1 R277. Education, Administration. 2 R277-215. Utah Professional Practices Advisory Commission (UPPAC), 3 **Disciplinary Rebuttable Presumptions.** 4 R277-215-1. Authority and Purpose. 5 (1) This rule is authorized by: 6 (a) Utah Constitution Article X, Section 3, which vests general control and 7 supervision over public education in the Board; 8 (b) Section 53E-6-506, which directs the Board to adopt rules regarding UPPAC 9 duties and procedures; and 10 (c) Subsection 53E-3-401(4), which allows the Board to make rules to execute 11 the Board's duties and responsibilities under the Utah Constitution and state law. 12 (2) The purpose of this rule is to establish rebuttable presumptions for UPPAC 13 and Board review of UPPAC cases. 14 15 R277-215-2. Rebuttable Presumptions. 16 (1) UPPAC and the Board shall consider the rebuttable presumptions in this 17 section when evaluating a case of educator misconduct. 18 (2) Revocation is presumed appropriate if an educator: 19 (a) is subject to mandatory revocation under Subsection 53E-6-604(5)(b): 20 (b) is convicted of, admits to, or is found pursuant to an evidentiary hearing to 21 have engaged in viewing or distributing child pornography, whether real or simulated, on 22 or off school property; 23 (c) is convicted of an offense that requires the educator to register as a sex 24 offender under Subsection 77-41-105(3); 25 (d) intentionally provides alcohol or illegal drugs to a minor; 26 (e) is convicted of a violation of:

27

28

(i) Section 76-5-202;

(ii) Section 76-5-203;

29	(iii) Section 76-5-205; or
30	(iv) Section 76-5-208.
31	(3)(a) Suspension of ten years or more is presumed appropriate if an educator is
32	convicted of any felony not specified in Subsection (2).
33	(b) An educator who is suspended based on a felony conviction under Subsection
34	(3)(a) may apply for a reinstatement hearing early if the educator's felony:
35	(i) is expunged; or
36	(ii) is reduced pursuant to Section 76-3-402.
37	(4) Suspension of three years or more is presumed appropriate if an educator:
38	(a) engages in a boundary violation that is sexual in nature that is not sexually
39	explicit conduct;
40	(b) engages in consensual sexual activity on school grounds, during contract
41	hours, or while actively responsible for supervising students;
42	(c) engages in a romantic or sexual relationship with a recent graduate.
43	([b]d) is convicted of using physical force with a minor if the conduct results in a
44	conviction of a class A misdemeanor;
45	([e]e) is convicted of an offense that results in the educator being placed on court
46	supervision for three or more years;
47	( $[e]f$ ) is convicted of theft or intentional misappropriation of public funds; or
48	([e] $\underline{g}$ ) intentionally misappropriates public funds or property in an amount of \$500
49	or more.
50	(5) Suspension of one to three years is presumed appropriate, if an educator:
51	(a) willfully or knowingly creates, views, or gains access to sexually inappropriate
52	material on school property or using school equipment;
53	(b) is convicted of one or more class A misdemeanor violence offenses under Title
54	76 Chapter 5 Offenses Against the Person, or a comparable statute from a jurisdiction

outside of Utah;

56 (c) is convicted of two or more misdemeanor violence offenses under Title 76. 57 Chapter 5, Offenses Against the Person, or a comparable statute from a jurisdiction 58 outside of Utah, in the last three years; 59 (d) is convicted of using physical force with a minor if: 60 (i) the conviction is a class B misdemeanor or lower; and 61 (ii) the minor is a student in the educator's school; 62 (e) engages in repeated incidents of or a single egregious incident of excessive 63 physical force or discipline to a student that does not meet the circumstances described 64 in Subsection [<del>53G-8-302(2)</del>]53G-8-301(4); (f) bullies or threatens a student physically, verbally, or electronically; 65 66 (g) engages in a pattern of boundary violations with a student under a 67 circumstance not described in Subsection (4)(a); 68 (h) engages in multiple incidents or a pattern of theft or misappropriation of public 69 funds that does not result in a criminal conviction; 70 (i) attends a school or school-related activity in an assigned employment-related 71 capacity while possessing, using, or under the influence of alcohol or illegal drugs; 72 (j) is convicted of two drug-related offenses or alcohol-related offenses in the three 73 years previous to the most recent conviction; 74 (k) engages in a pattern of or a single egregious incident of: 75 (i) harassing; 76 (ii) bullying; or 77 (iii) threatening a co-worker or community member; or 78 knowingly and deliberately falsifies or misrepresents information on an 79 education-related document.

