

Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on Tuesday, December 2, 2025, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 p.m. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at: [bit.ly/LoganCouncilMeetings](https://bit.ly/LoganCouncilMeetings)

Councilmembers present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds, Vice Chair Mike Johnson, Councilmember Ernesto López, and Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson. Administration present: Mayor Holly H. Daines, City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, and City Recorder Teresa Harris.

Councilmember Mark A. Anderson announced his resignation from the Council on November 17, 2025 so he can prepare to take office as Mayor in January 2026.

Chair Simmonds welcomed those present. There were approximately 36 in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

#### **OPENING CEREMONY:**

Fire Chief Nate Thompson provided the opening ceremony and led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

**Meeting Minutes.** Minutes of the Council meeting held on November 18, 2025 were reviewed and approved.

**Meeting Agenda.** Chair Simmonds announced there are four public hearings scheduled for tonight's Council meeting.

**ACTION. Motion by Vice Chair Johnson seconded by Councilmember A. Anderson to approve tonight's agenda and minutes from the November 18, 2025 Council meeting as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

**A. Anderson: Aye**

**Johnson: Aye**

**López: Aye**

**Simmonds: Aye**

**VACANT**

**Meeting Schedule.** Chair Simmonds announced that regular Council meetings are held on the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 p.m. The next regular Council meeting is Tuesday, December 16, 2025.

#### **QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL:**

Chair Simmonds explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Council Chair. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items and items that are germane or relevant to the authority of the City Council. Items brought forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond to outside of the City Council meeting

Paul Rogers, a resident of Logan spoke about the disappointing dissolution of BPAC (Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee). He has been a member for many years and considers the topic important for the City of Logan. He requested that the City adopt a similar committee for the City of Logan in 2026 which advocates for cyclists and pedestrians.

There were no further comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

#### **MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:**

##### **Update on Energy Projects – Tyson Godfrey, Resource Manager Light & Power Department (6:00)**

Tyson Godfrey, Resource Manager with the Logan Light & Power Department, addressed the Council.

Current peak load of the City is 100 MW. (It is down a few MW from last year). Over the last 3 months 18% of energy was renewable, 58% dispatchable (coal, gas, local generation), 25% market rate.

Currently, there is a shortfall of power until April 2026.

EDAM (Extended Day-Ahead Market) will become live on May 2026.

#### **Renewable Resources:**

- CRSP Hydro (CC) – 8 to 15 MW
- Pleasant Valley Wind (WD) - 3.6 MW
- Red Mesa Solar (RD) – 5 MW
- Steel B Solar – 5.8 MW
- Veyo Heat Recovery (captures heat from gas pipelines/does not use gas) – 2.2 MW

#### **Dispatchable Resources:**

- Hunter Unit II (coal) – 12.6 MW

- Sunnyside (coal) – 5 MW (Permanently OFFLINE)
- Nebo Power Station (gas capture) – 17.3 MW (currently only at 50% will return by April 2026).
- Intermountain Power (changing to gas) – currently offline/will go online again

#### **Local Generation:**

- Logan Hydro currently produces 1.2 MW (varies by year).
- Logan Generation (SOCC) – gas turbine, 13 MW. (Turned on as needed)
- Sub 9 Generator – 10 MW (currently under construction by April 2026)

#### **New Firm Resources:**

- Fremont Solar Battery – 10 MW solar & 5MW Battery (by Dec 2027)
- Millard County Peaking (gas) - 26.25 MW (which will be used as needed)
- Power County (gas) – 15 MW (by 2031, primary use)

#### **Potential Resources:**

- Local Solar Development on Lagoons – 8MW Study Initiated
- Honey Stone Solar & Storage – Zoning Denied by Cache County
- Geothermal – 2 MW Study Phase (more MW may be possible for greater cost)
- Wind Projects – On hold due to tariffs and changes to federal policy

Chair Simmonds inquired about the meaning of dispatchable energy.

Tyson Godfrey, Resource Manager responded that dispatchable energy means energy that can be controlled/scheduled for use or turned off as needed.

Vice Chair Johnson asked if there is any geothermal energy that is part of the renewable portfolio.

Mr. Godfrey answered that currently there is none, but there will be geothermal energy that will be added to the portfolio.

Councilmember A. Anderson inquired when the Hunter energy project will go offline.

Mr. Godfrey replied that the Hunter project is set to go offline about 2030.

Vice Chair Johnson asked when the Sunnyside project went offline, and whether the City owes anything for the project not running.

Mr. Godfrey responded that Sunnyside went offline about 2 months ago, and the City does not need to pay for the project, which is not running as the project is indefinitely offline.

107 Councilmember López inquired about the risks associated with the upcoming firm  
108 resources.

109 Mr. Godfrey answered that locations are still being finalized for the projects, bids from  
110 contractors, and so forth. They will start spending on some items/deposits for items such  
111 as generators as they have a 4 to 5 year wait for completion.

112 Councilmember A. Anderson asked for the reasoning behind the denial made by the  
113 Planning Commission.

114 Mr. Godfrey replied that the Planning Commission did not elaborate. The proponent  
115 plans to reapply again in the future.

116 Mayor Daines interjected and clarified that the primary reason for denial was that the  
117 Planning Commission was concerned about the visual appearance of the project.

118 Mayor Daines requested for the benefit of the public a brief summary of what the EDAM  
119 (Extended Day-Ahead Market) is.

120 Mr. Godfrey explained that EDAM (Extended Day-Ahead Market), is a market that  
121 involves the western power grid, and it will change how the City will purchase energy on  
122 the market. There are specific requirements that will have to be met such as having  
123 sufficient energy capacity or being charged a fee for lack thereof.

124 Mayor Daines requested that if a training is put together for EDAM, the Council &  
125 Mayor be invited.

126 Mr. Godfrey confirmed that the Council & Mayor will be invited once the training is  
127 available.

128 Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that EDAM will become live on May 01,  
129 2026.

130 Mr. Godfrey said that the date is set in stone; the date will not change.

131 Councilmember López inquired if there is a possibility of surcharges with Nebo running  
132 at half capacity until April 2026.

133 Mr. Godfrey responded that they anticipate still being able to remain within budget.  
134 Some of the block purchases will help with that, and as of now the market rates have  
135 begun to go with the onset of winter.

136 Councilmember A. Anderson referenced the potential resources slide and asked what  
137 other solar study options are available.

Mr. Godfrey explained that the options are local battery storage at Sub 7 or 9, about 8MW at the sewer lagoons, and possible small solar projects on various city properties around the City.

