



## MAGNA CITY COUNCIL MEETING

OCTOBER 28, 2025 @ 6:00 PM  
WEBSTER COMMUNITY CENTER  
8952 West Magna Main Street  
Magna, Utah 84044

---

**\*\*DRAFT MINUTES\*\***

### MAGNA CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES October 28, 2025

#### **Council Members Present:**

Eric Barney, Mayor  
Council Member Trish Hull  
Council Member Steve Prokopis  
Council Member Mick Sudbury

#### **Council Member(s) Excused:**

Council Member Audrey Pierce

#### **Staff Present:**

David Brickey, City Manager  
Paul Ashton, Legal Counsel  
Daniel Torres, Economic Development Manager  
Brian Tucker, Planning Manager

#### **Others Present:**

#### **6:00 PM – PUBLIC MEETING**

##### **1. Call to Order**

Mayor Barney, presiding, called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. He noted Council Member Pierce was absent from the meeting.

##### **2. Determine Quorum**

A quorum was present, allowing the meeting to proceed.

##### **3. Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

##### **4. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

---

### **MAGNA COUNCIL MEMBERS**

MAYOR ERIC BARNEY, MAYOR PRO TEM AUDREY PIERCE,  
COUNCIL MEMBER TRISH HULL, COUNCIL MEMBER STEVE PROKOPIS,  
COUNCIL MEMBER MICK SUDBURY

**Doyle Jenkins**, stated that recent discussions about remodeling the Webster Center building were misguided because the structure was more than 50 years old and approximately 8,100 square feet. Mr. Jenkins asserted that the city had been required to invest \$650,000 into the building when it was transferred to them, but believed the investment was not worthwhile. He explained that bringing the building up to code and meeting future city needs would cost between \$500 and \$600 per square foot, resulting in an estimated \$5 million total for a one-level building that could serve only limited purposes such as office space and meeting rooms. He argued that the money would be better spent on alternative properties that could be built for significantly less and invited the council to ask any further questions.

Mayor Eric Barney invited Spencer Twitchell as the next on the list, however he declined speaking.

**Malinda Burrell**, speaking from Little Valley Gateway, reiterated concerns previously shared by email and described a traffic incident that occurred on October 23 at 8400 South and Cordero Drive. Ms. Burrell stated that the accident caused the entire neighborhood to become gridlocked, preventing residents from leaving and resulting in missed work and school. She emphasized that the neighborhood had no functional outlet other than 4100 South and described the situation as dangerous, warning that a tragedy was inevitable without action. She described a second accident that occurred the same day at Cloud Peak and Cordero involving two young children, noting that poor visibility contributed to the crash. She expressed frustration about raising the same concerns for three years with no response or action from the council, the Municipal Services District, or the city manager. She demanded progress on constructing an exit to the west, completing 4100 South, installing needed signage on narrow streets, and providing answers regarding an incomplete map showing sign placement. Ms. Burrell concluded by stating that the ongoing lack of action was unacceptable and that the neighborhood remained unsafe.

## **5. STAKEHOLDER REPORTS**

### **A. Unified Police Department**

Lt. Chris Benedict reported that the previous month's statistics had already been presented, and this update focused on significant incidents from the past week. Lt. Benedict explained that on Sunday night officers responded to a DUI accident on Buccaneer Drive in which the suspect fled and, during apprehension, pointed a firearm toward an officer; no critical injury occurred. On Monday morning, officers were involved in an incident in Magna that began as a garbage-related illegal dumping call and escalated when the suspect, who was in a stolen vehicle, attempted to pin an officer between the vehicle and a bush and dragged the officer along the side of the truck. This resulted in a pursuit through the city and the suspect was later apprehended on Thursday through shared services and investigative work by the crimes unit. On Sunday, officers responded to an aggravated assault in which a crossing guard was threatened with a knife while performing duties. Officers pursued the suspect on foot and took the individual into custody; Lt. Benedict stated that the suspect appeared to be experiencing a drug-induced psychosis known as a catecholamine storm and remained under treatment, with charges pending.

Council Member Mick Sudbury asked whether the suspect was the same individual who had been removing campaign signs, and Lt. Benedict confirmed that it was.

Lt. Benedict then reported that an officer had been injured after being struck by a DUI driver while traveling to work on Thursday evening. He emphasized appreciation for the council's support and stated that the department handled 333 calls during the week, issued 26 criminal citations, and issued 39 civil citations. He reiterated gratitude from both department leadership and officers.

