



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200 ext. 1022
Midvale.Utah.gov

**Midvale Planning Commission Meeting
Notice and Agenda *AMENDED*
December 10, 2025
6:00 p.m.**

Public notice is hereby given that the Midvale City Planning Commission will consider the items listed below during their regularly scheduled meeting on Wednesday, December 10, 2025, at 6:00 p.m. This meeting will be held in person at Midvale City Hall, 7505 S Holden Street, Midvale, Utah or electronically with an anchor location at Midvale City Hall, 7505 S Holden Street, Midvale, Utah. The meeting will be broadcast at the following link:
Midvale.Utah.gov/YouTube.

Midvale City Staff publishes a packet of information containing item specific details one week prior to the meeting at 6:00 p.m. on the [Planning Commission Agendas & Minutes](#) page. The QR code included on the right will also take you to this webpage.



Public comments for Public Hearing items may be done in person on the scheduled meeting date, submitted electronically on the Agendas & Minutes webpage, or by emailing planning@midvaleut.gov by 5:00 p.m. on December 9, 2025 to be included in the record.

I. Pledge of Allegiance

II. Roll Call

III. Minutes

- a. Review and Approval of Minutes from the November 12, 2025 Meeting.

IV. Public Hearing

*If items marked with ** are forwarded, the City Council will hear them on January 6, 2026 at 7 p.m.*

- a. Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8503 S Iris Lumi Lane amending Lot 205 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone. *[Jonathan Anderson, Planner II]*
- b. Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 717 W Coho Lane amending Lot 206 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone. *[Jonathan Anderson, Planner II]*

- c. Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8498 S Magic Opal Lane amending Lot 212 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone. *[Jonathan Anderson, Planner II]*
- d. Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 748 W Pink Frost Lane amending Lot 216 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone. *[Jonathan Anderson, Planner II]*
- e. ~~**Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-17.3 (TODO Zone Development Standards) to provide design flexibility of the commercial mixed-use requirement. *[Wendelin Knobloch, Planning Director]*~~ **Withdrawn from the agenda 12/4/2025.**
- f. **Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-11.11 (MS-FBC Zone Administration) to allow for rebuilding of nonconforming structures. *[Wendelin Knobloch, Planning Director]*
- g. Midvale City requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 2-lot subdivision located at 362 W Center St in the Single Family Residential (SF-2) Zone. *[Adam Olsen, Community Development Director]*
- h. **Fashion Place West Station Area Plan. *[Adam Olsen, Community Development Director]*

V. Staff Update/Other Business

- a. Revised 2026 Planning Commission Meeting Calendar.
- b. Planning Department Report.

VI. Adjourn

All meetings are open to the public; however, there is no public participation except during public hearings. Members of the public will be given an opportunity to address the Commission during each public hearing item. The Commission reserves the right to amend the order of the agenda if deemed appropriate. No item will be heard after 9:30 p.m. without unanimous consent of the Commission. Items not heard will be scheduled on the next agenda. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Midvale City will make reasonable accommodations for participation in the meeting. Request assistance by contacting the Community Development Executive Assistant at (801) 567-7211, providing at least three working days' notice of the meeting.

A copy of the foregoing agenda was posted in the City Hall Lobby, the 2nd Floor City Hall Lobby, on the City's website at Midvale.Utah.gov and the State Public Notice website at <http://pmn.utah.gov>. Commission Members may participate in the meeting via electronic communication. Commission Members' participation via electronic communication will be broadcast and amplified so all Commission Members and persons present in the Council Chambers will be able to hear or see the communication.



7505 South Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
Phone (801) 567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

Midvale City
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
Minutes
12th Day of November 2025
Council Chambers
7505 South Holden Street
Midvale, Utah 84047

COMMISSION CHAIR: Robyn Anderson

COMMISSION VICE CHAIR: Candice Erickson

COMMISSION MEMBERS: Dustin Snow
Michael Edwards
Shane Liedtke
Matt Kasparian

STAFF: Adam Olsen, Community Development Director
Katie Thorne, Community Development Exec. Assistant
Daniel Van Beuge, Deputy City Attorney
Wendelin Knobloch, Planning Director
Jonathan Anderson, Planner II
Juan Rosario, Systems Administrator

REGULAR MEETING

Chair Anderson called the Planning & Zoning Commission meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. She explained how the meeting would proceed. First, the Planning Department would brief the Commission; then the applicant would speak to the Commission; the item will be opened to the public for their comments on public hearing agenda items; the Midvale Deputy City Attorney will then brief the Commission on their role on the given item; and then the Commission would deliberate and decide.

ROLL CALL

Chair Anderson	Present
Vice Chair Erickson	Present
Commissioner Tippetts	Excused
Commissioner Snow	Present
Commissioner Liedtke	Present
Commissioner Edwards	Present
Commissioner Kasparian	Present

MINUTES

1. REVIEW AND APPROVE MINUTES OF October 22, 2025.

MOTION: Commissioner Liedtke MOVED to approve the minutes of October 22, 2025. SECONDED by Commissioner Snow. Chair Anderson called for a voice vote.

The motion passed unanimously with all voting in favor.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Leonard Sharp requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 3-lot subdivision located at 120 E 7615 S in the Single Family Residential (SF-1) Zone.

This proposal has been reviewed by all members of the Development Review Committee (Planning, Engineering, Public Works, Legal, Unified Fire Authority, and the Building Official) for compliance with the respective guidelines, policies, standards, and codes.

Lot 103 is serviced by a 16.5 foot private right-of-way that extends from State Street to 220 E, which is less than the required 35 foot private right-of-way required by the City Standards of Midvale Municipal Code 16.02.050(E) for private streets. A variance was granted on 10/27/2025 for Lot 103 of the proposed subdivision by Midvale City's Appeal Authority for Midvale Municipal Code 16.02.050(E) Private Streets for the private right-of-way width. Staff finds the proposal complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, the lot standards of the SF-1 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-1.3, and the flag lot standards of the SF-1 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-1.2.1(F).

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. Written public comments have been received as of the writing of this report. All public comments received will be forwarded to the Planning Commission members for consideration and included in the public meeting record.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02, 17-7-1.3, and 17-7-1.2.1(F) of the Midvale Municipal Code demonstrated in the application, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision with the following findings:

Findings:

1. The application is for a preliminary subdivision for a 3-lot subdivision located at 120 E 7615 S.
2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, the lot standards of 17-7-1.3, and the flag lot standards of 17-1.2.1(F), apart from the variance that was granted for MMC 16.02.050(E) to the private street right-of-way standard.
3. The Development Review Committee has reviewed the project and forwarded the item on for the Planning Commission to render a decision.

APPLICANT:

No Comment.

PUBLIC HEARING:

*****SEE ATTACHMENT A FOR SUBMITTED ONLINE COMMENTS*****

Aubree Earl stated that she had previously approached the City to discuss the possibility of Midvale City purchasing the parcel for use as a park. Ms. Earl added that if a park were not a feasible option, the surrounding neighbors would strongly prefer that the property be subdivided into two lots rather than the three lots proposed. She noted that limiting the subdivision to two lots would reduce construction impacts and increase spacing between future homes.

Josh Hartley stated that he is also among those who would prefer the property to be used as a park rather than subdivided for residential development. Mr. Hartley further commented that, to his knowledge, other properties along 7615 South are subdivided into two lots, not three. He expressed concerns that the proposed subdivision would increase traffic on a street that is not a through-street, potentially affecting pedestrian safety—particularly for children walking to and from the nearby elementary school.

Amy Harris requested clarification regarding parking that may be required or included in any future development on the site.

Chair Anderson clarified that the request before the Commission is solely for subdivision of the existing parcel, and that information regarding future parking accommodations is not yet available. Ms. Harris then asked for clarification on current regulations governing development on existing flag lots.

Vice Chair Erickson and Commissioner Snow explained that this request is not directly relevant to the current proposal. They noted that the Commission evaluates land-use laws as they apply to the subdivision request, but not the specifics of future development. They added that several regulations pertaining to flag lots exist and that these can be found on the Midvale City website.

William Ellis stated that he is in favor of the proposed subdivision. He added that he believes the subdivision will increase revenue and will not negatively impact current residents on the street.

MOTION: Vice Chair Erickson MOVED to close the public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Liedtke. Chair Anderson called for a voice vote. The motion passed unanimously with all voting in favor.

DISCUSSION:

Commissioner Edwards requested clarification regarding the appellate decision pertaining to the 16.5-foot right-of-way, and whether the same decision was applied to allow other homes on 7615 South to be built.

Jonathan Anderson, Planner II, informed the Commission that he was unsure without combing through old files whether prior subdivisions along 7615 South were required to go through the same approval process. Mr. Anderson explained that the requirements for the current proposal are specific to the subdivision ordinance that was overhauled at the beginning of 2024, which resulted in stricter standards than those previously in place. The previous Subdivisions were subject to the prior Title 16.

Vice Chair Erickson addressed additional public comments submitted by Haley Brenkmann, Brian Vansteenkiste, and Alexis Weixler. She noted that several of the concerns referenced frontage and

emphasized the importance of clarifying both the definition of frontage and the scope of the current review. She reiterated that the purpose of this agenda item is solely to determine whether the existing parcel may be subdivided; issues such as frontage requirements will be reviewed during later stages as the developers proceed with the project.

Vice Chair Erickson continued by providing the definition of "frontage," which is "the extent of land abutting a street or waterway." She clarified that frontage is not the width of the street itself, which was a point of confusion in some of the submitted comments. She stated that Midvale City staff are well-versed in Municipal Code requirements and have thoroughly reviewed both the applicable regulations and the submitted plans. Based on this review, staff have determined that the proposed subdivision meets all required standards.

Chair Anderson addressed the concerns raised by members of the public and stated that the proposed subdivision is consistent with the requirements of the zone and appropriate for the surrounding area.

Daniel Van Buege, Deputy City Attorney, informed the Commissioners that this is an administrative decision that the Planning Commission is to make if they do meet all the code requirements as it is outlaid in the city. If the proposed project does meet all code requirements, the Planning Commission is required to approve the proposed project plans. The only grounds for denial of this project would be if the code requirements are not met.

MOTION: Commissioner Liedtke MOVED that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision located at 120 E 7615 S, with the finding included in the staff report. The motion was SECONDED by Vice Chair Erickson. Chair Anderson called for a roll call vote. The vote was as follows:

Chair Anderson	Yes
Vice Chair Erickson	Yes
Commissioner Snow	Yes
Commissioner Liedtke	Yes
Commissioner Kasparian	Yes

The motion passes unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

2. Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-17.3

(TODO Zone) to provide design flexibility of the commercial mixed-use requirement.

This code text amendment changes how the TODO zone implements the mixed-use requirement for the main floor of buildings. Instead of requiring a percentage of the building footprint area as office, retail, and/or restaurant uses the new language proposes a percentage of the street facing building façade as office, retail, and/or restaurant uses, with the addition of an option for clustering the requirement on any road classified as a Feeder or larger (e.g. Collector, Arterial), as designated in the Transportation Element of the General Plan (see Figure 1).

Public notice has been sent to affected entities as required in 17-3-9.B of the Municipal Code. No comments were received prior to the completion of this report.

-ZONING CODE AMENDMENT CRITERIA-

Midvale City Code 17-3-1(F) outlines the criteria necessary for amendments to the zoning code. A proposal may only be approved if it demonstrates one or more of the following:

1. The proposed amendment promotes the objectives of the general plan and purposes of this title;
 2. The proposed amendment promotes the purposes outlined in Utah State Code 10-9a-102;
 3. The proposed amendment more clearly explains the intent of the original language or has been amended to make interpretation more straightforward; or
 4. Existing zoning code was the result of a clerical error or a mistake of fact.
- Staff finds that this proposal meets the first and third criteria listed above.

This amendment complies with criteria 1 through 3.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Planning Commission recommend approval of the text amendment with the following finding:

Findings:

1. The amendment complies with Midvale City Code 17-3-1(F)(1).

APPLICANT:

No comment.

PUBLIC HEARING:

No comment.

MOTION: Commissioner Liedtke MOVED to close the public hearing. The motion was SECONDED by Vice Chair Erickson. Chair Anderson called for a voice vote. The motion passed unanimously with all voting in favor.

DISCUSSION:

Commissioner Liedtke requested and received clarification regarding the dedications outlined in the proposed text amendment, specifically as they relate to parking requirements for commercial spaces.

Vice Chair Erickson requested and received confirmation that the proposed changes in Section H of the text amendment are initiative-taking updates intended to provide greater clarity moving forward.

Commissioner Liedtke stated that the proposed text amendment improves the clarity of this portion of the Code, results in a more effective use of the property, and enhances the current regulations.

Vice Chair Erickson commented that the proposed clustering approach is beneficial, particularly regarding tenant improvement considerations. Vice Chair Erickson noted that allowing the option based on wall frontage and clustering will enable developers to accommodate a wider variety of tenant layouts.

3. **MOTION: Commissioner Snow MOVED that we recommend approval of the Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-17.3 (TODO Zone) to provide design flexibility of the commercial mixed-use requirement, with the findings noted in the staff report. The motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Liedtke. Chair Anderson called for a roll call vote. The vote was as follows:**

Chair Anderson	Yes
Vice Chair Erickson	Yes

Commissioner Snow	Yes
Commissioner Liedtke	Yes
Commissioner Kasparian	Yes

The motion passes unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS

1. Approval of the 2026 Planning Commission Meeting Schedule.

Under Utah Code 52-4-202 public bodies must give notice of their annual meeting schedule at least once a year. Once adopted, the 2026 Planning Commission Meeting Schedule will be posted on Midvale City's website with the adopted 2026 City Council meeting schedule.

Utah Code 52-4-202(2)(a) "In addition to the requirements under Subsection (1), a public body which holds regular meetings that are scheduled in advance over the course of a year shall give public notice at least once each year of its annual meeting schedule as provided in this section."

The May 27th and July 22nd Meetings are up for discussion to be kept on the proposed calendar or removed due to the observed holidays of Memorial Day on May 25th and Pioneer Day on July 24th.

APPLICANT:

No comment.

DISCUSSION:

No Comment.

4. **MOTION: Vice Chair Erickson MOVED to approve the 2026 Planning Commission Meeting Schedule as included in the attachments. The motion was SECONDED by Commissioner Liedtke. Chair Anderson called for a roll call vote. The vote was as follows:**

Chair Anderson	Yes
Vice Chair Erickson	Yes
Commissioner Snow	Yes
Commissioner Liedtke	Yes
Commissioner Kasparian	Yes

The motion passes unanimously.

STAFF UPDATE/OTHER BUSINESS

1. Land Use Trainings – Ground Rules
 - a. Chapter 14 - Enforcement
 - i. Criminal and Civil Procedures.
 - b. Chapter 15 – Appeals
 - c. Chapter 16 – Challenging City Decisions
 - d. Chapter 17 – Referenda
2. Planning Department Report
 - a. Closing Out projects for 2025
 - i. Development Agreement – City Council November 18
 - ii. Large Scale Master Plan – City Council November 18
 - iii. Code Change Text Amendment – City Council November 18
 - b. WRFC - Everything that has been submitted so far has been accepted.
 - c. Title Reports Training
 - d. Porter Rockwell Study

ADJOURN

Vice Chair Erickson made the motion to adjourn 6:43 p.m. No one opposed. The meeting adjourned at 6:43 p.m.

Katie Thorne, CD Executive Assistant

Approved this ____ day of ____ 2025.

ATTACHMENT A:

DRAFT

Formal Objection to Preliminary Subdivision Application

120 E 7615 S (SF-1 Zone)

To: Midvale City Planning Commission

Planning Department, City of Midvale
7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, Utah 84047
Email: planning@midvale.com

From: Haley Brenkmann

124 East 7660 South, Midvale, Utah
Midvale, Utah, 84047
Haley.Brenkmann@gmail.com

Dear Chairperson and Members of the Planning Commission,

I, Haley Brenkmann, am a property owner residing within 500 feet of the proposed three-lot subdivision at 120 E 7615 S, Midvale, Utah. Pursuant to my rights under the Midvale Municipal Code and Utah Code § 10-9a-205, I submit this letter as a formal objection to the applicant's request for preliminary subdivision approval.

My opposition is based on legal, environmental, and public-welfare concerns that warrant denial or significant modification of the proposal.

I. Zoning Intent and Neighborhood Compatibility

Under Midvale Municipal Code §§ 17-7-1.1 and 17-7-1.3, the SF-1 Zone is designed to preserve the low-density, single-family character of residential neighborhoods by maintaining consistent lot sizes, setbacks, and open space. The proposed subdivision—dividing a single parcel into three—directly conflicts with these standards and would disrupt the established lot pattern and visual continuity of the surrounding area. Approval of this configuration would set an adverse precedent, weakening the intent of the zoning code and undermining the goals of the City's adopted General Plan. Furthermore, the subdivision, as currently designed, does not conform to the governing requirements of the Midvale Municipal Code (MCMC). Specifically, under Title 17, Chapter 7, Section 1.3 (Single-Family Residential Zone – SF-1) and Title 16 (Subdivision Ordinance), the City mandates strict compliance with standards governing minimum lot area, frontage, access width, and roadway safety—requirements that this proposal fails to satisfy.

● **Frontage Deficiency – Lot 101**

- Provides only 20 feet of frontage along a private access easement.
- Falls significantly short of the 55-foot minimum frontage requirement under MCMC §17-7-1.3(C).
- The access easement does not qualify as legal frontage on a public street, as required by ordinance.

- **Frontage and Access Deficiency – Lot 103**
 - Fronts a 16.5-foot private right-of-way (ROW) labeled as “7615 South (Private ROW).
 - This frontage is less than one-third of the required 55-foot minimum.
 - The private ROW also fails to meet the minimum 20-foot access width required for shared residential drives.
 - The ROW lacks a turnaround, in violation of Fire Code D103.4 and MCMC Title 16.

- **Access-Width Deficiency – Private ROW**
 - The 16.5-foot ROW fails to meet the 20-foot minimum for two-way traffic, creating a hazard for emergency, service, and delivery vehicles.
 - As a dead-end drive exceeding 150 feet, it must include an approved turnaround, which is absent.
 - These deficiencies create direct public-safety and emergency-access violations under MCMC Title 16.

- **Public Safety and Infrastructure Impact**
 - The substandard access configuration poses an obstruction for fire apparatus, tow trucks, and public works vehicles.
 - Such design conditions would render the subdivision unsafe for occupation and inconsistent with the City’s adopted roadway standards.

The proposed subdivision plat fails to meet the mandatory frontage, width, and access standards set forth under MCMC §17-7-1.3(B)–(C) and MCMC Title 16 (Subdivision Ordinance). The current configuration violates multiple provisions governing minimum lot frontage, access width, and emergency vehicle accommodation. Given these clear statutory and public-safety deficiencies, the subdivision as proposed is noncompliant with Midvale City Code and must not be approved unless it undergoes substantial redesign and reconfiguration to fully satisfy the City’s frontage, access, and safety requirements.

II. Public Safety and Unauthorized Excavation

The applicant, Leonard Sharp, has previously engaged in unauthorized cutting and excavation into public roads and rights-of-way in the vicinity of 7660 South and 7615 South without required city or utility authorization.

Such activity presents an immediate hazard to surrounding homeowners and public infrastructure. Unauthorized disturbance of public roadways and underground utility systems creates risk of rupture, fire, or explosion and endangers both property and life.

III. Infrastructure, Drainage, and Flood Irrigation Concerns

Under Midvale Municipal Code Title 16, all preliminary subdivision approvals must demonstrate that public facilities—including storm drainage, sewer, and water systems—have adequate capacity to serve the proposed development (MCMC § 16.01 et seq.).

No certified engineering or drainage documentation has been provided to date. The subject property is historically flood-irrigated land and has been inundated regularly for over 100 years. The soil and grade are not engineered for dense residential development.

Constructing additional infrastructure—such as paved driveways, compacted building pads, and underground utilities—will alter natural grading and drainage patterns, increasing runoff and elevating the risk of flooding to adjacent parcels, including my own.

Building homes on or adjacent to flood-irrigated or former flood-plain land also presents serious geotechnical and structural risks, including:

- Foundation instability and heaving from saturated soils;
- Sewer and storm-drain backflow during high water events;
- Long-term water intrusion and mold contamination; and
- Substantial increase in flood-insurance costs or ineligibility under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

Under FEMA flood-risk disclosure guidelines and Utah Code § 57-1-1 et seq. (Real Estate Disclosures), any known material conditions that affect a property's use or safety—including historic floodplain or irrigation-based flooding—must be disclosed to future buyers. Failure to disclose that this land has a history of flooding would expose both the developer and the City to potential liability under Utah's real-property disclosure laws and federal flood-insurance regulations.

Given the property's century-long history of flood irrigation and surface-water exposure, Midvale City should require that this risk be explicitly recorded on the subdivision plat and disclosed to all future owners, ensuring informed consent before construction or sale.

Additionally, the proposed development may impede existing water-access rights belonging to neighboring properties. Under Utah Code Title 73 (Water and Irrigation), a property owner cannot obstruct or modify irrigation flows or ditch access without proper authorization and consent from the rights holder. The applicant has not demonstrated compliance with this requirement.

IV. Public Welfare and Municipal Obligations

Under MCMC § 17-1-1, Midvale is obligated to protect public health, safety, and general welfare through orderly, lawful development. Granting approval for a subdivision tied to unpermitted work and unresolved water-rights conflicts would be inconsistent with that obligation and could expose the City to avoidable legal and financial liability.

Subdivision regulation in Utah is a matter of local control, governed by each municipality's ordinances and due-process procedures. Under Utah Code Title 10-9a, and as reinforced by Midvale City policy:

- The regulation of land subdivision lies primarily with local government entities, which are responsible for ensuring compliance with zoning, access, and health-and-safety standards before development begins.
- Public hearings are required for subdivision applications that create new lots or alter existing zoning, and adjoining property owners—typically within a 500-foot notification zone—must be formally notified and given the opportunity to present opposition or concerns before any approval is granted.
- Valid objections must demonstrate that a proposal violates zoning, endangers health or safety, or interferes with the reasonable enjoyment of adjoining property.
- The Planning Commission and Zoning Board retain final discretion over approval or denial, based on staff recommendations, legal compliance, and public testimony.

In this case, the applicant disregarded the required public process and moved forward with preliminary site work and development activity without confirmation of development plans or authorization from the City of Midvale. Proceeding in advance of Planning Commission approval violates the city's land-use procedures and undermines public transparency, fairness, and due process as outlined in MCMC Title 16 and Utah Code § 10-9a-509.

V. Insufficient Road Width and Emergency Access Concerns

The existing public roadway along East 7615 South is not wide enough to accommodate more than one car at a time, making it unsuitable for two-way traffic or multi-parcel development. According to Midvale City roadway and access standards, public and shared drives serving multiple units must meet specific minimum widths to ensure public safety and emergency response access:

- A shared drive serving three or more residential units must have a minimum width of 20 feet for two-way traffic and 15 feet for one-way traffic.
- Dead-end roads exceeding 150 feet in length are required to include a designated turnaround area to allow fire engines, tow trucks, and emergency vehicles to safely reverse direction.

The roadway on East 7615 South fails to meet these minimum standards. Adding three new dwellings will create access issues for residents, service vehicles, and first responders. A one-lane road without adequate shoulder or turnaround space presents an unacceptable public-safety hazard and violates the intent of MCMC Title 16, which requires adequate access for emergency services as a condition of subdivision approval.

Without verified compliance with the city's width and access requirements, the proposed subdivision cannot be considered safe or legally approvable.

VI. Request for Action

Given the extensive evidence of procedural violations, safety hazards, and disregard for Midvale's zoning and public-welfare standards, I strongly urge the Planning Commission to take the following actions without delay:

- Deny the Preliminary Subdivision Application in its entirety.
The applicant's ongoing pattern of unauthorized excavation, disregard for public process, and failure to provide mandatory engineering, drainage, and access documentation render this proposal noncompliant with MCMC Titles 16 and 17, Utah Code Title 10-9a, and Utah Code Title 73. Approval under these conditions would be unlawful and contrary to the City's duty to safeguard public health, safety, and welfare.
- Issue an immediate stop-work order on all site disturbance, grading, or construction activities occurring at or near 120 E 7615 S until full compliance with City requirements, permitting, and inspection processes is verified.
- Require a comprehensive independent engineering assessment addressing:
 - Existing and future drainage impacts on adjacent flood-irrigated properties;
 - Geotechnical stability of the soil for residential construction;
 - Floodplain and stormwater management capacity; and
 - Emergency-vehicle access and fire-code compliance under Midvale roadway width standards.
- Mandate formal disclosure on the recorded subdivision plat acknowledging that this property is historically flood-irrigated land and part of a former floodplain, consistent with Utah Code § 57-1-1 et seq. and FEMA flood-risk disclosure requirements. Future buyers and occupants must be fully informed of the long-term flooding and structural risks inherent to the site.
- Investigate potential violations of the Midvale Municipal Code related to unauthorized excavation into public rights-of-way, and pursue enforcement or penalties as warranted under MCMC Chapter 9.02 (Public Property and Utilities Protection) and Title 16 (Subdivision Ordinances).
- Require verification of neighboring water-access rights prior to any plat approval. No subdivision should proceed that interferes with, diverts, or restricts existing irrigation or surface-water rights protected under Utah Code Title 73 (Water and Irrigation).

If the applicant cannot demonstrate full and lawful compliance with these standards, I respectfully but firmly request that the City of Midvale deny this subdivision application outright and remove it from further consideration until all violations and deficiencies have been resolved to the satisfaction of both the Planning Commission and the public record.

Best Regards,

Haley R. Brenkmann

Haley R. Brenkmann

Brian Vansteenkiste
116 E 7660 S
Midvale, UT 84047
Brian@ResonancePrint.com
11-8-25

To the Midvale City Planning Commission,

I am writing to submit my Formal **OBJECTION** to Leonard Sharp's request for Preliminary Subdivision approval. This pertains to the parcel lying on the narrow, private lane @ 120 E 7615 S in Midvale, UT 84047. I was notified that the Commission plans to heard this request for a 3-lot subdivison during the next schedule meeting on November 12, 2025.

I have owned the property @ 116 E 7660 S since 2007 and I am within 500 feet of the property seeking preliminary approval. I want to nip this terrible idea in the bud before it goes any further.

I refer you to the extensively documented and backed up arguments my neighbor, Haley Brenkman, supplied in her Objection Letter. I will send it along with this letter.
I stand in solidarity with my Neighbor Haley and echo all of her well enunciated sentiments.

I also want to add my own.

The subdivision of this small parcel is inappropriate, violates multiple ordinances, is being done incorrectly, and damages the culture, quality, and character of our neighborhood.

From a common sense standpoint, the division is asinine. The applicant and his real estate agent are trying to jam job too many parcels into an old cow field with more than dubious soil composition. The extent to which I have witnessed this field being flooded in my 18 years living directly across the street from it is MORE than substantial. We playfully refer to it as "Lake Midvale."

The field/parcel is bordered on two sides active, unpiped, free flowing irrigation ditch that woud need to be piped and worked around before any/all construction could be completed. The grade of this ditch is dictated by gravity and cannot be adjusted.

Any/All potential access to utilities, vehicles/driveways and other construction considerations would need to work around/under/over the ditches' fixed considerations.

I also echo Haley's accusations of duplicitious and deceiving practices by the applicants represenation. I was told all three lots were "fully entitled" and development rights had been secured IMMEDIATELY after the real estate sign was erected last September. This was simply untrue.

On my wife's birthday, September 22, 2025, our house was rudely awakened BEFORE 7 am by the applicants saw cutting team. They began work before legal hours and without permits or permission.

The street remains cut after Branden Anderson, Midvale City Engineer, had to send his staff out to physically halt the work.

No one was notified even though the saw cuts crossed the entire street and directly terminated in locations that would have made THREE residential driveways inaccessible. Had they not been stopped, the street would have been torn up and 2 of my neightbors and my family would not have had access to our residences.

Actions like these persist outside of the legal framework designed to insure these processes are done correctly, with minimal impact to neighbors and the community.

Had neighbors not intervened and contacted the city, this would have proceeded.

That would have been unacceptable.

I also question the safety concerns Haley brought up in her letter.

I remember attending City Council meetings back in the late 2000s where developers were forced to show adequate vehicle **through** access along with turnarounds large enough for fire engines.

This proposal has NEITHER.

Another compounding is the height of the power poles all along 7615 E.

The power pole running across the middle of the parcel in question is also a factor because of its placement AND height.

All of this should be taken into consideration when evaluating access and safety.

The final factor I would like to address is the access of construction vehicles. These will undoubtedly be needed to develop these parcels and the amount of traffic and disturbance resulting from the development of TWO lots will be bad enough. The excessively jam jobbed 3rd lot would make it that much worse.

Simply put, the application is excessive, violates Midvale's own ordinances, and is extremely legally complicated and dubious when water and soil factors are considered.

Buyers should be made aware of ALL considerations prior to any potential offer or sale and the realtor should be prohibited from making false and misleading claims. The soil composition and water nuances should be front and center of all required disclosures.

I would not object to this parcel being subdivided into TWO lots to match the surrounding neighborhood, but I will fight and object to the 3rd "flagpole" lot with all my resources.

Thank you for your time and fielding of my objection.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brian Vansteenkiste', with a stylized, cursive script.

Brian Vansteenkiste

11-8-25

116 E 7660 S

Midvale, UT 84047

Brian@ResonancePrint.com

From: noreply@revize.com
To: [Midvale Planning Mailbox](#)
Subject: Public Comment Submission for Planning/Zoning Com
Date: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 2:27:12 PM

First-Name = Alexis

Last-Name = Weixler

Address = 169 e 7660 s midvale utah 84047

Email = alexistherod@gmail.com

Meeting-Date = 2025-11-12

Agenda-Item = Leonard sharp request for 3 lot subdivision

Comments = As a resident of the street this will affect. I want to know what the actual plans for this are? We cannot have a multi family unit going into our street. We already struggle with all the school and car dealership traffic. I think only 2 lots should be allowed, with one single family home.

Client IP = 97.117.21.70



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8503 S Iris Lumi Lane amending Lot 205 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone.

SUBMITTED BY

Jonathan Anderson, Planner II

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The proposed Preliminary Subdivision is an amendment to Lot 205 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Condominiums project by Holmes Homes for a 10-unit residential condominium building. This project previously received Site Plan Approval in August of 2022. Those documents were included in the October 22, 2025 Planning Commission packet for the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Preliminary Subdivision.

This proposal has been reviewed by all members of the Development Review Committee (Planning, Engineering, Public Works, Legal, Unified Fire Authority, and the Building Official) for compliance with the respective departmental review requirements. Staff finds the proposal complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, and the lot standards of the JB 1-3 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-10.12.10.

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. No written objections have been received as of the writing of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02 and 17-7-10.12.10 of the Midvale Municipal Code demonstrated in the application, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision with the following findings and condition:

Findings:

1. The application is a preliminary subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8503 S Iris Lumi Lane amending Lot 205 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision.

2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02 and the lot standards of 17-7-10.12.10.
3. The Development Review Committee has reviewed the project and forwarded the item on for the Planning Commission to render a decision.

Condition:

1. The recordation of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the Salt Lake County Recorder's Office.

RECOMMENDED MOTION


I move that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision amending Lot 205 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the findings and condition noted in the staff report.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Preliminary Subdivision Plat

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 205 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT




- ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER NOTES:
- PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 54-3-27 THIS PLAT CONVEYS TO THE OWNER(S) OR OPERATORS OF UTILITY FACILITIES A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT ALONG WITH ALL THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES DESCRIBED THEREIN.
 - PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 17-27A-603(4)(C)(II) ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER ACCEPTS DELIVERY OF THE PUE AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PLAT AND APPROVES THIS PLAT SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFIRMING THAT THE PLAT CONTAINS PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS AND APPROXIMATES THE LOCATION OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS, BUT DOES NOT WARRANT THEIR PRECISE LOCATION. ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER MAY REQUIRE OTHER EASEMENTS IN ORDER TO SERVE THIS DEVELOPMENT. THIS APPROVAL DOES NOT AFFECT ANY RIGHT THAT ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER HAS UNDER:
 - A RECORDED EASEMENT OR RIGHT-OF-WAY
 - THE LAW APPLICABLE TO PRESCRIPTIVE RIGHTS
 - TITLE 54, CHAPTER 8A, DAMAGE TO UNDERGROUND UTILITY FACILITIES OR
 - ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW.

ENBRIDGE GAS UTAH - NOTE
Questar Gas Company, dba Enbridge Gas Utah ("Enbridge"), approves this plat solely to confirm that the plat contains public utility easements. This approval does not (a) affect any right Enbridge has under Title 54, Chapter 8a, a recorded easement or right-of-way, prescriptive rights, or any provision of law, (b) constitute acceptance of any terms contained in any portion of the plat, and (c) guarantee any terms or waive Enbridge's right to require additional easements for gas service.

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966



- PLAT NOTES:
- The private drives on this plat are identified as such for emergency response and addressing purposes. They are not considered private roads under the Midvale City zoning ordinance.
 - The subdivision is subject to the existence of institutional controls or other environmental regulations in areas where such controls or regulations, particularly Midvale's institutional controls ordinance.
 - The plat will expire and be void if it is not recorded within one year of the date it is approved by the city.
 - The subdivision is subject to covenants, conditions, or restrictions recorded concurrently.

SOUTHEAST CORNER
SECTION 35,
T2S, R1W, SLB&M
(BM) ELEV. 4297.26
(FOUND 2.5" BRASS CAP)




CURVE TABLE					
CURVE	RADIUS	LENGTH	DELTA	BEARING	CHORD
C1	28.00'	43.98'	90°00'00"	S45°03'31"W	39.60'

LEGEND



HORIZONTAL GRAPHIC SCALE



SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE


I, PATRICK M. HARRIS, do hereby certify that I am a Professional Land Surveyor in the State of Utah and that I hold Certificate No. 286882 in accordance with Title 58, Chapter 22, of the Professional Engineers and Land Surveyor's Act; of Utah. I further certify that by authority of the Owners, I completed a survey of the property described on this plat and have verified all measurements; that the reference monuments shown on this plat are located as indicated and are sufficient to accurately establish the lateral boundaries of the herein described tract of real property hereafter to be known as JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT, a Utah condominium project. In accordance with the Utah Condominium Ownership Act, I further certify that the condominium plat for said project is accurate and complies with the provision of Section 57-8-13 (1) of the Utah Condominium Ownership Act. Reference markers as shown on this plat are located as shown and are sufficient to readily retrace or re-establish this survey.