#### **Update on RAPZ Projects – Russ Akina, Parks & Recreation Director (24:39)**

Russ Akina, Parks & Recreation Director, addressed the Council regarding RAPZ (Recreation, Arts, Parks, and Zoos) projects. There are 9 ongoing projects, and of those, 5 are currently being primarily focused on. The other 4 projects have deadlines for the end of this month. On a yearly basis, they typically receive \$400,000 - \$440,000.

The Logan Outdoor Recreation Complex is located near 200 S 1350 W. The majority of the RAPZ group was put towards parking for Maple View Park, Disc Golf Course, and the dog park along with connecting trails.

Logan Middle Canal Trail culvert at 1250 N 800 E is adjacent to USU softball field. The culvert allows for the trail along 800 East and the stormwater ditch to connect to 1400 N. The project will be completed by the end of the month.

The trail at 1800 S 1320 W is near completion including the installation of a chain link fence that separates Logan River Estates from the Springs Subdivision. The trail connects 1800 S to the Logan River Trail.

Maple View Park at 200 S is nearly completed with two playgrounds to install. The playgrounds will be installed at 200 S 1320 W. The project will be completed in Spring 2026.

The Trapper Park bridge has a project end date of December 31, 2025. The bridge has arrived but has yet to be installed. Between December 08 – 18, it is anticipated that the bridge will be moved to the site. The bridge requires adjustments at each end. The north adjustment had been completed, the south adjustment remains to be completed.

The remaining 4 projects are: a new boiler at the aquatic center, the boiler has been procured, and we are preparing to meet with the installer for the 2026 season. The disc golf course pavilion and picnic tables will be ordered and placed near the parking lot of the outdoor complex. It will be an amenity for the public to use and for the use of future tournaments. A restroom will also be installed with the same schedule. Finally, the main street pedestrian underpass at 600 S has retaining walls and will be completed in the spring.

Councilmember A. Anderson emphasized the importance of the pedestrian underpass as it will be a safe connection and allow public access under Main Street.

Mayor Daines remarked that the pass will be shared use, and the underpass will accommodate bicycles as well.

Vice Chair Johnson added that the neighborhood is appreciative and eager for the underpass to be open to the public.

No further Mayor/Staff Reports were presented.

### **COUNCIL BUSINESS:**

#### **Planning Commission Update – Chair Simmonds (41:06)**

Chair Simmonds reported the Planning Commission approved 9 residential units at 675 ½ North 500 West in the MR-9 zone. A new Dutch Brothers Coffee was approved south of the South Walmart in the Commercial Zones. Two more amendments will come to the Council as workshops.

#### **Board and Committee Reports – Vice Chair Johnson, Councilmember A. Anderson and Councilmember López (32:32)**

Vice Chair Johnson reported virtually attending the Utah League of Cities & Towns housing advisory committee. The Council and Planning Commission attended the joint presentation of the General Plan. Public Works Director, Paul Lindhardt, and he discussed the possibility of the creation of a BPAC (Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee) or Transportation Board. He participated in the election canvass and met with Richard Anderson, Finance Director regarding further information/questions.

Councilmember A. Anderson stated the Library Board reported that the average daily number of library patrons is 586 and the average daily circulation is 1400. Storytime last month had 13 programs and 800 attendees along with 7 other children's events with 336 attendees. The library is actively being used. The Friends of the Logan Library are helping with Christmas Train Display and volunteers are needed to sign up and is a great way to support the library; visit <https://loganlibraryfriends.org/>. The Parks & Recreation Board met, the ice rink is open. Please check the parks & recreation website for hours and prices.

The Bear River Local Homeless Council discussed a variety of items including the Point-in-Time count of the number of sheltered and unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness. It will be conducted on January 28, 2025 from midnight until 2 a.m. Volunteers are welcome and needed. The warming center is open and available as of Sunday. There were 17 guests on Sunday, and 22 guests last night. A community education dinner was held at Bridgerland Technology College.

With the resignation of Mark. Anderson as councilmember, there is a vacancy on the Council. State Code requires an appointment within 30 days of the tenured resignation. The interview process will take place at the next council meeting. An application and additional required documentation must be submitted to Teresa Harris, City Recorder by Friday, December 05, 2025 at 5 p.m. At the December 16<sup>th</sup> meeting, an opening statement will be given by applicants and questions will be asked by the Council to the potential candidates. The selection process is an open vote by the Council.

Councilmember López reported that most meetings were cancelled or he was unable to attend. He was able to attend the education dinner at Bridgerland Technology College. It is another option for the youth to be involved in education and what comes beyond High School. The Fine Arts Committee reviewed the final proposal for the mural at the Softball Willow Park Complex, and changes were suggested. The America 250 Committee asked about the possibility of a mural with that theme in mind. Aaron Smith, Neighborhood Improvement Manager will attend the next meeting to discuss the possibility. He attended the Twinkle Lights Festival, and it was a well-attended event by the community.

#### **Council Chair and Vice Chair for 2026 – Chair Simmonds ([41:47](#))**

Chair Simmonds stated that at this time the Chair & Vice Chair will be selected for next year to be ready for the first council meeting held January 6, 2026.

Councilmember A. Anderson expressed reservations about selecting the Council Chair & Vice Chair without the new Councilmembers.

Councilmember López asked if the vote is delayed, could the Chair still assign the Councilmembers to the new committees/subcommittees for 2026.

Chair Simmonds responded that it would be fine and asked if there is a preference to continue the item until the first meeting in January of 2026.

Vice Chair Johnson is happy to be Chair, Vice Chair, or any other position. Any councilmember can fill the position. Though he would find it unlikely that a new councilmember would be appointed to be Chair or Vice Chair, and requested the vote proceed today.

**ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Lopez seconded by Councilmember A. Anderson to elect Vice Chair Johnson as the Council Chair for 2026 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (3-0).**

**A. Anderson: Abstained**

**Johnson: Aye**

**López: Aye**



261 **Simmonds: Aye**

262 **VACANT**

263  
264 **ACTION. Motion by Vice Chair Johnson seconded by Councilmember A. Anderson**  
265 **to elect Councilmember López as the Council Vice Chair for 2026 as presented.**

266 **Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

267 **A. Anderson: Aye**

268 **Johnson: Aye**

269 **López: Aye**

270 **Simmonds: Aye**

271 **VACANT**

272  
273 No further Council Business items were presented.

