Andrews said there were no strings attached to the money.

Councilmember Saxton said allocating money from the reserve was a good use of funds and said that they had the funds available. Councilmember Scadden said that reserve funds were for an unforeseen economic calamity or an acute disaster. He said it was not fiscally responsible to use reserve funds for an ongoing personnel expense. Councilmember Scadden urged Councilmember Saxton to bring this issue up with the new Council in January.

Mayor Dandoy acknowledged Councilmember Saxton's point and said that there had been times in the past in which previous Councils had pulled money out of the reserve fund to pay for employee costs, but said this Council was wary of doing so because it did create problems down the line. Mayor Dandoy urged the Council to find a long-term solution to the budget problem, otherwise they were going to continue to lose talented employees in the City. Mayor Dandoy emphasized that they needed to change the system and said they needed to make changes to their STEP program. He summarized that since there was not support from this City Council, he was going to table this issue for now and tasked Councilmember Saxton to continue working on this issue next year.

Councilmember Paul shared an update from the North Davis Sewer. She said they had purchased land from a farmer that they would use to recycle tree biosolids into fertilizer.

**Councilmember Scadden motioned to adjourn the meeting, Councilmember Paul seconded the motion, all present Councilmembers voted “Aye” and the meeting adjourned at 8:53 PM.**

---

Robert Dandoy  
Mayor

Attest:

---

Brittany Fowers  
City Recorder

dc:

FINAL