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

All of Lot 205 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision, recorded _____ as Entry No. _____ in Book _____ P at Page _____ in the Office of the Salt Lake County Recorder, being more particularly described as follows:
Beginning at a point on the Westerly Right-of-Way Line of 700 West Street, said point being South 00°29'40" East 1,857.91 feet along the section line and West 38.35 feet from the East Quarter Corner of Section 35, Township 2 South, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; and running

thence along said Westerly Right-of-Way Line South 00°03'37" West 106.31 feet to the Northerly Right-of-Way Line of Coho Lane;
thence along said Northerly Right-of-Way Line the following two (2) courses:
(1) North 89°56'29" West 124.83 feet;
(2) Northwesterly 43.98 feet along the arc of a 28.00 foot radius curve to the right (center bears North 00°03'31" East and the chord bears North 44°56'29" West 39.60 feet with a central angle of 90°00'00") to the Easterly Right-of-Way Line of Iris Lum Lane;
thence North 00°03'31" East 78.31 feet along said Easterly Right-of-Way Line;
thence South 89°56'29" East 152.84 feet to the point of beginning.

Contains 16,080 Square Feet or 0.369 Acres



DATE

PATRICK M. HARRIS
P.L.S. 286882

OWNER'S CERTIFICATION AND CONSENT TO RECORD

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S) OF SAID TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED HEREON DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT WE CONSENT TO THE RECORDED OF THIS CONDOMINIUM PLAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH UTAH CODE ANNOTATED 57-8-13, SUBDIVIDING THE LAND INTO CONDOMINIUM OWNERSHIP, AND CREATING UNITS, COMMON AREAS, LIMITED COMMON AREAS AND EASEMENTS ALL AS SET FORTH HEREIN TO HEREAFTER BE KNOWN AS

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT

UTILITY DEDICATION

BY EXECUTION OF THIS PLAT, THE OWNER(S) SHOWN BELOW DOES HEREBY GRANT AND CONVEY TO THE CITY AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANIES, A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY IN AND TO THOSE AREAS REFLECTED ON THE MAP AND DEFINED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT AS "COMMON AREA" (INCLUDING PRIVATE STREETS AND PRIVATE DRIVEWAYS) FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF APPROVED PUBLIC UTILITIES AND APPURTENANCES TOGETHER WITH RIGHT OF ACCESS THERETO.

RESERVATION OF COMMON AREAS

BY EXECUTION OF THIS PLAT, THE OWNER(S) SHOWN BELOW DOES HEREBY CONVEY ALL AREAS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT OR DESCRIBED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM AS "COMMON AREA" FOR THE COMMON ENJOYMENT OF ALL OWNERS AND SUCH OWNERS GUESTS AND INVITEES AS SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM APPLICABLE TO THE PROJECT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE OWNER(S) HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR HANDS THIS _____ DAY OF _____, A.D. 20____.

_____, LLC

By: _____
Its: _____

LIABILITY COMPANY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF UTAH
County of Salt Lake

_____, J.S.S.

On the _____ day of _____, A.D. 20____,
personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said County of _____ in the State of Utah, who after being duly sworn, acknowledged to me that He/She is the _____ of _____, a Limited Liability Company and that He/She signed the Owner's Dedication freely and voluntarily for and in behalf of said Limited Liability Company for the purposes therein mentioned and acknowledged to me that said Corporation executed the same.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____
_____, RESIDING IN _____ COUNTY.

NOTARY PUBLIC

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 205 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH


SHEET 1 OF 2		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		CITY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT		CITY PLANNING		BOARD OF HEALTH APPROVAL		APPROVAL AS TO FORM		MAYOR APPROVAL		RECORD OF SURVEY		SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER	
PROJECT NUMBER: 70586 MANAGER - BDM DRAWN BY: KFW CHECKED BY: PMH DATE: 12/1/25		APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER.		APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY PLANNING.		APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE BOARD OF HEALTH		APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY.		PRESENTED TO THE MIDVALE MAYOR THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____, AT WHICH TIME THE SUBDIVISION WAS APPROVED AND ACCEPTED.		ROS NO.: <u>S-2018-43-4230</u>		RECORDED # STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE REQUEST OF: _____ DATE: _____ TIME: _____ BOOK: _____ PAGE: _____ FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER	
LAYTON Phone: 801.547.1100 TOOELE Phone: 435.843.3590 CEDAR CITY Phone: 435.863.1403 RICHFIELD Phone: 435.899.2863		DIRECTOR		MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER		CHAIR, PLANNING COMMISSION		SALT LAKE COUNTY HEALTH DEPT.		MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY		ATTEST: MIDVALE CITY RECORDER MAYOR		SEAL			




SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSIGNENG.COM

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 205 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT




LEVEL 1




LEVEL 3

FLOOR ELEVATIONS		
FLOOR & UNITS	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION	CEILING ELEVATION
1ST FLOOR	4302.40	4311.48
2ND FLOOR	4312.69	4321.78
3RD FLOOR	4322.98	4332.07





LEVEL 2



SECTION 1

LEGEND

- LIMITED COMMON AREAS
- PRIVATE AREA
- COMMON AREAS
- VERTICAL BUILDING CUT



SECTION 2

SHEET 2 OF 2

PROJECT NUMBER : 7058E
MANAGER : BDM
DRAWN BY : KPW
CHECKED BY : PMH
DATE : 12/1/25



SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSGNENG.COM

LAYTON
Phone: 801.547.1100
TOOELE
Phone: 435.843.3690
CEDAR CITY
Phone: 435.865.1453
RICHFIELD
Phone: 435.896.2863

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2E CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 205 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDED #	STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE
REQUEST OF :	
DATE :	TIME :
BOOK :	PAGE :
FEE :	DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UTAH 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 717 W Coho Lane amending Lot 206 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone.

SUBMITTED BY

Jonathan Anderson, Planner II

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The proposed Preliminary Subdivision is an amendment to Lot 206 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Condominiums project by Holmes Homes for a 10-unit residential condominium building. This project previously received Site Plan Approval in August of 2022. Those documents were included in the October 22, 2025 Planning Commission packet for the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Preliminary Subdivision.

This proposal has been reviewed by all members of the Development Review Committee (Planning, Engineering, Public Works, Legal, Unified Fire Authority, and the Building Official) for compliance with the respective departmental review requirements. Staff finds the proposal complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, and the lot standards of the JB 1-3 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-10.12.10.

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. No written objections have been received as of the writing of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02 and 17-7-10.12.10 of the Midvale Municipal Code demonstrated in the application, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision with the following findings and condition:

Findings:

1. The application is a preliminary subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 717 W Coho Lane amending Lot 206 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision.

2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02 and the lot standards of 17-7-10.12.10.
3. The Development Review Committee has reviewed the project and forwarded the item on for the Planning Commission to render a decision.

Condition:

1. The recordation of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the Salt Lake County Recorder's Office.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision amending Lot 206 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the findings and condition noted in the staff report.


ATTACHMENTS

1. Preliminary Subdivision Plat

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2F CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 206 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT



SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I, **PATRICK M. HARRIS**, do hereby certify that I am a Professional Land Surveyor in the State of Utah and that I hold Certificate No. **286882** in accordance with Title 58, Chapter 22, of the Professional Engineers and Land Surveyor's Act of Utah. I further certify that by authority of the Owners, I completed a survey of the property described on this plat and have verified all measurements; that the reference monuments shown on this plat are located as indicated and are sufficient to accurately establish the lateral boundaries of the herein described tract of real property hereafter to be known as **JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2F CONDOMINIUM PLAT**, a Utah condominium project. In accordance with the Utah Condominium Ownership Act, I further certify that the condominium plat for said project is accurate and complies with the provision of Section 57-8-13 (1) of the Utah Condominium Ownership Act. Reference markers as shown on this plat are located as shown and are sufficient to readily retrace or re-establish this survey.

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

All of Lot 206 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision, recorded _____ as Entry No. _____ in Book _____ at Page _____ in the Office of the Salt Lake County Recorder, being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Westerly Right-of-Way Line of 700 West Street, said point being South 00°29'40" East 1,990.08 feet along the section line and West 39.38 feet from the East Quarter Corner of Section 35, Township 2 South, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; and running
thence South 00°03'37" West 309.62 feet along said Westerly Right-of-Way Line;
thence North 65°55'16" West 135.75 feet;
thence North 00°03'31" East 254.36 feet to the Southerly Right-of-Way Line of Coho Lane;
thence South 89°56'29" East 124.00 feet along said Southerly Right-of-Way Line to the point of beginning.

Contains 34,966 Square Feet or 0.803 Acres


















DATE

PATRICK M. HARRIS
P.L.S. 286882

CURVE TABLE


CURVE	RADIUS	LENGTH	DELTA	BEARING	CHORD
C1	28.00'	39.43'	80°40'30"	S49°43'16"W	36.25'
C2	28.00'	4.56'	9°19'30"	S4°43'16"W	4.55'
C3	28.00'	43.98'	90°00'00"	S45°03'31"W	39.60'

LEGEND


	SECTION CORNER
	EXISTING STREET MONUMENT
	SET 5/8\" REBAR WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP\" OR NAIL STAMPS: \"ENGLISH ENG. & LAND SURV.\" AT ALL LOT CORNERS; OFFSET PINS TO PLACED IN BACK OF CURBS
	SECTION LINE
	CENTER LINE
	PROPERTY LINE
	EASEMENT LINE
	ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
	BUILDING TIE
	DIMENSION
	LIMITED COMMON AREAS
	PRIVATE AREA
	COMMON AREAS
	FEMA FLOOD PLAIN ZONE AE
	PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENT

PLAT NOTES:

- The private drives on this plat are identified as such for emergency response and addressing purposes. They are not considered private roads under the Midvale City zoning ordinance.
- The subdivision is subject to the existence of institutional controls or other environmental regulations in areas where such controls or regulations, particularly Midvale's institutional controls ordinance.
- The plat will expire and be void if it is not recorded within one year of the date it is approved by the city.
- The subdivision is subject to covenants, conditions, or restrictions recorded concurrently.



HORIZONTAL GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
HORZ: 1 inch = 20 ft.

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER NOTES:

- PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 54-3-27 THIS PLAT CONVEYS TO THE OWNER(S) OR OPERATORS OF UTILITY FACILITIES A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT ALONG WITH ALL THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES DESCRIBED THEREIN.
- PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 17-27A-603(4)(C)(II) ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER ACCEPTS DELIVERY OF THE PUE AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PLAT AND APPROVES THIS PLAT SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFIRMING THAT THE PLAT CONTAINS PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS AND APPROXIMATES THE LOCATION OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS, BUT DOES NOT WARRANT THEIR PRECISE LOCATION. ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER MAY REQUIRE OTHER EASEMENTS IN ORDER TO SERVE THIS DEVELOPMENT. THIS APPROVAL DOES NOT AFFECT ANY RIGHT THAT ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER HAS UNDER:
 - A RECORDED EASEMENT OR RIGHT-OF-WAY
 - THE LAW APPLICABLE TO PRESCRIPTIVE RIGHTS
 - TITLE 54, CHAPTER 8A, DAMAGE TO UNDERGROUND UTILITY FACILITIES OR
 - ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW.

ENBRIDGE GAS UTAH - NOTE
Questar Gas Company, dba Enbridge Gas Utah ("Enbridge"), approves this plat solely to confirm that the plat contains public utility easements. This approval does not (a) affect any right Enbridge has under Title 54, Chapter 8a, a recorded easement or right-of-way, prescriptive rights, or any provision of law, (b) constitute acceptance of any terms contained in any portion of the plat, and (c) guarantee any terms or waive Enbridge's right to require additional easements for gas service.

SHEET 1 OF 2

PROJECT NUMBER: 7058E
MANAGER : BDM
DRAWN BY : KFW
CHECKED BY : PMH
DATE : 12/125



SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSIGNENG.COM

LAYTON
Phone: 801.547.1100
TORRELL
Phone: 435.843.3090
CEDAR CITY
Phone: 435.863.1453
RICHFIELD
Phone: 435.896.2983

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____
BY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR

CITY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____
BY THE MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER

MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER

CITY PLANNING

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____
BY THE MIDVALE CITY PLANNING

CHAIR, PLANNING COMMISSION

BOARD OF HEALTH APPROVAL

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____
BY THE BOARD OF HEALTH

SALT LAKE COUNTY HEALTH DEPT.

APPROVAL AS TO FORM

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____
BY THE MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY

MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY

MAYOR APPROVAL

PRESENTED TO THE MIDVALE MAYOR THIS _____ DAY OF _____
AT WHICH TIME THE SUBDIVISION WAS APPROVED AND ACCEPTED

ATTEST: MIDVALE CITY RECORDER MAYOR

RECORD OF SURVEY

ROS NO.: **S-2018-03-4239**

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER


RECORDED # _____
STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE

REQUEST OF : _____

DATE: _____ TIME: _____ BOOK: _____ PAGE: _____





FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER


AMENDING LOT 206 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT



FLOOR ELEVATIONS		
FLOOR & UNITS	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION	CEILING ELEVATION
1ST FLOOR	4300.40	4309.48
2ND FLOOR	4310.69	4319.78
3RD FLOOR	4320.98	4330.07

LEGEND

-  LIMITED COMMON AREAS
 PRIVATE AREA
 COMMON AREAS
 VERTICAL BUILDING CUT



SHEET 2 OF 2

PROJECT NUMBER : 7058E
MANAGER : BDM
DRAWN BY : KFW
CHECKED BY : PMH
DATE : 12/1/25

**JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78
PHASE 2F CONDOMINIUM PLAT**

AMENDING LOT 206 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDED # _____

STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE
REQUEST OF: _____

DATE: _____ TIME: _____ BOOK: _____ PAGE: _____

FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8498 S Magic Opal Lane amending Lot 212 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone.

SUBMITTED BY

Jonathan Anderson, Planner II

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The proposed Preliminary Subdivision is an amendment to Lot 212 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Condominiums project by Holmes Homes for a 10-unit residential condominium building. This project previously received Site Plan Approval in August of 2022. Those documents were included in the October 22, 2025 Planning Commission packet for the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Preliminary Subdivision.

This proposal has been reviewed by all members of the Development Review Committee (Planning, Engineering, Public Works, Legal, Unified Fire Authority, and the Building Official) for compliance with the respective departmental review requirements. Staff finds the proposal complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, and the lot standards of the JB 1-3 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-10.12.10.

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. No written objections have been received as of the writing of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02 and 17-7-10.12.10 of the Midvale Municipal Code demonstrated in the application, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision with the following findings and condition:

Findings:

1. The application is a preliminary subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 8498 S Magic Opal Lane amending Lot 212 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision.

2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02 and the lot standards of 17-7-10.12.10.
3. The Development Review Committee has reviewed the project and forwarded the item on for the Planning Commission to render a decision.

Condition:

1. The recordation of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the Salt Lake County Recorder's Office.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision amending Lot 212 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the findings and condition noted in the staff report.

ATTACHMENTS


1. Preliminary Subdivision Plat

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2K CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 212 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT

EAST QUARTER CORNER
SECTION 35,
T2S, R1W, SLBM
(FOUND COPPER RIVET)

SOUTHEAST CORNER
SECTION 35,
T2S, R1W, SLBM
BM ELEV: 4297.26
(FOUND 2.5" BRASS CAP)



SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I, PATRICK M. HARRIS, do hereby certify that I am a Professional Land Surveyor in the State of Utah and that I hold Certificate No. 286882 in accordance with Title 58, Chapter 22, of the Professional Engineers and Land Surveyor's Act; of Utah. I further certify that by authority of the Owners, I completed a survey of the property described on this plat and have verified all measurements; that the reference monuments shown on this plat are located as indicated and are sufficient to accurately establish the lateral boundaries of the herein described tract of real property hereafter to be known as JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2K CONDOMINIUM PLAT, a Utah condominium project. In accordance with the Utah Condominium Ownership Act, I further certify that the condominium plat for said project is accurate and complies with the provision of Section 57-8-13 (1) of the Utah Condominium Ownership Act. Reference markers as shown on this plat are located as shown and are sufficient to readily retrace or re-establish this survey.

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

All of Lot 212 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision, recorded _____ as Entry No. _____ in Book _____ at Page _____ in the Office of the Salt Lake County Recorder, being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Westerly Right-of-Way Line of Magic Opal Lane, said point being South 00°29'40" East 1,816.03 feet along the section line and West 337.92 feet from the East Quarter Corner of Section 35, Township 2 South, Range 1 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian; and running

thence along said Westerly Right-of-Way Line the following two (2) courses:

- (1) South 00°33'31" West 119.88 feet;
- (2) Southwesterly 43.98 feet along the arc of a 28.00 foot radius curve to the right (center bears North 89°56'29" West and the chord bears South 45°03'31" West 39.60 feet with a central angle of 90°00'00") to the Northerly Right-of-Way Line of Coho Lane; thence along said Northerly Right-of-Way Line the following two (2) courses:
 - (1) North 89°56'29" West 61.51 feet;
 - (2) South 00°03'31" West 26.00 feet;
- thence North 89°56'29" West 33.48 feet to the Easterly Boundary Line of Jordan Bluffs Lot 2 2nd Amended Subdivision, recorded September 9, 2019 as Entry No. 13069959 in Book 2019P at Page 254 of Official Records;
- thence along said Easterly Boundary Line the following three (3) courses:
 - (1) North 09°13'26" West 7.13 feet;
 - (2) North 25°11'17" West 82.70 feet;
 - (3) North 01°13'47" West 92.69 feet;
- thence South 89°56'29" East 162.80 feet to the point of beginning.

Contains 23,928 Square Feet or 0.549 Acres.



DATE

PATRICK M. HARRIS
P.L.S. 286882

OWNER'S CERTIFICATION AND CONSENT TO RECORD

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS THAT THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S) OF SAID TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT WE CONSENT TO THE RECORDED OF THIS CONDOMINIUM PLAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH UTAH CODE ANNOTATED 57-8-13, SUBDIVIDING THE LAND INTO CONDOMINIUM OWNERSHIP, AND CREATING UNITS, COMMON AREAS, LIMITED COMMON AREAS AND EASEMENTS ALL AS SET FORTH HEREIN TO HEREAFTER BE KNOWN AS

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2K CONDOMINIUM PLAT

UTILITY DEDICATION

BY EXECUTION OF THIS PLAT, THE OWNER(S) SHOWN BELOW DOES HEREBY GRANT AND CONVEY TO THE CITY AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANIES, A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY IN AND TO THOSE AREAS REFLECTED ON THE MAP AND DEFINED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT AS "COMMON AREA" (INCLUDING PRIVATE STREETS AND PRIVATE DRIVEWAYS) FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF APPROVED PUBLIC UTILITIES AND APPURTENANCES TOGETHER WITH RIGHT OF ACCESS THERETO.

RESERVATION OF COMMON AREAS

BY EXECUTION OF THIS PLAT, THE OWNER(S) SHOWN BELOW DOES HEREBY CONVEY ALL AREAS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT OR DESCRIBED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM AS "COMMON AREA" FOR THE COMMON ENJOYMENT OF ALL OWNERS AND SUCH OWNERS GUESTS AND INVITEES AS SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN THE DECLARATION OF CONDOMINIUM APPLICABLE TO THE PROJECT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE OWNER(S) HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR HANDS THIS _____ DAY OF _____ A.D. 20____

_____, LLC

By:
Its:

LIABILITY COMPANY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF UTAH
County of Salt Lake

J.S.S.

On the _____ day of _____, A.D. 20____, personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary Public, in and for said County of Utah, who after being duly sworn, acknowledged to me that He/She is the _____ of _____ a Limited Liability Company and that He/She signed the Owner's Declaration freely and voluntarily for and in behalf of said Limited Liability Company for the purposes therein mentioned and acknowledged to me that said Corporation executed the same.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

RESIDING IN _____ COUNTY.

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2K CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 212 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH


CURVE TABLE					
CURVE	RADIUS	LENGTH	DELTA	BEARING	CHORD
C1	28.00'	14.70'	30°05'13"	N15°06'07"E	14.53'
C2	28.00'	29.28'	59°54'47"	N60°06'07"E	27.96'
C3	28.00'	14.66'	29°59'57"	N14°56'28"W	14.49'
C4	28.00'	43.98'	90°00'00"	N44°56'29"W	39.60'
C5	28.00'	29.32'	60°00'03"	N59°56'28"W	28.00'

LEGEND


	SECTION CORNER
	EXISTING STREET MONUMENT
	SET 5/8" REBAR WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP OR NAIL STAMPED "ENSGN ENG. & LAND SURV." AT ALL LOT CORNERS; OFFSET PINS TO PLACED IN BACK OF CURBS
	SECTION LINE
	CENTER LINE
	PROPERTY LINE
	EASEMENT LINE
	ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
	BUILDING TIE
	DIMENSION
	LIMITED COMMON AREAS
	PRIVATE AREA
	COMMON AREAS
	FEMA FLOOD PLAIN ZONE AE

PLAT NOTES:

- The private drive on the plat are identified as such for emergency response and addressing purposes. They are not considered private roads under the Midvale City zoning ordinance.
- The subdivision is subject to the existence of institutional controls or other environmental regulations in areas where such controls or regulations, particularly Midvale's institutional controls ordinance.
- The plat will expire and be void if it is not recorded within one year of the date it is approved by the city.
- The subdivision is subject to covenants, conditions, or restrictions recorded concurrently.



HORIZONTAL GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)

HORZ: 1 inch = 20 ft.

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER NOTES:

- PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 54-3-27 THIS PLAT CONVEYS TO THE OWNER(S) OR OPERATORS OF UTILITY FACILITIES A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT ALONG WITH ALL THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES DESCRIBED THEREIN.
- PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE ANN. § 17-27A-603(4)(C)(II) ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER ACCEPTS DELIVERY OF THE PUE AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PLAT AND APPROVES THIS PLAT SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONFIRMING THAT THE PLAT CONTAINS PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS AND APPROXIMATES THE LOCATION OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS, BUT DOES NOT WARRANT THEIR PRECISE LOCATION. ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER MAY REQUIRE OTHER EASEMENTS IN ORDER TO SERVE THIS DEVELOPMENT. THIS APPROVAL DOES NOT AFFECT ANY RIGHT THAT ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER HAS UNDER:
 - A RECORDED EASEMENT OR RIGHT-OF-WAY
 - THE LAW APPLICABLE TO PRESCRIPTIVE RIGHTS
 - TITLE 54, CHAPTER 8A, DAMAGE TO UNDERGROUND UTILITY FACILITIES OR
 - ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW.

ENBRIDGE GAS UTAH - NOTE
Questar Gas Company, dba Enbridge Gas Utah ("Enbridge"), approves this plat solely to confirm that the plat contains public utility easements. This approval does not (a) affect any right Enbridge has under Title 54, Chapter 8a, a recorded easement or right-of-way, prescriptive rights, or any provision of law, (b) constitute acceptance of any terms contained in any portion of the plat, and (c) guarantee any terms or waive Enbridge's right to require additional easements for gas service.

SHEET 1 OF 2

PROJECT NUMBER: 7058E
MANAGER: BDM
DRAWN BY: KFW
CHECKED BY: PMH
DATE: 12/1/25



SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSGNENGVM.COM

LAYTON
Phone: 801.547.1100
TOOELE
Phone: 435.843.3590
CEAR CITY
Phone: 435.863.1403
RICHLAND
Phone: 435.899.2983

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR

CITY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER.

MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER

CITY PLANNING

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY PLANNING.

CHAIR, PLANNING COMMISSION

BOARD OF HEALTH APPROVAL

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE BOARD OF HEALTH

SALT LAKE COUNTY HEALTH DEPT.

APPROVAL AS TO FORM

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____ BY THE MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY.

MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY

MAYOR APPROVAL

PRESENTED TO THE MIDVALE MAYOR THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____, AT WHICH TIME THE SUBDIVISION WAS APPROVED AND ACCEPTED.

ATTEST: MIDVALE CITY RECORDER

MAYOR

RECORD OF SURVEY

ROS NO.: S-2018-43-0230

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDED #

STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE

REQUEST OF:

DATE: _____

TIME: _____


BOOK: _____

PAGE: _____




FEES





DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER



AMENDING LOT 212 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT



FLOOR ELEVATIONS		
FLOOR & UNITS	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION	CEILING ELEVATION
1ST FLOOR	4302.20	4311.28
2ND FLOOR	4312.49	4321.58
3RD FLOOR	4322.78	4331.87



 LIMITED COMMON AREAS
 PRIVATE AREA
 COMMON AREAS
 VERTICAL BUILDING CUT



PROJECT NUMBER : 7058E
MANAGER : BDM
DRAWN BY : SJL
CHECKED BY : PMH
DATE : 12/1/25



SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSIGNENG.COM

AMENDING LOT 212 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDED # _____

STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE
REQUEST OF: _____

DATE: _____ TIME: _____ BOOK: _____ PAGE: _____

FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Daniel Herzog requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 748 W Pink Frost Lane amending Lot 216 of Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision in the Jordan Bluffs Subareas 1-3 Development (JB 1- 3, Pod C) zone.

SUBMITTED BY

Jonathan Anderson, Planner II

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The proposed Preliminary Subdivision is an amendment to Lot 216 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Condominiums project by Holmes Homes for a 10-unit residential condominium building. This project previously received Site Plan Approval in August of 2022. Those documents were included in the October 22, 2025 Planning Commission packet for the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Preliminary Subdivision.

This proposal has been reviewed by all members of the Development Review Committee (Planning, Engineering, Public Works, Legal, Unified Fire Authority, and the Building Official) for compliance with the respective departmental review requirements. Staff finds the proposal complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, and the lot standards of the JB 1-3 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-10.12.10.

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. No written objections have been received as of the writing of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02 and 17-7-10.12.10 of the Midvale Municipal Code demonstrated in the application, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision with the following findings and condition:

Findings:

1. The application is a preliminary subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision located at 748 W Pink Frost Lane amending Lot 216 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision.

2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02 and the lot standards of 17-7-10.12.10.
3. The Development Review Committee has reviewed the project and forwarded the item on for the Planning Commission to render a decision.

Condition:

1. The recordation of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the Salt Lake County Recorder's Office.


RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision for a 10-unit residential condominium subdivision amending Lot 216 of the Jordan Heights at View 78 Phase 2 Subdivision with the findings and condition noted in the staff report.

ATTACHMENTS


1. Preliminary Subdivision Plat


AMENDING LOT 216 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT














ENBRIDGE GAS UTAH - NOTE
Questa Gas Company, dba Enbridge Gas Utah ("Enbridge"), approves this plat solely to confirm that the plat contains public utility easements. This approval does not (a) affect any right Enbridge has under Title 54, Chapter 8a, a recorded easement or right-of-way, prescriptive rights, or any provision of law; (b) constitute acceptance of any terms contained in any portion of the plat; and (c) guarantee any terms or waive Enbridge's right to require additional easements for gas service.

DEVELOPER
HOLMES JORDAN BLUFFS, LLC
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UT 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966

SHEET 1 OF 2 PROJECT NUMBER : 7056E MANAGER : BDM DRAWN BY : KFW CHECKED BY : PMH DATE : 12/25		 SANDY 45 W 10000 S, Suite 500 Sandy, UT 84070 Phone : 801.255.0529 WWW.ENSIGNENG.COM		LATYON Phone: 801.547.1100 TOOLE Phone: 801.543.3590 CEDAR CITY Phone: 435.898.1433 RICHOFLD Phone: 435.898.2863		COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, BY THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR		CITY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, BY THE MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER MIDVALE CITY ENGINEER		CITY PLANNING APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, BY THE MIDVALE CITY PLANNING CHAIR, PLANNING COMMISSION		BOARD OF HEALTH APPROVAL APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, BY THE BOARD OF HEALTH SALT LAKE COUNTY HEALTH DEPT.		APPROVAL AS TO FORM APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, BY THE MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY MIDVALE CITY ATTORNEY		MAYOR APPROVAL PRESENTED TO THE MIDVALE MAYOR THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____, AT WHICH TIME THE SUBDIVISION WAS APPROVED AND ACCEPTED. ATTEST: MIDVALE CITY RECORDER _____ MAYOR _____ SEAL _____		RECORD OF SURVEY RECORDED # _____ STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE REQUEST OF : _____ ROS NO.: _____ S-2018-03-0230 DATE: _____ TIME: _____ BOOK: _____ PAGE: _____ FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER _____		SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER	
--	--	---	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	---	--	---	--	----------------------------------	--




CURVE TABLE					
CURVE	RADIUS	LENGTH	DELTA	BEARING	CHORD
C1	28.00'	40.52'	82°54'22"	N45°54'35"E	37.07'
C2	28.00'	7.12'	14°34'44"	S85°20'52"E	7.11'

LEGEND	
	SECTION CORNER EXISTING STREET MONUMENT
	SET 5/8" REBAR WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP OR NAIL STAMPED "ENGIN ENG. & LAND SURV." AT ALL LOT CORNERS, OFFSET SET PINS TO PLACED IN BACK OF CURBS
	SECTION LINE CENTER LINE
	PROPERTY LINE
	EASEMENT LINE
	ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
	BUILDING TIE
	DIMENSION
	LIMITED COMMON AREAS
	PRIVATE AREA
	COMMON AREAS
	FEMA FLOOD PLAIN ZONE AE


PLAT NOTES:

1. The private drives on this plat are identified as such for emergency response and addressing purposes. They are not considered private roads under the Midvale City zoning ordinance.
2. The subdivision is subject to the existence of institutional controls or other environmental regulations in areas where such controls or regulations, particularly Midvale's institutional controls ordinance.
3. The plat will expire and be void if it is not recorded within one year of the date it is approved by the city.
4. The subdivision is subject to covenants, conditions, or restrictions recorded concurrently.




JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2P CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 216 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
FINAL PLAT




LEVEL 1




LEVEL 3


FLOOR ELEVATIONS		
FLOOR & UNITS	FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION	CEILING ELEVATION
1ST FLOOR	4305.20	4314.28
2ND FLOOR	4315.49	4324.58
3RD FLOOR	4325.78	4334.87



LEVEL 2




SECTION 1



SECTION 2

LEGEND

- LIMITED COMMON AREAS
- PRIVATE AREA
- COMMON AREAS
- VERTICAL BUILDING CUT



SHEET 2 OF 2

PROJECT NUMBER : 7058E
MANAGER : BDM
DRAWN BY : SJL
CHECKED BY : PMH
DATE : 12/1/25



SANDY
45 W 10000 S, Suite 500
Sandy, UT 84070
Phone: 801.255.0529
WWW.ENSGNENG.COM

LAYTON
Phone: 801.547.1100
TOOELE
Phone: 435.843.3690
CEDAR CITY
Phone: 435.865.1453
RICHFIELD
Phone: 435.896.2863

JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78
PHASE 2P CONDOMINIUM PLAT

AMENDING LOT 216 OF JORDAN HEIGHTS AT VIEW 78 PHASE 2 SUBDIVISION
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 35
TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN
MIDVALE CITY, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDED # _____
STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, RECORDED AND FILED AT THE
REQUEST OF : _____
DATE : _____ TIME : _____ BOOK : _____ PAGE : _____
FEES _____ DEPUTY SALT LAKE COUNTY RECORDER

DEVELOPER
HOLMES HOMES
126 SEGO LILY DRIVE
SANDY, UTAH 84070
PHONE: 801.558.9966



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-11.11 (MS-FBC Zone Administration) to allow for rebuilding of nonconforming structures.

SUBMITTED BY

Wendelin Knobloch, Planning Director

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

This code text amendment modifies language within the nonconforming structures section of the Form-Based Code.

Rebuilding of a structure is now possible and instead of the qualifier “by law” the requirement of a licensed engineer or architect adds specificity. The concept of rebuilding is limited further by the stipulation that the degree of nonconformity needs to be decreased.

Public notice has been sent to affected entities as required in 17-3-9.B of the Municipal Code. No comments were received prior to the completion of this report.

ZONING CODE AMENDMENT CRITERIA

Midvale City Code 17-3-1(F) outlines the criteria necessary for amendments to the zoning code. A proposal may only be approved if it demonstrates one or more of the following:

- ☒ 1. The proposed amendment promotes the objectives of the general plan and purposes of this title;
- ☒ 2. The proposed amendment promotes the purposes outlined in Utah State Code 10-9a-102;
- ☒ 3. The proposed amendment more clearly explains the intent of the original language or has been amended to make interpretation more straightforward; or
- 4. Existing zoning code was the result of a clerical error or a mistake of fact.

Staff finds that this proposal meets the first, second, and third criteria listed above because the change promotes the following: (Criterion 1) the general plan objective of preserving and building on the character of Historic Main Street; (Criterion 2) the Municipal Land Use, Development, and Management Act (LUDMA) objectives of promoting prosperity and protecting the tax base (Criterion 2); and (3) the clear interpretation of code language (Criterion 3).

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff advises the Planning Commission recommend approval of the code text amendment with the following finding:

1. The amendment complies with Midvale City Code 17-3-1(F).

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we recommend approval of the Midvale City initiated request to modify Midvale Municipal Code Section 17-7-11.11 (MS-FBC Zone Administration) to allow for rebuilding of nonconforming structures with the finding noted in the staff report.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2026-O-??