274  
275 **ACTION ITEMS:**

276  
277 **PUBLIC HEARING – CDBG PY 2026 Annual Action Plan Initiation – Mike**  
278 **DeSimone, Community Development Director ([47:50](#))**

279  
280 Mike DeSimone, Community Development Director, addressed the Council. He  
281 explained that only a public hearing to initiate the CDBG Annual Action Plan Cycle for  
282 Project Year 2026 is required at this time and does not require formal action by the  
283 Council.

284  
285 The purpose of the public hearing is to help inform the CDBG Steering Committee when  
286 they start meeting on determining what areas of the City should be emphasized, the types  
287 of projects that should be accomplished this year, what services or programs may be  
288 lacking, what particular groups of people may be in need of services, and what specific  
289 planning projects the City may consider.

290  
291 The 2026 AAP will define the funding priorities for 2026. This will be the third year of  
292 the 2024-2028 Consolidated Plan and he anticipates receiving approximately \$560,000 in  
293 CDBG funding. Based on the Consolidated Plan's priorities, the funding outcome should  
294 be approximately 60% to provide a suitable living environment (50% to infrastructure  
295 and 10% to access and mobility), 20% to improve the capacity of public service providers  
296 that serve Low Moderate Income residents (10% to public facilities and 10% to public  
297 service improvements), and 20% to invest in CDBG planning and administration (5% to  
298 planning and 15% to administration).

299  
300 The timeline is the following:

301 December 15 – January 14 - Application Period

302 December 30 - Application Workshop

303 February 10 – Steering Committee Meeting



March 21 – April 21 – Public Comment Period  
April 21 – Public hearing (Adoption of the 2026 Annual Action Plan)

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

There were no comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

**PUBLIC HEARING - Consideration of a proposed ordinance amending Section 8.04.019 of the Logan Municipal Code regarding the Wildland Urban Interface Code – Ordinance 25-21 – Chief Nate Thompson, Logan City Fire Department ([51:55](#))**

At the November 18, 2025 Council meeting, Robert LaCroix, Assistant Chief of Operations with the Logan City Fire Department, addressed the Council regarding the proposed ordinance.

At this meeting, Assistant Chief LaCroix explained that the State legislature has granted general welfare power to the City Council, independent, apart from, and in addition to, its specific grants of legislative authority, which enables the City of Logan to pass ordinances as are necessary and proper to provide for the safety, promote the prosperity, improve the peace and good order, comfort, and convenience of the city and its inhabitants, and for the protection of property in the city. In the 2025 Utah General Session, the Utah state legislature passed House Bill 48 requiring municipalities to adopt the 2006 Utah Wildland-Urban Interface Code (WUI) which states:

**SECTION 1:**

**8.04.019: 2006 UTAH WILDLAND–URBAN INTERFACE CODE ADOPTED:**

For the purpose of prescribing regulations governing conditions hazardous to life and property from impacts related to development in wildlands, the 2006 Utah Wildland Urban Interface Code, as adopted by Utah Code Section 15A-2-103, or its successor, is hereby adopted. A copy of the 2006 Utah Wildland Urban Interface Code shall be placed on file in the office of the Logan City Recorder for the use and examination of the public.

Chair Simmonds referenced the WUI slide and inquired why the City’s boundary is more than the high-risk boundary.

Fire Chief Nate Thompson explained that the high-risk boundary map has yet to be released by the State. The current boundary is an estimated boundary based on available data. The State is using a tool to be more accurate, but the data has yet to be released.

Chair Simmonds requested confirmation that the City will amend the current map once the State releases the official high-risk boundary map.

Fire Chief Thompson confirmed that an amendment would be made to adopt the State high-risk boundary map, but would need to be reviewed with the City Attorneys regarding building restrictions, liability, and so forth.

Councilmember A. Anderson requested confirmation that it is state mandated to make these changes.

Fire Chief Thompson confirmed that it is mandated by the State, but this is the 2006 Utah Wildland Urban Interface Code. This keeps the City compliant with CWS program, which is the cooperative wildfire system. In the event a fire spreads into wildland, it protects the City from the cost of a wildfire by using State & Federal Resources.

Vice Chair Johnson requested clarification that there are no existing buildings in the high-risk zone, and that staff is comfortable with the proposed boundaries.

Fire Chief Thompson clarified that there are no existing buildings in that zone. Staff did discuss the proposed boundaries and determined that it would be better to wait once the State releases its data and produces the high-risk map for use. At which point, staff can make an adjustment as needed.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Gail Yost, a resident of Logan asked if there was a plan in place if a fire that descended from the mountain to 100 East.

Fire Chief Thompson responded that 100 East is at a low risk for wildfire. A critical factor that would change that scenario is variable winds, which are wind-driven events. The risk is low but not impossible.

There were no further comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

**ACTION. Motion Councilmember A. Anderson by Vice Chair Johnson seconded by to adopt Ordinance 25-21 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

**A. Anderson: Aye**

**Johnson: Aye**

**López: Aye**

**Simmonds: Aye**

**VACANT**

**PUBLIC HEARING - CODE AMENDMENT – Consideration of a proposed amendment to Chapter 5.10 of the Logan Municipal Code “Alcoholic Beverages” – Ordinance 25-22 – Aaron Smith, Neighborhood Improvement Manager (1:05:30)**

At the November 18, 2025 Council meeting, Neighborhood Improvement Manager Aaron Smith addressed the Council regarding the proposed code amendment.

**Summary of Amendment**

Logan City Business Licensing is proposing an amendment to LMC Section 5.10: Alcohol Beverages to make additional bar licenses available by consolidating bar and tavern licenses into a single category of licensing, and to establish a proximity restriction between existing bar and tavern licenses, and any new bar and tavern license. This amendment is prompted by the Business Licensing Division receiving an application for a bar license at an existing alcohol manufacturing location in the Industrial Park zone.

Currently, Logan City limits the total available bar and tavern licenses by population. The licensing standard is one (1) bar license per 10,000 population and one (1) tavern license per 10,000 population. This restriction allow for a total of five bars and five (5) tavern licenses available to be issued. All available bar licenses have been issued and only one (1) available tavern license has been issued. This amendment proposes to create new available bar licenses by combining the available bar and tavern licenses into a single license pool. The combined license pool population restriction would also be adjusted to one (1) license per 6,000 population, which creates a pool of nine (9) total bar and/or tavern licenses. With 6 bar or tavern licenses currently issued, 3 new licenses would become available for either a new bar or tavern.