Council Member Trish Hull asked for a status update on the involved officers. Lt. Benedict stated that the officer struck on Thursday was recovering from neck injuries and expected to return to duty on Thursday. The officers involved in the earlier altercation were treated for exposure and had returned to work without lost time. He noted that the officer who had been dragged by the suspect did not sustain injuries and expressed relief that the incident had not resulted in more serious harm.

#### **B. Pleasant Green Cemetery**

David Brickey reported that during the past 30 days the cemetery had two burials, three plots sold, and two headstones installed. He clarified for the public that the site functioned as a pioneer cemetery and contained older features such as historic gates and fences. Mr. Brickey explained that while these structures appeared aesthetically pleasing, they created maintenance challenges, particularly when families requested burial plots located behind older fencing. In those situations, staff had to remove the fences from the ground, and the structures were not able to be preserved. He stated that the city was finalizing details related to communicating the cemetery's pioneer heritage and intended to make the public aware that although such fences contributed to the historic character of the site, they were difficult to maintain and would not be permitted for future installations.

#### **6. CONSENT AGENDA - None**

#### **7. PRESENTATION ITEMS**

##### **A. Consideration of Appointment to the Jordan Valley Water Conservation District**

**Council Member Hull moved to approve the re-appointment of Council Member Mick Sudbury to the Jordan Valley Water Conservation District as discussed previously by the council. Council Member Prokopis seconded the motion; vote was 4-0, unanimous in favor with Council Member Pierce absent from the vote.**

David Brickey noted that a letter would be prepared for the mayor's signature formally submitting Council Member Mick Sudbury's name for the appointment.

##### **B. Government Data Privacy Act (GDPA) and Social Media Policy for Elected Officials**

Maridene Alexander presented information on two subjects, beginning with the privacy policy required under Utah law. Ms. Alexander explained that the law established standards for how government entities handle personal data and created the Utah Office of Data Privacy, which provided templates and guidance to assist entities in meeting the requirements. She stated that all government entities, including cities, towns, and the Municipal Services District, were required to implement privacy programs, train staff and council members, and provide individuals with the ability to access and correct their personal data. She added that entities must publish a clear privacy notice on their websites, limit the data they collect to what is necessary, and implement safeguards to protect personal information. She explained that by December 31 each entity must confirm that its privacy program had been initiated and must submit a privacy program report. She stated that the report consisted primarily of checkboxes and did not require the program to be fully complete.

Ms. Alexander described the progress already made by the Municipal Services District, including drafting a privacy policy that would be presented for approval on November 12, creating a privacy policy committee, developing a spreadsheet to track implementation efforts, posting a website privacy notice, and identifying Chief Administrative Officer Marla Howard to oversee the program. Ms. Alexander stated that Magna would need its own privacy program, though it would be smaller because much of the data collection occurred through the Municipal Services District. She explained that Magna would still need to define what personal data it collected and rely on the CivicPlus website's existing policy for certain functions. She added that the council should pass a privacy resolution formalizing responsibilities and referencing the Municipal Services District's data-handling role. She confirmed that she would work with David Brickey to complete the required documents before the next meeting in November.

Mayor Eric Barney asked whether she and David Brickey would coordinate the remaining work for city approval, and Ms. Alexander confirmed they would.

Ms. Alexander then introduced the second topic: social media guidelines for elected officials. She described appropriate content for the city's official Facebook page, including meeting agendas, city events, services, emergency alerts, public safety information, and materials encouraging civic participation. She stated that she and David Brickey would prepare both a social media policy and a moderation policy to establish when posts could be removed, noting that without such a policy no posts could legally be deleted. She emphasized that all authorized posters must be designated because all posts and comments became public records. She reviewed inappropriate uses of government social media, including candidate endorsements, campaigning, disclosure of confidential information, and deletion of posts that were official public records. She clarified that criticism of the city or its officials could not be removed unless it violated specific criteria such as hate speech, profanity, obscenity, threats, or other prohibited content listed in the forthcoming policy. She offered examples of official pages used in other jurisdictions and advised elected officials to maintain separate personal and official accounts. She explained that posting city business on a personal account could make the account subject to public records requests. She outlined appropriate posting practices for elected officials, including sharing meeting announcements, factual city updates, and community engagement activities, while avoiding personal opinions on official accounts

and avoiding any implication of city endorsement. She added that emergency information must be distributed only through the main city page to prevent misinformation. She reiterated restrictions on promoting private business, disclosing confidential information, or posting defamatory or discriminatory statements, and explained that users could not be blocked or their posts deleted unless the content met specific criteria set forth in the policy. She emphasized that threats, incitement to unlawful action, fighting words, and spam could be removed once the policy was adopted. Maridene Alexander concluded by stating she would work with David Brickey to prepare the social media and moderation policies and invited further questions.