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 17-7-17.11.11 OF THE MIDVALE CITY MUNICIPAL CODE ENTITLED MAIN STREET FORM BASED CODE ADMINISTRATION TO ALLOW FOR REBUILDING OF NONCONFORMING STRUCTURES

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code Annotated Sections 10-8-84 and 10-20-501 through 10-20-503, Midvale City (“the City”) has authority to make and amend any regulation of or within zoning districts or any other provision of the land use ordinance to promote the prosperity, improve the morals, peace and good order, comfort, convenience, and aesthetics of the municipality; and

WHEREAS, on January 2, 2002, the Midvale City Zoning Ordinance, Title 17 of the Midvale City Municipal Code (the “Code”), became effective and is subject to amendments from time to time pursuant to Section 17-3-1 of the Code; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 16-01-010 of the Code, the City desires to protect and provide for the public health, safety, and general welfare of the city; protect the character and social and economic stability of all parts of the city; protect and preserve the value of land throughout the municipality; guide public and private policy and action; establish responsible standards; prevent pollution and degradation of air, streams, and ponds; preserve the natural beauty and topography; and provide for open spaces through the most efficient design and layout of the land;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 17-1-1 of the Code, the City desires to promote coordinated development, redevelopment, effective use of land, and site planning; protect and promote public safety, health, and general welfare by providing adequate light and air, water and sewage control, police, fire and wetlands protection; and secure economy in governmental expenditures; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to amend Section 17-7-11.11 of the Code entitled Main Street Form Based Code Administration to clarify provisions related to the rebuilding of nonconforming structures; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on December 10, 2025, to review the requested amendments and, after considering all the information received, made a recommendation to approve the amendment request to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of Midvale City, Utah held a public hearing on ??, 2026; and

WHEREAS, after taking into consideration citizen testimony, planning analysis, and the Planning Commission’s recommendation as part of its deliberations, the City Council finds it is appropriate and within the best interest of the City to make changes to the Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of Midvale City, Utah as follows:

Section 1. The following section of the Midvale City Municipal Code is hereby amended as included in the attachment to this document:

- Attachment A: Amending Section 17-7-11.11.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect upon the date of first publication.

PASSED AND APPROVED this ?nd day of ??, 2026.

Dustin Gettel, Mayor

ATTEST:

Rori Andreason, MMC
City Recorder

Voting by City Council
Bonnie Billings
Paul Glover
Heidi Robinson
Bryant Brown
Denece Mikolash

“Aye”

“Nay”

Date of first publication: _____

Attachment A

(Page left blank intentionally)

17-7-11.11 Administration.**A. General Requirements.**

1. *Intent.* This chapter intends to promote public health, safety, and general welfare of the community, reflecting the goals established within the general plan of Midvale City and the Main Street small area plan. This chapter intends to increase conformity to the greatest extent possible.

B. Scope of Regulations.

1. *New Development.* All development, construction, and establishment of uses within the limits of this chapter occurring after the effective date of this chapter shall be subject to all applicable regulations of this chapter.

2. *Renovated Structures.* All building renovations affecting a change of use greater than twenty-five percent gross square footage of a structure within the limits of this chapter shall be subject to all applicable regulations of this chapter.

3. *In-Process Development.* Where a building permit for a development has been applied for in accordance with the prior law in advance of the effective date of this chapter, said development may comply with the plans from which the permit was approved and, upon completion, receive a certificate of occupancy (provided all conditions are met) provided the following requirements are satisfied:

- a. Work or construction is begun within one year of the effective date of this chapter; and
- b. Work or construction continues diligently toward completion.

4. *Nonconformance.* After the effective date of this chapter, existing buildings and uses that do not comply with the regulations of this chapter shall be considered nonconforming and are subject to the standards of this chapter.

a. General Provisions.**i. Permissions.**

(A) Any nonconforming lot, use, structure, or sign that was lawfully established before the FBC was implemented may continue as long as the nonconformity remains legal and complies with all other FBC nonconformity requirements.

ii. *Administration.*

(A) Any rights conferred on a nonconformity remain with the property and are not affected by changes in tenancy or ownership.

(B) The burden of establishing the existence of a conformity prior to the FBC implementation is on the applicant.

(C) Determinations about the existence of a legal nonconformity shall be made by the community development director.

iii. *Limitations.*

(A) Any lot, use, structure, or sign that was illegal prior to implementation of the form-based code remains illegal if it does not conform to the form-based code.

(B) Nonconformity regulations apply to all projects that were submitted for approval before implementation of the form-based code. All projects submitted after implementation of the form-based code shall meet the requirements of the form-based code.

(C) If any portion of the form-based code is judged invalid by a jurisdictional court, that judgement shall not affect the remaining portions of the form-based code.

iv. *Uses.*

(A) *Permissions.*

(1) An existing nonconforming use may be extended to the entire floor area of the building it is located in.

(2) Structures containing nonconforming uses may be altered according to the requirements of the form-based code.

(3) If a structure containing a nonconforming use is damaged or destroyed, the nonconforming use may be reestablished at the same scale as it operated prior to the destructive event.

(B) *Limitations.*

(1) Nonconforming uses may be expanded to additional parcels provided, however, the building into which the use expands otherwise fully complies with the form-based code.

(2) An existing nonconforming use may not be changed to a different nonconforming use.

(3) If a nonconforming use is abandoned, any future use shall be a conforming use. When a nonconforming use transitions to a conforming use, it shall not be changed back to any nonconforming use.

v. *Structures.*

(A) *Permissions.*

(1) Nonconforming structures may be altered if the alteration is required by a licensed engineer or architect-law to alter, restore, or rebuild the structure to a safe condition. The alteration, restoration or rebuilding of a structure may occur if the change:

- (a) Is routine repair or maintenance; or
- (b) Eliminates a nonconformity; and
- (c) Does not expand an existing nonconformity; and
- (d) Does not create a new nonconformity.

~~(2) Nonconforming structures may be altered if the alteration:~~

- ~~(a) Is routine repair or maintenance;~~
- ~~(b) Eliminates a nonconformity;~~
- ~~(c) Does not expand an existing nonconformity; or~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.75"

~~(d) Does not create a new nonconformity.~~

~~(23)~~ Nonconforming structures and parking lots may be expanded if the expansion is conforming or increases the overall conformity of the property.

~~(34)~~ In the event of damage or destruction to a nonconforming structure which is damaged or destroyed by fire, earthquake, or other calamity beyond the control of the owner, the building may be reconstructed or repaired to the conditions which existed prior to the casualty, provided the structure or structures existed as legally established structures. All such construction or repairs shall be started within twelve months from the date of damage and shall be diligently pursued to completion.

~~(45)~~ Existing nonconforming structure facades may be altered if the modifications do not increase the amount of nonconformity.

(B) *Limitations.*

(1) If a nonconforming structure is damaged or destroyed, all permits for rebuilding, restoration, or repair must be granted within one year of the damage or destruction. If the applicant requests an extension, the community development director may grant no more than one extension, not to exceed one year in length.

(2) If a nonconforming structure is relocated, the structure shall fully comply with the current zoning regulations of the parcel to which it is moved.

(3) If all required permits for the rebuilding, restoration, or repair of a damaged or destroyed nonconforming structure are not granted within one year of the damage or destruction, and no extension period is granted, the structure may be rebuilt, restored, or repaired only if it conforms to the requirements of the form-based code.

5. *Exempt Activities.* The activities within this section are exempt from the requirements of this chapter. Building permits may still be required under the building code for actions taken in accordance with this section.

- a. Ordinary repairs for the purpose of regular building, signage, lighting or site maintenance.
- b. Replacement of existing windows, doors, or fixtures that do not change the transparency percentage of the building.
- c. Interior construction that does not result in change of use and is not visible from the exterior of the building.
- d. Emergency repairs ordered by any city official in order to protect health and safety.

6. *Appeals.*

- a. All city decisions which interpret or administer this chapter may be appealed to the planning commission within ten days of final action by filing notice of appeal with the community and economic development department.
- b. Following final action by the planning commission, any person with standing to challenge the decision may, within ten days of the final action, appeal the decision to the appeal authority following the process defined in Section [17-3-14](#).

7. Any state or federal regulations that apply within the form-based code area, and are in conflict with form-based code regulations, shall supersede the form-based code regulations.

C. *Minor Modifications to a Site Plan.* The community development director may approve minor modifications to an approved site plan. Modifications may be evaluated through a letter of application and the provision of the reasoning behind the request. Such requests may be made for:

1. Minor modifications to proposed landscaping plans, pursuant to the modification standards established.
2. Minor modifications to buildings, including setbacks and materials, pursuant to the modification standards.
 - a. Building materials that reflect the intent of the original material.
 - b. The city may modify the requirements of this chapter where full compliance is impossible due to the existing site or building conditions.

3. Minor modifications to parking requirements, pursuant to the development of an alternative parking plan. (Ord. 2020-04 § 1 (Att. A))

The Midvale Municipal Code is current through Ordinance 2025-15, passed September 2, 2025.

Disclaimer: The city clerk's office has the official version of the Midvale Municipal Code. Users should contact the city clerk's office for ordinances passed subsequent to the ordinance cited above.

[City Website: www.midvalecity.org](http://www.midvalecity.org)

[Hosted by General Code.](#)



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Midvale City requests Preliminary Subdivision approval for a 2-lot subdivision located at 362 W Center St in the Single Family Residential (SF-2) zone.

SUBMITTED BY

Adam Olsen, Community Development Director

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

The proposed subdivision consists of two lots. Lot 1, with a shared parking area, will remain in City Park uses. Lot 2 will be obtained by Salt Lake County for a new Midvale Library. In addition, Chapel Street is formally dedicated with a width of 50'. The subdivision complies with the preliminary subdivision requirements in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02, and the lot standards of the SF-2 zone in Midvale Municipal Code 17-7-2.3.

Public notice has been sent to property owners within 500 feet of the subject parcel. No written objections have been received as of the writing of this report.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on compliance with the requirements of Chapter 16.02 and 17-7-2.3 of the Midvale Municipal Code, Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision the following findings:

Findings:

1. The application is for a preliminary subdivision for a 2-lot subdivision located at 362 W Center St.
2. The project complies with the preliminary subdivision procedure outlined in Midvale Municipal Code 16.02 and the lot standards of 17-7-2.3.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we approve the Preliminary Subdivision located at 362 W Center St. with the findings included in the staff report.

ATTACHMENT

1. Preliminary Plat



7505 S Holden Street
Midvale, UT 84047
801-567-7200
Midvale.Utah.gov

MIDVALE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 12/10/2025

SUBJECT

Recommendation on the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan.

SUBMITTED BY

Adam Olsen, Community Development Director

BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

In 2022 the Utah State Legislature passed HB 462 which, among other things, requires municipalities with fixed rail guideways (commuter and light rail) to prepare and adopt station area plans (SAP) covering a half-mile radius of each fixed rail station. SAP's may be unique to their jurisdiction and setting; however, key aspects are to include strategies to increase the availability of affordable housing, promotion of sustainable environmental conditions, enhancements of access to employment opportunities and increase of transportation choices and connections.

Midvale has three stations within its boundaries: Midvale Ft. Union, Midvale Center and Bingham Junction. Station Area Plans have been adopted for all three stations listed above. A portion of the half-mile radius for the Fashion Place West Station, located in Murray, lies in the northernmost portion of Midvale, largely along Cottonwood Street directly adjacent to Murray. Midvale partnered with Murray to complete this station area plan; a grant through the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) funding the plan. While the station area plan largely focuses on Murray, the area of Midvale directly adjacent to Murray was of particular interest for both Cities; partnership and joint vision for the area being key. The plan notes this and proposes "jobs and housing mixed use" as future development in both Cities with HTRZ (Housing and Transit Reinvestment Zone) proposed as a possible tool for implementation. Midvale is currently exploring creation of an HTRZ for the area between 7200 S and Murray.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning Commission to forward a recommendation of approval to the City Council.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that we recommend approval of the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan.

ATTACHMENT

1. Fashion Place West Station Area Plan.



FASHION PLACE WEST STATION AREA PLAN

MURRAY CITY & MIDVALE CITY, UTAH

2025

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS CONTRIBUTED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FASHION PLACE WEST STATION AREA PLAN.

MURRAY CITY

MAYOR BRETT A. HALES

CITY COUNCIL

PAUL PICKETT
PAM COTTER
SCOTT GOODMAN
DIANE TURNER
ADAM HOCK

PLANNING COMMISSION

MICHAEL RICHARDS
PETE HRISTOU
JAKE PEHRSON
AARON HILDRETH
PETER KLINGE
KATIE ROGERS
NED HACKER

STAFF

ZACHARY SMALLWOOD
CHAD WILKERSON
ELVON FARRELL
DAVID RODGERS

MIDVALE CITY

ADAM OLSON, COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL
COUNCIL

BYRON HEAD

UTAH TRANSIT AUTHORITY

VALARIE WILLIAMS

CONSULTANT TEAM

MARK MORRIS, VODA
BRYCE BUSHMAN, VODA
JEFF ALLS, VODA
LUCAS HORNS, VODA

ADOPTED BY MURRAY CITY

16 FEBRUARY 2021

ADOPTED BY MIDVALE CITY

16 FEBRUARY 2021

ADOPTED BY UTAH TRANSIT
AUTHORITY

16 FEBRUARY 2021

ADOPTED BY WASATCH FRONT
REGIONAL COUNCIL

16 FEBRUARY 2021

CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS
3	VISION & CONCEPT PLANS
4	HOUSING
5	CONNECTIVITY
6	DESIGN GUIDELINES
7	IMPLEMENTATION
8	APPENDIX



INTRODUCTION

The Fashion Place West Station Area Plan provides a forward-looking framework for the coordinated growth and evolution of the area surrounding the Fashion Place West TRAX station. First identified in the Murray City General Plan as a catalyst location for transit-oriented development, this station area continues to represent one of Murray’s most strategic opportunities to accommodate growth in a form that is walkable, mixed-use, connected, and economically resilient. In recent years, the Salt Lake Valley has experienced substantial population growth, sustained demand for housing near transit, and significant shifts in retail patterns—including continued repositioning of large retail centers. In 2025, these conditions reinforce the importance of proactively guiding the future of this district to ensure that change delivers lasting community benefit.

Murray remains centrally positioned within the Salt Lake Valley and functions as a regional hub for healthcare, employment, commercial services, and transit access. As of 2025, the city is home to just over 51,000 residents, reflecting steady growth over the past decade. Its nearly twelve square miles contain a balanced mix of established neighborhoods, employment centers, and civic institutions.

The Fashion Place West study area—located along the city’s southwestern boundary—encompasses approximately 245 acres of land between the I-215 interchange and State Street. The area includes a wide range of uses and building forms: aging light industrial buildings and service-oriented commercial uses; Fashion Place Mall, which continues to operate as a major regional retail destination while exploring redevelopment and site reinvestment strategies; established multifamily residential communities; and a stable single-family neighborhood that is influenced by its proximity to the interstate system and regional road network.

These land use conditions present both opportunities and challenges. Much of the industrial building stock dates from the mid-20th century and may no longer meet contemporary operational or market needs. Large surface parking lots, wide roadways, and block patterns oriented toward automobile circulation create barriers for pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and neighborhood-scale activity. At the same time, the Fashion Place West TRAX station offers direct regional rail access to Downtown Salt Lake City, the University of Utah, West Valley City, Draper, and other key destinations. This transit access, combined with the changing market conditions around retail and employment space, makes the area particularly well-positioned to transition into a more complete and connected district over time.




Figure 1.0 Map of Murray City and surrounding municipalities.

The goals of this Station Area Plan respond directly to community priorities and statewide policy objectives that emphasize affordability, sustainability, economic connection, and mobility choice. The Plan is driven by four foundational goals:

- 1. Increase the availability and affordability of housing, including moderate income housing.
- 2. Promote sustainable environmental conditions.
- 3. Enhance access to opportunities.
- 4. Increase transportation choices and connections.

Increase the availability and affordability of housing.

Since 2020, the Wasatch Front housing market has been shaped by rising construction costs, population growth, and significant demand for rental and ownership units near transit and employment centers. While Murray maintains a relatively balanced housing stock compared to some neighboring jurisdictions, the need for moderate-income housing—including housing for workers, young families, older adults, and essential employees—remains a critical pressure. The station area includes parcels with

capacity to support additional housing, particularly through mixed-use infill and the redevelopment of underutilized industrial or commercial sites. Creating new housing choices near transit can help reduce combined housing and transportation cost burdens, expand access to regional job centers, and support a more diverse and stable community.

Promote sustainable environmental conditions.

The Salt Lake Valley continues to confront air quality challenges and heightened heat island effects, both of which are exacerbated by auto-oriented land use patterns and large expanses of impervious surface. Compact, mixed-use development patterns also reduce energy use and support regional climate goals. Environmental sustainability in this context is not only ecological—it directly enhances public health, neighborhood comfort, and long-term livability.

Enhance access to opportunities.

The Fashion Place Mall and surrounding commercial corridors continue to offer employment and economic activity, and, in 2025, the national retail landscape is shifting toward mixed-use repositioning, smaller format storefronts, experience-based commercial, and integration of housing and office uses. The Station Area Plan provides a framework for how reinvestment can enhance economic vitality while expanding access to services, daily needs, and jobs. By introducing new public spaces, neighborhood-serving commercial areas, and safe multimodal connections, the plan supports a district where residents, workers, and visitors can easily move between housing, employment, shopping, recreation, and transit.

Increase transportation choices and connections.

Although the TRAX station is a regional asset, the surrounding multimodal network requires improvement to fully support transit-oriented development. As of 2025, pedestrian crossings, bicycle infrastructure, sidewalk continuity, and local street connectivity remain inconsistent. Interstate access ramps and high-speed arterial streets create barriers between the station, the mall area, and nearby neighborhoods. This Plan identifies strategies to improve first- and last-mile transit access, enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety, increase connectivity across I-215, and create streets designed for everyday, local-scale movement—not just vehicle throughput. Expanding mobility choices helps reduce congestion, improve public health, and support households that prefer or rely on alternatives to driving.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary provides a brief overview of the Station Area Plan goals, existing conditions, housing recommendations, connectivity suggestions, as well as possible implementation measures.

1.1 STATION AREA PLAN GOALS

The following goals for the study area were established through the Station Area Planning process:

- Strengthen relationship between TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall.
- Improve connectivity for the neighborhood.
- Improve overall neighborhood quality.
- Promote transit use and active transportation.

1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The first step in the process is to understand the existing conditions as well as challenges that should be addressed within the Fashion Place West neighborhood.

1.2.1 ASSETS

The Fashion Place West study area is centrally located in Murray, in close proximity to many valuable community assets, such as the Fashion Place West TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall.

1.2.2 CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Challenges in the study area could limit achieving the goals of the plan if they are not acknowledged and addressed as part of the planning process. Challenges include bridges and major interstates bisecting the neighborhood and poor connectivity for vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists.

Opportunities in the study area include:

- Future land use amendments to current irregular development patterns.
- Developing Jefferson Detention Basin as an activated park space.
- Using potential future expansion projects at Fashion Place Mall as an opportunity for improved urban design and innovative solutions to provide increased connectivity.




Figure 1.1 Rendering of potential development scale in proximity to the Fashion Place West TRAX station.

1.2.3 BARRIERS TO DEVELOPMENT

Barriers to development within the study area include:

- Lack of City owned land that could spur private development.
- Current zoning regulations prohibiting density and growth including front yard setbacks, height limits, open space requirements, and parking requirements.
- The cost of construction and lack of labor force needed to expand development.

1.2.4 ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Economic conditions in the Fashion Place West area are relatively similar to those of Murray City and Salt Lake County as a whole. The median age in the study area is 32.5 years, which is similar to the County and a bit younger than the City.

Median household income is lower in the study area (\$54,974) than the City (\$65,132) and the County (\$73,627). However, the access to jobs within the study area (7.4) is far higher than the County (6.4), but still below the City (8.2).

Taxable sales per capita in 2018 in Murray City, totaled \$2.28 Billion, approximately \$46,508 per resident. This is notably high in comparison to nearby cities, as shown by the data for South Jordan (\$21,907), West Valley (\$19,880), and West Jordan (\$15,990). Additionally, per capita statistics for Salt Lake County are \$25,092.

The metrics show that the study area could be a prime location to live and visit, given the strong economy. Additionally, these metrics illustrate the need for more affordable and diverse housing types as well as improved alternative transportation methods, especially between public transit and Fashion Place Mall.



1.2.5 HOUSING TRENDS

Median Home Values in the study area are lower (\$239,474) than the City (\$318,596) and the County (\$327,451). The housing and transportation costs per household in the study area are 28 percent of household expenses compared to that of the County at 27 percent. These statistics are an indication that the housing within the study area is more moderately priced, fulfilling a need in the region that is difficult to find, while also indicating that more diverse options should be encouraged and considered in the neighborhood.

1.2.6 CONNECTIVITY CONDITIONS

Connectivity within the study area is poor due its geographic location and lack of streetscape amenities. Future improvements should address these issues and improve access between residential neighborhoods, as well as to and from the TRAX station and the Mall for all transportation types.

Current barriers include:

- Lack of bicycle infrastructure (with the exception of Winchester Street).
- Lack of pedestrian-friendly infrastructure at locations in, and adjacent to, Fashion Place Mall.
- Multiple residential neighborhoods lacking sidewalks.




Figure 1.2 The Fashion Place West neighborhood lacks adequate infrastructure for pedestrians. The map above illustrates improvements that would improve the pedestrian experience in the study area.






Figure 1.1 Map of subarea areas within the Fashion Place West study area. Residential use recommendations vary by subarea.

1.3 HOUSING RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to maintain and protect the character of the established Fashion Place West neighborhood as well as promote growth around it, future development should be focused on providing more diverse housing options. These options and housing recommendations should vary and be context sensitive depending on the location. Creating subareas will help to give specific recommendations on housing types that

complement the surroundings.

1.4 CONNECTIVITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Connectivity recommendations in the study area should be guided primarily by the way in which pedestrians and bicyclists access the Fashion Place West TRAX station and the mall. Additionally, vehicular travel between the north and south sides of the study area should be improved. Recommendations including streetscape improvements and bridge reconstruction are important to the flow in the study area with respect to vehicular traffic, public transit, as well as bicycle and pedestrian access.

Types of improvements should include:

1. Updating overall active transportation connectivity between residential neighborhoods, the TRAX station, and Fashion Place Mall.
2. Developing a parking strategy.
3. Adopting a streetscape improvement plan to ensure future connectivity.
- 4.

1.5 DESIGN GUIDELINES

Design Guidelines in the Fashion Place West study area should focus on creating an inviting environment for pedestrians, and a pleasant destination for residents and visitors. The guidelines should discuss elements such as:

- Building placement
- Building design
 - Ground floor details
 - Ground floor transparency
 - Prominent entrances
 - Treatment of blank walls
 - Articulation
- Signage design
- Street and streetscape design relating to active transportation and vehicular travel
- Parking lot design and location




Figure 1.4 The diagram above illustrates the ideal placement of residential buildings to maximize the lot while addressing the street.



1.6 STRATEGIC IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES

1.6.1 INTRODUCTION

In order for the vision and objectives laid out in this plan to be realized, it will likely be the result of a long-term process, where residents, City staff, elected officials, as well as other public entities champion the vision to ensure the revitalization of the Fashion Place West study area that they want to see. The strategic implementation measures in this section present the vision and illustrative plan for the study area.

The implementation outlines phasing and policy recommendations for the Fashion Place West study area. They are intended to provide action items that the City, UTA, UDOT, and other stakeholders would need to complete in order for the area to succeed in becoming a vibrant transit-oriented neighborhood.

Strategic recommendations are broken down into the following five categories:

1. Housing
2. Connectivity
3. Policy Updates and Land Use Amendments
4. Phasing
5. Economic Development

MARKET FORCES

In discussions with local developers during the planning process, barriers were identified that may hinder future development and revitalization of the Fashion Place West study area. Some of the concerns included:

1. Existing parking requirements
2. Existing zoning
3. Lack of publicly controlled property
4. Lack of financial incentives (opportunity zone tax credits, TIF financing)
5. Lack of walkability
6. Vehicular connectivity issues

Some of these barriers could be addressed by amending necessary land use documents. Improving walkability and vehicular connectivity are issues that should be tackled first by drafting and adopting a plan that lays out phasing and



Figure 1.5 The implementation strategies recommend ordinance amendments that would allow a mix of uses at higher densities in the Fashion Place West neighborhood.

responsibilities, so that all types of connectivity in the study area are improved.

1.6.2 HOUSING PRIORITIES

Housing priorities within the study area were determined by a combination of industry best practices, current market conditions, and desires of residents. These priorities include:

1. Offering services and amenities near housing
2. Providing housing for all stages of life
3. Creating a walkable neighborhood
4. Increasing allowable residential densities along, and adjacent to, the Fashion Place West TRAX station, I-15, and State Street
5. Addressing established residential neighborhoods by creating appropriate transitions between existing residential and new, higher density developments
6. Incorporating a mix of uses into new residential developments as well as existing single-use zone districts

1.6.3 CONNECTIVITY PRIORITIES

Connectivity enhancements to the Fashion Place West study area should be centered around improved traffic flow and increased comfort for pedestrians and bicyclists. These include the following priorities:

1. Improving overall active transportation connectivity between residential

neighborhoods, the TRAX station, and Fashion Place Mall

2. Developing parking strategy
3. Adopting a streetscape improvement plan to ensure future connectivity in key areas:
 - (a) Winchester Street
 - (b) Cottonwood Street
 - (c) Key intersections
 - (d) Fashion Place Mall access points

1.6.4 POLICY UPDATES AND LAND USE AMENDMENTS

1. Create new Fashion Place West overlay zone district (FPW). This new overlay zone should consider the following:
 - (a) Parking
 - (i) Include shared parking provision
 - (ii) Reduce residential parking requirements based on proximity to TRAX station and shared parking calculations
 - (iii) Implement parking maximums
 - (b) Consider reducing front yard setbacks from 15 feet and 25 feet, to 0 feet in order to encourage human scale development
 - (c) Implement maximum setback requirements
 - (d) Decrease open space requirements from 20 percent to 10 percent
 - (e) Implement Ground Floor activation recommendations
2. Support re-zoning areas within the study area boundaries per recommendations of the General Plan Future Land Use map:
 - (a) Commercial District (C-D) to Mixed-use (M-U)
 - (b) Manufacturing (MFG) to Fashion Place West Overlay (FPW)
 - (c) Residential Neighborhood Business (R-N-B) to Fashion Place West Overlay zone (FPW)





Figure 1.6 Improving the connectivity for vehicles, cyclists, and pedestrians is a key component of the implementation strategy in the Fashion Place West neighborhood.

1.6.5 PHASING

A phased approach to change to the Fashion Place West area aligns with the limitations of the City and development community. The three phases of redevelopment in the study area are detailed in the Implementation chapter of this document, with discussion of responsible parties and needed collaboration amongst entities.

SHORT TERM

1. Adopt streetscape improvement and connectivity plans.
2. Prioritize residential infill development adjacent to TRAX station.
3. Perform streetscape improvements:
 - (a) Sidewalks
 - (b) Street trees
 - (c) Right-of-way changes:
 - (i) Bike lanes
 - (ii) Vehicular lane configurations
 - (d) Street lighting
4. Improved UTA bus circulation and frequency with Route 209.
5. Amend zoning ordinance and adopt Fashion Place West overlay zoning.

MEDIUM TERM

1. Work with UDOT to install a traffic signal at Creek Drive and State Street.

2. Work with Fashion Place Mall to improve internal pedestrian connectivity and pedestrian access to mall site.
3. Work with UDOT to improve pedestrian and bicycle experience at Winchester and State Street intersection.
4. Encourage the addition of structured parking at Fashion Place Mall.
5. Help facilitate increased densities that includes residential component on West side of State Street.

LONG TERM

1. Reconstruction of Winchester and Cottonwood Street Bridges by UDOT.
2. Recommend construction of UTA Parking structure to facilitate development of a more mixed-use destination for the City.
3. Support the increase of densities and residential development types within mall property, especially adjacent to State Street and 6400 South
4. Facilitate property transition of existing industrial properties on west side of study area



Figure 1.7 Housing priorities in the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan include zoning amendments to allow more housing types in close proximity to the TRAX station.

FINANCIAL TOOLS AND INCENTIVES TO CONSIDER

1. Bonding
2. Future Budget Allocation
3. Public-Private Partnerships
4. CRA/RDA funding for housing developments, HTRZ funding
5. Grants
 - (a) UTA
 - (b) UDOT
 - (c) Other public transit related funding



2 EXISTING CONDITIONS



1. AREA HISTORY

The Fashion Place West station area hosts a well located TRAX station, various different types of light industrial and commercial businesses, an apartment complex, condo development, and approximately 200 single-family homes. The TRAX station is a jumping off point for shoppers, employees, and residents coming and going from around the valley. The area has been primarily occupied by light industrial and single-family residences since the neighborhood was originally developed.

For much of its history, the study area was dominated by agricultural production. Transportation corridors, both rail and auto, cut through this area early in the development of regional transportation networks. With State Street serving as a major north/south connection, a majority of development in the study area was focused on this corridor. Aerial photography from 1964 (image right) illustrates the types of development found in the area prior to the introduction of the Interstate Highway system.

SIGNIFICANT ROADWAYS

The study area is bisected by two interstate highways, Interstate 15 and the Interstate 215 beltway. The area is directly connected to I-215 via the State Street and 280 East exits. I-15 via I-215 can be accessed at the interchange located one mile west of the State Street exit, immediately adjacent to the western boundary of the study area.

The Salt Lake County portion of Interstate 15 was completed in the early 1970s, separating the study area from neighborhoods to the west, but also giving the area added value as centrally located area near I-15. The I-215 beltway was built in several sections. The section immediately west of State Street opened in 1976, and the section immediately east of State Street opened in 1985. The entire length of I-215 was finished in 1989.

These two highways are both connectors and barriers for the study area. The proximity of the highways and local access points gives vehicles within the area convenient access to the regional transportation network. However, the highways are also major physical barriers that limit and prevent direct physical access and spatial continuity to adjacent neighborhoods.

FASHION PLACE MALL

The Fashion Place Mall is centrally located within the Salt Lake valley with convenient access to nearby highways. The mall first opened for business in 1972 and was the third shopping mall in the greater Salt Lake area.

The mall has a resilient and dynamic history. It has been expanded and renovated several times with the first expansion opening in 1974 and the most recent in 2017. It has adapted to changing retail formats and economic conditions, including physical building configurations, changes in anchor tenants, and multiple changes of ownership.

The Fashion Place Mall is a regionally important shopping center. Its central location makes it easily accessible to a large portion of the Salt Lake Valley. Several national and international brands have chosen to locate their first Utah stores in the mall, giving it an advantage over other local shopping malls. The Fashion Place Mall remains one of the most important suburban shopping malls in the state, reporting near 100% occupancy in 2020. It remains an important source of jobs and tax revenue for the city and region

OTHER LAND USES

The majority of the single-unit homes in the study area were built in the 1960's with some newer development built in the 1990's. These single-family homes and the neighborhood surrounding them are established and provide a population base for new development along the neighborhood's key roadways.

Many of the study area's industrial buildings were built in the 1980s and have remained largely unchanged. The light industrial area north of I-215 is a relatively new and established development. The industrial businesses south of I-215, facing Cottonwood Street, are aging and some buildings are vacant. Other industrial buildings have been renovated or refurbished and are thriving and productive.



Study Area, 1964. With modern-day roads overlay. Prior to Interstate Highway construction, the study area was primarily agricultural with suburban development along State Street.



Fashion Place Mall circa 1985.



PUBLIC TRANSIT

The Fashion Place West TRAX station was part of the original trunk line of the TRAX system which opened in 1999. The Mid-Jordan extension, to the Daybreak development in South Jordan, was completed in 2011, at which point the TRAX system was redefined as three lines: Red, Green, and Blue. Since 2011, both Red and Blue line trains stop at the Fashion Place West station. The Red and Blue lines diverge immediately south of the Fashion Place West station, making it the southernmost station that serves both lines and an important transfer point between lines.

The presence of the TRAX station in the center of the study area provides great access to job centers and other destinations around the region. Unfortunately, there has been almost no change in land use or development around the station in the last twenty years since service began. The station's distance from the Fashion Place Mall also proves a challenge to encouraging visitors and employees at the mall from utilizing the TRAX system to travel to and from the Mall.



Aerial view of Fashion Place West study area in 1997, prior to opening of the TRAX light rail system. Land uses in 2020 remain largely unchanged.

2. NEIGHBORHOOD ASSETS


The Fashion Place West study area is in close proximity to many valuable community assets, with many of those within the study area itself. Though isolated in some ways from the surrounding communities, the neighborhood is in close proximity to major thoroughfares such as State Street, Cottonwood Street, Winchester Street, I-15, and I-215. Connectivity for active transportation could be improved along Cottonwood Street and Winchester Street to accommodate all modes of transportation.

CENTRAL LOCATION

The Fashion Place West study area is in a prime location from a regional perspective. Even though the neighborhood has physical barriers that create isolation and disconnection, the neighborhood's proximity to transportation networks that connect to the rest of the region gives the area great value. State Street offers motorists easy access to both I-15 and I-215 while TRAX offers a convenient mode of alternative transportation. By train, riders can reach downtown Salt Lake City in 13 minutes, the University of Utah in 24 minutes, and the airport within 30 minutes.

COMMUNITY RESOURCES

The Fashion Place West neighborhood and vicinity have many valuable community assets. Specifically, the area is home to: Grant Park; a community park in the single-family neighborhood south of I-215 on Travis James Lane; Grant Elementary, Liberty Elementary; as well as Clark Cushing Senior Center. These and other community resources can be extremely attractive to prospective



Community assets within the Fashion Place West neighborhood

residents and are valued by current residents. Other assets and amenities in the area include the University of Utah's Midvalley Health Center, and several banking institutions and service stations.





The Fashion Place West TRAX station opened for service in 1999 as part of the UTA system.

UTA TRAX STATION

The value and desirability of the Fashion Place West neighborhood is influenced by the presence of a TRAX station. Having a TRAX station nearby with appropriately zoned properties can be very attractive to future property owners, residents, business owners, and developers.