Staff considered several potential population limits for the proposed amendment ranging from 1:5,000 to 1:10,000. Staff considered how many licenses the options would make available and how often new licenses would become available based on population growth. The Bar and Tavern License Combination Study provides a further explanation of these considerations and is attached to this memo. A 1:5,000 population limit would combine the current 5 bar and 5 tavern licenses into a pool of 10 licenses; however, staff is concerned that creating four available licenses could lead to faster than anticipated growth of bars in Logan if all licenses are utilized (assuming bar licenses are available through DABS). It would also create a scenario where new licenses would become available more often based on population growth. In order to limit the creation of new licenses and temper the rate of new licenses becoming available through population growth, staff is recommending a population limit of 1:6,000 for the new combined bar and tavern license pool. As previously stated, this limit will create a pool of nine (9) licenses available for either a bar or tavern. With six (6) bar or tavern licenses currently issued, three (3) new licenses would become available for either a new bar or tavern.

Regarding new licenses relative to population growth, with a current population of approximately 54 ,000 and a 1% growth rate, the license pool will increase to 10 around 2034.

The amendment also proposes a proximity restriction that would not permit a new bar or tavern within 350' of an existing bar or tavern establishment. This proposal is based on similar codes found in other Utah municipalities, namely Ogden and Salt Lake City. Salt Lake uses a straight-line proximity restriction in neighborhood commercial zones, and Ogden uses a total amount of licenses along a particular street to limit alcohol establishments in an area. The proximity restriction is being proposed to reduce the concentration of bars or taverns along any single street in Logan. For reference, the 350' proximity requirement is a little more than half a typical downtown block in Logan. The proposed proximity restriction would be in addition to current State community location proximity restrictions, which are a 200' straight line buffer and a 600' ordinary pedestrian travel restriction from the nearest boundary of the community location to the closest pedestrian entrance to the alcohol establishment. Community locations include churches, schools, parks, playgrounds, and libraries. The attached Proximity Restriction Maps show the impact of the proposed 350' license buffer and an approximation of the State proximity restrictions. The zones where bars and taverns are permitted are also shown (TC-1, TC-2, MU, CS and IP). While large areas of downtown Logan would not be eligible for a license, there remain areas, particularly on the north and south ends of downtown, that would be eligible for a license.

#### **Bar and Tavern Zoning Use and Previous Alcohol Amendment**

Ordinance #24-03 revised the zoning categories for most alcohol uses in Logan, including bars and taverns. The Ordinance permits bars and taverns in the Town Center and Mixed-Use zones. The Ordinance also established standalone manufacturing as a permitted use in the Commercial Services and Industrial Park and created an allowance for bars and taverns to be established at manufacturing locations in those zones.

#### **License Information and Definitions**

##### **Bar**

- Logan Municipal Code: Bar establishment license means one of the following types of licenses as defined by the UABC: a dining club license, equity license, fraternal license or bar license.
- 21 and over age restriction
- Beer, heavy beer (ABV greater than 5%), wine, and liquor
- Must have food available, but no percentage of food sales requirement
- Current local license limits-1:10,000 population
- 5 licenses available / 5 licenses issued
- Current licenses
  - o The Cache Bar and Grill - 119 S Main

- o Fraternal Order of Eagles - 170 W 900 N
- o Barrel & Stave - 33 Federal Ave
- o Home Range Brewing - 186 N Main
- o Logan Country Club - 710 N 1500 E

### **Tavern**

- DABS: Taverns are defined as beer bars, parlors, lounges, cabarets, and nightclubs.
- 21 and over age restriction
- 5% ABV Beer or less
- No food requirement
- Current local license limits-1:10,000 population
- 5 licenses available / 1 license issued
- Current licenses
  - o The White Owl - 36 W Center

### **Manufacturing**

- Logan Municipal Code: Includes several scales of manufacturing
  - o Brewery, distillery, or winery- Permitted in the IP zone
  - o Small Brewery, Distillery, Winery- Permitted in the CS and IP zones
  - o Taproom - Permitted in conjunction with a bar or tavern in TC-1, TC-2, and MU zones
  - o Brew Restaurant - Permitted in conjunction with a restaurant
- Bars and taverns are permitted in conjunction with a manufacturing location
- Standalone manufacturing establishments that do not have a bar, tavern, or restaurant license are only permitted to serve a 5 oz sample to the public.

### **State License Information (July 2025)**

- 369 bar licenses issued by DABS (Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control)
- 8 tavern licenses issued by DABS
- County Data
  - o Cache (145,000) - 5 bar licenses
  - o Box Elder (64,000) - 5 bar licenses
  - o Tooele (84,000)-9 bar licenses
  - o Washington (207,000)-11 bar licenses
  - o Weber (276,000)- 32 bar licenses

### **Amendment Goals:**

- Create additional available bar licenses – All available bar licenses have been issued
  - Consolidation of bar and tavern licenses into one license pool

- Application received from licensed alcohol manufacturing location in Logan for a bar license.
- Create buffers around bars and taverns to reduce the risk of potential geographic concentrations of either license type

#### **Amendment Proposal:**

- Genesis of the Amendment - Interest from licensed manufacturing location to acquire a bar license
- Combine the pool of available bar and tavern licenses into a single pool
  - 1 license per 6,000 population
- Current pool (both 1:10,000 population)
  - Bar - 5 licenses available / 5 licenses issued
  - Tavern - 5 licenses available / 1 license issued
  - Proposed pool
  - 1:6,000 population
  - Bar or tavern – 9 licenses available / 6 licenses issued / 1 application
  - 2 licenses for either a bar or tavern available

#### **Amendment Proposal - Number of Licenses:**

- 1 license per 6,000 population proposal
  - Currently 10 licenses are available for bars and tavern
  - Proposal creates combined license pool of 9 total licenses
  - Likely to see interest in remaining available licenses for bars
    - dependent upon availability of licenses from the DABS
  - Other population ratios considered
    - 1:5,000 population - creates 10 licenses, but would create a scenario where new licenses become available considerably faster than the current 1:10,000 for both bars and taverns
    - 1:7,000 population – fewer total licenses (7) and slow growth of new licenses
    - 1:10,000 population – Inadequate number of licenses

#### **Amendment Proposal – Bar & Tavern Proximity:**

- Goal of Proximity Regulation
  - Create buffers around bars and taverns to reduce the risk of geographic concentrations of either license type
- Precedent from Ogden and SLC
  - Ogden – Number of licenses along a single street
  - SLC – Distance buffer in community/neighborhood commercial zones
- Proposal



- No new bar or tavern establishment within 350' of an existing bar or tavern
- Does not affect license for existing bar or tavern establishments (grandfathered)
- 350' Proximity Buffer
  - Roughly half a city block
  - Allows for walking distance between establishments but prohibits an entire street or corner from becoming a series of bars or taverns
  - 350' buffer is in addition to the existing State proximity requirements

Councilmember A. Anderson requested a visual example of 350 ft.