David Brickey added that past issues involving elected officials elsewhere demonstrated the importance of maintaining clear boundaries between personal and public online activity. He stated he was available to assist council members with any related concerns.

### C. Presentation of Ordinance 2025-O-21, Magna General Plan Water Element Update

Matt Starley, a long-range planner for Magna City, presented an update on the proposed water element for the city's general plan. Mr. Starley explained that the update was required by state legislation directing cities to reduce water demand for both existing and future development, adjust government operations to limit water waste, and align with regional conservation goals. He stated that the 2065 conservation goal for the Salt Lake region was 169 gallons per person per day, compared to the current regional use of approximately 210 gallons per person per day. He added that the city coordinated closely with the Magna Water District to ensure the plan aligned with its culinary water master plan. He described the city's current water use and noted that higher water consumption occurred in agricultural areas and large grass fields associated with parks and schools. He stated that most water use came from residential properties and was expected to remain that way. He reviewed future water source projections and explained that the expansion of the secondary water system, including water reclamation, would significantly increase the city's ability to reuse water. He reported that the city had sufficient water supplies to meet projected growth. He also noted ongoing efforts to reduce system losses, emphasizing the importance of metering, particularly for secondary water. He highlighted that Magna already averaged 142 gallons of water per person per day, which was below the region's 2065 goal. He stated that even though the city was already meeting the long-term target, it was still required to reduce per-capita use by an additional 17% by 2065. He reviewed water protection zones around city wells, described conservation tools such as tiered water rates and drought-response billing adjustments, and outlined recommended policies such as updating landscape ordinances, participating in conservation programs, and providing public education. He stated that Magna's landscaping standards already incorporated water-efficient principles consistent with Locascapes guidelines. He then summarized strategies in the plan, including aligning policies with service providers, ensuring city ordinances supported conservation, encouraging efficient landscaping in public and private spaces, exploring alternative turf grasses, and promoting the use of smart water-metering technology.



Mayor Eric Barney asked for clarification regarding the Planning Commission's recommendation. Mr. Starley stated that the commission had recommended approval of the general plan amendment, which would become an additional chapter in the city's general plan as required by the legislature.

Council Member Trish Hull asked whether Roth, the city's parks contractor, had obtained QWEL certification related to water-efficient landscaping. Mr. Starley stated he did not know but noted the certification was often required for outside contracts and agreed to follow up to determine whether Roth had completed the training. Council Member Hull then asked whether smart-metering installation was initiated by residents or conducted neighborhood-wide. Mr. Starley stated that the Magna Water District would be the appropriate contact and that installation would be handled individually by homeowners. Council Member Hull asked whether the metering system provided usage information through an app, and Council Member Mick Sudbury responded affirmatively. Council Member Hull stated that she felt such data would be useful for identifying leaks, and Mr. Starley agreed and stated that the Water District could provide information to anyone interested.

Council Member Steve Prokopis asked whether the council needed to approve the plan that night. Mr. Starley stated that the update needed to be completed before the end of 2025 and could be approved at any meeting before then. Mayor Eric Barney noted that although the item was listed as a presentation, the council had the option to approve it that evening. Council Member Steve Prokopis confirmed that the Planning Commission had forwarded the recommendation without conditions.

**Council Member Sudbury moved to approve Ordinance 2025-O-21, Magna General Plan Water Update, as presented tonight. Council Member Hull seconded the motion; vote was 4-0, unanimous in favor with Council Member Pierce absent from the vote.**