The Fashion Place West TRAX station is also the first station in the system that serves both the Red and Blue lines. This offers riders access to many destinations in the southern end of the valley, as well as major job centers to the north, including downtown Salt Lake City and the University of Utah.

FASHION PLACE MALL

The Fashion Place Mall is a valuable and extremely productive employment center and destination in the valley. This proximity gives the area a great opportunity to attract future higher density residential, office, and mixed-use walkable development.

In addition to being a great asset for nearby residents, the Fashion Place Mall is the largest generator of sales tax for Murray City. Furthermore, the Mall is a major employment hub not only for residents within Murray but the entire region.

OTHER SERVICES

Access to food and groceries are a vital asset for any neighborhood. The Fashion Place West study neighborhood has access to multiple grocery stores including Sprouts Farmers Market grocery store, Sam's Club, and WinCo Foods in nearby Midvale City.

Other services in the area include the various clothing, beauty, home, and restaurant options that Fashion Place Mall offers, although the Mall's tenants are primarily focused on regional shoppers, rather than neighborhood needs.



Established light industrial businesses occupy space on the west side of the study area.

State Street is lined with corridor commercial services, with a majority of it being auto-oriented within the study area. There are several fast-casual types of food and beverage restaurants near the study area, however, more traditional 'sit-down' restaurants and coffee shops are absent from the neighborhood.

3. NEIGHBORHOOD CHALLENGES

While there are many community assets within the Fashion Place West study area, the neighborhood is also faced with its share of challenges. Some of these challenges could limit the area from achieving the goals of the community, if they are not acknowledged and addressed as part of the planning process. Examples of these challenges include bridges, major interstates, and UDOT ownership.

Many of the challenges within the study area are related to physical infrastructure as well as connectivity to and within the area.

TIME TO GET TO... via TRAX



12 minutes to Sandy
23 minutes to Downtown Salt Lake City
24 minutes to Daybreak
70 minutes to Provo
90 minutes to Ogden

While locations conveniently accessible by car are considered a strength by many, an overwhelming focus on motorists has resulted in an environment that disregards the



The Fashion Place West TRAX station is at the center of the study area.



needs of pedestrians and cyclists. This has created an unpleasant experience for those not inside a vehicle. Vehicle speed, road noise, as well as inconsistent and unattractive pedestrian facilities have created a community without much in the way of quality infrastructure. In addition to a lack of pedestrian infrastructure, the study area lacks standard cyclist and pedestrian amenities such as street trees, well marked bicycle lanes, seating, and well-marked frequent pedestrian crossings on major roadways.

The study area is located directly adjacent to the I-15/I-215 interchange. These freeways act as a major physical barrier to the area from the surrounding neighborhoods. The only connection points within the study area are by the three bridges that cross over the I-215 freeway. These substantial barriers have restricted the area's development as a cohesive neighborhood. While these bridges do offer a minimum level of pedestrian access, none of them offer a quality experience for pedestrians or cyclists.

The Cottonwood Street bridge is in close proximity to the TRAX station, and is a shallow two-lane bridge consisting of a single narrow sidewalk on the west side, and the TRAX rail on the east side, leaving virtually no room for expansion to consider pedestrians or cyclists. The Winchester Street bridge is along the most direct route to the Fashion Place Mall from the TRAX station. This bridge is wider than the



The Fashion Place Mall is a regional draw for the city of Murray and can be used to draw in more walkable, connected development to the study area.

Cottonwood Street bridge and includes protected sidewalks on either side as well as striped bike lanes. The State Street bridge that spans I-215 on the east side of the study area is four lanes wide (two each way) with a center median and protected

sidewalks on both sides, but provides no streetscape amenities or bicycle infrastructure.

The study area is primarily focused around the Fashion Place West TRAX station; however, the access to the station from the surrounding area is poor, isolating the station from destinations and services. The TRAX station is also the closest station to the Fashion Place Mall, a major employment center and sales tax generator.

Unfortunately, the multi-modal access from the TRAX station to the Mall is lacking. Winchester Street creates a direct connection from the station to the mall; however, the experience as a pedestrian or cyclist of traversing Winchester Street is sub-par. Additionally, there is very limited wayfinding signage to assist transit riders in getting to the mall.

The Fashion Place Mall is a major asset within the study area, city and the region; however, it lacks a relationship and connection to the neighborhood and also lacks internal connectivity. Within the parking that surrounds the mall, there is an absence of walking paths and sidewalks.

When pedestrians are approaching the Fashion Place Mall on foot from State Street as well as the other surrounding roads, they are not addressed with sidewalk connections or any welcoming features. As an example, when pedestrians cross State Street at the intersection of Winchester Street to approach the mall property, they are met with a large landscaped area with no clear pedestrian routes to the Mall's entrances.

State Street is a UDOT (Utah Department of Transportation) controlled road. Currently, State Street's design focuses solely on motorist capacity, to the exclusion of all human-scale design through the study area. State Street has great potential in terms of redevelopment but this redevelopment can be challenging due to long time frames and strict regulations in place by UDOT. These constraints should be considered when proposing changes to the area, and



General condition of pedestrian and cycling infrastructure around the Fashion Place West Study Area.

additional time to collaborate with UDOT should be accounted for.

As a prime location for retail and mixed-use development in the region, the study area has great potential for shifting toward a more urban, walkable style of development. This will require collaboration between Murray City, UDOT, the Fashion Place Mall, UTA, the surrounding neighborhood, and other stakeholders.



4. OPPORTUNITIES

The current development patterns within the Fashion Place West area are erratic and leave much to be desired. However, this current lack of consistency can be viewed as an opportunity for the City to use the Small Area Plan process to create a new identity and sense of place for the area, which can serve to encourage new investment by residents, businesses, and property owners.

Current zoning and land uses within the study area include light industrial, service commercial, office, single-family residential, and multi-family residential. Current regulations do not allow for increased building densities or the opportunity to integrate a mix of uses. As an example, current regulations prohibit building to the property line. Additionally, higher density residential is not permitted along Winchester Street.

Anticipated future amendments to land use regulations also create an opportunity to prompt investment in the area. A focus on the redevelopment and infill development around the Fashion Place West station will also drive activity of all varieties. The dual drivers of the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall can create synergy for each to thrive together. Both places attract significant foot traffic and should be leveraged together.

A large, maintained detention basin adjacent to the rail line, between the line and Travis James Lane is currently a fenced property with a small opening that was created to allow residents to utilize the property. **This detention basin offers a great opportunity to create an activated park space**, complete with amenities for the neighborhood to enjoy, and expand the open space offerings of Murray City.

The portion of the study area south of I-215 has many underutilized, under-performing, and vacant parcels. With a clear vision of what this area can become, these underutilized properties create opportunities for infill development, as well as the ability to introduce increased densities, a wider variety of uses including residential, and other types of transit supportive commercial uses.

The study area is highly visible from I-215 and I-15. At present, the businesses in this area have not established a presence that utilizes or addresses the proximity to such major routes. This visibility provides an opportunity to existing business

and property owners to capitalize on this. Additionally, proximity and visibility is important to future commercial investors and can be seen as an attractive location that could see higher profile development than other areas.

The Fashion Place Mall is currently surrounded by expansive surface parking lots. These parking lots are an opportunity for redevelopment of this underutilized land. The addition of liner buildings to create a street wall can transform the area into a walkable urban destination. Increase of density and a wider array of uses at the Fashion Place Mall site could not only bolster a shifting retail industry by introducing more people during all hours of the day, but also shift the walkability of the neighborhood in lasting and significant ways.

The bridges that currently connect the Fashion Place West study area to the other nodes as well as the rest of the city are in great need of expansion. The bridges are narrow and lack necessary amenities for any other modes of transportation other than motor vehicles. **Using these expansion projects as an opportunity for improved urban design and innovative solutions to provide increased connectivity is a major opportunity.**



There are multiple parcels in the study area that are prime for infill development.

5. BARRIERS TO DEVELOPMENT

The Fashion Place West study area is challenged with several barriers to future development that include both physical and regulatory limitations. Physical barriers can include property ownership concerns or access and connectivity obstacles. Regulatory barriers to development can include elements such as financing, zoning, or possible inter-agency road blocks. These barriers wouldn't necessarily halt the planning and development process but instead, should be considered hurdles to future development to be considered and accounted for.




The detention basin located on Travis James Lane just west of the rail line offers great opportunity for the creation of a neighborhood park.

A few distinct hurdles have been identified as possible explanations as to why growth and redevelopment in the rest of the City have outpaced that of the Fashion Place West neighborhood.

State Street- and consequently, development patterns along this major thoroughfare- is controlled by UDOT (Utah Department of Transportation). Because of this, the quality of the streetscape, or surrounding properties' development of more pedestrian infrastructure is challenging. The process to working with UDOT is lengthy, and will need to be considered as development occurs along State Street.





A sidewalk score map such as this shows the lack of adequate sidewalk amenities in the study area but also the opportunities for improvement.

The detention basin along the rail line south of Winchester Street has only minor community park improvements but is surrounded on all sides by chain-link fencing. This property is owned by Salt Lake County and maintained by Murray City. This property is currently underutilized and future park improvements and removal of fencing should be considered to fully utilize this community asset.

Current zoning and land use regulations within the study area should be considered a regulatory barrier to development. In order for development or redevelopment to occur in the Fashion Place West neighborhood, zoning regulations- primarily along Winchester Street and other major thoroughfares- should be reevaluated to encourage and allow a more diverse mix of uses, as well as higher density residential and mixed-use commercial developments. As such, design guidelines in the area will also need to be amended. **Reducing front yard setbacks, removing height limits, reducing open space requirements, and reevaluating parking requirements should also be considered to foster development.**

Parking requirements and especially parking minimums can be a way for cities to regulate and ensure adequate parking for residential and commercial developments. However, strict parking requirements such as these can in fact hinder development. Expansive parking lots for example, are often a result of parking minimum requirements. A more modern approach to parking management is to encourage and incentivize shared parking when possible. Uses with opposite hours of demand for parking can share parking areas, thus reducing the amount of overall parking needed, and better utilizing this expensive drag on development. This approach also increases potential development opportunities and overall walkability of the area.

At present, Murray City has not established a financial toolbox or programs to incentivize and encourage higher quality development within the Fashion Place West study area, such as the creation of loan and grant programs. Additionally, working with local entities to establish a redevelopment project area in this neighborhood



Better connectivity to the surrounding neighborhood and its assets will lay a foundation for future, walkable development to support the TRAX station and the Fashion Place Mall destinations.

would give the City and Redevelopment Agency the capacity to use property tax increment as a way to reimburse developers for burden costs associated with site conditions. Burden costs are for development costs that are unique to the development on a particular site.

Redevelopment project areas and property tax increment can be a great tool to incentivize and fast track incremental placemaking and good urban design.

Once the entities have entered into interlocal agreements, incentive programs to reimburse developers with tax increment can be created. In a program like this, the developer is only reimbursed if the property value increases, protecting the City/ Agency in the event that the property doesn't increase in value, while also getting the type of development and use that the City wants.

Another major barrier to development in 2020 is the cost of construction and lack of labor force needed to expand construction. With construction costs vastly out-pacing inflation, delaying major construction projects such as road rebuilds or streetscape improvements is only serving to increase their cost in significant ways. The city should prioritize which projects may have the largest impact and set a course of construction as soon as feasible.

6. LAND USE CONDITIONS

EXISTING PHYSICAL LANDSCAPE

The Fashion Place West station area is comprised of approximately 1,000 parcels in 245 square acres. The parcels are a mix of single-family and multi-family residential, commercial retail, manufacturing, service commercial, and office.

The average parcel size of single-family residential parcels in the area is .25 acres, with some parcels as small as .18 acres, and as large as 1.5 acres. The multi-family, commercial retail, service commercial, and office parcels vary greatly in size, from .01 acres to 12 acres.

CURRENT LAND USES

Overall the Fashion Place West neighborhood has a mix of uses that fall into three general categories: light industrial, commercial, and residential. These three use types are segregated from each other within the area between the eastern, middle, and western areas.

The eastern most segment of the study area is primarily a commercial use area. It includes the parcels along State Street and 6400 South and portions of the southern and western sides of the mall site. This is the smallest section by land area. Four



parcels in this section are zoned for residential use and two parcels are zoned for general office use.

The middle segment is primarily occupied by residential uses and is the largest section by land area. Most of this segment is made up of two single family neighborhoods, one north and one south of I-215, but also includes two multi-family developments and a few neighborhood business uses. The area includes a large detention basin as well as a number of large residential lots with undeveloped land.

The western segment of the project area is predominately made up of light industrial uses. It is located along I-15, Cottonwood Street, and the TRAX lines. This is the second largest section by land area and includes some vacant/underutilized parcels.

CURRENT ZONING

The parcels within Fashion Place West study area boundary are designated as one of the following six zones:

- (a) R-1-8 Low Density Single Family
- (b) R-M-15 Medium Density Multiple Family
- (c) R-N-B Residential Neighborhood Business



State Street and the capacity required to serve the population can prevent future pedestrian and active transportation improvements from taking place.

- (d) C-D Commercial Development
- (e) M-G Manufacturing General
- (f) G-O General Office

Zoning around the Fashion Place West TRAX station does not address the station itself in its zoning designations. Murray City does possess a Transit-Oriented- Development (TOD) zoning designation. However, this designation has only been applied to the area around the Murray North TRAX station.

While Murray's TOD zone addresses adjacent transit with increased height allowances and by expanding allowable uses, this zone still has requirements for parking minimums, open space, and front yard setbacks. Requirements such as these typically prohibit land owners from maximizing the densities allowed by the zoning ordinance, and can also prohibit pedestrian and transit-oriented development to be established.

In order for a TOD zone to be effective, it must include specific elements, while also avoiding others. Parking minimums can lead to vast surface parking lots where stall counts do not reflect the adjacent public transit. Excessive open space and front setback requirements, as is the case within Murray's TOD zone, reduce transit-oriented densities and forbid new construction from being built to the front property line, thus minimizing the creation of a walkable urban environment.

These limitations within current zoning regulations should be considered and addressed within the recommendations in the Small Area Plan.

CURRENT DESIGN STANDARDS

With the exception of two zones within the Murray City boundaries, zone districts in the city have very few specific design requirements. Specifically, the land use zones that occupy the Fashion Place West neighborhood lack sufficient design standards. This gives the City very little control as to the aesthetic outcome of the neighborhood character.

Common design standards in commercial and mixed-use zones, which should be considered for future zoning regulations, include:

- Density
- Height
- Setbacks/ Build-To line
- Landscaping

- Building Materials
- Building Colors
- Building Form and massing variation
- Outdoor lighting
- Door and window location

Residential, commercial, office, and manufacturing zoned properties have specific height and setback requirements. Other general requirements within these zones include:

- 5'-10' of landscaped area
- Sprinkler Installation
- Fencing guidelines

Zoning amendments in applicable zones should be considered to include more specific design guidelines in an effort to create and establish a vibrant and high-quality neighborhood center around the TRAX station.

The Residential Neighborhood Business District zone does have design guidelines that are adequate. These guidelines include general landscape requirements, and design considerations for building massing, color and materials. All designs in this



The Fashion Place Mall's parking demands and tenant's parking requirements could impede future infill on the site.



zone must be approved by the Planning Commission. This zone and its regulations set a good example and baseline for future amendments to the zoning ordinance.

UNDERUTILIZED PROPERTIES

There are several underdeveloped parcels within the study area. Underdeveloped parcels can include vacant property, properties that are largely occupied by parking, and partially developed properties. Underutilized or underdeveloped parcels create opportunities for new development. While these parcels may not currently be contributing at their maximum potential, they can create opportunities for future infill development and an increase in overall density of the area.

UTA owns several of the area's underutilized parcels including a number of vacant and underdeveloped parcels on the south side of Winchester Street as well as the expansive surface parking lots east of the TRAX station.

Salt Lake County owns four undeveloped parcels along West Travis James Lane, that are currently used as a detention basin with minor park improvements. Removal of the fence to create an inviting gathering space would be a better use of this space that would create a destination and generate more activity in the area

Various other underutilized and undeveloped properties exist in the study area with varying ownership interests. As the properties along Winchester and Cottonwood Streets transition in ownership over time, underdeveloped properties should be encouraged to intensify in use.

Surface parking, particularly surround the Fashion Place Mall, can be seen as a future development opportunity. As the economics of development change in the area, the costs to structure parking and build higher may change the feasibility of urban development on the mall property.

SUBAREAS

JOBS AND HOUSING MIXED-USE

A potential new jobs and housing mixed-use subarea encompasses what is currently the light industrial areas on the north and south ends of the study area adjacent to I-15. In the long term, as the valley increases in population, this area will increase in value and eventually transition from its current land uses to a densified jobs center



Parking lots at the TRAX station are underutilized and prevent increased density in the immediate vicinity.

that incorporates residential components.

TRANSIT-ORIENTED MIXED-USE

Over time the area adjacent to the TRAX station will become even more valuable given its proximity to transit service. New development will be more dense than current land uses and will be primarily residential uses and commercial uses including service related uses, restaurants, as well as other types of uses that support and are supported by the proximity to the TRAX station.

URBAN MIXED-USE

The area along State Street including the Fashion Place Mall will also become more dense over time. With State Street accommodating such a large volume of cars each day, as well as the proximity to both I-15 and I-215, there will be a great demand for this area to transition to a more urban style of development. Properties currently adjacent to State Street are ripe for redevelopment, where taller buildings could be constructed to address State Street to create a more urban environment. Future land uses should include housing, restaurants, services, and office. These types of development could support the higher costs of taller construction methods.

ESTABLISHED RESIDENTIAL

The single-unit neighborhoods within the Fashion Place West study area are well established and are an asset of great value to the City. These neighborhoods should be preserved, with the exception of infill development where underdeveloped parcels exist within the neighborhoods. Using development along Winchester to buffer this neighborhood can also create a wider range of housing choice within the neighborhood.

7. ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

POPULATION TRENDS

Utah's population is projected to increase from approximately 3 Million in 2015 to 5.8 Million in 2065. This represents an increase of 2.8 Million people with an annual average rate change of 1.3 percent. Although the rate of growth in population will decelerate over the next 50 years, it is still projected to exceed national growth rates.

Several factors will contribute to increased population in the state. Utah's fertility rate is projected to continue the existing trend in a slow decline. By 2065, life expectancy in Utah is projected to be 86.3 for women and 85.2 for men. Net migration accounts for one-third of the cumulative population increase to 2065. Projections show the contributions of natural increase and net migration converging over time.

Salt Lake County currently has 1,181,471 residents and is projected to remain the most populous county in the state, reaching nearly 1.7 million people by 2065, projecting to add nearly 600,000 new residents, which will be 21 percent of the total state population growth. The median age within Salt Lake County is 32.9 and is projected to increase to 38.6 by 2040.

In 2019 the school age population (aged 5-17) was estimated to be 231,525. By 2040 that population is projected to increase by 1,208 students to 232,733. This number illustrates that the school age population will grow at a slower rate than the overall population, potentially putting less pressure on school districts than what the population is currently.

The total number of households within the County is estimated to reach 552,022 in 2040, which is an increase of 132,523 households compared to 419,499 in 2020.



Murray City as a whole has a population of 50,433. This places Murray toward the lower end of the spectrum compared to neighboring cities such as Taylorsville, Sandy, Millcreek, and Midvale.

Murray City's population is projected to reach 67,668 residents by 2040. The Fashion Place West study area has a population of 1,714 residents in .55 square miles. Salt Lake County has a population of approximately 1,150,000 residents, with an anticipated increase of more than 500,000 residents in the next 25- 30 years. With the population throughout the state growing so rapidly, there is an ever-increasing pressure for the development of more residential units. This development pressure is and will continue to be felt across the state, in Murray, and in the Fashion Place West study area.

MEDIAN AGE

Murray has a median age of 38.2 which is significantly higher than that of the Fashion Place West study area (33.4) and the county-wide average of 33.8 according to 2023 census data. The 33.4 year indicator in the study area is similar to those of neighboring cities but the City's 38.2 year indicator is much higher than surrounding cities.

Median age data is closely followed by developers and can impact housing choices and potential development types within the City.

EMPLOYMENT AND JOBS

By 2040, total employment in Salt Lake County is projected to reach 1,239,908, an increase of 269,103 employees, according to the Kem C. Gardner Policy Institute.

More central neighborhoods near employment centers have higher 'job access' scores than others. In the case of Murray compared with the study area and the County, the study area has high access to jobs with a score of 6.1, Murray City has a score of 7.1 (out of 10), and Salt Lake County has a score of 5.6.

4,757 of those employees are in the Fashion Place West study area, which represents 2.77 employees per resident, a ratio far larger than the County and surrounding cities. This metric refers to the number of employees in the community per resident.

Cities with low ratios are reflective of limited jobs, bedroom communities,

and typically high median household sizes (large families with children who are not employed). Areas which have higher ratios are typically reflective of employment centers and/or areas with lower median household sizes.

This large ratio may be due to the fact that the Fashion Place Mall is within the study area. Cities further south show lower ratios as they generally are more representative of bedroom communities than employment centers.

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Median household income in 2023 in the Fashion Place West study area is \$103,742 per year, which is higher than Murray as a whole (\$87,864/year) and more than the Salt Lake County average of \$94,658/year.

A higher median income in this area can indicate igher educational attainment of residents of the study area. Creating connections with opportunities for educational programs, as well as improved connectivity to transit service can have a large impact on neighborhood livability and opportunity access.

HOUSING TRENDS


HOUSING CONDITIONS

Of the approximately 245 acres and 777 parcels that make up the Fashion Place West Project Area, 577 or 74% of those are residential land uses. The remaining 200 parcels make up the other 26% of the parcels and are occupied by non-residential land uses.

HOUSING STOCK

The housing stock within the Fashion Place West neighborhood is aging. A majority of the existing homes were built in the 1960s and 1970s. Most of the single-family homes were built in the 1960s and are single story structures with various facade materials including brick, wood siding and stucco. The most recent single family development was built in the mid 1990s. This development consists of predominately two-story homes with stucco facades.

There are two multi-family developments within the project area as well. The South 67 Condo development was built in the 1970s and is an individually owned townhome type development. The Braewood Apartments is a five-building apartment complex,




Map of existing zoning designations. Future zoning changes should be based on achieving the goals for the future of the Fashion Place West area.

directly west of the condominium development.

Overall, the housing stock within the Fashion Place West neighborhood lacks diversity. The area is primarily market rate single-family homes with one apartment development, and one condominium development.

Current zoning in the area permits accessory dwelling units (ADUs) as an allowable use. Accessory dwelling units are defined as a secondary unit within or on the same parcel as an owner occupied single-family home. Examples include apartments over





Existing land use by percentage within study area, 2025.

garages, tiny homes, or basement apartments. Allowing and encouraging ADUs would create the opportunity to provide more diverse housing options to residents at affordable prices.

Areas with a diversity of housing choices are more stable and have more to offer to residents. A housing- diverse area would have a broad range of housing types, rental and ownership options, at varying price levels that would include many options.

HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Median household size in Murray and the Fashion Place West study area specifically are both reported to be an average of 2.57 which is slightly less than the county-wide average of 3. Neighboring cities like Taylorsville (3.0) South Lake City (2.7) also have a slightly higher average.

The household size in Murray has remained largely unchanged in the last ten years, reflecting a trend similar to other cities in the central portion of Salt Lake County. Conversely, areas along the western and southern boundaries of the County have

reflected high household sizes, primarily reflecting an influx of families into rapidly developing areas. Future trends will most likely show a continuing decline of median household size in developed, aging areas, while new growth areas will represent higher household sizes.

HOME VALUES

Housing prices in Murray have increased notably over the past several years, commensurate with trends experienced along the greater Wasatch Front. Values for single-family, multi-family and vacant land have all appreciated.

The median residential property value in Murray, as of 2023, was \$470,000.

The Fashion Place West study area has a median home value lower than the City average at \$298,675, with Salt Lake County shown at roughly \$484,500. Higher values are reflected in Midvale, West Jordan, Sandy, and Millcreek, while lower values (in relation to Murray) are exhibited by West Valley, Kearns, and Taylorsville.

Over the past decade, across the nation, homes in the most walkable neighborhoods were also the ones that appreciated the fastest. In two-thirds of large metro areas, walkable neighborhoods have higher home values than car- dependent ones.

The walkability premium in Salt Lake County (the difference in the average value of homes in walkable neighborhoods compared to the average value of homes in car- dependent neighborhoods) was 32% higher in 2019.

Current trends across the country also show that homes in walkable areas also gain value at a faster rate than those in car-dependent areas. For example, in Salt Lake County walkable homes increase in value 19% faster than those of car- dependent homes.

For the Fashion Place Mall study area, these statistics show that due to the TRAX station and proximity of this area to the Fashion Place Mall, as the study area transitions to a more walkable and well-connected neighborhood, home values may be higher and may increase faster than other areas in the valley that are more car- dependent.

INCOME SPENT ON HOUSING AND TRANSPORTATION

For housing costs, Murray households spend 24 percent of monthly income

on housing, slightly below the county-wide level of 27 percent. Most nearby surrounding cities show percentages similar to Murray, while communities to the south reflect higher percentages as housing costs are notably higher.

Costs spent on transportation represent 21 percent of income for Murray residents, similar to the 23 percent shown for the County. Immediately surrounding cities reflect similar amounts, while south valley communities are spending a reduced portion of their income on transportation (near 15 to 16 percent). On average, Murray residents spend roughly \$13,267 per year on transportation costs.

New development should take into consideration the proximity of transportation options and if the ultimate cost of housing and transportation fits within the competitive range of total spending.

HOUSING AND TRANSPORTATION INDEX

By taking into account the cost of housing as well as the cost of transportation, the CNT H+T Index (Center for Neighborhood Technology Housing and Transportation Affordability Index) provides a more comprehensive understanding of the affordability of place.

Dividing these costs by the representative income illustrates the cost burden of housing and transportation expenses placed on a typical household.

While housing alone is traditionally deemed affordable when consuming no more than 30% of income, the H+T Index incorporates transportation costs—usually a household’s second-largest expense—to show that location-efficient places can be more livable and affordable.

According to the H+T Index, Murray is similar to Salt Lake County across key housing and transportation indicators such annual transportation costs, both averaging approximately \$13,000 annually, illustrating that both jurisdictions having high access to a variety of jobs. However, Murray differs from the rest of the county in a variety of ways.

Most notable is that Murray’s Compact Neighborhood score is 6.1 compared to a score of 2.7 for Salt Lake County. This score represents the density and walkability of an area. The median household income in Murray and the Fashion Place West study



area is much lower than the county average.

INCOME REMAINING AFTER HOUSING AND TRANSPORTATION

Income “remaining” indicates adjustments made to median household income for transportation and housing. This metric indicates potential spending income for residents in these areas. Remaining income after housing and transportation costs is similar between Murray and the County, with Murray at 55 percent and the County at 50%.

Also of note is the proximity to employment, which has become more of a consideration for new development, as some planners and developers have attempted to reduce the impact on roadways from new development by locating in areas with high job concentrations, and by catering to those who want to improve quality of life by reducing commute times. The Fashion Place West study area specifically is in an ideal location given its proximity to the Fashion Place Mall .75 miles away.

AFFORDABILITY INDEX

The “affordability index” highlights the relationship between median household incomes and median property values. **The higher the ratio, the less “affordable” the real estate becomes to the median household.** Ratios decline as incomes increase (assuming constant values), or increase as values accelerate at rates faster than incomes. Murray City shows an index reading of 4.27, fairly similar to the county-wide figure of 4.23. South Salt Lake reflects an abnormally high number due to very low incomes, while Murray, Herriman, and South Jordan show ratios below that of Murray.

On a larger, regional level, Salt Lake County is still considered more “affordable” than other competitive cities, including Portland, Denver, Las Vegas, Phoenix, and others.

RETAIL TRENDS

TAXABLE SALES PER CAPITA

Taxable sales per capita reflect an important statistic regarding the health of the local retail economy. For Murray City, taxable total sales in 2018 summed to roughly \$2.28 Billion, or, approximately \$46,508 per resident. **This is notably high in comparison**

to nearby cities, as shown by the figures for South Jordan (21,907), West Valley (\$19,880), West Jordan (\$15,990), and South Salt Lake (\$74,167). Additionally, per capita statistics for Salt Lake County are shown at taxable retail sales of \$25,092.

The data points show that Murray is not plagued with sales leakage of any kind, due to the success of the Fashion Place Mall and surrounding retail.


CURRENT RETAIL CONDITIONS

While retail is an ever-changing landscape, certain sectors are performing well, while others are not. **Highly performing sectors include grocery stores, automotive services, restaurants, experiential retail, and retail distribution.** These sectors have remained relevant by adapting their business models. Changes such as shrinking physical space, expanding distribution, increasing convenience with pick-up or delivery service, as well as decreasing table space, are all tools retail outlets are using to succeed in Utah.

Poorly performing retail outlets include clothing stores, toy stores, jewelry stores, and department stores. These store types as well as stores that don’t have an online shopping presence are also struggling.

In Utah, potential new retailers use various different metrics when choosing a site to locate a business. **These metrics include; strong traffic counts, multiple points of access, increasing nearby populations, strong daytime populations, and destination locations. Retailers are also increasingly aware of more detailed demographic data.**

Consumers and cities increasingly want retail and services within walking distance of their homes. This fact means that the Fashion Place Mall and the areas surrounding it may transition to meet this need. In order for this happen, a variety of uses including housing and office are needed in the immediate proximity.



Map of underutilized properties in the Fashion Place West Study Area

In the case of the Fashion Place Mall, the parcels that surround the mall and face State Street are currently occupied by surface parking. These are ideal locations for the construction of liner buildings. To meet the needs and desires of residents, consumers, and developers, these liner buildings could house a number of uses including office, residential, and restaurants. These uses would support each other- creating a stronger daytime and nighttime population, better supporting existing retail.



9. TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

ROADWAY CONDITIONS


MAJOR STREETS

The study area is defined by one arterial road, State Street, and three major collector streets, Winchester Street/6400 South, Cottonwood Street, South Fashion Boulevard, and I-15/215.

WINCHESTER STREET/ 6400 SOUTH

Winchester Street/6400 South is a three-lane-cross-section arterial between the western edge of the study area and State Street. Left turn lanes are present at the intersections of:

- Cottonwood Street;
- The Fashion Place TRAX station;
- Travis James Lane;



Map showing existing traffic counts on major roads in the study area.

- Jefferson Street; and
- Blaine Drive

Besides these left turn lanes, a central two-way left-turn lane services individual driveways along Winchester Street between Travis James Lane and 150 feet east of Clay Park Drive. The roadway widens to a four-lane-cross-section east of State Street up to the eastern edge of the study area.

There is on-street parking along Winchester Street from the western edge of the study area to 100 feet west of Cottonwood Street.

According to UDOT's 2016 statewide estimates, Winchester Street experiences an average annual daily traffic (AADT) volume of 11,000 vehicles per day between the western edge of the study area and State Street, and it experiences an AADT volume of 25,000 vehicles per day between State Street and the eastern edge of the study area.

There are bicycle sharrows on both sides of Winchester Street from the western edge of the study area to the intersection with Cottonwood Street. From Cottonwood Street east to Jefferson Street, five-foot bike lanes run adjacent to the curb on both sides of Winchester Street. From 100 feet east of Jefferson Street to Malstrom Lane bicycle sharrows again appear in place of bike lanes. The five-foot bike lanes resume along Winchester Street from Malstrom Lane to 100 feet east of Clay Park Drive.

Sidewalks exist on both sides of Winchester Street throughout the study area. All sidewalks are four feet wide, except for a seven-foot wide portion between State Street and South Fashion Boulevard.

COTTONWOOD STREET

Cottonwood Street is configured as a two-lane cross section throughout the study area, with additional right and left turn bays present at the northbound and southbound approaches to Winchester Street. A left turn lane also exists for the northbound approach to 6100 South. A sidewalk narrower than six-feet wide spans the western edge of the roadway from the northern edge of the study area to the southern end of the I-215 overpass bridge. From this southern edge of the bridge, the sidewalk widens to ten feet wide until the intersection with Winchester Street, where the sidewalk narrows to eight feet wide until the intersection with 6500

South. From 6500 South to the southern edge of the study area, the sidewalk further narrows to seven feet wide.

According to UDOT's 2016 statewide estimates, Cottonwood Street experiences an AADT volume of 2,100 vehicles per day across the study area.

A signalized train crossing exists 230 feet south of the intersection with Winchester for the TRAX Red Line train.

STATE STREET


State Street/US-89 is a six-lane, 90-foot wide major arterial across the study area that widens with turn bays at major intersections. There are left-turn bays on the northbound and southbound approaches at the intersections with 6100 South, Creek Drive, and 6790 South. Two southbound left turn lanes and one northbound left turn lane exist at the intersection with 6400 South, along with a channelized right turn lane on the southern approach. Two southbound left turn lanes also exist at the intersection with the I-215 eastbound ramps, along with a right turn lane on the southern leg. 280 feet south of the I-215 ramps, a left turn lane serves the Supersonic



Photo of westbound cross section of Winchester Street near TRAX station

Express Car Wash on the western side of State Street. The intersection with the Sam's





The map above illustrates and scores the quality and existence of crosswalks in the study area. The quality of the crossing experience is scored by various factors listed above.

Club driveway has two left turn lanes on the northern approach of State Street, as well as one left turn lane on the southern approach.

Sidewalks exist on both sides of State Street throughout the study area. Along the roadway between 6100 South and 6400 South, the sidewalks are seven feet wide on the eastern side of State Street and five feet wide on the western side. Between the intersection with 6400 South, the sidewalks on the eastern side of State Street remain at seven feet wide while the sidewalks on the western side widen to eight feet wide. Across the bridge over I-215, the sidewalks on both sides of State Street narrow to five feet in width. From the southern edge of the I-215 bridge to 6790 South, the sidewalks widen to six feet wide on both sides of State Street.