Aaron Smith, Neighborhood Improvement Manager replied that it is about half a block.

Vice Chair Johnson inquired if there are existing limitations in proximity of a tavern or bar from each other.

Mr. Smith responded that currently there are no existing limitations except at a State level and it is from a bar/tavern to a community location such as a church, school, park, and so forth.

Councilmember López asked if the only existing tavern license would become a bar license, and if they could apply to change to a bar.

Mr. Smith answered that tavern would remain a tavern, there would be no change. If the tavern did reapply, they would very unlikely not be approved as they would not be able to meet certain criteria such as setbacks.

Councilmember López inquired how the number/increase of licenses compared to other jurisdictions.

Mr. Smith referenced the state license data slide (July 2025), the information presented can be found in the bullet points above under state license information.

Vice Chair Johnson also referenced the state license data slide (July 2025) and asked if all 5 bar licenses in Cache County are in fact in the City.

Mr. Smith clarified that the 5 licenses in the County are in Logan City. He added that St. George City removed all population limitations on alcoholic licenses.

Councilmember López asked if St. George still retained the proximity restriction.

Mr. Smith responded that he is not aware if St. George has a proximity restriction.



Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that the change allows for at least two more bar licenses.

Mr. Smith confirmed that potentially two more bar licenses will be available (and the current pending application).

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Ben Keeney, is a proponent and is in favor of the change as he would like to apply for a bar license.

Lin Stewart, a resident of Wellsville is in favor of the ordinance and supports small businesses including bars.

Linda Johnson, a resident of Logan is not opposed to the ordinance but is not in favor of the proximity limitations as it adds an unnecessary complexity.

Carrie Bentley, a resident of Mendon is in favor of the change and appreciates the choice.

Chris Bentley, a resident of Mendon is in favor and would like to see more variety and allow more opportunities for the community.

There were no further comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

Councilmember López inquired if there was an alternate proximity restriction considered.

Mr. Smith answered that there was no alternative proximity restriction considered by staff. He also referenced the bar and tavern proximity slide, which showed current bars and taverns in proximity to each other and the existing State buffer from community locations.

Chair Simmonds requested clarification on whether the City is creating a smaller or bigger barrier than the State did.

Mr. Smith clarified that the State created a larger barrier than what the City is proposing.

Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that bars/taverns are only permitted Towncenter 1 & 2 , Mixed Use, Commercial Services, and Industrial.

Mr. Smith confirmed that these are the only zones where bars/taverns are permitted and explained that commercial and industrial require being in close proximity of the manufacturing location.

Councilmember A. Anderson requested confirmation that the existing bars/taverns are grandfathered as they were put in place prior to changes to the State Code.

Mr. Smith confirmed that existing bars/taverns are grandfathered.

Councilmember López inquired what areas would still be able to have bars.

Mr. Smith indicated on the slide that the pink area shown is the only location where it would be possible to have a bar or tavern.

Vice Chair Johnson is concerned about the ordinance. His primary concern is whether it is prudent to allow more bar/taverns as he is concerned about the negative impact of alcohol.

Councilmember A. Anderson understood the sentiments of Vice Chair Johnson having had similar discussions with the Police. The understanding is that in licensed establishments there is more control on drinking as the bartender will stop serving alcohol to a patron. Drinking at home, however, is usually unchecked.

Mayor Daines added that bars/taverns do have liability and are generally careful to ensure that patrons are not overserved.

Councilmember López remarked there are limited options for night life and having alternatives. The City is not tasked with creating those options, but at the same time not overly restricting and not permitting those opportunities to others.

**ACTION. Motion by Councilmember A. Anderson seconded by Councilmember López to adopt Ordinance 25-22 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (3-1).**

**A. Anderson: Aye**

**Johnson: Abstain**

**López: Aye**

**Simmonds: Aye**

**VACANT**

**PUBLIC HEARING - Budget Adjustment FY 2025-2026 appropriating \$31,528 funds received for police overtime shifts - Resolution 25-47 – Richard Anderson (1:25:30)**

At the November 18, 2025 Council meeting, Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustment.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

There were no comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing

**ACTION. Motion by Vice Chair Johnson seconded by Councilmember López to approve Resolution 25-47 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote (4-0).**

**A. Anderson: Aye**

**Johnson: Aye**

**López: Aye**

**Simmonds: Aye**

**VACANT**

**WORKSHOP ITEMS:**

**Consideration of a proposed resolution approving Logan City's Withdrawal from the Logan-Cache Airport Authority – Resolution 25-46 – Craig Carlston, City Attorney ([1:26:38](#))**

City Attorney Craig Calston addressed the Council regarding the proposed resolution. He stated that the City of Logan and Cache County entered into an Agreement on May 16, 1978 when the City conveyed certain real property to be used for the operation of the Logan-Cache Airport ("Airport") and the County agreed to assume responsibility for the maintenance and operation of the Airport. On December 1, 1992, the Parties entered an Interlocal Agreement that created the Logan-Cache Airport Authority ("Airport Authority") as a separate legal entity and set forth the terms governing the Parties' joint development, operation and maintenance of the Airport. Logan and Cache County have determined that the County is best positioned to continue the management, maintenance and operation of the Airport and it is in the Parties' mutual best interests for the City to withdraw from the Airport Authority. The 1992 Agreement sets forth the conditions under which a Party may withdraw from the Airport Authority, including the right of a Party to withdraw with, or without cause. The 1992 Agreement requires the legislative body of the Party desiring to withdraw from the Airport Authority to pass a resolution authorizing the withdrawal which is what is being proposed at this time.

Vice Chair Johnson asked if the County Council would also need to approve the withdrawal of the City from the airport?

Craig Carlston, City Attorney replied that the Cache County Council will also need to approve the withdrawal.

Mayor Daines added that the County Council will be considering the item tonight.

Chair Simmonds inquired what the reasoning for the withdrawal was.

728 Mayor Daines explained that the City has been considering withdrawal from the airport  
729 for some time. In a recent consultant's report, the findings suggested significant capital  
730 expenses going forward. Furthermore, a recommendation for only one entity to be  
731 directing the airport rather than two. If the airport does well, it will benefit the entire  
732 County.