#### **D. Presentation of Ordinance 2025-O-20, HB 368 City Code Amendments**

Brian Tucker explained that the most recent legislative session resulted in the passage of House Bill 368, which required cities to revise portions of their subdivision and zoning ordinances. Mr. Tucker stated that the bill mandated changes related to subdivision bonding processes, warranty bond timing, inspection and release procedures, and regulations concerning landscaping installation tied to building permits and certificates of occupancy. He also noted that one section of Magna's current code conflicted with state law because it required the signature of an outside entity on construction plans. In addition, he stated that the proposal included a local amendment to allow PC zones to use up to 60% of the front yard for driveway space under the same conditions already permitted in R-1 and R-2 zones. He explained that the proposed ordinance removed the city's requirement for developers to post a reclamation bond before construction, because state law now prohibited requiring bonds prior to construction when a developer chose to complete all improvements before recording a subdivision plat. He explained that developers must continue to be given the choice of either completing improvements first or posting an improvement bond before recording a plat. He stated that the changes also aligned the city's inspection and warranty timelines with state

code by eliminating the city's ability to extend warranty periods beyond one year. He then stated that the proposed ordinance removed provisions related to fees in lieu, explaining that Magna did not use such fees, struggled to manage them under accounting requirements, and found that they did not keep pace with future construction costs. He further explained that the current code's requirement for a water master to sign subdivision improvement plans violated state law because municipalities could not impose standards not adopted into city ordinance or published in city specifications. He clarified that ditch or canal owners could still enforce their own standards independently, but the city could not make those standards part of the approval process unless they were formally adopted. He stated that the proposal also extended the allowance for up to 60% front-yard driveway coverage to PC zones for single-family and two-family dwellings, matching what was already permitted in R-1 and R-2 zones.

Mayor Eric Barney asked whether the proposed ordinance served primarily as a cleanup to comply with House Bill 368, and Mr. Tucker confirmed that most of the changes were mandated, with the exception of the parking modification. Mayor Barney noted that the Planning Commission had recommended approval, and Mr. Tucker confirmed that the recommendation applied to all components of the proposal.

Council Member Mick Sudbury asked whether a weed barrier would be required if gravel were used in the allowed gravel strip. Mr. Tucker stated that gravel for parking was permitted only within a five-foot strip along the side property line and that the rest of the allowable parking area had to be paved. He stated that the city required weed barriers in park strips, but he would confirm whether that requirement also applied to gravel used for parking. Council Member Sudbury stated that if the city allowed up to 60% driveway coverage, he wanted to ensure weed protection was required. Mr. Tucker reiterated that the only unpaved portion that could contain gravel was the five-foot side strip and that the rest must be paved.

**Council Member Hull moved to approve Ordinance 2025-O-20, HB 368 City Code Amendments as presented. Council Member Prokopis seconded the motion; vote was 4-0, unanimous in favor with Council Member Pierce absent from the vote.**

**E. Presentation of Ordinance 2025-O-19, Rezone of Two Adjoining Properties at 2646-2648 S 7200 W from C-3/ZC to C-1**

Brian Tucker presented a request to rezone a property located on 7200 West, directly south of Entheos Academy. Mr. Tucker explained that the property was currently fenced and contained a shop building set back from the street. He stated that the parcel was zoned C-3, but when the city updated its zoning ordinance more than two years earlier, most uses in the C-3 zone were intentionally eliminated. He explained that the C-3 zone had been applied inappropriately to many parcels along Main Street in the past. Although the zone itself was not removed, nearly all uses within it were marked as prohibited to encourage future rezoning to C-1, C-2, or CV as appropriate. He stated that the property owner had been operating an auto dealership at the site and initially intended to perform mechanic work in the existing shop. He noted that a standalone mechanic operation required a minimum 100-foot distance from any residential zone, which this site could not meet. However, minor tune-up or maintenance work could occur

as an accessory use to auto sales. For that reason, the property owner requested rezoning to C-1 so the business could operate lawfully and access a broader range of permitted uses. Brian Tucker stated that the Planning Commission had recommended approval.

Council Member Steve Prokopis asked about zoning conditions that had been associated with the prior "CZ" designation. Mr. Tucker stated that the previous zoning condition limited the property solely to auto-dealership use, but the Planning Commission did not recommend any conditions for the C-1 rezoning. Council Member Prokopis asked whether C-1 was less restrictive than the former C-3 classification. Mr. Tucker explained that the C-3 zone had been rendered nearly unusable after the zoning rewrite because most uses were intentionally removed, and the only remaining advantage of C-3 had been the ability to have a zero-foot front setback. He stated that C-1 functioned much like the former C-3 before the rewrite and was now the appropriate commercial category.

Council Member Prokopis also commented on the history of prior rezonings in the area and noted that the location was not ideal for intense commercial activity given its proximity to residential properties. Brian Tucker responded that while he understood the concern, the site already operated as a commercial property, and the city's policy to eliminate C-3 left it without a functional zone unless it transitioned to C-1.