Route 201, one of UTA's most utilized bus routes, runs along State Street across the study area with stops at the intersections with 6100 South, Creek Drive, the Sam's Club Driveway, and 6790 South. UTA's future Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) will also run along

State Street across the study area.

According to UDOT's 2016 statewide estimates, State Street experiences an AADT volume of 36,000 vehicles per day between the northern edge of the study area and Winchester Street, and it experiences an average annual daily traffic volume of 30,000 vehicles per day between Winchester Street and the southern edge of the study area.

SOUTH FASHION BOULEVARD

South Fashion Boulevard has a 60-foot five-lane cross section (two through lanes and one center left turn lane) through the study area. At the intersection with Winchester Street, additional left turn and right turn lanes are also present for the southbound approach. Sidewalks exist on both sides of South Fashion Boulevard with widths of four to six feet. However, most of these sidewalks have little or no buffer zone/park strip between the pedestrian zone and adjacent travel lanes.

According to UDOT's 2016 statewide estimates, South Fashion Boulevard experiences an AADT traffic volume of 12,00 vehicles per day across the study area.

MINOR STREETS

JEFFERSON STREET

Jefferson Street is a north-south neighborhood roadway extending from Winchester Street on the north to the southern edge of the study area. It is an unmarked 30-foot roadway with no sidewalks.

CREEK DRIVE

Creek Drive connects the northern neighborhood in the study area to State Street. It is an unmarked 40-foot roadway with no sidewalks.

6100 SOUTH

6100 South is a 30-foot wide two-lane collector road extending from its westernmost origin with 350 West to the eastern edge of the study area. The roadway extends to 50 feet wide at the signalized intersection with State Street to accommodate a left turn bay and a right turn bay. Five-foot sidewalks exist on both sides of 6100 South for the entirety of the study area. High-visibility crosswalks provide school crossings on the western and southern legs of the intersection with Cedar Street.

SOUTH MALSTROM LANE

South Malstrom Lane is a 25-foot wide unmarked neighborhood roadway with its northernmost point at Winchester Street that narrows to 15 feet wide at the intersection with Caleb Place. The only sidewalk is on the eastern side of the segment from the southern edge of the roadway to 380 feet south of Caleb Place.

400 WEST

400 West turns off 6500 South and extends to the southern edge of the study area. It is a 30-foot wide unmarked roadway that traverses an industrial zone. Sidewalks exist on both sides of 400 West throughout the study area.

6790 SOUTH

6790 South is a 30-foot wide neighborhood collector roadway with four-foot sidewalks on both sides. 6790 South connects neighborhood access roads as far west as Jefferson Street to the State Street arterial. Sidewalks extend from S 70 West to State Street on both sides of the roadway. There are no sidewalks along 6790 South from S 70 West to the western edge of the study area.

ADDITIONAL INSIGHTS

According to the 2017 Murray General Plan, there is concern about traffic on neighborhood roadways originating from heavily congested major streets.

According to the UDOT Numetric collision database there were 493 recorded collisions in the study area from 2017 – 2019, with 34 of those resulting in injuries and none with fatalities. 242 of those collisions were considered intersection related. The largest clustering of collisions occurred at the intersection of Winchester Street and State Street. This intersection also saw the most injury crashes (7), bicycle crashes (3), and pedestrian crashes (2).

The intersection of State Street and Creek Drive had 14 collisions, 11 of which were turning left. Most of these collisions occurred during daylight hours in dry weather conditions.

SUMMARY

- According to the data, State Street has the highest AADT within the study area.



- The intersection of Winchester and State Street has the highest concentration of collisions within the study area.

BICYCLE CONNECTIVITY

The only bicycle infrastructure in the study area is on Winchester Street. From the western edge of the study area to Cottonwood Street, bicycle sharrows exist on both sides of the roadways, giving way to dedicated bike lanes up until 100 feet east of Jefferson Street. From here, a parking lane runs along the curb in place of the bike lane. Sharrows resume along Winchester Street until bike lanes again take over at Malstrom Lane. These dedicated bike lanes continue from Malstrom Lane to 100 feet east of Clay Park Drive, where sharrows resume up to the intersection with State Street.

According to the UDOT Numetric collision database there were 10 recorded bicycle-related collisions within the study area from 2017-2019. Seven of these collisions resulted in injuries, and three of these collisions occurred at the intersection of Winchester and State Streets with drivers often noting that they were unaware of the presence of bicycles.


According to Strava bicycle data, Winchester Street and Cottonwood Street see the most bicycle activity of the study area, as shown in the corresponding map.

The Wasatch Front Regional Council's (WFRC) Regional Transportation Plan and the Murray City General Plan outline several bicycle infrastructure improvements for the study area, as discussed under 'Local and Regional Planning Context' below. The Murray General Plan details current and future/desired bicycle infrastructure as shown in the corresponding map.

According to the 2017 Murray General Plan, citizens would like to bike more but do not feel safe to do so.

SUMMARY

- Winchester Street, which is an important corridor particularly for bicyclists, pedestrians, and transit users to connect the Fashion Place West TRAX station to the Fashion Place Mall, hosts the only bicycle infrastructure in the study area, connecting the TRAX station to State Street. However, this infrastructure is




Strava bicycle heatmap showing bicycle lane activity in the study area.

fragmented, and transitions are not well marked.

- The intersection of Winchester Street and State Street sees the most bicycle-related crashes of any intersection in the study area. The bicycle infrastructure from Winchester Street is not carried into the intersection with State Street.
- State Street has no bicycle infrastructure despite it being an important vehicular connection for the area. It is possible that cyclists do not feel safe to travel on State Street from this high vehicular traffic, discouraging bicycle access to/from the Fashion Place Mall.
- Cottonwood Street has a high volume of bicycles yet has no bicycle infrastructure.
- A narrow sidewalk on Cottonwood Street across the busy interstate leaves pedestrians feeling unsafe as they travel between the TRAX station and final destination.
- Jefferson Street and 6790 South are important streets for the Atwood neighborhood, yet sidewalks are incomplete on both sides of the roadways.

TRANSIT SERVICE



Map of existing and proposed bicycle connectivity in the study area.

TRAX STATION CONNECTIVITY

The Fashion Place West TRAX station is the southernmost TRAX station where the Blue line and the Red line run concurrently. The Red Line connects to Daybreak Parkway in South Jordan and operates every 15 minutes from 5:15am to 11:45pm on weekdays and every 20 minutes from 6:00am-11:30pm on weekends. The Blue Line connects to Draper Town Center and operates every 15 minutes from 5:00am-12:00am on weekdays and every 20 minutes from 5:45am-11:30pm on weekends.

PUBLIC TRANSIT

UTA Route 201 connects Murray Central Station to South Jordan station, operating north/south on State Street in the study area and stopping adjacent to 6100 South, Creek Dr, Sam's Club driveway (southbound only), and 6790 South. The 201 bus runs on half-hour headways from 6am-8pm on weekdays and from 7am-8pm with hour headways on Saturdays. The 201 bus does not operate on Sundays.

The UTA 62 bus connects the Oquirrh Shadows stop in Jordan to the Fashion



Place West TRAX station. Within the study area, the 62 bus runs east/west along Winchester Street from the western edge of the study area to the Fashion Place West TRAX station, stopping at only the Fashion Place TRAX station within the study area. The route with hour headways from 6:30am-6:30pm on weekdays and 90-minute headways from 6:30am-9:30pm on Saturdays. The 62 bus does not operate on Sundays.

The UTA 209 bus connects the Fashion Place West TRAX station to the North Temple TRAX station in downtown Salt Lake City. Within the study area, the 209 bus runs east/west along Winchester Street from the Fashion Place West TRAX station to the eastern edge of the study area, stopping adjacent to Jefferson St, Malstrom Lane, Clay Park Dr, and Fashion Place Mall. The 209 bus operates on 15-minute headways from 6:00am-10:30pm on weekdays, half-hour headways from 7:00am-9:30pm on Saturdays, and on hour headways from 7:30pm-8:00pm on Sundays.

Most bus stops within the study area for all three of these routes consist of signage only, with few shelters, benches, waste receptacles, or other improvements present.

According to the Murray General Plan, the City saw a decline in the use of transit from 2000-2010 while the rest of the State saw an overall increase in the same timeframe.

The table on the facing page outlines the public transit boardings and alightings (exiting the bus) for all the stops and stations in the study area during May, 2019.

The Fashion Place West TRAX station is the most utilized station in the study area with over 1,300 average weekday boardings. Similarly, the bus routes in the study area experience their highest utilizations at the TRAX station connection. Route 62 has about 100 average daily boardings, and Route 209 experiences over 200 daily boardings at the Fashion Place West TRAX station connection.

SUMMARY

- The Fashion Place TRAX station carries thousands of passengers into and out of the study area every week, yet the Fashion Place Mall is not easily accessible from this station if traveling by a means other than personal vehicle.
- Transit is an important mode of transportation for the area, yet most bus stops only have signage.

WALKABILITY

Sidewalks are present throughout much of the study area, all at least four feet wide. State Street, Winchester Street, 6100 South, and 400 West all have sidewalks on both sides of the roadway. A sidewalk exists only on the west side of Cottonwood Street between the northern edge of the study area to Winchester Street, then expands to both sides south of Winchester Street to the southern edge of the study area.

Crosswalks exist at the intersections of:

- Winchester Street & Cottonwood Street;
- Winchester Street & State Street;
- 6100 South & State Street (3 legs);
- State Street & the Sam's Club driveway;
- 6100 South & Cedar Street (2 legs); and
- 6790 South & State Street

Intersections with one crosswalk exist at State Street & Creek Drive, State Street & 6150 South, and Winchester & Blaine Drive.

Roughly half of the neighborhood roadways in the study area have sidewalks on both sides of the street, the other half of the roadways have no sidewalks at all. There are few sidewalks throughout most of the Western Park neighborhood, just north of I-215 between Cottonwood Street and State Street. The Atwood neighborhood on the south side of I-215 has more sidewalks than Western Park, but some streets such as Jefferson Street and Malstrom Lane have only portions of or no sidewalk at all.

According to the 2017 Murray General Plan, people would like to walk more but do not feel safe to do so or feel that desired destinations are not walk-friendly (i.e., large parking lots in front of a store entrance, limited park strip/ street trees on sidewalks).

SUMMARY

- The public may feel discouraged from walking due to a lack of pedestrian-friendly infrastructure, especially at the locations at and adjacent to the Fashion Place Mall.
- Many neighborhood roadways do not have sidewalks, making it difficult for residents to make any trip by foot.

LOCAL AND REGIONAL PLANNING CONTEXT

The Murray City draft General Plan emphasizes the City's desire to improve accessibility by walking, biking, and transit in the corridor between Interstate 15 and State Street to provide adequate infrastructure for existing and planned commercial development. The Plan depicts several improvements to mobility and circulation in the study area:

- Construction on Cottonwood Street to relieve north/south congestion on State Street and 700 West/Murray Boulevard. This project is in progress and the reconstruction of the bridge over I-215 will include sidewalks and bike lanes.
- Encouraging employers to offer incentives and alternatives to relieve peak period vehicular congestion.
- Adopt a complete streets policy to utilize on new and reconstructed roadways where feasible
- Identify transit use impediments and prioritize solutions.
- Develop and implement an Active Transportation Plan
- Implement a dedicated funding source for the improvement of pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
- Implement traffic calming measures on roadways where traffic operates beyond the target speed.

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The WFRC Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) outlines several roadway infrastructure improvements, summarized in map showing future projects:

- State Street is planned for future operational road improvements.
- Winchester Street will be widened from two travel lanes (68-foot right-of-way) to four travel lanes (86-foot right-of-way).
- A new Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) line will operate along State Street throughout the study area, along with the existing Route 201.
- A "priority" buffered bike lane is planned for Cottonwood Street between the northern edge of the study area and Winchester Street, as shown in the Active Transportation Implementation Plan map.
- A shared-use trail is planned to run along the TRAX Blue line from Winchester Street to the southern edge of the study area.



3 VISION & CONCEPT PLAN



3.1 VISION

3.1.1 NEIGHBORHOOD VISION

Over the next three decades, the Fashion Place West Station Area will evolve into a vibrant, inclusive, and walkable urban district centered around high-quality transit, diverse housing options, strong neighborhood identity, and a rich network of public gathering spaces. Guided by strategic infill development, sustained public investment, and partnerships across Murray City, Midvale City, the Utah Transit Authority (UTA), the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), and private property owners, this district will become a model of transit-oriented living and community-centered neighborhood transformation in the Salt Lake Valley.

The transformation will be anchored by several catalytic redevelopment projects, each reinforcing and amplifying the others:

- (a) Redevelopment of UTA-owned property at the station area
- (b) Redevelopment of surface parking at Fashion Place Mall into a mixed-use employment + housing center
- (c) State Street corridor upgrades aligned with “Life on State”



Conceptual rendering of redevelopment around the TRAX station area along Winchester Street.

- (d) Jefferson Park redesign into a stormwater detention and park space
- (e) Commercial development integrated with the new Winchester Bridge

Taken together, these initiatives will reshape both physical form and social possibilities—providing new opportunities for living, working, gathering, and moving through the neighborhood. They will support housing affordability, broaden access to employment and education, and create a stronger sense of place rooted in local character and community values.

A TRANSIT-ORIENTED NEIGHBORHOOD CONNECTED TO OPPORTUNITY

In the future, the TRAX station becomes the active heart of the district, not just a platform for boarding trains. The undeveloped and underutilized UTA-owned parcels surrounding the station evolve into a walkable mixed-use center, replacing surface parking lots and isolated buildings with a compact and well-connected network of housing, small-scale commercial spaces, cafés, co-working spaces, local retail, and community-serving uses. Active ground floors front onto plazas, tree-lined walkways, mid-block connections, and protected bike lanes that radiate out from the station in every direction.

This new Transit District becomes the “front porch” of the neighborhood—an arrival point where residents, employees, and visitors move seamlessly between transit and daily life. Buildings step up in scale closest to the station, with mid-rise and taller mixed-use buildings forming a visible urban center, while transitions to existing single-family neighborhoods are handled through context-sensitive design, incremental height transitions, and



Long-term vision for redevelopment of Fashion Place Mall surface parking areas into a mixed-used neighborhood with structure parking, housing, and office uses.

expanded open space buffers.

The transit hub will be multimodal by design, supporting TRAX, bus service, shared bikes and scooters, and regional trail access. People choose transit because it is convenient, safe, and connected, not because it is the only option.

FASHION PLACE MALL EVOLVES INTO A MIXED-USE EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING CENTER

Over the next 30 years, the Fashion Place Mall will remain a regional retail anchor—but its enormous expanses of surface parking will be gradually transformed into new buildings, streets, and public spaces that expand the economic and cultural role of the site.

Redevelopment will introduce:

- (a) Employment spaces for office, innovation, technology, medical, or educational



uses

- (b) Mid- and high-density housing for a wide range of household sizes and income levels
- (c) Public plazas, linear greens, and pedestrian promenades
- (d) New internal complete streets that link directly to the transit station and surrounding neighborhoods

Rather than a single large district, the area will develop as a series of interconnected blocks, ensuring permeability, human-scale mobility, and continuous street-front activity. Retail remains important, but it becomes integrated into a broader mixed-use environment, ensuring economic resilience even as retail formats continue to evolve.

The mall district becomes a major employment center for the region, reducing commute distances and enabling more residents to “live near where they work.” Housing integrated into the district provides access to jobs, services, and transit without requiring a car—supporting affordability and climate goals.



Conceptual rendering of updates to the State Street corridor in the Study Area.



Conceptual rendering of updates to the Jefferson Park area with better connections and improvements for neighborhood use.

STATE STREET IS REIMAGINED AS A COMPLETE AND LIVABLE CORRIDOR

Over time, the section of State Street near the station transforms from a vehicle-dominated arterial into a multimodal community corridor—in alignment with the “Life on State” vision. Roadway design improvements prioritize walking, biking, transit access, and safer crossings while maintaining necessary through-traffic capacity.

Key improvements include:

- (a) Protected intersections designed for pedestrian and cyclist safety
- (b) Bus prioritization and enhanced stops, including direct connections to TRAX
- (c) Multi-use paths and widened sidewalks, creating a more pleasant street-edge environment
- (d) Street trees, lighting, and façade improvements to create a more human-scaled experience

State Street becomes a place to move through—and a place to stay. With new businesses, public art, improved lighting, and generous public space along the corridor, it becomes a more welcoming front door to the neighborhood.



Conceptual rendering of redevelopment on the Winchester Bridge, reconnect east and west neighborhoods in the Study Area.

JEFFERSON PARK BECOMES A COMMUNITY GATHERING PLACE

Jefferson Park evolves from a basic recreational space into a vibrant contemporary community hub—a place that brings people together and supports the daily rhythms of neighborhood life. The redesigned park may include:

- (a) Flexible spaces for informal hangouts, festivals, and markets
- (b) Shade structures, seating gardens, and comfortable year-round play
- (c) Multi-age recreation including pickleball, playgrounds, small courts, and turf fields
- (d) Community gardens or a teaching orchard
- (e) Outdoor performance and cultural programming spaces

Public investment in the park strengthens neighborhood identity and ensures that the benefits of redevelopment are inclusive, accessible, and shared.

THE NEW WINCHESTER BRIDGE CATALYZES NEIGHBORHOOD-SERVING COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

As the Winchester Bridge is reconstructed to meet safety, capacity, and multimodal



standards, it becomes more than infrastructure—it becomes a gateway and connector. The bridge redesign includes:

- (a) Wider sidewalks and protected bike lanes
- (b) Safe and direct access to the TRAX station
- (c) New pedestrian-oriented streetscaping

Using examples of similar type projects, new structures on the bridge itself can help bridge the divide along Winchester and mitigate the negative impact the Interstate Highway has on the neighborhood. Adjacent mixed-use commercial development will capitalize on increased activity and visibility, providing:

- (a) Local restaurants and cafés
- (b) Neighborhood retail and service-oriented shops
- (c) Small office and co-working spaces
- (d) Housing above ground-floor commercial uses

This corridor becomes a welcoming place to meet, walk, and enjoy daily life—not just pass through.

A COMPLETE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH HOUSING CHOICES FOR ALL

The neighborhood supports a diverse housing ecosystem, including:

- (a) Affordable rental housing
- (b) Missing middle housing (duplexes, fourplexes, cottage courts)
- (c) Mid-rise multifamily housing near transit
- (d) Housing for seniors and people with disabilities
- (e) Family-friendly housing options with access to parks and schools

Redevelopment ensures inclusivity, preventing displacement through coordinated affordability programs, preservation of existing lower-cost units, and partnerships with affordable housing developers.

MOBILITY WITHOUT BARRIERS

The future neighborhood prioritizes:

- (a) Safe and comfortable walking conditions
- (b) Protected and connected bike networks
- (c) Seamless transfers between bus, TRAX, and active modes

- (d) Reduced reliance on private automobiles
- (e) Car share and micromobility options

The entire district becomes a contiguous neighborhood, where daily needs are accessible within a short walk or bike ride.

IDENTITY, CULTURE, AND SHARED STEWARDSHIP

Public art, architecture, gathering spaces, and programming reinforce a strong sense of place rooted in the community’s identity. Local businesses are supported through district-scale management strategies, storefront incubators, and culturally inclusive programming.



Public space investment and multiuse areas can improve economic productivity as well as neighborhood livability for all.

The neighborhood feels like somewhere unique, not anywhere else in the region.

ECONOMIC VITALITY AND OPPORTUNITY

Over thirty years, the neighborhood will have become an engine for local economic vitality. Infill redevelopment and catalytic projects will attract businesses that benefit from proximity to transit and a dense, walkable population. Ground-floor retail and

services will provide day-to-day convenience while supporting entrepreneurship and small business growth.

Employment hubs near the station will generate diverse job opportunities, while integrated training programs and workforce development initiatives will ensure residents have access to these opportunities. By creating a strong connection between transit, housing, and employment, the neighborhood will embody the principle of “access to opportunity,” enabling residents to thrive economically and socially.

Equity-focused development will ensure that growth does not displace long-term residents. Affordable housing, inclusive zoning, and programs that connect residents to education and workforce resources will maintain a diverse and vibrant community.

A SUSTAINABLE, EQUITABLE, AND CONNECTED FUTURE

The Fashion Place West Station Area in 2055 will exemplify the principles of sustainability, equity, and connectivity. By concentrating growth near the TRAX station, leveraging infill redevelopment, investing in catalytic projects, and enhancing infrastructure, the neighborhood will become a model of transit-oriented urbanism.

The community will be:

- (a) Walkable and Bikeable: Streets will be designed for people, not cars, and residents will have safe, convenient options for walking, biking, or using transit.
- (b) Vibrant and Mixed-Use: Residential, commercial, and civic uses will be integrated to create a 24/7 active neighborhood.
- (c) Equitable: A mix of housing types and price points, combined with accessible public spaces and social services, will ensure the neighborhood benefits all residents.
- (d) Resilient: Smart infrastructure, green systems, and sustainable design will create a community capable of adapting to climate, population, and economic changes.
- (e) Connected: Seamless integration with the TRAX station, regional transportation networks, and adjacent neighborhoods will ensure mobility and access to opportunity for all.

Over the next thirty years, the Fashion Place West Station Area will have transitioned from a conventional suburban-commercial corridor into a thriving, human-scaled, and



3.2 CONCEPT PLAN

The Concept Plan for the Fashion Place West Station Area outlines a coordinated approach to land use, mobility, open space, and infrastructure designed to guide transformation over the next several decades. This Concept Plan translates the long-term neighborhood vision into spatial strategies, physical development patterns, and network improvements that will support a transit-oriented, mixed-use, and accessible community centered on the TRAX station. The plan balances redevelopment opportunities with preservation of neighborhood character, improves local mobility and regional connectivity, and expands access to parks, public gathering places, and essential services.

The Concept Plan establishes a framework to guide both public and private investment in a manner that aligns with shared community goals: improving neighborhood quality, increasing transit ridership, enhancing local economic vitality, and expanding access to opportunity for all residents. The plan emphasizes incremental implementation—ensuring improvements are phased, feasible, and responsive to changing economic conditions and community needs. While this plan identifies long-range transformation, it is designed to be flexible enough to adapt to future challenges and opportunities.


1. LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

The land use strategy focuses growth around the TRAX station, major corridors, and underutilized commercial properties, while preserving the scale and character of established residential neighborhoods further from the station. The Concept Plan organizes future land use into three primary character areas: Station Core, Mixed-Use Transition Districts, and Residential Neighborhoods.

Station Core: A Mixed-Use Transit District

The Station Core encompasses the TRAX station and surrounding UTA-owned land. This area is envisioned as the highest-intensity mixed-use district within the neighborhood. The Concept Plan encourages:

- (a) Mid- and high-density housing
- (b) Ground-floor retail, services, and community-supportive businesses
- (c) Small offices, co-working spaces, and employment uses



(d) Civic and public gathering spaces integrated into the station environment

Buildings within the Station Core will generally range between 3-4 stories, with height concentrated closer to the station and transitioning downward toward existing residential neighborhoods. The intent is to create an urban environment that places daily needs—workplaces, groceries, cafes, retail, childcare, healthcare, and recreation—within a short walk.

Development in the Station Core will be anchored by the redevelopment of UTA-owned parcels, transitioning surface parking and underutilized property into a vibrant mixed-use campus with active ground floors and high-quality public realm that encourages walking, biking, and transit use.

Fashion Place Mixed-Use Center

The Concept Plan envisions the redevelopment of surface parking areas at Fashion Place Mall into a dense employment and housing district. The mall itself continues to play a regional retail function, but the land around it becomes a walkable, mixed-use center that extends the life and activity of the site beyond traditional retail hours.

Key development types include:

- (a) Residential over ground-floor commercial
- (b) Office and employment buildings organized around new urban streets
- (c) Structured parking integrated into building environments
- (d) Public plazas, promenades, and internal pedestrian networks

This district becomes a major employment anchor, expanding access to jobs within walking distance of transit, and helping rebalance regional commuting patterns.

Mixed-Use Transition Districts

Corridors including State Street, 6400 South, Cottonwood Street, and Winchester transition from auto-oriented commercial uses to mixed-use corridors with redevelopment, improved sidewalks, active retail frontage, and cross-parcel pedestrian access. Development is incremental and lot-by-lot, supporting market-responsive infill rather than wholesale redevelopment.

Residential Neighborhood Preservation and Enhancement

Existing single-family neighborhoods are retained and reinforced, with infill opportunities focused on “missing middle” housing, such as:

- (a) Duplexes and triplexes
- (b) Cottage courts
- (c) Accessory dwelling units (ADUs)

These housing types add gentle density, expand affordability, and allow existing residents to age in place without disrupting neighborhood character.



Figure 4.0 Walkable and human scale nodes create a more inviting place.

TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Transportation improvements in the Concept Plan emphasize safe, connected, multimodal mobility, reducing dependence on automobiles and improving access to the TRAX station. The mobility strategy strengthens the neighborhood’s connectivity both internally and regionally.

Complete Streets and Corridor Transformations

1. State Street Transformation (Life on State Implementation)

The concept applies Life on State principles to this segment of the corridor:

- (a) Wider sidewalks and landscape buffers
- (b) Protected bicycle infrastructure
- (c) Expanded transit stops with shelters and real-time arrival displays

(d) Façade and lighting improvements to improve the pedestrian experience
State Street transitions from an auto-centric arterial to a livable main street corridor with a safer, more comfortable environment for all travelers.

2. Winchester Street and Bridge Reconstruction

The new Winchester Bridge becomes a critical multimodal connector, including:

- (a) Protected bike lanes
- (b) Continuous sidewalks
- (c) Improved pedestrian crossings
- (d) Landscape and lighting enhancements

Commercial redevelopment at the bridge’s western landing will help transform the corridor into a gateway district.

Station Access and Mobility Hub Enhancements

The TRAX station area will be reconfigured as a multimodal mobility hub, integrating:

- (a) Bus bays and transfer facilities
- (b) Shared micromobility docks
- (c) Secure bicycle parking
- (d) Passenger drop-off and shuttle zones
- (e) Real-time transit information signage

The station becomes the center of daily movement, not just a transit stop.

Neighborhood Greenways and Bicycle Network

A network of north-south and east-west bikeways connects residential neighborhoods to the TRAX station, Fashion Place Mall, State Street, and Jefferson Park. These routes use:

- (a) Traffic calming
- (b) Wayfinding signage
- (c) Protected lanes on key corridors
- (d) Signal improvements at major crossings

The network supports safe mobility for riders of all ages and abilities.



3. OPEN SPACE AND PUBLIC REALM FRAMEWORK

The Concept Plan establishes an interconnected open space system that includes both large civic gathering areas and smaller neighborhood green spaces. The strategy focuses on improving access to parks, enhancing the daily experience of public spaces, and ensuring new development contributes meaningfully to the public realm.

Jefferson Park Redesign

Jefferson Park becomes the neighborhood's central civic and recreation hub, incorporating:

- (a) Flexible event lawns for markets, concerts, and festivals
- (b) Updated athletic courts and play areas
- (c) Community gardens or edible landscape features
- (d) Shade structures, paths, and passive seating areas

The park supports a wide range of community activities and becomes a recognizable identity anchor.

Station Plaza and Public Gathering Spaces

A new Station Plaza forms the civic heart of the Transit District. This space includes:

- (a) Seating terraces
- (b) Shade trees and stormwater-absorbing landscapes
- (c) Public art and performance zones
- (d) Outdoor dining and street vendors

The plaza supports daily use—morning commuters, lunchtime workers, evening gatherings.

Green Corridors and Pedestrian Promenades

Linear green corridors provide safe walking routes between:

- (a) The TRAX station
- (b) Jefferson Park
- (c) The mall redevelopment district

- (d) Residential neighborhoods

These corridors are designed for comfort and shade, reinforcing walkability and environmental sustainability.

4. INFRASTRUCTURE AND SUSTAINABILITY IMPROVEMENTS

The Concept Plan calls for infrastructure updates that support higher-intensity land use, improve environmental performance, and enhance neighborhood resilience.



Investment in public space can create a more livable neighborhood and increase quality of life for all residents.

Stormwater and Green Infrastructure

Development integrates:

- (a) Bioswales
- (b) Permeable pavements
- (c) Tree canopy expansion
- (d) Green roofs and rainwater harvesting where feasible

These strategies reduce runoff, improve water quality, and support climate resilience.

Utility and Capacity Improvements

The plan anticipates:

- (a) Water and sewer upgrades to support increased density
- (b) Energy system modernization, including district-scale clean energy readiness
- (c) Broadband and 5G public access expansion

Utility planning is coordinated with development phasing to minimize disruption and ensure adequate service capacity

Urban Heat and Air Quality Mitigation

Tree canopy expansion, reflective materials, and landscape strategies reduce heat island effects. Planting strategies favor drought-tolerant native species to support long-term sustainability.





4 HOUSING



4.1 INTRODUCTION

Housing investment is a vital component to continued growth and vitality for any community. In recent years, interest in more urban and concentrated housing options have grown across the country, including Murray and the Salt Lake metro area. This interest is driven largely by a demand for housing options that fit changes in demographics, lifestyle, resource use, and budgets.

In order to promote growth and sustained development energy in the Fashion Place West neighborhood, focusing on more diversity of housing options is essential. Because of its location in the valley and proximity to transit, the neighborhood will soon face similar development pressures that are being experienced by other parts of Murray and other cities throughout the Wasatch Front. The small area planning process is a proactive way for the City to define the way in which the study area expects to plan for future growth.



- 15 MINUTES TO FASHION PLACE MALL
- 15 MINUTES TO LIBERTY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL



- 12 MINUTES TO SANDY
- 23 MINUTES TO DOWNTOWN SALT LAKE CITY
- 24 MINUTES TO DAYBREAK
- 70 MINUTES TO PROVO
- 90 MINUTES TO OGDEN



- 40 MINUTES TO PARK CITY
- 10 MINUTES TO BIG COTTONWOOD CANYON
- 23 MINUTES TO SLC AIRPORT

Figure 3.0 Ease of access to transportation networks and jobs centers from this area make it a prime location for expanding housing choices.

More housing brings more people to the neighborhood for more hours of the day than retail or office uses. This change and growth will support the nearby TRAX



Figure 3.1 Housing choices near transit service and other transportation networks are a vital part of expanding economic development in the City and providing affordable household options.

station by increasing the density around it, and with that, increase ridership, as well as support a greater variety of businesses, services, and other uses in the Fashion Place West area.

4.2 HOUSING DEMAND

4.2.1 POPULATION GROWTH

Over the last few years Utah housing inventory has not kept up with the rate of population growth both in single and multi-family dwellings. Overall (for sale and rental) vacancy rates in Salt Lake County are the lowest they have been in over a decade, at approximately 5.5 percent. Rental unit vacancy rates are a bit lower at 4.6 percent. Even though Utah has previously led the nation in homebuilding, constructing homes and apartments at a rate of nearly three times the national average, the state still faces a housing shortage. This lack of supply has led to increasing home prices and rental rates. The Salt Lake Chamber polled their members regarding their thoughts on affordable housing in the region, and almost 95 percent of survey respondents agreed that affordable housing is a major problem for Utah's continued economic growth.

With Utah's population expected to double by 2065, the demand for affordable housing will only increase. In order to accommodate the housing needs of both current and future residents, tools must be implemented that increase inventory, diversify options, and expand affordability. Planning for continued population growth is a primary challenge that the region faces in the short and long-term.

4.2.2 AFFORDABILITY

4.2.2.1 MODERATE INCOME HOUSING

Utah State Code Section 10-9a-403 states that each municipality is required to include a plan for moderate-income housing as part of their General Plan. This plan must facilitate a reasonable opportunity for individuals of moderate-income levels the option to live in the City. Moderate-income housing is defined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as, "housing occupied or reserved for occupancy by households with a gross household income equal to or less than 80 percent of the median gross income for households of the same size in the county in which the City is located."

This section uses the Salt Lake County Area Median Income (AMI) and average household size to determine moderate income thresholds for Murray City. This data will help the City and more specifically, the Fashion Place West study area to



determine housing needs, and thus encourage and incentivize developers to build housing of different types and for differing income levels.

4.2.2.2 COST-BURDENED HOUSEHOLDS

A household spending 30 percent or more of its gross income on total housing expenses—rent or mortgage, basic utilities, and property taxes—is considered cost burdened. A household spending 50 percent or more of its gross income on housing is considered to be severely cost burdened.

In the state of Utah, one in three households (~66,000) face a housing cost burden demanding at least 30 percent of monthly income, and one in eight households (~125,000) face a severe cost burden. In Salt Lake County, 24 percent of low income households (30-50 percent of AMI), and 75 percent of extremely low income households (less than 30 percent AMI), are severely cost burdened. These households are far more susceptible to changes in the economy or personal emergencies, either of which could result in dire financial consequences or even homelessness.

Providing support for the cost burdened households in Murray is needed to reduce the number of short-term residents and create more stable neighborhoods.




Figure 3.2 Strong population growth in Salt Lake County will ensure increased demand for housing in more walkable and bikeable neighborhoods near employment centers.

4.2.2.3 NEW MARKET-RATE HOUSING

There is a common misconception around the construction of new market-rate housing in lower income areas and how this development affects housing costs of more affordable housing options nearby. Market-rate housing is defined as any type of residential dwelling—whether the unit is to be owner or renter occupied—that is available at the prevailing market value for the area, and similar to comparable real estate transactions. Contrary to common concerns, new market-rate construction slows local rent increases rather than initiate or accelerate them. A recent study* performed by the Upjohn Institute shows that new market-rate buildings have the capacity to decrease nearby rents by 5-7 percent relative to locations slightly farther away or developed later, and can also increase in-migration from low income areas. The study also shows that new construction decreases the average income of people moving to the area by approximately 2 percent, as well as the number of people moving to the area who are from very low income neighborhoods by almost 3 percent. This is due to the fact that new buildings reduce costs in lower segments of the housing market.