733  
734 Chair Simmonds asked if the City would continue to own the property, and if changes  
735 would still come to the City/Planning Commission.

736  
737 Mayor Daines clarified that the property is owned by the airport authority, and the  
738 County added to the property originally as well.

739  
740 Mr. Carlston explained that there would be zoning authority, but not management  
741 authority.

742  
743 Russ Holley, Planner said that the City can still set design standards as the airport is a  
744 zone and is within the City, and would have some level of review.

745  
746 Chair Simmonds inquired what would occur with the overlay.

747  
748 Mr. Holley responded that the overlay would remain as it has to do with height and use  
749 restrictions, and what can be built in that area. The majority of the airport is within the  
750 City, the only other portion that is in Unincorporated County is the extension of the  
751 runway.

752  
753 Mr. Carlston said the process of approval for zoning or a building permit would remain  
754 the same as any other entity.

755  
756 Councilmember A. Anderson asked what would occur if the County Council did not  
757 waive the 6-month waiting period.

758  
759 Mayor Daines answered that the notice would still be posted, but the City would wait six  
760 months.

761  
762 Chair Simmonds inquired if there would be a public hearing.

763  
764 Mayor Daines replied that there will not be a public hearing as it is an administrative  
765 matter, but public comments and concerns can be sent to Council.

766  
767 Councilmember A. Anderson requested confirmation that the City would still have seats  
768 on the board and if the airport is ever sold, 50% of assets will return to the City.

769

Mr. Carlston confirmed that the City will retain seats on the board and if the property is ever sold, 50% of the assets will return to the City.

Vice Chair Johnson requested an explanation on why a public hearing will not be held.

Mr. Carlston explained that the code requires a public hearing for items such as land use, budget, real property sales. However, this administrative action is not the sale of property or any other measure as such a public hearing is not required.

Councilmember López asked if the Council could elect to have a public hearing.

Mr. Carlston answered that Council may choose to add a public hearing.

Vice Chair Johnson is in favor of adding a public hearing.

Councilmember López inquired if the option of a public hearing needs to be voted on now.

Teresa Harris, City Recorder replied that Council merely needs to inform her of their preference/decision.

Vice Chair Johnson asked as to the reason for waiving the 6-month waiting period and trying to exit out of the agreement sooner.

Mr. Carlston explained that the reason for the 6-month waiting period is if there are complications or disagreements on any matter, then the City & Council are on the same page.

Vice Chair Johnson inquired if the item would go to the rest of the Airport Authority Board.

Mayor Daines responded that the item will come to the airport authority board.

Vice Chair Johnson expressed concerns on whether the County is in the best position to run the airport.

Richard Anderson , Finance Director said that the primary issue has always been double taxation. The residents of Logan are taxed twice in any project that City participates equally with the County. There will not be a difference in benefits with the City departing from the agreement beyond the above-mentioned reason.

Vice Chair Johnson asked if it would be possible to amend the current agreement in order to remove double taxation.

813  
814 Mr. Anderson answered that it would not be possible to amend the contract and remove  
815 double taxation. Anytime the County and City participate equally, there is always a  
816 double taxation clause.

817  
818 Chair Simmonds remarked that the only possible way is for an interlocal agreement to be  
819 signed by the City and County without requiring any financial obligation. However, an  
820 airport authority board would not be possible without a budget.

821  
822 Councilmember A. Anderson is not opposed to the dissolution as the runway needs to be  
823 replaced.

824  
825 Chair Simmonds commented that some members of the board are in favor of adding  
826 hangers and making it a more corporate environment, where hangers are rented out. If the  
827 airport needs to function as it suggested, then it needs to be run by a board invested in the  
828 airport itself.

829  
830 Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that the City would still retain seats on the  
831 board.

832  
833 Mayor Daines confirmed that the City would retain a seat on the board.

834  
835 Vice Chair Johnson asked who would be appointed to fill the two seats.

836  
837 Chair Simmonds replied that the presumption is that the Mayor would appoint the board  
838 member with Council approval.

839  
840 Mayor Daines clarified that the Mayor or Council do not need to serve on the board  
841 rather an appointee.

842  
843 Vice Chair Johnson agreed that \$100,000 per year can be steep, but long-term whether it  
844 is a prudent decision.

845  
846 Mayor Daines pointed out that if the airport follows the master plan, there are expected to  
847 be large expenses. There will be federal funding to pay for some of the projects, but there  
848 is still a hefty sum to match.

849  
850 Councilmember López requested an example of what the cost will be for some of the  
851 airport projects.

852  
853 Mayor Daines could not recall a number, but the sum will be easily over \$5 to \$10  
854 million.

855

Chair Simmons commented that in order for an airport authority to expand, the FDA requires a tax entity.

Mayor Daines added that if there is only one entity in the decision-making process, it will streamline the process even if there is private investment.

Vice Chair Johnson inquired if the Airport Authority would still be making the decision if there was a private investment or if it would still be the entity in charge, the County.

Mr. Anderson stated that the current agreement would require approval from all existing entities, which would be the County and City.

Vice Chair Johnson asked what would occur to the airport if the County does not have funding in 10 years, and the City is not an active entity.

Mr. Carlston answered that the airport would close, and half of the assets would belong to the County & the other to the City.

Mayor Daines interjected that the County is working the USU to have an agreement in place about supporting the airport.

Mr. Anderson added that the County is in best position to run the airport. They are the only entity that can receive or benefit from the whole impact of the airport. If the City runs the airport and invests funding, the City will benefit, but the entire County will not. Versus the County will benefit as some of the companies are located elsewhere in the valley and not in the City.

Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that the airport is supported by general tax dollars.

Mr. Anderson confirmed that the airport funding comes from general tax dollars.

Chair Simmons noted that the City only receives a small portion of general tax dollars and returns that funding to the airport.

Mr. Anderson stated that the City often invests in county-wide investments, but going forward the County will reap the benefits of any long-term investment, while the City will marginally, if at all. The County has the best opportunity to make the airport successful.

The proposed resolution will be an action item at the December 18, 2025 Council meeting.



**REZONE – Consideration of a proposed rezone. Jonathan Shill/YABC LLC authorized agent/owner is requesting a zone change of 2.52 acre property located at 920 North 200 West from Mixed Residential Low (MR-12) to Commercial (COM) in the Bridgerland Neighborhood) – Ordinance 25-23 – Aimee Egbert, Planner (1:50:40)**

Planner Aimee Egbert addressed the Council regarding the proposed rezone.