Mayor Eric Barney asked how the request aligned with the long-range plan. Mr. Tucker stated that the general plan identified the area as appropriate for moderate-density residential but also included language encouraging preservation of existing commercial areas. He stated that the existing commercial use made it reasonable for the council to maintain the site as commercial despite the residential designation.

Council Member Prokopis confirmed that the auto dealership was not part of the business fronting the street, and Mr. Tucker stated that while the two businesses used the same access, they were separate operations. Council Member Sudbury asked whether the property backed onto townhomes, and Mr. Tucker confirmed the proximity. Council Member Prokopis asked whether nearby residents had been notified of the proposed zone change, and Mr. Tucker stated that they had been notified, with no public comments received.

**Council Member Prokopis moved to approve Ordinance 2025-O-19, Rezone of Two Adjoining Properties at 2646-2648 S 7200 W from C-3/ZC to C-1. Council Member Hull seconded the motion; vote was 4-0, unanimous in favor with Council Member Pierce absent from the vote.**

Council Member Steve Prokopis asked for quick discussion about whether zoning conditions should be added to the proposed C-1 rezoning because of the property's proximity to nearby residential homes. In response, Mr. Tucker stated that he did not believe any conditions were necessary. Mr. Tucker explained that many uses that were previously considered conditional were relocated during the zoning rewrite into a separate section of the code that included specific use standards designed to mitigate impacts. He stated that these standards were



sufficient to address potential concerns and therefore recommended against applying additional zoning conditions to the parcel.

## **8. COUNCIL BUSINESS - None**

## **9. MANAGER/CITY ATTORNEY UPDATES**

City Manager David Brickey began by clarifying that recent postcards about tax increases had been issued by Salt Lake County, not the city, and emphasized that the city council had no role in that decision. He also addressed questions circulating online about the status of Main Street, explaining that the project had not yet been accepted due to several unresolved issues. He noted that colder weather limited remaining work time, and said Municipal Services District staff were preparing a report outlining existing problems. He planned to present this information at the November 18 meeting and added that concerns raised by resident Ms. Burrell would be addressed to the extent possible that evening. He explained that data had been collected for the traffic study at Cordero, and that Ian, Tamaran, and Lizel were analyzing the results to prepare recommendations. He noted that the warrant for a traffic light at the intersection was met for only one hour, and the council would need to decide whether to fund a full signal or a more modest option such as flashing lights. He described efforts to increase the amperage of existing lights along Cordero after Ms. Burrell reported that they were still too dim. He said the city would continue evaluating whether additional lighting was needed and planned to share a lighting plan produced by Stephen Kuhlmeier from Public Works once he had access to it. He reported progress on the signage map project, noting that 146 locations had been identified within Little Valley Gateway and that DR Horton had covered 55% of required signage. The remaining 45% still needed funding, and he planned to provide the council with written details on cost and payment options. He added that mistakes by Salt Lake County Public Works might require discussion in a closed session and that ARPA and CARES Act funds could potentially be used. He anticipated more information by November 18 but could not make firm commitments.

Mr. Brickey then outlined ongoing concerns regarding 4100 West. He stated that during a meeting the prior Monday, DR Horton acknowledged the issue but maintained that the road did not need to be fully built out, a position he rejected as inaccurate. DR Horton believed that two left-turn lanes could be added onto 8400 West, but he noted that the city did not own that road and that UDOT had shown no interest in allowing the modification. He asked DR Horton to initiate discussions with UDOT. He also said Rio Tinto had expressed interest due to liability concerns related to privately owned land, and he intended to encourage them to influence DR Horton. He confirmed that an exit to the west would not be feasible soon because the area was privately owned and would require at least a year of work. The Municipal Services District team was drafting design concepts for potential connections to Cemetery Road, noting that any design within 50 feet of a railroad crossing would trigger a required five-year impact study, so the designs avoided that proximity. He continued by describing the city's first use of an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) earlier in the month. He reported that the ALJ remained patient despite disruptive behavior from a resident and provided clear expectations and timelines for compliance by December 20. He emphasized that the city's goal was compliance

rather than punishment and stated that fines could be reduced or dismissed if the resident met code requirements. He concluded by reminding the council that the next meeting would be held on November 18 to allow canvassing of the election results, and that no meetings would occur on Election Day or Veterans Day.

Council Member Mick Sudbury then explained that he had recently spoken with David Brickey about concerns regarding street lighting and asked that the city evaluate unlit subdivisions and other dark areas that needed attention.