Another misconception about the construction of new market-rate housing in a lower income neighborhood is that this development contributes to or initiates gentrification. The Upjohn Institute study found that new construction actually tends to occur after a neighborhood has already begun to change, or gentrify. The end result is the eventual accommodation of pre-existing demand, diverting high-income households from nearby units and reducing rents, instead of signaling that a neighborhood is now desirable.

Murray City should adopt strategies that encourage housing development. Regulatory restrictions on housing development can lead to higher rents, and faster home price growth. This leads to fewer people moving into economically successful areas. Strategies that promote residential construction foster more economically



of survey respondents agree that affordable housing is a major problem for Utah's continued economic growth (Source: The Salt Lake Chamber)

Figure 3.3 Survey responses regarding housing affordability.

integrated neighborhoods, which also promotes economic mobility and housing options for low income residents. Market-rate housing construction not only improves regional affordability, but also neighborhood affordability.

4.2.2.4 ENERGY PRICES

In a world of higher energy costs, it will be essential to consider the combined costs of housing, transportation, and utilities—to ensure that families have adequate residual incomes to afford other necessities. This in turn suggests the importance of policies and practices that help to reduce these combined costs, for example, by ensuring the availability of affordable homes near public transit and job and retail centers—so that families have options to reduce car usage. Such options may include walking, biking, public transit use, or shorter and fewer car trips.

4.3 HOUSING SUPPLY

4.3.1 LIFE CYCLE HOUSING

Murray City and the Fashion Place West neighborhood should be a place where residents can live in the City and in their neighborhood through any stage of life. The General Plan discusses life cycle housing throughout the document, with the goal to encourage diverse housing types that respond to housing needs, allowing individuals to stay in their communities as their housing needs evolve.

Life cycle housing involves reintroducing the model of providing a mix of housing types in a neighborhood. Typical suburban development tends to segregate people based on their income. By addressing all stages of life, ranging from young couples, the fixed-income student, to the aging grandparent, a wide variety of individuals and families live in proximity to each other, creating a more dynamic social environment, and more choices for any household. A neighborhood that has housing options for all of these groups is less dependent on any one particular demographic group, and will see more social stability as individual households are able to stay within established social networks, despite changes in household needs.

Life cycle housing is a housing strategy that the City should continue to support and identify how the housing stock in the Fashion Place West area can be diversified beyond its current housing stock.



4.3.2 HOUSING OPTIONS

Neighborhoods centered around public transit and transit-oriented development (TOD) are intended to provide a wider range of choices in transportation, retail, and housing. Housing for people of all income levels is especially appropriate in these types of neighborhoods. Housing choices in transit-oriented developments allow a greater number of people from a wider range of backgrounds and affordability levels to access jobs without driving. Additionally, residents of lower income levels are more often transit-dependent than residents within middle-income brackets. Expanding housing styles, types, and providing housing near frequent and effective transit increases quality of life and access to employment opportunities and services. Increasing housing choices and development will help meet the changing residential demand and build a larger residential economic base.




Figure 3.4 Renters in Salt Lake County make up the majority of cost-burdened households.

4.3.2.1 PHYSICAL HOUSING TYPES

In order to respond to Murray's changing demographics and the housing needs of its diverse community, it is critical to begin to look within the City for real and responsive change that will encourage the market to develop the housing and infrastructure needed to accommodate our growing community. This goal focuses on the need to increase the diversity of housing types and opportunities in the City by seeking policy reforms that can enhance the flexibility of the land use code and create an efficient and predictable development process for community growth. Strategic policy decisions that integrate the transportation system, development related infrastructure, financial institutions, and data, as well as innovative design and construction methods, can break down social and economic segregation, thus building a City for everyone.

While the Fashion Place West study area is predominately built-out, there is ample opportunity for redevelopment and infill development of existing parcels that complement current development patterns. Context sensitive development can ensure the character of neighborhoods is protected and enhanced by new development. While the type and location of housing is largely driven by the market, land use regulations and City policies can help guide the development. The Fashion Place West study area has the capacity for infill development of appropriate types and locations, and can benefit from partnerships with local housing developers who are already active in creating urban, mixed-use, multifamily projects. The City and development community can work together to address changes in housing preferences and needs, and provide more housing choices for buyers and renters at all price levels to meet housing objectives.

4.2.2.2 FOR RENT AND FOR SALE HOUSING

A healthy housing stock requires a diverse inventory of for-sale and for-rent products. These products can and should take many different forms. Units designed and constructed to be rented and owned can include single-family homes, condominiums, townhomes, apartments, as well as accessory dwelling units (ADUs). Residents require different styles of housing at different points in their lives. Within the Fashion Place West study area, for-sale single-family homes dominate the landscape. As mentioned previously, the area does include both an apartment and condominium development but other housing types do not exist. Diversifying the

nature of the for-sale and rental market in the study area will further contribute to creating an affordable neighborhood and City.

4.4 TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING

4.4.1 AFFORDABILITY AND TRANSIT

Increased public transit options and proximity to housing and job centers can have a great impact on the increase of affordable housing options. The Metropolitan Planning Council and Center for Housing Policy performed a study in 2010 that identified public transportation as a key variable to the availability of affordable housing. In order to make housing cheaper, public transportation needs to be more accessible and less expensive, and a municipality's definition of affordable housing should include transportation costs.

Affordable housing that is more compact and closer to transit lowers housing costs. When compact, residential development is located near public transit hubs or work centers, it can decrease transportation costs and cut down on travel time for working individuals. Local policy makers, as well as those at the regional and state levels have the responsibility to adopt or amend current regulations to encourage the development of housing near transit centers.

4.4.2 HOME VALUES AND TRANSIT

According to a study performed by the National Association of Realtors (NAR), housing next to public transportation increases home values. These neighborhoods have median sales prices 4-24 percent higher than those of neighborhoods farther away from public transit. Home price gains in these transit-oriented communities make sense because these areas typically are in high demand, where more businesses, restaurants, and opportunities tend to be located.

According to the same study by the NAR, homeowners also have flexibility when they live near public transit—1 in 4 homes were shown to not own a car. Additionally, average yearly transportation costs of households near transportation were between \$2,500 and \$4,400 less than those farther away. Living near transit services makes the most sense for anyone who needs easily accessible public transportation for daily work commuters, reducing driving costs and vehicle wear and tear.





Figure 3.6 Graphic showing necessary household income to purchase a home in Salt Lake County.

4.4.3 WALKABILITY

A recent study completed by the real estate website Redfin, showed that in two-thirds of large metropolitan areas, walkable neighborhoods have higher home values than car dependent ones. Additionally, walkable neighborhoods appreciated faster than car-dependent ones in 44 of 51 large metro areas in the past seven years.

Houses with high levels of walkability (according to the website WalkScore) command a premium over otherwise similar homes in less walkable locations. Estimates are that a single additional point of WalkScore is worth \$3,500 in additional home value. As shown in the graph above, in Salt Lake County walkable home prices are 32 percent higher than car-dependent homes. Additionally, walkable homes have increased in price 19.3 percent faster than car-dependent homes.

The walkability premium is a clear market signal of the significant and growing value Americans attach to walkability. It is also an indication that we have a shortage of



Figure 3.7 With the projected increase in population over the next 20 years, market-rate and more income-dependent housing options will be important to maintaining affordability.

walkable urban centers to meet the demand of walkable urban-style places. We have not been building new walkable neighborhoods in large enough numbers to meet demand; nor have we been adding housing in the walkable neighborhoods we already have fast enough to house all those who would like to live in them.

4.5 MURRAY POLICY

Of the approximately 245 acres and 777 parcels that make up the Fashion Place West study area, 577 or 74 percent of those are residential land uses. The remaining 200 parcels make up the other 26 percent of the parcels and are occupied by non-residential land uses.

The existing housing stock in the Fashion Place West study area is aging. Most of the single-family homes were built in the 1960s with one smaller development built in the 1990s. There are also two multi-family developments within the study area. The South 67 Condos were built in the 1970s, and are an individually owned townhome style development.

The existing single-family residential homes along Winchester Street are not a complementary use, given the speed and frequency of traffic on the road. New

residential construction should complement the area in massing, while offering a variety and differentiated housing types than what currently exists. Overall, the housing stock within the Fashion Place West neighborhood lacks diversity. The area is primarily market-rate single-family homes with one apartment development, and one condominium development.

4.5.1 2017 GENERAL PLAN AND HOUSING

The recommendations and strategies in the Housing section are built on the City's goals from the 2017 Murray General Plan. The Future Land Use Map above gives a visual representation of the General Plan, which discusses the concept of preserving existing housing and expanding housing choice throughout the City. Due to the current housing shortage in the state, housing is a key issue to be addressed.



4.5.1.1 KEY INITIATIVE #3

Initiative #3 in the General Plan is based around creating Livable and Vibrant Neighborhoods.

In order create success around this General Plan Initiative, corresponding land use and zoning regulations must be amended in order to provide more opportunities for life cycle housing within residential areas. Life cycle housing can include many different types, but diversity in housing means providing a variety of housing types that are accessible to all income levels. Single-family homes, town homes, duplex and triplex units, apartments, and ADUs, (such as mother-in-law apartments) are examples of the many different housing styles that the neighborhood could utilize.

4.5.1.2 CHAPTER 5: LAND USE AND URBAN DESIGN

Chapter 5 of the General Plan describes general recommendations for future land uses and urban design. Objectives that support this goal as it relates to housing include providing a mix of housing options and residential zones to meet a diverse range of needs related to lifestyle and demographics, including age, household size, and income.

4.5.1.3 CHAPTER 8: NEIGHBORHOODS AND HOUSING

The Neighborhoods and Housing section of the General Plan prescribes various methods to plan for the future of Murray's residential neighborhoods. The goal of this section is to "provide a diversity of housing through a range of types and development patterns to expand the moderate-income housing options available to existing and future residents."

4.6 CURRENT ZONING

The zoning map, when it was adopted, predominately mirrored pre-existing land uses. The current zoning in the study area allows for residential but predominately very low density.

The R-1-8, R-M-15, and R-N-B zones are the only zones in the study area that allow residential development. The R-1-8 designation is applied to all single-family homes within the study area, both north and south of I-215.

The **Single-Family Residential (R-1-8)** adjacent to Winchester Street should transition to a zoning designation that is more conducive to the major arterial that is Winchester Street. Residential land uses that are appropriate for parcels along major thoroughfares include higher density residential and a mix of uses. The single-family housing stock in the study area fills a need in the housing market and should largely be left preserved with the existing zoning.

The **Multi-Family (R-M-15)** zoning designation includes the apartment complex as the condominium complex within the study area. The density and height should be increased for those parcels that are adjacent to the Commercial Development (C-D) zone. The parcels that are adjacent to single-family homes should increase in density but be required to be a lower height or density at the property line and step up to the maximum density as the buildings near the Commercial District zone.

The zoning in the study area does not allow a mix of uses. In a successful transit-oriented development, a mix of uses is encouraged. This mix usually is in reference to ground floor active commercial uses with residential units above. These residential units can be a for-sale or for-rent product and of varying sizes.



Figure 3.8 Life cycle housing is a strategy to ensure that all households have access to housing choice in their neighborhood throughout their lifetime.

The **Manufacturing (M-G)** designation is applied to a majority of the parcels that surround the TRAX station as well as the western portion of the study area along I-15.

This area has natural breaks from the single-family homes with the rail line, I-15, and I-215. Those facts make this an ideal location to transition to four to seven story residential towers in the future.

Residential uses around transit stations and adjacent to freeways should include much higher densities as they are not adjacent to single-family or lower density homes. The highest residential densities should be concentrated at points closest to the Fashion Place West TRAX station and the areas between the rail lines and I-15.

The **Commercial District (C-D)** zone is the eastern portion of the Fashion Place



West study area. This zone includes Fashion Place Mall and the east and west sides of State Street within the study area. While the C-D zone has height allowances that are somewhat favorable for this area, residential is not currently allowed in this zone.

While the **Mixed-Use Development (M-U)** zone is not applied to parcels within the Fashion Place Study area, the M-U zone is versatile enough that it could be applied in and around the Fashion Place West Station area. Higher density uses including residential are encouraged, and single-family homes and duplexes are not permitted.

Text amendments are necessary in order to encourage and incentivize more housing in the area. Increased densities are necessary given the geographic location, housing demand in the region and throughout the state, and proximity to the TRAX station.



Figure 3.9 Housing supply of all kinds at all price points is lacking throughout the region.

4.7 HOUSING SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In order for transit-oriented development to be successful, it is important for advocates to also be strong supporters of new housing development. The demand for walkable living across varying demographic groups is quite positive for most communities, particularly those that can provide good transit service and access to job centers and recreation, like the Fashion Place West neighborhood.

One of the key strategies of the The Wasatch Front Regional Council's (WFRC) Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is to focus growth around multi-modal transportation neighborhood centers. These centers are created using community input and are reflective of the desires of the local population. These centers can become the focus of a strong market for moderately priced and life cycle housing for all income levels, as well as accessible jobs and services.

Unfortunately, many communities struggle to build more housing choices, often due to public misconception. Public and political resistance to increased residential densities often needed in order for projects to be viable, often prolong the development process several months, if not years, making a community far less attractive to developers.



**4-24%
HIGHER**

median sales price of neighborhoods near public transit

Figure 3.10 Public transit can greatly increase home values.

With the current optimism and excitement apparent in Murray, it is vital that new housing growth be seen as a positive rather than a negative. The support of City officials is critical for the successful growth and development of context-sensitive housing. In addition, Murray's Fashion Place West neighborhood has a great deal of under-utilized land that could be converted to more productive and active uses, such as housing and mixed-use projects.

More compact development is also better able to support dedicated public transit, also helping to reduce individual transportation costs and area traffic congestion. This could also lead to a reduction in car usage and corresponding energy use and carbon



1 in 4 homes, within proximity to public transit, does not own a personal vehicle

Figure 3.11

emissions.

In Utah, housing and affordable housing is an issue that all municipalities face. In order to effectively address the crisis, these same municipalities must use a two-pronged approach; relax regulations on types of allowable housing types as well as regulations around permitting and processes surrounding public transportation infrastructure. Improvement and an increase in flexibility of public transit and housing policy could lead to the redevelopment of urban areas that have long been neglected.



Figure 3.12 Connectivity for bicycles offers an affordable mode of transportation and recreation to an area.



4.8 HOUSING IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

This implementation strategy weighs current market conditions, regulations, and best practices. These important factors help to identify and outline clear priorities and policy amendments that will improve housing development and opportunity within the study area.

4.8.1 HOUSING PRIORITIES

In order to expand housing choice in the study area, the following priorities have been identified:


1. Offer services and amenities near housing.
2. Provide housing for all stages of life.
3. Create a walkable neighborhood.
4. Increase residential allowable densities for development along and adjacent to the Fashion Place West TRAX station, I-15, and State Street, by increasing parking densities using structured parking in conjunction with mixed-use developments.
5. Address established residential neighborhoods by creating responsible transitions between existing residential and new, higher density developments.
6. Incorporate a mix of uses into new residential developments as well as existing single-use zone districts.

4.8.2 POLICY UPDATES AND LAND USE AMENDMENTS

Policy changes the City can implement will begin the process of change for the study area, including the following:

1. Create new Fashion Place West zone district (FPW) modeled off existing TOD zone with the following revisions:
 - (a) Parking
 - (i) Include shared parking provision.

- (ii) Reduce residential requirements contingent upon proximity to TRAX station, shared parking calculation, etc.
 - (iii) Implement parking maximums.
- (b) Reduce front yard setback from 15 feet and 25 feet, to 0 feet
- (c) Implement maximum setback requirements.
- (d) Consider a decrease of open space percentage requirements from 20 percent to 10 percent.
- (e) Ground floor activation, requirements, and language.
2. Re-zone areas within the study area per recommendations of the General Plan.



5 CONNECTIVITY



5.1 INTRODUCTION

The study area is home to the Fashion Place West TRAX station, a major transit hub located over half a mile from Fashion Place Mall. Transporting people, especially to and from the TRAX station to the mall, is key to the area's continued economic vitality. While motorized vehicular infrastructure is well-established, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit infrastructure are not consistent through the area, and safety features could be added.

5.2 PREVIOUS PLANNING EFFORTS

5.2.1 2008 LIFE ON STATE

In 2008, the Life on State project established a shared vision for the future of the valley's 17-mile-long central corridor, State Street. The project was a collaborative effort between all six cities along State Street, Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC), Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), Utah Transit Authority (UTA), Salt Lake County (SLCo), Salt Lake Chamber, Murray Chamber of Commerce, and the Downtown Alliance.

The vision for State Street was built on broad involvement with residents and stakeholders, and was detailed in the document. The belief was that this collaborative effort would create a safe environment for private investment consistent with the vision. The concept was that moving in a new direction was not as risky a proposition if it is backed by a strong, enduring commitment from the partnership.



Figure 4.0 Walkable and human scale nodes create a more inviting place.

5.2.2 MURRAY CITY GENERAL PLAN

The Murray City General Plan emphasizes the City's desire to improve accessibility for pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transit riders in the corridor between I-15 and State Street to provide adequate infrastructure for existing and planned commercial development.

5.3 BEST PRACTICES

5.3.1 CONNECTIVITY

Establishing better connections and improving the street grid between commercial areas, public transit, and surrounding neighborhoods begins by identifying locations, such as Fashion Place Mall, where the established street grid is not maintained, and establishing a plan to extend the grid when new development or redevelopment occurs. This will increase connectivity and diminish the island effect that is commonly created by these types of commercial land uses.

Designing and planning to implement more human-scale building design standards and improved streetscapes will help to guarantee that future development follows the grid with street design, building massing, and connectivity.

5.3.2 WALKABILITY

The experience of an individual on foot in an urban place can have lasting impacts on how a person feels about their community. Walkability is influenced by many factors, many of which are the degree to which human-scale design concepts are addressed. Slowing auto traffic, encouraging ground-floor activation of buildings, improving streetscapes, incorporating public art elements, and shortening distances between destinations can create more walkable places. According to Foot Traffic Ahead, published in 2019 by the George Washington University School of Business and Smart Growth America, retail space in well connected walkable commercial areas can rent for 121 percent (over two times) over drivable suburban commercial space.

Walkable places are increasingly valued by potential residents, visitors,

business owners, developers, and property owners. Findings in a recent report show that walkable urban places are also extremely economically beneficial to the local municipalities in which they reside, with properties in these areas also highly valued. Walkable urban office space has a 105 percent rent per square foot over drivable suburban space.

5.3.3 PLANNING FOR FUTURE TRAFFIC

With projected growth and development in and around the study area, traffic is likely to increase. The following measures offer a variety of ways to mitigate traffic and plan for future growth.




Figure 4.5 The walkability of the Study Area is severely impacted by major physical barriers, such as the Interstates and rail corridors that cut through the neighborhood. The 10-minute 'Walkshed' is actually much smaller than the typical 1/2 mile radius, due to the impact of these barriers.





Figure 4.1 Successful connectivity includes consideration of active transportation.

5.3.4 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS AND MITIGATION

Personal vehicles are a primary mode of transportation in Murray, leading to congestion on certain roadway segments during peak hours. Signals throughout the study area should be optimized and synchronized as an inexpensive and quick way to mitigate congestion. If signal timing adjustments do not alleviate the congestion—turn bays might need to be added or lengthened. Adding lanes should be a last resort in alleviating traffic congestion as implementation is expensive, occupies valuable right-of-way, increases the number of conflicts, and increases crossing distances for pedestrians and bicyclists.

The oncoming development around Winchester Street and 700 West will increase traffic along Winchester, likely impacting the study area. The intersection should be properly adjusted using the above techniques to ensure a satisfactory level of service. Additionally, the signal at Winchester Street and Cottonwood Street should be synchronized with the signal at Winchester Street and 700 West to prevent backups and delay. As of 2016, Winchester Street had 11,000 annual average daily traffic (AADT) of its 16,000 AADT capacity. Winchester still has 5,000 AADT capacity to absorb additional traffic from new development.

5.3.5 LEVEL OF SERVICE AS A MEASURE

Level of Service (LOS) has been the standard method to evaluate the operational efficiency of an intersection for vehicles and for determining vehicular impact from developments. LOS is a calculation of delay per vehicle at a given intersection, ranging from A (least amount of delay) to F (worst amount of delay). It is not until recently that communities have begun to revise their measures of intersection quality and development impact. The state of California adopted Vehicle-Miles Traveled (VMT), a method that measures the total distance traveled by individual roadway users along a corridor or in a network, as the new method for roadway flow evaluation, replacing LOS under SB-743. This new method analyzes traffic along with land use to reduce necessary trips and accounts for all users of a roadway network whereas LOS only analyzes the flow of motorized vehicles through an intersection. VMT was prioritized over LOS in California to report on the efficiency of a roadway network as well as describe the environmental effects associated with fuel consumption, emissions, and public health.

VMT is calculated by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation rate multiplied by the individual trip length. The further users are required to travel, the higher the VMT. Similarly, as the number of users required to travel increases, the VMT increases as well. Different land use scenarios affect VMT—integrating daily services within residential areas lowers the distance required to travel, thus lowering the VMT.

VMT projections are already included in the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) travel demand model and should be used when planning for future growth. This can be analyzed by an individual project (i.e., the trips to and from a new grocery store) or by the impact of an individual project on a network (i.e., the trips to and from a new grocery store would reduce VMT to and from existing grocery stores, thus decreasing the VMT for the greater area). While VMT does not have specific thresholds as LOS does, generally a reduction in overall network VMT is considered successful.

In addition to utilizing VMT as a metric, accepting a lower LOS (i.e. LOS E or F) is becoming more popular in the more urbanized areas throughout the western United States. The Sugar House neighborhood in Salt Lake City is a local example where priority has been given to all other modes of transportation before motorized vehicles. This has helped keep the right-of-way at a manageable size for all modes

of transportation and also encouraged more economic growth. This same approach can be taken throughout the Fashion Place study area, particularly along State Street, Winchester Street, and Cottonwood Street as they provide direct connections to major attractions and residential neighborhoods in the study area. Prioritizing VMT over LOS will encourage a more multi-modal and mixed-use environment, therefore reducing pollution and noise, making the area more enjoyable for both residents and roadway users. The entire study area itself has the potential to become a destination, rather than solely the pockets around popular attractions.

Recommendations for the Fashion Place West study area include considering VMT in evaluating the efficiency of traffic flow with the understanding that a low-ranking LOS at certain intersections might in fact promote other modes of transportation and move more vehicles through a corridor.

5.3.6 INDUCED DEMAND

Induced demand is the additional travel associated with a lower cost or lower time necessary to make a trip. These extra trips often occur due to the widening of an already congested roadway as additional lanes initially reduce travel time and fuel costs. However, the corridor soon reaches its capacity in a matter of years, as shown by a study done by Fehr & Peers in conjunction with Caltrans, U.C. Davis, and the California Governor's Office of Planning and Research. Induced demand also applies to the installation of walkways and bike lanes. Creating a safe space for these vulnerable users encourages an increase in non-motorized traffic. Induced demand explains both the idea that more lanes mean more traffic, and the notion that building infrastructure for alternative modes encourages people to use those modes. Overall, induced demand is the concept that proper infrastructure brings more users than existing conditions.

Implementation recommendations include safe pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure to encourage an increase in non-motorized users to reduce the amount of vehicular traffic on area roadways. Connections to the Fashion Place West TRAX station are particularly important as the station is a hub for pedestrians and cyclists. Implementing bike lanes and wide sidewalks along Winchester Street and Cottonwood Street would provide safe access for cyclists and pedestrians to the area's neighborhoods and to Fashion Place Mall. A crosswalk on Cottonwood Street at the northern side of the TRAX station would provide convenient pedestrian access






Figure 4.5 Household Access to Jobs: Transit For each traffic analysis zone (TAZ), colors indicate household accessibility to jobs, within a typical transit commute, relative to the average score for the highlighted area. The labels indicate the number of jobs accessible to each TAZ's households within a typical transit commute. (84K = 84,000 jobs) More info: <https://bit.ly/2Qrt9gO>

to jobs and homes on the northern side of I-215. Providing safe and convenient infrastructure to non-motorized users, particularly at this transit hub, offers a competitive alternative to driving a car. This will in turn induce a higher use of active transportation modes which activates spaces and increases the vibrancy of the area. Adding more lanes to roadways in the study area should be avoided where possible as this will encourage more vehicles on these already high-volume roadways.

5.3.8 ACCESS TO OPPORTUNITIES (ATO)

Access to Opportunities (ATO), is a way to measure how well people can connect to basic needs and amenities including jobs, schools, grocery, retail, parks, community centers, and entertainment. On a broad scale, ATO metrics quantify how well current and future transportation networks and infrastructure coordinate with land uses in order to assist local economies and communities to thrive.

Increased accessibility can have significant impacts on overall community livability while improving residents' connections to the services necessary to promote upward mobility such as education, employment, healthcare, social services, and other basic amenities. ATO could also serve as a guide for Murray City to pursue the best possible transportation planning and land use decisions in support of community choice and economic vitality.

5.3.8.1 UNDERSTANDING NEEDS OF VULNERABLE COMMUNITIES THROUGH ATO

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) defines under-served individuals as those that are low Income, a minority, elderly, a child, have limited English proficiency, or those with disabilities. Vulnerable Communities are those census block groups where any of the following conditions is met:

- Greater than 25 percent lower income populations are highlighted, as a lack of access to reliable and efficient transportation can be a major barrier to economic mobility
- Greater than 40 percent minority populations are included in this definition, as many land use and transportation investments in the U.S. have, historically, adversely impacted racial and ethnic groups. WFRC strives to prevent future projects from having a similar disproportionate impact
- Greater than 10 percent zero-car households are included, as these are populations which include those with disabilities, depend more on transit, paratransit, walking, and bicycling to reach employment and other destinations

ATO can help communities understand the separation of residents from employment opportunities and other basic needs, at a neighborhood level. This is especially crucial for under-served populations that would benefit most from alternative modes of transportation to access daily services.

5.3.8.2 STRATEGIES FOR INCREASING ACCESS

An Access to Opportunities measure can facilitate decision-making for and beyond transportation planning, in supporting upward socioeconomic mobility. Cities and developers can improve access to opportunity in a myriad of ways by mixing uses and clustering growth near high speed and high frequency transit.

Land use solutions that improve Access to Opportunities include:

- Growth centers near high-capacity transportation,
- Higher density development between 2-6 stories depending on location,
- Intermixing homes and jobs, and
- Street design that encourages local investment along the street.

Transportation solutions that can improve Access to Opportunities include:

- Reduced congestion,
- A more connected street network,
- Increased transit frequency and coverage,
- Bicycle and pedestrian connections, and
- Higher travel speeds on key commuter (non-neighborhood) routes.



5.3.9 WAYFINDING

Wayfinding can be a low-cost high-impact tool to increase mobility and promote commercial retail throughout the study area. Including informational signage at popular area destinations that direct pedestrians and bicyclists towards appropriate facilities will improve the convenience and safety of all roadway users.

The TRAX station should feature signs indicating the direction and distance of key areas such as Fashion Place Mall, the Murray Senior Recreation Center, and Grant Park. Likewise, the mall should display informational signs at entrances and exits to direct shoppers and workers to the various transportation options available: parking areas, bicycle infrastructure, walkways, micromobility parking locations, bus stops, and preferred route to the TRAX station.

Furthermore, signage should be implemented along these alternative mode routes



Figure 4.3 Traffic congestion along Winchester Street is a major community concern as expressed in a recent survey of residents in the area.


to reaffirm the route and encourage economic travel towards the commercial retail centers throughout the study area.

Winchester Street is in particular need of wayfinding as it directly connects the TRAX Station with Fashion Place Mall. Signs should be located at the exits of both the

station and the mall to guide users.

Wayfinding signs should also be placed at the intersection of State Street and Winchester Street where cyclists must begin to turn into the mall property. Directing cyclists to the most robust bike infrastructure network can increase comfort and confidence of users.

Other locations that would benefit from wayfinding include Liberty Elementary School, Grant Park, Jefferson Park, as well as the future Porter Rockwell Trail extension.



Pedestrian and bicycling trails such as the future extension of the Porter Rockwell Trail are crucial to the interconnectivity between communities in the area.

5.4 STREETS AND BLOCKS

5.4.1 FREEWAY INFRASTRUCTURE

There are two freeway overpass bridges in the study area, one on Winchester Street and one on Cottonwood Street. Both bridges are in need of active transportation improvements due to narrow and cluttered sidewalks. The Cottonwood Street bridge has limited space due to the TRAX rails and only features a sidewalk on the west side of the bridge. This sidewalk is narrow (4 feet wide) and does not connect with the sidewalk on the north side of the bridge. While the Winchester Street bridge features sidewalks on both sides of the roadway, these sidewalks are also narrow (4 feet wide), covered with garbage, are in close proximity to traffic, and only separated by a chain-link fence from the freeway traffic below. It is an uncomfortable experience for the pedestrian and bicyclists. Recommendations include removing the two-way left-turn lane to make space for a wider sidewalk with a buffer when the bridge undergoes repair.

A second innovative option is to reconstruct the Winchester Street bridge with a wider structure to provide space for small shops to be located along the roadway. This would be a first-of-its-kind feature for Murray City and the State of Utah as the nation's first multi-use freeway overpass. A mixed-use environment would also create a lower-stress route for pedestrians to include a buffer between the below freeway vehicles and the vehicles on Winchester Street. This type of project would require heavy involvement from and coordination with UDOT.

5.4.2 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

The intersection of State Street and Creek Drive had 14 collisions from 2017-2019, 11 of which were making left-turn movements, primarily from State Street northbound onto Creek Drive and from the mall entrance westbound onto State Street. This intersection is located roughly 900 feet from the intersections at 6100 South and 6400 South, well under the threshold of the required 2,640 feet for UDOT signal spacing for this roadway. Restricting left-turn movements from either or both roadways would reduce the number of potential conflicts, increasing safety for the intersection.

The intersection of Winchester Street and 700 West is surrounded by developing property and will experience a growth in traffic volumes in the coming years. This



growth will likely cause an increase in traffic towards local destinations such as the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall, both of which are located along Winchester Street, likely causing an increase in traffic along the corridor.

5.4.3 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

Arterials: High-volume and wide roadways often are accompanied with higher speeds. It is very important to install buffers between sidewalks and bike lanes and the roadway to provide a lower level of stress and better sense of safety to non-motorized users. State Street experiences the highest level of vehicular traffic and has the highest speed limit in the study area. It is very important to implement proper pedestrian infrastructure to ensure the safety of all roadway users. Adding a buffer will increase pedestrian safety and decrease chances of vehicle-pedestrian collisions. All signals along the State Street corridor should be synchronized.

Collectors: These mid-speed roadways with great connectivity are very suitable for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. Cottonwood Street and Winchester Street are connectors in the study area. Both roadways should feature continuous bike lanes and sidewalks at least 6 feet wide with a buffer between the roadway.

Neighborhood Streets: These roadways operate at a low speed and volume and are typically safer for cyclists to ride in the roadway. Several neighborhood streets in the study area currently have no pedestrian infrastructure. Pedestrian infrastructure is vital to connecting homes to the larger mobility network. Recommendations include installing sidewalks and advisory shoulders—dashed lanes at the edge of the roadway reserved for non-motorist roadway users—where possible on all neighborhood roadways.

5.5 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION

According to comments received during the public input process of the 2017 Murray General Plan, citizens would like to walk and bike more but do not feel safe to do so. Implementing the following recommendations can improve a user's comfort when using active transportation infrastructure.

5.5.1 CYCLING ENHANCEMENTS

Incorporating bicycle friendly elements into the Fashion Place West neighborhood can take shape in many forms, including the addition of bicycle amenities, as well as

supporting infrastructure improvements. Examples include bike racks, covered or indoor storage, and service stations for quick tune-ups or to fill flat tires.

Finally, bike lanes should be added to Cottonwood Street and Winchester Street to provide a bypass for Winchester Street to the neighborhoods north of I-215 and to Fashion Place Mall.

5.5.2 BIKING RECOMMENDATIONS

The Fashion Place area experiences a fair level of bicycle activity as shown by Strava bicycle data, primarily along Winchester Street and Cottonwood Street. Beginning on the western edge of the study area, bike lanes and improved lighting should be installed along Winchester on the Interstate bridges to increase a rider's sense of safety. Further along Winchester Street, between Jefferson Street and Malstrom Lane, the bicycle infrastructure switches from a dedicated bike lane to sharrows back to dedicated bike lane to preserve on-street parking for certain residences. This on-street parking in the public right-of-way should be converted to dedicated bike lanes to reduce chances of conflict between motorized vehicles and




Figure 4.13 Future improvements to the transit system within the Fashion Place West neighborhood would increase ridership and improve the rider experience and quality.

cyclists.

Connecting the bicycle infrastructure from Winchester Street to Fashion Place Mall is of particular importance. A two-stage bicycle turn box at the intersection of Winchester Street and State Street, leading to a dedicated northbound bike lane (or sharrows if a bike lane is not possible) on State Street should be implemented to guide cyclists through this intersection that experiences the greatest number of



cyclist crashes out of any other intersection in the study area.

In addition to these signs and pavement markings, signs warning motorists of cyclists should also be installed to increase awareness of the multi-modal intersection. From here, sharrows should be implemented from State Street through Fashion Place Mall parking lot to the mall entrance. Sharrows should similarly be installed from the doors of the mall through the parking lot to Winchester Street. Dedicated bike lanes should be implemented along Winchester Street connecting the infrastructure west of the intersection with State Street.

5.5.3 PEDESTRIAN RECOMMENDATIONS

Pedestrian infrastructure throughout the study area needs to be improved, particularly along State Street. The sidewalks along State Street should include a landscaped buffer at least 5 feet wide from the busy roadway to enhance the feeling of safety for users. Furthermore, drainage issues should be repaired at the intersections along State Street. Many crosswalks enter into a pool of leftover storm water making it very difficult for pedestrians to safely cross. Additionally, a Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB) or a full traffic signal should be implemented at State Street and Creek Drive. Currently, residents around Grant Park must divert up to a third of a mile through either the signal at State Street and Winchester Street or State Street and 5900 South to reach the edge of Fashion Place Mall parking lot. Installing a PHB signal or a full traffic signal would give residents directly west of Fashion Place Mall a convenient, direct and likely safer access point to the mall. It should be noted that under UDOT's current guidelines, a new signal would closer than the allowable standard of 2,650 feet between lights to both existing State Street signals at 6100 South, as well as at Winchester Street. The current method for determining an appropriate exception for a PHB signal along a roadway such as State Street requires a study of the number of jaywalking pedestrians in a given period of time. Jaywalking across this roadway is unsafe and alternative thresholds should be explored with UDOT. While exceptions in signal spacing are not common, an example currently exists along State Street at Williams Street in Salt Lake City, as shown below.