#### **PROJECT**

The applicant is requesting to rezone a 2.52-acre parcel from Mixed Residential Low (MR-12) to Commercial (COM). The applicant stated that the purpose of the rezone is to allow the installation of an 8-foot perimeter fence, which exceeds the 6-foot height limit permitted in the MR-12 zoning district. The applicant has also indicated that the rezone would support potential future expansion of the existing building footprint consistent with commercial development standards.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The City of Logan adopted Zoning regulations in August of 1950. The property's zoning history shows that the property was designated either residential or a type of community commercial throughout the years:

The subject property is approximately 2.52 acres in size and contains an existing commercial building originally constructed in the early 1960s, with additions completed in 1983. The building previously housed Kubex Fitness beginning in 2020 and was recently purchased by YABC, LLC for use as office space.

Over the years, the property has supported a variety of commercial uses, including a sports and fitness club, medical offices, day spa, electronic service company, and restaurant, among others. In 1997, a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) was approved to legally establish the commercial use within the residential zoning district, and because the commercial use was not abandoned, is still valid. At present, there are no residential uses on the property.

#### **LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE**

The subject property is currently zoned Mixed Residential Low (MR-12), which does not permit commercial office uses without a CUP or fence heights exceeding six feet. Both of these uses are, however, allowed within a commercially zoned district. The COM zone provides for a broad range of commercial activities, including office, retail, and service-oriented uses that are intended for businesses that serve city-wide or regional populations in areas along high-capacity roads.

The subject property is located along collector roads with lower traffic volumes and speeds, and which are not intended to accommodate high-intensity commercial activity.

According to the LDC Land Use Table, the COM zone allows approximately 45 permitted uses, including gas stations, pawn shops, and commercial parking lots, which may be incompatible with the surrounding multifamily residential development. In contrast, the CC zone requires CUPs for many higher-impact uses, providing the Planning Commission with greater discretion to review and condition specific commercial activities to ensure they are compatible with the area.

#### **GENERAL PLAN**

The Future Land Use Plan (FLUP) designates the subject area as Mixed Residential, with the adjacent property to the south identified as Mixed Use Commercial (MUC). Staff finds that the CC (Community Commercial) designation more accurately reflects the existing and intended character of the subject property and would be more consistent with the surrounding land use pattern.

#### **SUMMARY**

The subject parcel was originally zoned R-3, which permitted both commercial and residential uses when Logan City first adopted zoning regulations, and has been used for commercial purposes since the mid-1960s. Surrounding uses include a church to the north; a social club, realty office, and ball fields to the south; and apartment developments to the east and west, with a commercial zone located approximately half a block to the east. While rezoning the property to COM would bring the existing use into conformance, it would also allow a range of higher-intensity commercial uses that may be incompatible with the surrounding residential area. Therefore, staff recommends forwarding a denial of the proposed Commercial (COM) zoning designation and approval of rezoning to Community Commercial (CC). The CC zone, consistent with adjacent properties to the south, would better align with the established land use pattern and neighborhood context, and the existing CUP would bring compliance to the CC zoning designation.

#### **AGENCY AND CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

No comments have been received.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Notices were mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject property. As of the time of this report, one comment has been received in approval of the rezone.

#### **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

Legal notices were published in the Herald Journal on 11/1/25, posted on the City's website and the Utah Public Meeting website on 11/3/25, and noticed in a quarter page ad on 10/27/25.

#### **RECOMMENDED FINDINGS FOR COM DENIAL**

The Planning Commission bases its decision on the following findings:

1. LDC 17.10.080 states that the COM (Commerical) zone is intended for retail, service, and hospitality uses that serve citywide or regional populations and located on high-capacity roads.
2. LDC 17.11.030 for the COM zone allows a wide range of high-intensity commercial uses that are incompatible with adjacent multifamily and institutional uses.
3. The surrounding collector streets are not designed to accommodate the traffic volumes or access demands associated with higher-intensity commercial uses.
4. The current FLUP calls this property out as Mixed Residential (MR).

#### **RECOMMENDED FINDINGS FOR CC APPROVAL**

The Planning Commission bases its decision on the following findings:

1. The property has operated for community-scale commercial purposes since the mid-1960s, and rezoning to CC would maintain compatibility with the neighborhood and still allow for smaller scale commercial uses.
2. The CC zone provides a more appropriate transition between surrounding residential and commercial uses and is consistent with adjacent zoning to the south.
3. The rezone supports continued use of the site without adverse impacts to public health, safety, or welfare, and the property is adequately served by existing infrastructure and access.

On November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2025, the Planning Commission **recommended denial** to the Municipal Council for the YABC, LLC rezone to Commercial as proposed by the applicant. **Planning Commissioners vote to recommend denial (6-0)**. (Proponent was not there to discuss possibility or option of Community Commercial as an alternative).

Councilmember A. Anderson asked if the proponent is requesting a Commercial rezone just to have an 8-foot fence (as it is not permitted in a residential zone).

Aimee Egbert, Planner replied that the proponent purchased the property recently. They do have a building permit to turn the property into offices and expand the building in time.

Vice Chair Johnson inquired if an 8 foot fence can be built in community commercial.

Ms. Egbert responded that a 8 foot fence could be built in a community commercial zone, which is what staff recommended.

Vice Chair Johnson requested a brief explanation of the differences between commercial and community commercial.

Ms. Egbert explained that community commercial is small intensity/density impact, and most uses are conditionally permitted. Commercial has 45 permitted uses, while community commercial has about 20 uses, which are conditional.

Vice Chair Johnson requested confirmation that a conditional permit must be issued if the criteria are met; it cannot be denied.

Ms. Egbert replied that a conditional permit cannot be denied, but conditions can be implemented.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked if the FLUP also had the area remaining as MR-12.

Ms. Egbert responded that the area in the upcoming FLUP will likely change to community commercial.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the December 18, 2025 Council meeting.

**CODE AMENDMENT – Consideration of a proposed ordinance amending the Land Development Code Chapter 17.60 “Administrative Enforcement” to include Storm Water Violation Fees as required by Utah Code Annotated, Section 19-5-108.3 – Ordinance 25-24 – Russ Holley, Planner ([1:58:15](#))**

Planner Russ Holley addressed the Council regarding the proposed code amendment.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommended that the Planning Commission recommend **approval** to the Municipal Council of the proposed amendments to Chapters 17.60 Administrative Enforcement.