(6) A suspension of up to one year is presumed appropriate if an educator:

(a)(i) engages in inappropriate conduct that warrants lesser discipline; and

80

83 including a letter of admonishment, education or warning, related to similar incidents of 84 inappropriate conduct; (b) fails to report to appropriate authorities suspected child or sexual abuse; 85 86 (c) fails to report threats of suicide or self-harm to a parent; or 87 ([e]d) knowingly teaches, counsels, or assists a minor student in a manner that 88 disregards a legal, written directive, such as a court order[-or an approved college and 89 career ready plan]. 90 (7) A reprimand is presumed appropriate if an educator: 91 (a) engages in conduct described in Subsection (8) that is more egregious or 92 repetitive than the conduct described in Subsection (8); or 93 (b)(i) engages in reportable inappropriate conduct that warrants lesser discipline; 94 and 95 (ii) within the previous ten years, has received two or more written disciplinary 96 actions from the same LEA for similar inappropriate conduct related to a violation of Board 97 rule or LEA policy. 98 (8) A letter of warning is presumed appropriate if an educator: 99 (a) engages in a miscellaneous minimal boundary violation with a student or 100 minor, whether physical, electronic, or verbal; 101 (b) engages in minimal inappropriate physical contact with a student; 102 (c) engages in unprofessional communications or conduct with a student, co-103 worker, community member, or parent; 104 (d) engages in an inappropriate discussion with a student that violates state or 105 federal law: 106 (e) knowingly violates a requirement or procedure for special education needs; 107 (f) knowingly violates a standardized testing protocol; 108 (g) is convicted of one of the following with or without court probation:

(ii) has previously received two or more disciplinary letters or actions from UPPAC.

109	(i) a single driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs offense under Section
110	41-6a-502;
111	(ii) impaired driving under Section 41-6a-502.5; or
112	(iii) a charge that contains identical or substantially similar elements to the state's
113	driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs law or under the law of another state or
114	territory;
115	(h) carelessly mismanages public funds or fails to accurately account for receipt
116	and expenditure of public funds entrusted to the educator's care;
117	(i) fails to make a report required by Rule R277-217;
118	(j) except for a class C misdemeanor under Title 41, Motor Vehicles, is convicted
119	of one or two misdemeanor offenses not otherwise listed;
120	(k) engages in an activity that constitutes a conflict of interest;
121	(I)(i) is convicted of using physical force with a minor if the conduct results in a
122	conviction of a class B misdemeanor or lower; and
123	(ii) the inappropriate conduct does not involve a student at the educator's school;
124	or
125	(m) engages in other minor violations of the Utah Educator Standards in Rule
126	R277-217.
127	(9) A letter of education is presumed appropriate if the evidence does not show a
128	violation of the educator standards in Rule R277-217, but the evidence may show conduct
129	that could lead to a violation of the standards in the future.
130	
131	R277-215-3. Aggravating and Mitigating Circumstances.
132	(1) In the course of evaluating a presumption described in this rule, UPPAC or the
133	Board may consider deviating from the presumptions if:
134	(a) the presumption does not involve a revocation mandated by statute; and
135	(b) relevant aggravating or mitigating factors exist.
136	(2) An aggravating factor may include evidence of the following:

(a) the educator has engaged in prior misconduct;
(b) the educator presents a serious threat to a student;
(c) the educator's misconduct directly involved a student;
(d) the educator's misconduct involved a particularly vulnerable student;
(e) the educator's misconduct resulted in physical or psychological harm to a
student;
(f) the educator violated multiple standards of professional conduct;
(g) the educator's attitude exhibits indifference, flippancy, disregard, or defiance
towards the allegations or the consequences;
(h) the educator's misconduct continued after investigation by the LEA or UPPAC;
(i) the educator holds a position of heightened authority as an administrator;
(j) the educator's misconduct had a significant impact on the LEA or the
community;
(k) the educator's misconduct was witnessed by a student;
(I) the educator was not honest or cooperative in the course of UPPAC's
investigation;
(m) the educator was convicted of crime as a result of the misconduct;
(n) any other factor that, in the view of UPPAC or the Board, warrants a more
serious consequence for the educator's misconduct; and
(o) the educator is on criminal probation or parole; or
(p) the Executive Secretary has issued an order of default on the educator's case
as described in Rules R277-211 or R277-212.
(3) A mitigating factor may include evidence of the following:
(a) the educator's misconduct was the result of strong provocation;
(b) the educator was young and new to the profession;
(c) the educator's attitude reflects recognition of the nature and consequences of
the misconduct and demonstrates a reasonable expectation that the educator will not

repeat the misconduct;

165	(d) the educator's attitude suggests amenability to supervision and training;
166	(e) the educator has little or no prior disciplinary history;
167	(f) since the misconduct, the educator has an extended period of misconduct-free
168	classroom time;
169	(g) the educator was a less active participant in a larger offense;
170	(h) the educator's misconduct was directed or approved, whether implicitly or
171	explicitly, by a supervisor or person in authority over the educator;
172	(i) the educator has voluntarily sought treatment, counseling or training specific to
173	the misconduct;
174	(j) the educator has made a timely, good faith effort to make restitution or rectify
175	the consequences of the educator's misconduct;
176	(k) there was insufficient training or other policies that might have prevented the
177	misconduct;
178	(I) there are substantial grounds to partially excuse or justify the educator's
179	behavior though failing to fully excuse the violation;
180	(m) the educator self-reported the misconduct;
181	(n) the educator received a plea in abeyance from the court for criminal charges
182	stemming from the alleged misconduct;
183	(o) any other factor that, in the view of UPPAC or the Board, warrants a less
184	serious consequence for the educator's misconduct.
185	(4)(a) UPPAC and the Board have sole discretion to determine the weight they
186	give to an aggravating or mitigating factor.
187	(b) The weight UPPAC or the Board give an aggravating or mitigating factor may
188	vary in each case and any one aggravating or mitigating factor may outweigh some or all
189	other aggravating or mitigating factors.

Circumstances Warranting Consideration of Deviation from

190

191

192

R277-215-4.

Presumptions.

193 (1) UPPAC and the Board shall consider reducing a presumed suspension under 194 this Rule R277-215 if the evidence shows that: 195 (a) the educator's misconduct resulted in a disproportionate period of missed 196 classroom time; or 197 (b) UPPAC's investigation into a matter with no pending criminal charges took 198 more than six months to present to UPPAC under Subsection R277-211-3(3)(e) due to 199 circumstances beyond the educator's control. 200 (2) UPPAC and the Board may consider reducing a presumed suspension period 201 to correspond to a probationary period in an educator's court plea in abeyance agreement 202 if the plea results from charges stemming from the educator's alleged misconduct. 203 204 **KEY**: educators, disciplinary presumptions 205 Date of Enactment or Last Substantive Amendment: April 8, 2021 206 Notice of Continuation: February 10, 2021 207 Authorizing, and Implemented or Interpreted Law: Art X Sec 3; 53E-6-506; 53E-3-208 401(4) 209 210