Outside of the State Street corridor, a sidewalk and crosswalk should be installed on the northern end of the TRAX station westward across Cottonwood Street. Public input indicates that this pattern is already a common route for pedestrians originating north of I-215.

Additionally, pedestrian infrastructure needs to be improved throughout Fashion

Place Mall parking lot. Currently, no sidewalks or pathways exist connecting the City sidewalks to the mall entrances. This causes an unclear, uncomfortable, and unattractive experience for mall patrons traveling by foot. Providing a clear and welcoming walkway for pedestrians will increase comfort and attractiveness of walking to the mall.

Finally, general sidewalk conditions throughout the study area need to be improved. Sidewalks should be level, clear of vegetation and debris, at least 6 feet wide where possible, and should include a buffer between the walkway and the roadway. This is particularly important on Winchester Street and Cottonwood street to provide comfortable north-south and east-west access to the study area for TRAX riders who often begin and end their trip on foot.

5.5.4 CONNECTIONS TO SURROUNDING DEVELOPMENT

In order to create a true network of mobility, infrastructure must consistently connect destinations to destinations. All vehicular, transit, pedestrian, and bicycle infrastructure implemented should be designed with connectivity in mind, both inside and outside the study area. The planned extension of the Porter Rockwell Trail will be a key connection to other communities, requiring a robust bicycle and pedestrian network in the study area to encourage trail users to stop in the Fashion Place West neighborhood. Other key destinations to connect to include Murray City Center and the upcoming development at Winchester Street and 700 West.

5.5.5 PARKING LOT PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS

Currently, Fashion Place Mall parking lots feature no bicycle or pedestrian improvements. These connections are vital for the first/last mile portion of any mall trip. By providing wide walkways and bikeways from mall entrances directly to the adjacent roadways and transit stops, non-motorist users will feel more comfortable and encouraged to travel to/from the mall utilizing alternative modes of transportation.




Figure 4.7 The intersection of State Street and Winchester Street currently lacks a safe bicycle experience. Future improvement recommendations include better bicycle lane signage as well as sidewalk improvements.




Figure 4.8 The existing intersection at Creek Road and State Street is lacking pedestrian amenities. Improving this intersection will increase connectivity from the neighborhood to Fashion Place Mall.

5.5.6 MICROMOBILITY

Micromobility is an emerging mode of transportation bringing publicly or privately operated e-scooters, bikes (including bikeshare), and other shared mobile





Figure 4.14 Micromobility such as scooter and bike share programs offer communities a low cost/ high value option to increase connectivity where it is currently lacking.

lightweight devices to a community. Micromobility can offer a convenient last-mile connection between the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall, especially once complete cycling infrastructure is implemented along Winchester Street.

To avoid clashes with future installations of micromobility, Murray City should develop policies around micromobility before companies enter the market. Policies should address topics such as fleet caps, service area and distribution, fees and pricing, equity, maintenance and safety, data sharing, community engagement, and parking.

Fleets should be capped by a revocable permit system based on a dynamic rate such as number of residents or operational performance. A cost analysis should be conducted to determine the true costs of administering the program. Dynamic pricing offers the most potential for revenue, and parking fees can generate extra cash while encouraging riders to comply with parking policies. Implementing pricing policies can help prevent abrupt price changes from operators.

Maintenance and safety guidelines should outline collection of incident reports and inspection requirements. Data sharing is important for infrastructure planning and the permitting process. It is recommended to share data in either the General Bikeshare Feed Specification (GBFS) or Mobility Data Specification (MDS) formats, depending on the preferred level of detail and user privacy.

The City should also develop communication and education policies to ensure operators are engaging with the community in an equitable manner to minimize the



Figure 4.10 The FHWA outlines a two-stage bicycle turn box design similar to the ones implemented along 200 West in Salt Lake City which could be implemented at the Winchester and State intersection (image source: NACTO).

burden of micromobility adoption on the City.

Finally, parking policies should detail strategies to enforce parking rules, compliance with ADA requirements, and no parking at loading zones. Infrastructure for micromobility includes parking zones and riding infrastructure. Dedicated parking zones should be located near (but not block) entrances to popular area destinations, such as the TRAX station, Fashion Place Mall, and Grant Park. These parking locations should be easily accessible from riding infrastructure. Bicycle infrastructure should be used as micromobility infrastructure to discourage riding on the sidewalk where possible in order to avoid conflict with pedestrians and maintain an ADA-friendly environment. Improving bicycle infrastructure therefore improves micromobility infrastructure. Ideally, bike lanes should include a buffer to physically restrict conflict with motor vehicles. This buffer can also provide space for micromobility



Figure 4.11 Effective bicycle connectivity within the Fashion Place West neighborhood has the capacity to increase activity in the area and reduce vehicular traffic.

parking if no extra sidewalk space is available. Other enhancements can improve the non-motorized user experience as outlined in the figure below. Any of these enhancements would be particularly useful along Winchester Street which connects two of the area's destinations—the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall—along with the continuation of the bike lanes between Jefferson Street and Malstrom Lane as a particularly helpful improvement.

5.5.7 TRAX STATION IMPROVEMENTS

Signage and information about the bike, pedestrian, and transit options could be installed to assist riders in accessing Fashion Place Mall from the TRAX station.

The 209 bus in particular should be utilized as a circulator bus between TRAX and Fashion Place Mall. Furthermore, the City in partnership with UTA should consider redesigning the crossing arms so as not to block access to the sidewalk causing pedestrians to back up onto the tracks. The current crossing configuration also prohibits individuals with mobility needs from crossing the TRAX rail. The following



images show an example of improved crossing arm configuration at Central Pointe Station and 2100 South in Salt Lake City. As illustrated, the sidewalk is rerouted to ensure no conflict between the ADA and pedestrian route with the crossing arm or the sidewalk.

Other improvements that should be considered at the Fashion Place West TRAX station include:

- Implementing a crosswalk connecting TRAX to Cottonwood Street
- Creating a connection from TRAX to new sidewalk on the west side of Cottonwood Street
- Including landscape buffers, at least five feet wide from busy roadways adjacent to station
- Widen sidewalks adjacent to the station to improve pedestrian comfort
- Ensure consistency in bike lanes to/from the station
- Improve existing sidewalk conditions along Winchester Street




Figure 4.6 Future streetscape improvements along the Winchester Bridge would enhance the pedestrian experience and encourage use of more active transportation methods.

5.5.8 BUS STOP IMPROVEMENTS

Consider rerouting the Route 209 bus route to stop directly in front of a mall entrance. The current mid-block stop location on Winchester Street forces riders to take a long route to reach the mall without proper sidewalk infrastructure through the parking lots. A direct route for riders improves pedestrian safety by decreasing chances of vehicular conflicts.

According to the UTA Bus Stop Master Plan, bus stops along Winchester Street and State Street can also be improved to feature additional amenities depending on frequency and ridership. The 209 bus currently runs on 15-minute headways, and the Route 201 bus and the Route 62 bus both operate on headways that are greater than 15 minutes. In the case that ridership does not meet the desired threshold for a station improvement, Murray City can partner with UTA to fund the implementation of the amenity. Increasing amenities at bus stops makes the system more attractive and can increase comfort and safety of users.





Figure 4.9 The map above illustrates suggested future improvements to the road network.



5.7 CONNECTIVITY SUMMARY AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The Connectivity section of the Station Area Plan considers current transportation and mobility in the study area, planned improvements, and best practices. These factors were used to identify and outline clear priorities and policy amendments to improve future transportation within the study area.



5.7.1. CONNECTIVITY PRIORITIES

1. Improve overall active transportation connectivity between residential neighborhoods, TRAX station, and Fashion Place Mall
2. Modify UTA Bus route 209 to be a circulator between the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall
3. Develop parking strategy
4. Adopt streetscape improvement plan to ensure future connectivity in key areas:
 - (a) Winchester
 - (b) Cottonwood
 - (c) Intersections
 - (d) Fashion Place Mall access

5.7.2. POLICY UPDATES AND LAND USE AMENDMENTS

1. Create new Fashion Place West zone district modeled off of existing TOD zone with the following revisions:
 - (a) Parking
 - (i) Include shared parking provision
 - (ii) Reduce residential requirements contingent upon proximity to TRAX station, shared parking calculation, etc.
 - (iii) Implement parking maximums
 - (b) Reduce front yard setback from 15 feet to 25 feet, to 0 feet
 - (c) Implement maximum setback requirements
 - (d) Decrease open space percentage requirements from 20 percent to 10 percent
 - (e) Ground floor activation, requirements, and language
2. Re-zone areas within the study area per recommendations of the General Plan



5.1 DESIGN GUIDELINES INTENT

5.1.1 DESIGN VISION

The Fashion Place West study area is located in the southwest corner of the City of Murray. The scale of development ranges from single-family and small scale multifamily to single-story industrial, to Fashion Place Mall. The vision for new development is to create a walkable, transit-oriented neighborhood. This type of development in the study area will foster small scale infill projects as well as allow for context sensitive larger scale mixed-use projects that will provide a wide range of housing choices, and an incubator for commercial spaces that serve the neighborhood.

The Urban Design Guidelines for the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan establish a framework to shape growth into a vibrant, connected, and inclusive community centered around the TRAX station. These guidelines translate the plan's core goals—housing affordability, environmental sustainability, access to opportunity, and multimodal mobility—into clear design principles that guide public and private development.

Together, these design principles provide a cohesive vision for transformation—one that ensures future development contributes to a livable, sustainable, and economically vibrant district where transit, housing, and public spaces work together to serve a diverse community.



Figure 5.1 Corner sites should be developed to encourage interaction with pedestrians by allowing and requiring specific setbacks that allow for plazas and inviting entrances.

5.1.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to serve as a design guide for development in the Fashion Place West study area. The guidelines in this section are directly related to achieving the key design objectives for the district.

5.1.2.1 KEY DESIGN OBJECTIVES:

- Context-sensitive solutions for infill development projects in the study area
- Emphasis on mixed-use, pedestrian-oriented developments and streetscapes that promote active use of the streets, sidewalks and public spaces
- Ensure availability of a range of transportation choices including; walking, bicycling, transit, and motor vehicles
- Apply principles of long-term economic, social, and environmental sustainability in the design of infrastructure, site, and building development
- Provide the Fashion Place West study area with a distinct character

Each guideline includes an intent statement that explains the purpose of the directive to achieve one or more of these overall design objectives. In many cases, alternative solutions to the guidelines may be suggested by the developer, designer, or applicant, as long the solution meets the intent statement.

5.2 DESIGN GUIDELINES STRUCTURE

Design Guidelines for the Fashion Place West study area contain two sections—Site Design and Building Design. Site Design focuses on how the parcel or piece of property is designed, while Building Design is the concept of elements of the building itself. Each section includes the following guidelines:

5.2.1. SITE DESIGN

- **Building Placement** addresses setbacks for buildings, landscaping, and accessory units.
- **Parking Lot Design and Landscaping** guides applicants with the location of parking lots on a site, as well as the use of landscaping to screen parking.
- **Lighting** suggests lighting types and locations for the public realm such as sidewalks, parking lots, and public space.
- **Pedestrian Connections** recommends types such as crosswalks, walking paths, and sidewalks, as well as appropriate features.



Figure 5.2 Public space with the appropriate location of amenities and landscaping attract people and invite them to stay longer.

- **Corner Sites** explains the importance of corner buildings to a streetscape, and how they should be situated on the lot.
- **Treatment of Outdoor Storage and Equipment** establishes location and screening guidelines for items such as dumpsters and mechanical equipment.
- **Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU)** guidelines determine the location of the ADU as well as the size in comparison to the size of the site.

5.2.2. BUILDING DESIGN

- **Ground Floor Details** specify what types of features the ground floor of street-facing buildings should have.
- **Ground Floor Transparency** recommends various percentages of ground floor buildings that should be windows, doors, or otherwise transparent.
- **Prominent Entrances** describes the design of building entrances so that they stand out and create an inviting space.
- **Treatment of Blank Walls** advises that blank walls fronting the street or sidewalk are not desirable, and if needed, should be treated with landscape or art features, as examples.
- **Articulation** refers to the variation in materials, height, and general shape that buildings should be designed with.
- **Transition of Scale** addresses the need for new development to consider existing development in terms of height and density. Development adjacent to single-family homes should consider the scale to which the development is near.
- **Sign Design** establishes guidelines for various types of signs in different situation, in terms of materials, size, and location.



5.3 SITE DESIGN

5.3.1. BUILDING PLACEMENT

To support and encourage pedestrian comfort, convenience and activity, buildings should create a sense of enclosure within the street corridor, by establishing a direct relationship between buildings and sidewalks.

- Commercial and mixed-use buildings should be built along the back of the sidewalk on all Type I and Type II streets, adjacent to any public plaza, courtyard, seating area, or other space intended for public use.
- Multi-family buildings may include a modest front setback (3-7 feet) to create a transition area between the public and private space. Street wall reinforcing elements are encouraged to occupy in this setback, such as:
 - Porches and stoops
 - Landscaping
 - 3 foot maximum fence height
- Single-family and lower density residential structures on Type III streets may have a front setback of 20-25 feet (or average of two adjacent properties) to maintain the existing character.
- Detached accessory residential structures, such as accessory dwelling units or detached garages should be set 0-10 feet from the back lot line.




Figure 5.3 The diagram above illustrates the ideal placement of buildings so to maximize the lot as well as addressing the street.

5.3.2. PARKING LOT SCREENING AND LANDSCAPING

To diminish the amount of impervious surface and visual impact of parked cars, parking lots should be buffered from other uses, to offer shade to otherwise bare paved areas, and to visually soften expanses of parking.

- Parking lots should integrate main drive aisles to appear more like streets, and should include sidewalks, landscaping including trees, and pedestrian scaled lighting.
- Masonry walls and other structural screening features should be used only for corner accents or where screening of headlights is necessary, and should not be used as a substitute for landscaping.
- Parking aisles should be organized to create a central pedestrian access to building entries. Outer parking aisles may incorporate drainage swales between parking rows.
- Trees should be distributed throughout the parking area to provide ample shading and visually soften the parking area, roughly 1 tree for every 8 parking stalls. Adjacent to single-family residential uses, 1 tree for every 5 stalls should be planted.
- Grouping trees may be allowed to accommodate natural features, so long as the equivalent number of trees are planted and so long as the grouping is within the parking area. Curbs or other methods of preventing vehicles from damaging the trees should be installed.
- Retaining existing trees in parking lots is encouraged.



Figure 5.4 Parking lots should not be located along the primary frontage but rather along the secondary or at the rear of a building. Parking lots should be screened from sidewalks and streetscape but still remain comfortable for access by pedestrians.

5.3.3. LIGHTING

Lighting should ensure a contribution to the character and safety of the streetscape and public spaces, but not disturb adjacent developments and residences.

- Use City-approved standardized fixtures for sidewalk lighting. Fixtures should be consistent with adopted light fixture for the study area.
- Lighting elements throughout and surrounding the site should be complementary, including pedestrian pathway, accent, parking lot lighting, lighting of adjacent developments, and the public right-of-way.
- All lighting should be shielded from the sky and adjacent properties and structures, either through exterior full cut-off shields or through optics within the fixture.
- Lighting used in parking lots should not exceed a maximum of 30 feet in height. Pedestrian-scale lighting should be a maximum of 16 feet in height.
- Parking lot lighting should be appropriate to create adequate visibility at night and evenly distributed to increase security.



5.3.4. PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIONS

Safe pedestrian passage should be provided through any large blocks or parking lots to provide convenient and direct pedestrian connections, and to provide neighborhood-scale open space.

- Formalized mid-block pedestrian corridors or connections between public rights-of-way through the blocks and redevelopment sites on 300-350 foot intervals are highly encouraged, with at least one through-block connection for any block face longer than 600 feet.
- All non-motorized corridors and connections should include:
 - A 5 foot minimum building setback on either side of the connection, which could include landscaping, lighting, and other pedestrian amenities,
 - A 6 foot 7 inch minimum walkway, and
 - Appropriately scaled pedestrian lighting.
- Walkways should be paved with a differentiated pavement surface treatment to alert drivers to the pedestrian right-of-way and potential presence of pedestrians. Speed tables may be installed as appropriate to further calm vehicular traffic.
- Alternate building entrances are encouraged to be located on pedestrian connections and alley ways to provide a building face along such pathways.
- Access from the street should include wayfinding signage to notify pedestrians of the facility.



Figure 5.7 The Fashion Place West study area lacks infrastructure for pedestrians. Being bisected by two freeways limits the walkability capacity in the neighborhood. Improving pedestrian connections between commercial and residential developments can greatly increase walkability in nearby areas.

5.3.5. CORNER SITES

Corner sites and buildings provide an enhanced pedestrian experience by creating visual gateways, public plazas, courtyards and other gathering spaces.

- Key intersections should be marked with setbacks that allow for public spaces. Rather than meeting the corner, new buildings should incorporate forecourts, plazas, or gardens that welcome the public and offer a dramatic statement at the corner.
- Major entrances should also be located at the corners and highlighted by elements such as higher or more expressive canopies, higher bays, larger windows and doors, projections, different window designs, or other physical features.
- If potential views to noteworthy natural features and points of interest exist, (either nearby or in the distance from the development site), entrances and publicly accessible open spaces should be located and oriented to take advantage of this view.




Figure 5.10 Principal buildings on corner sites should have a grand entrance from the sidewalk and offer a public space.

5.3.6. TREATMENT OF OUTDOOR STORAGE, AND EQUIPMENT

Enclosures and screening should be used to reduce the visual impacts of storage, trash, and service areas.

- The total area allowed for outdoor storage or merchandise display should be less than twenty-five percent (25 percent) of the total gross square footage of building occupied by the use; provided, however, that such area may exceed twenty-five (25 percent) percent if it is fenced and screened. This standard does not apply to temporary uses such as material storage during construction or street vendors.
- Any storage, service and truck loading areas, utility structures, storage tanks, elevator and mechanical equipment on the ground or roof should be screened from public view.
- Trash collection and outdoor storage tank areas should be located within enclosed structures constructed of similar materials and quality of the associated buildings, with a gate that can be closed. The gate should be similarly treated or located in an area not visible from the street.



Figure 5.15 Waste containers and dumpsters should be shielded from view using permanent materials. This screening should decrease the visibility and visual impacts of these types of areas.



5.3.7. ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS (ADU)

The City recognizes that accessory dwelling units (ADUs) in single-family residential zones can be an important tool in the overall housing plan for the City. The purposes of the ADU recommendations are to:

- Allow opportunities for property owners to provide social or personal support for family members where independent living is desirable;
- Provide for affordable housing opportunities;
- Make housing units available to moderate income households that might otherwise have difficulty finding homes within the City;
- Provide opportunities for additional income to offset rising housing costs;
- Develop housing units in single-family neighborhoods that are appropriate for people at a variety of stages in the life cycle; and
- Preserve the character of single-family neighborhoods by providing standards governing development of ADUs. (Ord. 09-23 § 2)



Figure 5.11 Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) can be designed to be stand alone dwelling units that are completely separate from the primary dwelling unit.

5.3.7.1. EXISTING MURRAY CITY ADU STANDARDS

1. Accessory dwelling units (ADUs) are allowed within single-family zones in the City, on lots that are a minimum of 12,000 square feet.
2. The property owner, must occupy either the principal unit or the ADU, but not both, as their permanent residence and at no time receive rent for the owner occupied unit.
3. Only one ADU may be created per lot or property in single-family zones.



Figure 5.12 Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) can be constructed as units attached to the principal dwelling unit, but have their own private entrance and yard.

4. A separate entrance to the ADU shall not be allowed on the front or corner lot side yard. Any separate entrance shall be located to the side or rear of the principal residence.
5. The total area of an attached ADU shall be less than 40 percent of the square footage of the primary residence and in no case shall exceed 1,000 square feet.
6. ADUs shall not contain more than two (2) bedrooms.
7. ADUs shall be occupied by no more than two (2) related or unrelated adults and their children.
8. Two (2) off street parking spaces shall be provided.
9. Detached ADUs shall not be located in a front or corner lot side yard and shall meet the same setbacks as required for the primary residence in the zone.
10. A detached ADU shall not exceed the allowable lot or rear yard coverage standard for the underlying zone or encroach into the required setbacks.
11. Detached ADUs shall be compatible with the exterior color and materials of the principal dwelling.
12. The maximum height for detached ADUs is limited to one story and to 20 feet or the height of the principal structure, whichever is less.
13. The total floor area of a detached structure containing an ADU shall not exceed 1,000 square feet.
14. Conversion of existing accessory buildings (such as detached garages) may only occur

- where the existing accessory building meets the setback requirements for a primary residence in the zone and meets the applicable building code.
15. The planning commission may place other appropriate or more stringent conditions deemed necessary in approving ADUs to protect the public safety, welfare and single-family character of the neighborhood. (Ord. 09-23 § 2)
- 16.
17. The total area of an attached ADU shall be less than 40 percent of the square footage of the primary residence and in no case shall exceed 1,000 square feet.
18. ADUs shall not contain more than two (2) bedrooms.
19. ADUs shall be occupied by no more than two (2) related or unrelated adults and their children.
20. Two (2) off street parking spaces shall be provided.
21. Detached ADUs shall not be located in a front or corner lot side yard and shall meet the same setbacks as required for the primary residence in the zone.
22. A detached ADU shall not exceed the allowable lot or rear yard coverage standard for the underlying zone or encroach into the required setbacks.
23. Detached ADUs shall be compatible with the exterior color and materials of the principal dwelling.
24. The maximum height for detached ADUs is limited to one story and to 20 feet or the height of the principal structure, whichever is less.
25. The total floor area of a detached structure containing an ADU shall not exceed 1,000 square feet.
26. Conversion of existing accessory buildings (such as detached garages) may only occur where the existing accessory building meets the setback requirements for a primary residence in the zone and meets the applicable building code.
27. The planning commission may place other appropriate or more stringent conditions deemed necessary in approving ADUs to protect the public safety, welfare and single-family character of the neighborhood. (Ord. 09-23 § 2)



5.4 BUILDING DESIGN

5.4.1. GROUND FLOOR DETAILS

Ground Floor Details reinforce the character of the streetscape and provide pedestrian amenities.

- The first floor level should be at least 12 feet in height as measured from the floor to the interior ceiling to provide for a generous space for retailing, services, and restaurant functions.
- Facades of commercial and mixed-use buildings that face the street should be designed to be pedestrian friendly through the inclusion of at least three of the following elements:
 - Kick plates for storefront windows
 - Projecting window sills
 - Pedestrian-scale signage
 - Exterior lighting sconces
 - Containers for seasonal plantings
 - Window box planters
 - Benches and seat walls along 30 percent of the length of the façade
 - Decorative paving in the sidewalk
 - Decorative brick, tile or stone work on the ground floor façade
 - A feature not on the list that meets the intent of the guideline.




Figure 5.18 The diagram above illustrates specific elements that should be incorporated into the design of new buildings within the Fashion Place West area. Collectively, these elements create a sense of place and create an aesthetically pleasing environment for the pedestrian.

5.4.2. GROUND FLOOR TRANSPARENCY

Ground Floor Transparency should utilize building façades to provide safe and comfortable waiting areas for transit and provide visual connections between activities inside and out.

- All commercial buildings should include windows with clear vision glass on at least 50 percent of the area between two and twelve feet above grade for all ground floor building facades that are visible from an adjacent street.
- Street-facing, ground-floor facades of commercial and mixed-use buildings should incorporate generous amounts of glass in storefront-like windows. Amounts of clear, transparent glass should meet or exceed the following:
 - 60 percent along primary streets
 - 40 percent along secondary streets




Figure 5.20 The diagram above visually illustrates transparency requirements. Height, width, and location of windows largely contributes to appropriate percentages of transparency.



Figure 5.19 Whenever possible, the ground floor of buildings along primary frontages should have facades that interact with the pedestrian and the street it sits on. This should be accomplished with the use of windows and clear vision glass that allow for 60 percent transparency along primary streets and 50 percent transparency along secondary streets.



5.4.3. PROMINENT ENTRANCES

Building entrances should be designed to readily inform people of their access and use.

- The primary (front) building façade and main entry of nonresidential buildings should be well-marked, articulated and oriented and facing the primary public street.
- Consider placing the main building entrance at a street corner.
- Entries should be lighted and protected from weather.
- Entries facing public streets should be made visually prominent and receive architectural emphasis. A variety of techniques to accomplish this include:
 - Recessed entries
 - Projecting entries
 - Elevated entries with stairways for residential uses
 - Entry-related cover or roof line articulation (such as canopy articulation; parapet-roof articulation)
 - Arched entries
 - Decorative lintels of molding above doorways
 - Landscape treatment and emphasis
 - Surface treatment (such as paver or tiles)
 - Entry courtyard
 - Transom windows
 - Signage
 - Other techniques as appropriate



Figure 5.22 Corner buildings should be constructed as the main building of new development, and should display a prominent entrance on the corner. Entrances can include decorative awnings, stone facade treatments, and stairs that are prominent and address the street.

5.4.4. TREATMENT OF BLANK WALLS

Blank Wall Treatments ensure that buildings do not display blank, unattractive walls to the abutting street or public areas.

- Use vegetation, such as trees, shrubs, ground cover or vines adjacent to the wall surface. Green walls are strongly encouraged to manage stormwater runoff.
- The use of façade articulation such as expressing the structural bays of the building with pilasters or other detailing should be used to help animate an otherwise blank area of wall.
- Use artwork, such as bas-relief sculpture, murals or trellis structures. Use seating areas with special paving.
- Use architectural detailing, reveals, and contrasting materials.




Figure 5.23 In cases where blank walls cannot be avoided, or are on secondary frontages, treatments should be applied to these surfaces. Post-construction applications can include landscaping such as a trellis structure, shown above.

5.4.5. ARTICULATION

Building Articulation should reduce the apparent bulk and maintain a human scale proportion in multi-story or large buildings.

- Buildings should incorporate varied articulation on all sides. The street-facing side(s) should receive the greatest amount of attention with respect to richness of forms, details, materials, and craft.
- Elements such as sun shades, terraces, and rain water harvesting features can be used to compose and articulate the building's façade.
- Varied frontages. Building frontages should be divided into relatively small units with storefronts, bays, recesses, offsets, balconies, a varied and rich color palette, and other elements to avoid long, monolithic facades.



Figure 5.26 New construction should look to historic buildings for inspiration regarding facade articulation and materials. Historic buildings, like the ones above, often are of timeless architecture styles, and succeed at creating inviting destinations.



5.4.6. TRANSITION OF SCALE

Transition of Scale can be achieved by incorporating additional features into higher density development when located adjacent to properties with lower density single-family use to enhance the compatibility between uses.

- Multi-family and mixed-use development located adjacent to existing single-family residential should incorporate three or more of the following architectural features:
 - Recessed entry
 - Dormers
 - Higher quality material
 - Pitched roof forms
 - Upper level balconies
 - Upper level step backs
 - Gables
 - Window patterns
- Flat, blank walls should not be visible from the street or common areas.
- Tree retention or additional vegetative screening along neighboring properties is encouraged.
- Building Height



Figure 5.27 The practice of using transition of scale helps municipalities include a mix of uses in a single area while remaining sensitive to lower density uses.

5.4.7. SIGN DESIGN

5.4.7.1 PEDESTRIAN ORIENTATION

Signs will complement and strengthen the pedestrian realm

- Pedestrian signs include projecting signs (blade signs), window signs (painted on glass or hung behind glass), logo signs (symbols, shapes), wall signs over entrance, and monument signs.




Figure 5.28 Pedestrian scale signage.

5.4.7.2. CREATIVITY AND UNIQUE EXPRESSION

Signage should be interesting, creative, and unique approached to the design of signs.

- The design of signs are encouraged to use color, graphics, and handcrafted elements.



Figure 5.29 Creative signage with a design unique to the business.

5.4.7.3. INTEGRATION WITH ARCHITECTURE

Signage should be part of the overall design approach to a project and not added as an afterthought element.

- The design of buildings and sites shall identify location and sizes for future signs. As tenants install signs, it is expected that such signs shall be in conformance with an overall sign program that allows for advertising which fits the architectural character, proportions, and details of the development.



Figure 5.30 Signage integrated into a brick building's architecture.

5.4.7.4 COORDINATED WAYFINDING

Public signage should reflect and enhance the character of the area.

- The City should implement a coordinated neighborhood identity program in the design of wayfinding signage.



Figure 5.31 Wayfinding signage should have a theme and be consistent throughout the area.



7 IMPLEMENTATION




7.1 IMPLEMENTATION INTRODUCTION

The Fashion Place West Station Area Plan provides a framework for guiding the growth and transformation of the neighborhoods surrounding the Fashion Place West light rail station, one of the most strategically located transit nodes in the Salt Lake Valley. The area serves as a crossroads between Murray City and Midvale City, a key access point to the TRAX Blue and Red Lines, and a regional commercial destination centered around Fashion Place Mall. As such, the station area presents a unique opportunity to create a vibrant, transit-oriented, and inclusive community that supports economic development, improves neighborhood quality, and expands access to opportunity for all residents.

This implementation strategy focuses on five catalytic projects that together are capable of reshaping the station area physically, economically, and socially. These projects—each involving multiple partners and phased investment—form the structure of the implementation sequencing across 5-year, 10-year, and 10+-year horizons. They include:

1. Redevelopment of UTA Property Around the Station into a mixed-use, transit-oriented district with housing, employment, retail, and civic amenities that activate the station environment and increase transit ridership.
2. Redevelopment of Surface Parking at Fashion Place Mall into a mixed-use jobs and housing center, transforming underutilized land into a walkable district that complements the regional retail environment.
3. State Street Corridor Enhancements, aligning with the “Life on State” vision, to improve pedestrian safety, transit access, and economic vitality along one of the region’s most important commercial corridors.
4. Jefferson Park Redesign into a modern, multi-generational community public space that reinforces neighborhood identity and supports health, recreation, and gathering.
5. Potential Commercial and Mixed-Use Development Coordinated with the Winchester Bridge Replacement, creating new access, visibility, and development potential along a key east-west connection.



Conceptual rendering of redevelopment around the Fashion Place TRAX station area.

These catalytic projects align with and advance the core goals of the Station Area Plan:

- (i) Improve Neighborhood Quality: Enhance walkability, public space, housing options, and community identity.
- (ii) Increase Transit Ridership: Create development and public realm improvements that encourage daily transit use.

- (iii) Improve Access to Opportunity: Expand housing choice, employment access, and transportation connectivity for all residents.

7.2 IMPLEMENTATION TASK MATRIX:

The implementation matrix for the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan serves as a practical roadmap for translating the plan's vision into coordinated, achievable action. While the broader plan outlines the desired future for the station area—featuring more housing choices, sustainable design, improved mobility options, and stronger connections to jobs and services—the implementation matrix explains how those goals will be realized, who will take the lead, and when progress can

occur. It organizes the plan's recommendations into clear, trackable steps that align with Murray City's policies, capital improvement priorities, and ongoing partnerships with UTA, UDOT, private property owners, and regional housing and economic development organizations.

This matrix provides an at-a-glance summary of projects, programs, and policy adjustments, including near-term actions that can catalyze redevelopment and long-term initiatives requiring coordinated investment. Each action item identifies

responsible parties, potential funding strategies, expected timeframes, and the relationship to the plan's core goals: increasing housing availability and affordability, promoting environmental sustainability, enhancing access to opportunities, and expanding transportation choices. By consolidating these elements in one place, the implementation matrix gives decision-makers, staff, and community partners a shared framework to guide annual budgeting, grant pursuits, infrastructure upgrades, zoning amendments, and private development negotiations—ensuring that the station area evolves in a deliberate, equitable, and transit-oriented way.