**REQUEST**

This is a proposal to add a new section to the 17.60 Administrative Enforcement chapter named Storm Water Violation Fees. This addition will ensure that the Logan City Land Development Code matches the State of Utah Code for storm water violation fees.

The new section 17.60.440.5 is proposed to be added below 17.60.440 Civil Fees Assessed and will unify City Codes with State Codes in this matter. The proposal includes a clause that if State Codes change in the future, the City Code will reference and follow the new change. Standard legal processes on this matter are not altered with this proposal.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

These changes will help city staff be consistent and up to date with state regulations so that there is better overall understanding and less confusion within the development community and government regulators. Staff recommend that the Planning Commission send a recommendation of approval to the City Council.

1071  
1072 **GENERAL PLAN**

1073 The Land Development Code was prepared and adopted to implement the vision  
1074 expressed in the General Plan. The purpose of these code changes is to update and clarify  
1075 provisions in the Land Development Code relative to General Plan goals and objectives.  
1076

1077 **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

1078 As of the time the staff report was prepared, no comments were received.  
1079

1080 **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

1081 Legal notices were published in the Herald Journal on 11/01/25 and posted on the City's  
1082 website and the Utah Public Meeting website on 11/03/25.  
1083

1084 **AGENCY AND CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

1085 As of the time the staff report was prepared, no comments were received.  
1086

1087 **RECOMMENDED FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL**

1088 The Planning Commission bases its decisions on the following findings:

- 1089 1. Utah State Law authorizes local Planning Commission to recommend ordinance  
1090 changes to the legislative body (Municipal Council).  
1091 2. The Code Amendments are made in conformance with the requirements of Title 17.51  
1092 of the Logan Municipal Code.  
1093 3. The proposed Code Amendments are consistent with the Logan City General Plan.  
1094 4. The proposed Code Amendments are consistent with UCA Title 10, Chapter 9a, Part 5  
1095 & Part 6.  
1096 5. No public comment has been received regarding the proposed amendments.  
1097

1098 On November 13, 2025, the Planning Commission **recommended approval** to the  
1099 Municipal Council for the Storm Water Violation Fees Code Amendment as proposed.

1100 **Planning Commissioners vote (6-0).**  
1101

1102 Councilmember A. Anderson asked if it was likely for the State to frequently change  
1103 stormwater violation fees.  
1104

1105 Russ Holley, Planner replied that it is not frequent, but the State is likely to change the  
1106 fee in the future.  
1107

1108 The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the December 18,  
1109 2025 Council meeting.  
1110

1111 **Budget Adjustments FY 2025-2026 appropriating: \$39,265,437 toward the**  
1112 **construction of a new Public Works building and related improvements;**  
1113 **\$10,000,000 funds to allow the Electric Fund to purchase the existing Public Works**

**building; \$11,565 funds received for police overtime shifts - Resolution 25-48 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director ([1:59:43](#))**

Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments. He explained that the \$49 million request plus what has already been appropriated for design and construction will bring the total to \$54 million to build a new public works building.

Mayor Daines said that Parks & Recreation, which is part of the general fund, would also move into the former Light & Power building and the project would include a storage area for them. The proposed funds would be used for the 3 departments and setting them up for the future.

Chair Simmons remarked that if there is an earthquake due to the seismic concern about the roof of the service center, there is concern about the equipment stored there.

Mr. Anderson added that the original intent was for the facility to undergo seismic upgrades including replacing the roof. However, federal grants were not approved. The City has been saving for the past 10 years for a new public works building.

Councilmember A. Anderson requested Paul Lindhardt, Public Works Director, and Sam Odd, Public Works Project Manager speak about where all the equipment is repaired and maintained, and that some of the existing equipment no longer fits in the current building.

Paul Lindhardt, Public Works Director explained that some of the existing equipment no longer fits in the current building. The service center is where all the mechanics for the general fund are located. They service nearly all of the equipment for the City including fire trucks, ambulances, police vehicles, and City vehicles. The most recent equipment purchased won't fit in that building unless it goes through the East door. Some of the equipment does not fit in the area where equipment is typically parked, which is awkward, and instead must be put in another building.

Public Works has equipment in 4 different locations. Staff is in 3 different locations. Staff has to pick up the equipment at different locations and return it. Part of the goal is the efficiency of the crews and equipment. The new building will have less square footage, and a smaller footprint, but will use space more efficiently.

Chair Simmons inquired if a small footprint would still be able to accommodate all the vehicles and equipment.

Mr. Lindhardt responded that the smaller footprint would permit storage of all/majority of the public works vehicles and equipment.



1157 Mayor Daines asked that Mr. Lindhardt explain the impact of weather on vehicles stored  
1158 outside.

1159  
1160 Mr. Lindhardt referenced an incident that occurred in Sandy City, where a fire destroyed  
1161 their entire snowplow fleet. In the aftermath, a study was conducted by a consultant on  
1162 the maintenance and cost of vehicles stored inside vs. outside. It showed that vehicles  
1163 stored outside had shorter lifespans and more maintenance cost.

1164  
1165 Similar finding conducted by Mike Beckstead, the Fleet Manager of the City showed that  
1166 leaving vehicles outside for even one winter incurred greater cost of about 4 or 5 times  
1167 the current annual maintenance cost.

1168  
1169 Chair Simmonds commented that parking of the CONNECT bus fleet was one of the  
1170 biggest reasons that CONNECT built a new transit center. However, sometimes large  
1171 projects are needed to address a problem.

1172  
1173 Councilmember López requested a visual presentation of the proposed public works  
1174 building.

1175  
1176 Mr. Lindhardt replied they will present a basic design to the Council members at the next  
1177 meeting.

1178  
1179 The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the December 18,  
1180 2025 Council meeting.

1181  
1182 No further workshop items were presented.

1183  
1184 **OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:**

1185  
1186 Councilmember A. Anderson congratulated Mayor Daines for receiving the Martha  
1187 Hughes Cannon Lifetime Achievement Award. Martha Hughes Cannon was the first  
1188 woman elected to the Utah State Senate in 1887, and first woman elected to an official  
1189 position in the entire nation.

1190  
1191 Mayor Daines said it was an honor and appreciated the recognition.

1192  
1193 Councilmember López stated that the Logan Youth Council is touring SOCC on  
1194 December 10 at 3:30 p.m.

1195  
1196  
1197 No further considerations were discussed.

1198  
1199 **ADJOURNED:**



1200

1201 There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

1202

1203

1204

1205 Teresa Harris, City Recorder

DRAFT