Council Member Trish Hull asked whether a street-lighting study was underway, noting that the alphabet area lacked lighting and that Salt Lake County had failed to address this.

Mr. Brickey confirmed that the alphabet area had been identified as needing lighting and that some analysis had already been performed. He said ARPA funding could be used if the council chose to allocate it, but a commitment would need to be made by December 9 unless a special meeting was scheduled.

Council Member Mick Sudbury added that lighting near the high school was inadequate, particularly when the parking lot lights were turned off, and said additional lighting would likely be needed regardless of increased brightness levels.

Mr. Brickey said he planned to increase existing light settings from level five toward seven and consider resident feedback. He noted that some residents near Cordero might object if the lights became too bright.

Mayor Eric Barney asked whether the issue was brightness or spacing, questioning whether fixtures were installed too far apart to provide continuous lighting. He noted that engineering drawings should specify spacing requirements.

Mr. Brickey responded that some areas had only one light where more coverage might be expected, and he would verify placement and provide recommendations.

Council Member Mick Sudbury, Mayor Eric Barney, and Council Member Trish Hull discussed fixture types, angles, and whether alternative designs such as gooseneck or differently focused lights would provide better coverage. Mayor Eric Barney asked whether the fixtures allowed adjustments to narrow or broaden the beam. David Brickey said he would examine focal-point options, distance, and brightness settings and report back.

## **10. COUNCIL REPORTS**

**Council Member Trish Hull** began by thanking the council for the skateboard park, stating that it was consistently used. She recounted conversations with youths who said the park reduced skateboarding on private property, which in turn reduced conflict with business owners. She said parents reported that the park was regularly supervised and that its success demonstrated the needs of the community's growing youth population. She also thanked Salt

Lake County Public Works for apologizing after failing to remove remaining pallets at the site, noting that staff had committed to addressing it. She then introduced a video acknowledging that the community had received the Utah Coalition of the Year Award the previous Friday. She said the coalition would also be recognized at a county event on November 14. She added that during the Lights On program at Matheson Junior High, representatives from Salt Lake City had praised the Magna United Community CTC as a model coalition within the county. She expressed appreciation to community members who contributed to coalition efforts.

**Council Member Steve Prokopis** reported on behalf of the Unified Fire Authority (UFA), noting that budget discussions for 2025–2026 had begun because UFA serves multiple cities that need early budget information. He said 20 new firefighters would graduate on November 13 after training at the Magna facility. He added that discussions continued with Salt Lake County regarding its long-term involvement with the fire district. He explained that UFA had opened a new station in July and would soon reopen the long-vacant West Kearns fire station, which, despite being located in West Jordan due to past annexations, still provided valuable service coverage. He said UFA would present information in November regarding House Bill 48 on wildland-urban interface requirements and would make a final ordinance decision in December. He then reported on the Unified Police Department (UPD), explaining that facilitation discussions with Salt Lake County were also underway concerning the county's future participation in the law enforcement service district. He said UPD budget planning had begun and that the separation from Salt Lake County, now nearly a year and a half old, was mostly complete. He noted that UPD now had permanent facilities for special operations, property, and evidence, while the sheriff's office occupied the buildings previously shared.

**Council Member Mick Sudbury** reported that the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District had completed its budget and would present it on November 17 at 6 p.m., noting that the district had hired a new manager.

**Mayor Eric Barney** concluded by noting that Audrey had attended the recent Municipal Services District board meeting on his behalf and that the board had approved a new human resources system contract. He added that a longstanding contract with Union Pacific for the rail crossing and associated sidewalks had finally been signed and approved, allowing the project to move forward after many years of delay.

No Closed Session needed.

#### **11. CLOSED SESSIONS IF NEEDED AS ALLOWED PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE §52-4-205**

- A.** Discussion of the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.
- B.** Strategy sessions to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation.
- C.** Strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property.
- D.** Discussion regarding deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems; and
- E.** Other lawful purposes as listed in Utah Code §52-4-205

## **12.ADJOURN**

**Council Member Sudbury moved to adjourn the October 28, 2025 Magna City Council Meeting. Council Member Hull seconded the motion; vote was 4-0, unanimous in favor with Council Member Pierce absent from the vote.**

**The October 28, 2025 Magna City Council Meeting adjourned at 7:30 PM.**

**This is a true and correct copy of the October 28, 2025 City Council Meeting Minutes, which were approved on November 18, 2025.**

**Attest:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Diana Baun, Magna City Recorder**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Eric Barney, Magna City Mayor**