Project	Action	Lead Organizations	Partnering Organizations	1	2	3	4	5	5-10	10+	FUNDING SOURCES	NOTES
Station Area Redevelopment	Updates to mixed use zoning regulation for station area, specific to contextual densities	Murray City	UTA, private property owners								Murray General Fund (staff time allocations), WFRC grant, State grants	
	Coordination with UTA and other private property owners	UTA	Murray City, private property owners								Private development, Murray City	UTA motivated to serve as catalytic project for the area
	Initial market study and parking study for development coordinated with UTA TOD strategic team	UTA, Murray City	Murray City, private property owners									
	RFP for development partner and project phasing	UTA, Murray City	Murray City, private property owners									
	Improvements to public infrastructure to facilitate improved station area	Murray City									Murray City Tax Increment Funds	
Jefferson Park Improvements	Establish funding for design efforts & visioning	Murray City	Murray City Parks department								Murray City Parks Budget, Murray Tax Increment Funds	Park improvements identified in current Murray City Parks Master Plan
	Identify funding for construction and on-going maintenance, coordination with SLCo.	Murray City	Murray City Parks department								Murray City Parks Impact Fees, Murray Tax Increment Funds	
	Design & Construct park	Murray City	Salt Lake County Stormwater Management								Murray City Parks Impact Fees, Murray Tax Increment Funds	
	On-going coordination and maintenance with SLCo stormwater team	Murray City	Salt Lake County Stormwater Management								Murray City Parks Budget	
Life on State Improvements	Establish funding source for design and construction	Murray City									Murray City general fund, UDOT grants, State and County	Catalytic project on State Street in SLC instigated and funded by SLC with UDOT coordination
	Coordination with UDOT and private property owners along State Street	Murray City	UDOT, private property owners, Fashion Place Mall ownership								Murray City general fund	Part of Life on State Master Plan document
	Design & construct improvements	Murray City, UDOT	UDOT								Murray City general fund	In conjunction with improvements in City Center area



Project	Action	Lead Organizations	Partnering Organizations	1	2	3	4	5	5-10	10+	FUNDING SOURCES	NOTES
Fashion Place Mall Site Mixed Use Redevelopment	Establish vision for mixed use site approach	Fashion Place Mall ownership	Murray City, UDOT								Murray City, private development	Ownership currently investigating options for mixed use development on parking lots
	Updates to zoning regulation along State Street	Murray City	Fashion Place Mall ownership								Murray City	
	Phasing Plan for site redevelopment	Fashion Place Mall ownership	Murray City, UDOT, funding partners								Private development	
	Construction of new development	Fashion Place Mall ownership									Private development, Murray Tax Increment funds	Long-term redevelopment vision
Winchester Bridge Rebuild and Reconnection	Establish timeline and vision for bridge reconstruction	UDOT	Murray City, funding partners								Murray City, UDOT	Bridge replacement estimated at 2034
	Identify and coordinate with funding and development partner	Murray City	UDOT								Murray City, private development, Connected Communities Grant	
	Construct bridge and establish ownership structure for retail spaces	UDOT	Murray City								UDOT, private development partner	Long lead time estimated on legal structure and ownership plan
Additional Area Initiatives	Sidewalk Improvement Plan	Murray City, Midvale City	UDOT, UTA								Murray City, Midvale City	Sidewalk condition plan identified in this Station Area Plan
	Cycling Network Improvements	Murray City, Midvale City	UDOT, UTA								Grant funding, Murray City, Midvale City	



7.2.1. CATALYTIC PROJECT: FASHION PLACE MALL MIXED USE REDEVELOPMENT



Existing conditions of Fashion Place Mall site near corner of State Street and Winchester Street.



Future concept for conversion of parking lots to mixed use district with parking structures, housing, employment and public spaces.

BEGIN WITH A VISION

Converting the Fashion Place Mall and surrounding acres of surface parking into a walkable, mixed-use “town center” stitched into the transit and street network. Belmar, Colorado transformed an enclosed mall into a 22-block urban district with retail, offices, residences, parks and civic uses—showing scale, flexibility, and market appetite for phased work.

Phase 1 — Tactical & catalytic (0–3 years). Keep existing anchors and key retail operating where profitable to maintain cash flow. Carve out a first “block” along the mall edge for pilot interventions: a mid-rise residential building (estimate: 4–6 stories), a grocery or daily-needs ground floor tenant, and a new public plaza activated with weekly markets and events. Create temporary pedestrian pathways through parking areas and install stormwater landscaping to demonstrate placemaking. Early projects de-risk the site, start preleasing, and build political/market momentum.

Phase 2 — Infrastructure & street grid (3–7 years). Replace large parking expanses with a network of new public streets, short blocks, and on-street parking; build one or two parking structures to consolidate stalls and free land for development. Prioritize a main “high-street” connecting transit stops to the new civic plaza—this becomes the retail spine and pedestrian priority zone. Belmar’s redevelopment emphasized pedestrian-scaled streets and plazas as the organizing element; replicate that spatial logic.

Phase 3 — Mixed-use build-out (7–15 years). Layer housing (for-sale townhomes, mid-rise apartments, and some workforce/affordable units), office space, and hotel/entertainment where market supports it. Target at least two cross-subsidizing product types: residential for steady demand and office/medical/education tenants for daytime activity. Introduce green infrastructure and nine+ acres of parks/plazas at strategic nodes to create civic identity—Belmar’s mix of parks, plazas and anchors (grocer, cinema, big-box anchors reimagined) sustained long-term vibrancy.

Key strategies and tools. Use phased land disposition or ground-lease structures so the mall owner can monetize parcels while retaining income; create a master developer agreement and design code that enforces a fine-grained block pattern, active ground floors, and transit connections. Pursue public incentives for brownfield remediation, affordable housing, and parking garages; leverage TIF/URAs or special taxing districts to fund streets and parks. Coordinate closely with UDOT on State Street access and with UTA on transit node improvements to maximize walkability and reduce auto dependence.

Risks & mitigations. Retail attrition, parking politics, and phased infrastructure costs are real. Mitigate with a flexible phasing plan that keeps anchors while incrementally removing surface parking, and by delivering visible early wins (plaza, grocery, pilot housing) to build constituency and private capital.

Outcome. Over a decade-plus the Fashion Place site can transition from an auto-oriented mall to a resilient, mixed-use town center—following the Belmar precedent of incremental blocks, anchored public spaces, and diverse uses that together create a sustainable urban district.




7.2.2. CASE STUDY: BELMAR LAKEWOOD, COLORADO

The transformation of the former Villa Italia Mall into the Belmar mixed-use district in Lakewood, Colorado stands as one of the most influential suburban redevelopment projects in the United States. Originally opened in 1966, Villa Italia was once the largest enclosed shopping mall in the Mountain West, but by the 1990s it had entered a steep decline as consumer preferences shifted toward lifestyle centers and online retail. Recognizing both the physical deterioration and the lost economic potential of the 100-acre site, the City of Lakewood partnered with Continuum Partners and the Lakewood Reinvestment Authority to envision a completely new urban center for the community.

Instead of attempting incremental renovation, the redevelopment team elected to



NEIGHBORHOOD DATA



demolish much of the aging mall and replace it with a walkable street grid composed of roughly 22 urban blocks. This move reintroduced connectivity to surrounding neighborhoods and allowed the site to function like a traditional downtown rather than a superblock dedicated solely to retail. Belmar’s new form integrates a wide range of uses: ground-floor shops and restaurants, office space, hotels, entertainment venues, and several types of housing—including apartments, townhomes, and condominiums—placed close to transit and everyday amenities.

Public spaces were central to the redevelopment strategy. Plazas, pocket parks, and art installations were woven throughout the district to encourage social interaction and create a sense of place. The project also confronted legacy environmental issues, including remediation of contaminated soils, while investing in upgraded infrastructure such as pedestrian-friendly streetscapes, stormwater systems, and multimodal connections.

Belmar has delivered significant long-term economic benefits to Lakewood. It diversified the city’s tax base, attracted new employers, supported several thousand residents within walking distance of jobs and services, and generated sustained retail and hospitality activity. Just as importantly, it reshaped the identity of Lakewood by creating a recognizable urban core where none existed before.

The redevelopment is now widely cited as a model for transitioning aging suburban malls into vibrant mixed-use districts. Its success demonstrates that with strong public-private partnerships, thoughtful urban design, and a commitment to walkability, large underperforming retail sites can become dynamic centers of community life, economic activity, and sustainable suburban evolution.



The plaza in the warmer months is home to festivals and markets.



The Hyatt House Hotel in the Belmar area.




Many of the retail spaces are located on the ground floor, with residential on the upper floors.



Housing is the most abundant new type of development in Belmar.

SQUARE FEET OF DEVELOPMENT BY TYPE



The largest land use after redevelopment of the Belmar area is residential, with a reduction in the overall amount of retail square footage.



7.2.3. CATALYTIC PROJECT: TRAX STATION AREA REDEVELOPMENT



Existing conditions of Fashion Place West TRAX station area.



Future concept for conversion of underutilized land near the station area to a mixed-used neighborhood.

Redevelopment around the Fashion Place West TRAX station represents a significant opportunity to transition an auto-oriented area into a walkable, transit-supportive neighborhood anchored by a major regional mobility asset. Because UTA owns several parcels directly adjacent to the station, these sites can serve as catalytic first-phase projects that demonstrate market confidence, establish an identifiable place, and encourage surrounding property owners to follow with reinvestment. The evolution would likely take place incrementally over a decade or more—and should be driven by a clear, shared framework: create walkable blocks, concentrate density near the station, provide everyday services within a short walk, and establish high-quality public spaces.

Initial phases start with the UTA-owned parcels. These sites are ideally suited for a first generation of mixed-use development, likely including mid-rise residential buildings (4–7 stories), ground-floor neighborhood-serving retail, and structured parking that reduces surface parking dependency. Early development should include a publicly accessible plaza or linear “station street” that directly links the train platforms to State Street or other key neighborhood connectors. This public realm element is crucial: it signals a shift from station-as-parking-lot to station-as-neighborhood-center. The first buildings should be designed with active ground floors, wide sidewalks, street trees, and weather-protective awnings or overhangs to make walking comfortable year-round.

As the initial UTA developments lease up and stabilize, the next wave of reinvestment can extend outward. Adjacent underutilized parcels—such as large surface parking lots, single-story retail pads, and low-intensity office sites—begin to redevelop into similar mixed-use formats. This second phase is where district-scale change becomes visible: a basic walkable street network is created by introducing new public or publicly-accessible streets that break up superblocks,

shorten walking distances, and create multiple front doors to the station. Traffic calming, bike lanes, lighting upgrades, and improved crosswalks ensure that movement to and from the station is safe and intuitive for all ages and abilities.

Retail strategy should shift from auto-oriented, high-turnover commercial uses toward daily needs and “third places” that encourage lingering—coffee shops, cafes, small grocers, fitness, personal services, co-working, and medical or professional offices. Upper floors provide a mix of rental and for-sale housing, including workforce and attainable units that benefit from reduced transportation costs due to proximity to transit. With increased residential population, the neighborhood can support more public amenities: pocket parks, community gardens, playgrounds, or greenways that connect to nearby open spaces.

By the later phases, redevelopment becomes self-sustaining. As land values rise and the district gains identity, private owners nearby will find redevelopment not only viable but desirable. Buildings become taller and more varied, and the station transitions from a commuter parking node into a complete neighborhood center. The success of this approach depends on coordinated zoning updates, clear urban design standards, financing tools for structured parking and public space improvements, and a shared commitment by UTA and the city to prioritize walkability and transit use over auto throughput.

Over time, the Fashion Place West station area can evolve into a lively, connected transit-oriented neighborhood—one that supports housing choice, strengthens local business opportunities, and offers a compelling alternative to auto-dominated development.




7.2.9. CASE STUDY: CENTRAL NINTH


850 S 200 W, SALT LAKE CITY

Over the past decade, the Central Ninth neighborhood in Salt Lake City has undergone a notable transition from a largely industrial and auto-service corridor into a vibrant, mixed-use urban neighborhood.

In the early 2010s, the neighborhood—originally dominated by car-oriented uses, auto repair yards, and under-utilized parcels—began to attract attention as an area of potential reinvestment. With transit access in place and proximity to downtown, the City adopted a form-based zoning code (FB-UN2) around 2013 that relaxed parking requirements, encouraged mid-density housing and ground-floor retail, and made the neighborhood more development-friendly.



NEIGHBORHOOD DATA



By 2015–2016, the C9 Market project—a locally-owned business hub on 153 W 900 S—was under way, anchoring the commercial core with small-scale food, retail and community-oriented space. Multi-family residential projects followed, including the C9 Flats (97 units) and other mixed-use developments near the station, bringing more permanent residents into the neighborhood.

Public realm & streetscape improvements

Recognizing the need for a “main-street” feel, the RDA invested approximately \$7.3 million in the 900 South streetscape between West Temple and 300 West: wider sidewalks, a two-way cycle track, street trees, buried utilities, on-street parking, and improved pedestrian connectivity.

Emerging outcomes

Today Central Ninth is increasingly cited as one of Salt Lake City’s most walkable and transit-oriented neighborhoods. Local news describes it as a place that has gone “from car-centered to a walkable destination.” The mix of housing, retail, offices, and amenities around the node has strengthened neighborhood identity, added residents, supported local businesses, and leveraged the transit connection.

Challenges & next steps

While progress has been significant, further work remains — especially to ensure affordable housing, manage infrastructure demand, and enhance public spaces. For example, the upcoming Mead Up Project under I-15’s Mead Avenue off-ramp aims to create a community plaza and recreational space, showing that even infrastructural constraints are being addressed.

Over the last ten years the Central Ninth neighborhood has transformed through coordinated transit investment, revision of zoning and streetscape, and targeted infill development—establishing itself as a viable urban neighborhood rather than a leftover industrial fringe.



The Market Building, located on 900 South and in between West Temple and 200 West. The building is home to a market,



restaurants, and a bar. Next door to the Market Building is a smaller development with office space and a coffee shop.



The Alinea Lofts townhomes were built in 2018. The development includes some ground-floor commercial space on 900 South.



The Jefferson Walkway development (above) includes six cottage-like townhomes and public pedestrian walkway that connects two streets.



Above is a rendering of the new Spy Hop Youth Media Arts Center, located on the corner of 900 South and 200 West.



7.2.5. CATALYTIC PROJECT: JEFFERSON PARK



Existing conditions of Jefferson Park neighborhood.




Future concept for conversion of Jefferson Park with investment from Murray City into a neighborhood park.



The detention basin on Travis James Lane is a significant opportunity to provide the neighborhood with a unique green space, but also play a role in modernizing elements of the Salt Lake County Flood Control network.

The Jefferson Detention Basin is a large, undeveloped green space that is dry for most of the year. Hillside amenities and facilities that can withstand water when flooded will substantially improve recreation opportunities for nearby neighbors.



The property on Travis James Lane is owned and maintained by Murray City but is under the jurisdiction and control of Salt Lake County as part of their Flood Control Master Plan. Any changes to this property would require permitting through Salt Lake County.



Rendering of potential future improvements to the Jefferson Detention Basin including a looping pedestrian/tricycle path, climbing rocks, a turf play field, and off-leash dog area. Native plantings could naturalize part of the basin to create a green oasis.

FUTURE PLANS

When Murray City adopted its Parks and Recreation Master Plan in April of 2020, the Jefferson Detention Basin park project was identified as an upcoming project. Development opportunities include creating a terraced hill, with seating at the top and a hill slide or hill climber extending down into the basin.

- Jefferson Detention Basin Development: 3-5 years
- Developed Acres Added: 4.3
- Project Description: Add nature play elements, walking paths, and family gathering space to meet the needs of nearby neighbors.
- Project Type: Park Enhancement/ Expansion
- Estimated Capital Cost: \$500,000
- Standard level annual maintenance cost: \$35,000

7.2.6. CASE STUDY: TANNER SPRINGS PARK

PORTLAND, OREGON

Located in the heart of the Pearl District in Portland, Oregon, Tanner Springs Park is a roughly 0.9-acre (0.92 ac) urban park opened in 2005 that brings together ecological restoration, storm-water innovation, art, and quiet respite in a dense downtown setting.


Primary Elements

This site occupies what was once a natural wetland and shallow lake fed by Tanner Creek, before being filled for railroad yards and industry.

The design intentionally “peels back” urban skin to hint at that historic ecology: transitions of oak woodland, prairie, emergent wetland and pond are expressed across the block.

All rainwater falling on the park block and adjacent curbs is collected and treated on-site. The design incorporates runnels, filtration biotopes, ultraviolet treatment and a pond sunk about 1.8 m below street level.

The water feature thus functions not only for aesthetics but as an operational ecological system—rather than routing all runoff to conventional storm drains.



Sustainability: The impervious surfaces of the urban environment produce excessive precipitation runoff with pollutants and heavy metals. To mitigate this, the park collects stormwater from the sidewalks and streets surrounding it. The park is a large bioswale designed to absorb this runoff. It is a closed system so no pollutants enter the storm water system.

Over 70 species of native plants (to the Willamette Valley) populate the site: sedges, emergent wetland species, Oregon white oak, grasses and more.

These plantings support birds and other wildlife in the urban context and help communicate the idea of nature returning.

A dramatic “Art Wall” along the east edge of the park is made of 368 reclaimed railroad rails set vertically, with 99 pieces of fused blue glass bearing hand-painted insects and amphibians.

Paths are made from recycled basalt blocks (once cobbles/ballast) and generous terraces along the pond invite quiet seating and reflection.

Rather than a sports field or playground, the park is designed for contemplation and nature-viewing. It slopes gently down, leads you from open meadow into water and wetland, and offers a contrast to the bustling surroundings.

Successes

Ecological & hydrological performance: By capturing and treating runoff on-site, the park demonstrates a viable model of urban storm-water management integrated with public space.

Sense of place & neighborhood identity: In a formerly industrial block, the park helped define the Pearl District’s character—a city-scaled “urban oasis” and model of sustainable redevelopment.


Engagement & art integration: The way heritage materials (rail tracks) and art (glass panels) are woven into the landscape narrative (from wetland to rail yard to urban park) has been widely lauded.

Biodiversity in an urban core: The native planting and water ecology support wildlife (e.g., birds, insects) in a downtown setting, showing nature can thrive amid dense development.

Recognition & influence: The park has earned awards (ASLA Merit Award Oregon Chapter 2006) and is frequently cited in literature on green infrastructure and urban design.



Maintenance: Portland Parks and Recreation maintains the park with a focus on sustainability with an adaptive management approach. Friends of Tanner Springs Park is a community group that grew out of the need for more community support for park maintenance and use. They collaborate with Portland Park and Recreation.



Historical Reclamation: Located in the Willamette Valley, the park was designed to echo the habitat that existed prior to settlement that is now endangered oak savanna and upland prairie. The naturally sloping characteristics of the park mimic the sloping of the Willamette Valley foothills.



7.2.7. CATALYTIC PROJECT: WINCHESTER BRIDGE



Existing conditions of Winchester Street through the Fashion Place West project area.



Future streetscape improvements and bridge redevelopment strategies would reconnect disparate parts of the neighborhood and improve the quality of urban form and land value.


Future streetscape improvements along the Winchester Bridge would enhance the pedestrian experience and encourage use of more active transportation methods.

Current conditions on the Winchester Street bridge create a disconnect between the TRAX station and Fashion Place Mall for pedestrians and bicyclists. Improving this bridge in two separate phases would improve overall connectivity as well as access to the TRAX station.

FUTURE PLANS

UDOT and Murray City have determined that the reconstruction of the Cottonwood and Winchester Street bridges are scheduled to occur by 2034.

1. Phase I could include the reconstruction of the current bridge including improved pedestrian and bicycle access, sidewalks, planted park strip, and painted bike lanes.
2. Phase II could entail the construction of an adjacent bridge on the west side of the current bridge. This second bridge could link the two neighborhoods with buildings and storefronts on a single level—creating a pedestrian and bicycle friendly experience across the bridge, and to the TRAX station.



Future streetscape improvements along the Winchester Bridge would enhance the pedestrian experience and encourage use of more active transportation methods.



The Winchester Street bridge offers a poor pedestrian experience.



Future streetscape improvements along the Winchester Bridge as shown in the two diagrams above, would enhance the pedestrian experience and encourage use of more active transportation methods.



7.2.8. CASE STUDY: RIALTO BRIDGE COLUMBUS, OHIO

BACKGROUND


The Cap at Union Station in Columbus, Ohio demonstrates how governments can partner with the private sector to create and share value in highway-related investments.

Before the construction of The Cap at Union Station Project, a 200 foot-long, chainlink-fence bordered walkway spanned the busy highway below, creating a no man's land.

To heal the scar created by the interstate, the solution was be to build a hard cap over the expressway. The objective of the Cap was to create pedestrian and retail space. A local developer, approached the City and expressed interest in investing in the Project. The company signed a memorandum of understanding with the City in 1999 to jointly develop a cap.

Under the terms of the Memorandum between the developer and the City:

- The City would pursue clear title to the air rights above the highway and obtained permission from Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to construct the Cap platforms
- Once the above was achieved, the developer would enter a ground lease



for the platforms and construct the buildings.

- Developer would reimburse the City for up to \$75,000 in architectural fees for work that was necessary prior to construction of the buildings on the Cap.

The Project was composed of three separate bridges: one for through-traffic across the highway, and one on either side for the retail structures. Construction of the Cap structures began in 2002, with the developer beginning work on the buildings in April 2003.

REGULATORY HURDLES

AIR RIGHTS

Gaining air rights over the development proved to be a hurdle. When the original interstate was constructed, the state acquired only ground rights. The process required two years to find the owners of the air rights and for the City to procure clear title to the Project site.

PERMITS FROM FHWA

The FHWA places restrictions on use of highway easements for commercial use. It requires that in order for an easement to be granted, fair market rent must be charged to the developer for use of the Cap platforms. This proved challenging for several reasons. Ultimately, the City was able to negotiate an alternative arrangement whereby the City would share in 10 percent of the ongoing profits of the development in lieu of paying rent (the platforms were leased to the developer for a nominal \$1 per year).

MARKET CONSIDERATIONS

Key to the economic viability of the Project was the developer's ability to secure long-term, above market leases for the new buildings. In advance of securing financing, the developer secured tenants willing to pay rents that were approximately 20 to 30 percent higher than those in the surrounding area. The higher rents were enabled because tenants valued the cachet of the new location, and proximity to nearby attractions. The developer also took care to ensure a mix of day and night tenants to keep the space as active as possible. The space currently features a wine bar, a clothing store, an apparel and gift shop, and a few smaller specialty food stores.

KEY PARTNERSHIPS

CITY-DEVELOPER

The City worked with the Developer on the difficult task of extending utilities to the Project across a bridge.

FHWA-CITY

Since the FHWA funded the original construction of the expressway, the alternative use of the highway easement required FHWA approval and buy-in.

ODOT-CITY

Similarly, since ODOT would be operating the highway, all of the design elements of the Project



required close coordination with and sign off from ODOT.

FUNDING DESIGN

The City spent \$115,000 on the preliminary design needed to secure the necessary regulatory approvals. The developer reimbursed the City \$75,000 of this cost.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE CAP AND BRIDGES

ODOT agreed to pay \$1.3 million for the construction of the three bridges. The City paid an additional \$325,000 required to extend utilities to the platform via the concrete bay.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE RETAIL BUILDING

The developer assumed the entire cost of the improvements on top of the cap. To finance the construction, the developer originally used conventional loan options and an equity contribution for the \$7 million dollar price tag. The developer also received a ten-year, 100 percent tax abatement on the property for the City, improving the Projects' economics.

TAKEWAYS

- The Project shows an innovative partnership between a private developer, a City, a state DOT and FHWA to support urban development.
- The project demonstrates how Interstate widening projects can contribute to urban renewal with limited incremental cost to government.



7.2.9. CATALYTIC PROJECT: LIFE ON STATE IMPROVEMENTS



Existing conditions of State Street through the Fashion Place West project area.



Future streetscape improvements along State Street would enhance the pedestrian experience and encourage use of more active transportation methods, as well as improving the quality of urban form and value.

The State Street corridor in Murray is one of the most visible and heavily traveled areas in the city, yet it remains an environment that is difficult to navigate on foot, challenging to bike safely, and visually disconnected from the surrounding civic and commercial identity of Murray. Between Winchester Street and 6200 South, the corridor serves as both a commercial gateway—anchored by Fashion Place Mall—and a connector between established neighborhoods, transit services, and community destinations. By applying and adapting the principles developed through Salt Lake City’s *Life on State* initiative, Murray City has the opportunity to reshape this segment of State Street into a safer, more appealing, and more economically dynamic place.

CONTEXT AND OPPORTUNITY

The Fashion Place Mall district is one of Murray’s largest retail and employment centers, supported by major arterial access and transit service nearby. However, the street environment along this segment is today primarily auto-oriented, with wide curb-to-curb distances, limited shade or pedestrian amenities, and disconnected walking and biking infrastructure. The corridor functions as a high-speed, high-throughput roadway owned and maintained by UDOT, meaning any transformation requires a coordinated, phased, and policy-aligned approach between Murray City and the state. The experience of “Life on State” demonstrates that progress is both possible and impactful when shared corridor goals are established early.

The central opportunity for Murray is to reposition the corridor as a multimodal environment that supports incremental redevelopment—from infill commercial upgrades to potential future mixed-use redevelopment of large surface parking areas—while improving safety and comfort for all users. Achieving this requires coordinated planning, design experimentation, and public-private partnership.

ESTABLISHING SHARED CORRIDOR GOALS WITH UDOT

The first step is alignment with UDOT on the functional vision for the corridor. As seen in Life on State, reframing the roadway from a highway to a civic street requires agreement on target speeds, roadway cross-section priorities, and long-term mobility goals. Murray City could initiate a joint corridor working group with UDOT staff to evaluate opportunities for:

1. Speed management, such as narrowing lanes or introducing landscaped medians to naturally calm traffic.
2. Signal timing improvements that prioritize pedestrian crossings at key desire lines, especially mall entrances and bus stops.
3. Pedestrian refuge islands and raised or high-visibility crosswalks to reduce crossing stress.
4. Transit priority improvements,, such as bus pullouts or in-lane stops depending on service patterns.

This early-stage coordination sets the foundation for future capital improvements and strengthens the case for regional funding partnerships.

ENHANCING THE PUBLIC REALM THROUGH STREETScape IMPROVEMENTS

The *Life on State* process placed heavy emphasis on reclaiming the street edge as a place for people. Along Murray’s segment, this translates to a set of streetscape strategies:

1. Wide sidewalks with shade trees, lighting, and street furnishings to create a more comfortable pedestrian environment year-round.
2. Protected or buffered bike lanes where right-of-way allows, prioritizing safe and continuous north–south movement.
3. Landscaped medians with trees and low-maintenance plantings to visually narrow the right-of-way and reduce vehicle speeds.
4. Stormwater planters and green infrastructure that contributes to regional environmental goals while creating softer, more attractive street edges.

These improvements should be phased and aligned with regular UDOT pavement and rehabilitation cycles to maximize efficiency.

Long-term implementation will likely involve a combination of local capital investment, UDOT corridor funding, federal safety or multimodal grants, and private cost-sharing. Establishing a clearly documented corridor vision and cross-agency work plan is critical to securing such funding.



7.2.10. CASE STUDY: “LIFE ON STATE” SALT LAKE CITY
SALT LAKE CITY, 600 SOUTH - 800 SOUTH

The Life on State initiative is a major corridor-revitalization effort along the State Street (US-89) corridor in Salt Lake City, led by Salt Lake City Corporation (SLC) in partnership with adjacent jurisdictions. Its purpose is to restore vitality to State Street — a historic north-south route linking the Utah State Capitol through downtown and beyond.

The segment from 600 South to 800 South marks the first high-visibility implementation zone of the broader plan. This stretch is intended to pilot and demonstrate how the wider corridor can shift away from an auto-dominated thoroughfare toward a more pedestrian-, transit- and bike-friendly urban avenue.

GOALS AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The Life on State Implementation Plan outlines several key goals for this corridor. These include:

- 1. Economic development: Stimulating private investment, increasing commercial activity, and facilitating redevelopment of under-performing parcels.
- 2. Mobility and transportation options: Improving pedestrian, biking and transit access and safety, rethinking lane configuration, and enhancing connectivity.
- 3. Safety and placemaking: Addressing the wide, auto-oriented nature of State Street; introducing landscaping, shade, mid-block crossings, and public-art features to create a stronger sense of place.
- 4. Equity and livability: Creating a welcoming environment for all modes of travel, supporting local businesses, and improving the street in a way that benefits the adjacent neighborhoods.

Key improvements planned for 600 S–800 S

For the 600 to 800 South segment, the project documentation identifies a number of specific improvements:

- 1. Wider pedestrian walkways: Sidewalks are being expanded to allow more room for walking and street-furniture, addressing the existing conditions of narrow sidewalks and large traffic lanes.

- 2. Landscaped medians and street trees: The project will install new median planting islands and increase the number of trees along the corridor.
- 3. Public art installation: As part of placemaking, the project will install neon-inspired artworks by Utah-based artists along the corridor between 600 South and 700 South.
- 4. Signalized mid-block crosswalks: To improve pedestrian connectivity across the wide right-of-way, a new mid-block crossing between 600 S and 700 S is planned.
- 5. Upgrades to transit stops and amenities: New bus shelters in the @Ride (Utah Transit Authority) system, improved driveway approaches, curb extensions and other ADA access improvements.
- 6. Re-paving and resurfacing: This includes demolition of old sidewalk/curb/median infrastructure, installation of new pavement, and restoration of asphalt pavement.

WHY THIS STRETCH WAS PRIORITIZED

The segment between 600 South and 800 South was selected as an initial demonstration stretch because:

- (a) It sits just south of the downtown core and is poised for higher density redevelopment, making it a logical “gateway” zone.
- (b) It currently exhibits many of the issues that the broader corridor faces: very wide traffic lanes, large auto-oriented parcels, limited pedestrian amenities, and under-utilized building frontage.
- (c) By implementing early in this high-visibility area, the city aims to catalyze private investment and show real change to the public. For example, project communications say: “The section of the plan ... is the first in a series of planned improvements ... Salt Lake City is excited to bring expanded walkways and street landscaping to this section of State Street.”

ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

- (a) Enhanced pedestrian experience: With wider sidewalks, additional trees and medians, and improved crossings, the corridor is expected to be more comfortable for walking, which can encourage more street-level activity and business frontage.
- (b) Better transit and multimodal access: New bus shelters and improved curb infrastructure will help transit users; in addition, the broader Life on State Bikeways initiative will provide parallel high-comfort routes for cyclists.
- (c) Catalyzing redevelopment: By improving the public realm, the project supports private investment in the corridor. For example, redevelopment at 754 S State Street is already under review (mixed-use 11-story building) just outside the project zone.
- (d) Place re-definition: State Street has long been dominated by



“Life on State” street improvements in Salt Lake City, completed in 2024 with UDOT support.

vehicular traffic and served as a gateway highway. The project aims to reframe it as an urban boulevard with place identity, helping shift perceptions and foster a more active street edge.

- (e) Safety improvements: With curb extensions, median islands and improved crossings, the project addresses long-recognized safety issues for pedestrians and other users of the corridor.

CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. Coordination with state highway jurisdiction: Because State Street (US-89) is under the jurisdiction of the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), implementation of certain design changes may be constrained. A 2023 media story noted that even small modifications tend to “inch along slowly” when working with a state-owned road.
- 2. Large scale nature of corridor: The full five-mile corridor involves many different contexts (commercial, residential, transit-oriented). The 600–800 S stretch is only the first phase, so longer-term consistency and follow-through across the entire corridor will be critical.
- 3. Maintaining business access and parking: As the design shifts away from wide lanes and auto dominance, there may be concerns from local businesses about access and parking. Ensuring that the redesign supports existing businesses while improving public realm is a sensitive balance.
- 4. Construction disruption: Construction on a major corridor can be disruptive to adjacent businesses and residents; the project website acknowledges this and thanks area residents and businesses for patience.





8 APPENDIX



6.1 PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY

6.1.1 OPEN HOUSE

On February 12, 2020 Murray City along with the consultant team, held a public open house at the Clark Cushing Senior Center, located within the northern portion of the study area. The objective of the open house was to educate the public about existing conditions in the area and the goals of the Fashion Place West Station Area Plan, as well as to gain feedback and insight from the participants about many key components. A series of ten boards and individual questionnaires were used to inform, and gather feedback.

Among the approximately 35 individuals that participated, half said that they lived in the study area, and the other half were commuters or Murray residents. Most participants had positive reactions to the planning process, while also expressing their desire for better connectivity in the area, which aligns well with the City's vision for the Station Area Plan.

The most frequently asked question from participants was, "What development is being proposed?" Staff and the consultant team educated residents about the need for a long range plan for this area, even though there was no development proposed, or on the horizon.

When participants were asked which of Murray's five key initiatives (established in the General Plan) seem most related to this neighborhood, many felt that Livable and Vibrant Neighborhoods and Multi-Modality were most applicable.

The questionnaire asked respondents about their impressions of the study area and what they have experienced, and would like to see changed.

When asked what types of destinations they wished were in the neighborhood, the most common answers were:

- Public space/parks
- Dining
- Grocery/market

When asked what type of housing they would occupy in the next phase of life, the majority of respondents answered:

- Single-Family Home
- Townhome
- Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU)

6.1.2 SURVEY

While originally scheduled to hold a second open house, due to safety concerns related to the COVID-19 pandemic, City staff and the consultant team conducted an online survey from May 20th through June 20th. Residents, commuters, shoppers, and other interested parties were invited to participate by answering a series of 18 questions. The survey was advertised through social media channels and received over 130 responses.

The goal of the survey was to gauge respondents' understanding of the components of the Station Area Plan, and aptitude for more specific recommendations dealing with connectivity expansion, housing options, and design guidelines.

A number of survey questions stood out as good indicators of concerns that residents have and what they would like to see more of. Those included:

- What four words would you use to describe the attributes of the Fashion Place West neighborhood?
- What is your primary destination when you visit the neighborhood?
- What do you see as challenges facing the neighborhood?



Approximately 35 individuals participated in the Open House at the Cushing Senior Center.

- What types of housing do you wish were available?
- What housing issues do you feel exist in the neighborhood?

A majority of respondents appreciate the convenient and central location of the Fashion Place West neighborhood. When asked questions regarding access for bicycles and pedestrians, many respondents expressed desire for better sidewalks and more bicycle lanes. A common concern throughout the survey responses was around traffic in the Fashion Place West neighborhood, and the area becoming busier. Because of this concern, staff and the consultant team felt it important to address the effects of future growth on traffic, as well as ways to mitigate current and future traffic increases.

When respondents were asked about the types of housing that they wished were in the neighborhood, many felt that mid-density housing types such as cottage clusters, ADUs, and duplex/triplex units would make a good addition. When asked about housing issues they felt the study area faced, many respondents expressed the need for more housing affordability, and construction quality.

Overall, the survey was a key component to the public engagement approach, giving residents a safe and healthy avenue to express their concerns and ideas about the future of the neighborhood.



Question 4: What four words would you use to describe the attributes of the Fashion Place West neighborhood?







Planning Commission Meetings 2026

January 14 & 28

February 11 & 25

March 11 & 25

April 8 & 22

May 13 & *27*

June 10 & 24

July 8 & *22*

August 12 & 26

September 9 & 23

October 14 & 28

November 18

December 9

*Subject to be canceled due to
proximity to holidays*

To be scheduled/held if needed

JANUARY

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

MAY

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

SEPTEMBER

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

FEBRUARY

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	

JUNE

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

OCTOBER

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

MARCH

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

JULY

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

NOVEMBER

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

APRIL

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

AUGUST

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

DECEMBER

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			