

FARMINGTON CITY – CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

September 2, 2025

WORK SESSION

Present:

*Mayor Brett Anderson,
City Manager Brigham Mellor,
Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex
Leeman,
Councilmember Scott Isaacson,
Councilmember Melissa Layton,
Councilmember Amy Shumway,*

*City Attorney Paul Roberts,
Recording Secretary Deanne Chaston,
Community Development Director Lyle
Gibson, and
City Parks and Recreation Director Colby
Thackeray.*

Mayor **Brett Anderson** called the work session to order at 6:05 p.m. Councilmember **Roger Child** and City Recorder **DeAnn Carlile** were excused.

RECREATION DISCUSSION

City Manager **Brigham Mellor** said there was a time when Farmington ran its own youth football league as the Wasatch Front Football League (WFFL). While the City doesn't run its own program anymore, many football teams use City fields for practice and games. Use is especially intense during the first two to three weeks of conditioning, when teams are on the fields every day. While competition leagues are more understanding, the youth league has a different attitude because they feel they have absorbed what the City used to do. The City is now having issues with those coaches, who are not being held accountable. **Mellor** would like to avoid calling law enforcement officers to cite the coaches in the case of a dispute. As the fields are fragile and in a healing state, signs may need to be installed saying teams can't use them.

City Parks and Recreation Director **Colby Thackeray** said it is difficult when City coordinators confront these coaches to tell them they are not supposed to be on the fields, which are very immature. The City has a responsibility to protect its own assets. Official City use should be the first priority for those fields. When confronted by City Staff, the coaches give "attitude" and don't listen. To get guaranteed field time, coaches should make reservations with the City.

Councilmember **Amy Shumway** said there are 120 football coaches and 360 kids playing football in the Farmington High School boundaries, and they are all begging for field space. As Farmington residents, many coaches believe that since they are a taxpayer, they can use the field. It may be time to move to a better reservation system.

Councilmember **Scott Isaacson** said this is a communication problem. While there will be some who push the boundaries, City coordinators need to talk to them early in the season in order to explain the situation.

Mayor Anderson said that it may be necessary to have coaches appear at an orientation meeting and sign a rule sheet prior to using City fields. This is much like how the City handles door-to-door solicitors. **Thackeray** said he has prepared and used a guide sheet in the past.

CITY PARKING RESTRICTIONS DISCUSSION

Mellor said the City and residents living on Doberman Lane have recently come to a compromise regarding high school parking on their street. They may do something similar on Miller Way, which currently has signs that are unenforceable. The agreement is this: there will be a time of the day (normal school operating hours) when no one is allowed to park on one side of the road. On Miller Way, there is no parking on the north side, and parking is allowed on the south side. Police, Fire, and Public Works said it is not necessary to restrict all parking on the road. One sign will be installed in front of each home, and the City has ordered enough signs to install them on two roads.

Isaacson said the City has struggled with this for years. This is Farmington High School's problem, and he wishes they would come forward. **Shumway** said the school has identified that this year's enrollment is the highest it will be, as a decline is expected in coming years.

TERM LIMITS DISCUSSION

Mayor Anderson said a number of cities in Davis County have started a grass roots movement to not only put term limits in place, but to have that serve as an example for State lawmakers to do the same. Some say cities don't have the right to impose municipal term limits. The proposal is to restrict representatives and City Councilmember to only three terms, and mayors to two terms. **Mayor Anderson** said in Woods Cross, they have had the same mayor for 28 years, and some cities have City Councilmembers who have served for over 20 years. This leads to positions becoming institutionalized.

Shumway said she would prefer the mayor to be allowed three terms instead of just two. She has been a State and County delegate for many years, and she has enjoyed attending the conventions.

Isaacson said he does not support the effort. He believes term limits artificially displays to citizens that they can't be trusted to vote. It takes away the rights of the people. When a U.S. president is in a second term, he is a lame duck and bad things can happen because he doesn't have to face the voters again.

Councilmember **Alex Leeman** voiced his support of the effort, and said that many times presidents who aren't facing re-election do their best work in that second term. However, some candidates chosen at the caucus never face a legitimate challenge. He believes that sometimes the crowds at party conventions are not representative of the citizenry.

Isaacson said he can see both sides, but he personally believes in the liberty to have a choice and vote. There are rational options for both sides.

Mayor Anderson said a term limit ordinance would be presented to the Council on a future agenda.

DISCUSSION OF REGULAR SESSION ITEMS UPON REQUEST

Community Development Director **Lyle Gibson** mentioned the Gatrell Gardens Development Agreement amendment agenda item. The developer got some extra lots in exchange for agreeing to preserve two historic homes. On one of the historic homes, they want to keep the 1880s section, but demolish the two additions made in the 1960s to make room for a new garage and

rear additions. This may make the home ineligible to be listed on the National Registry, which would violate the original Development Agreement.

Mellor said the current garage built in the 1960s is not large enough to fit cars in, so it is not functional. **Shumway** said she would like to celebrate that the applicant is trying to make a historic home liveable, but that the proposed garages are so big they dwarf the historic element. Councilmember **Melissa Layton** said the original wood floors in the home are nice.

REGULAR SESSION

Present:

*Mayor Brett Anderson,
City Manager Brigham Mellor,
Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex
Leeman,
Councilmember Scott Isaacson,
Councilmember Melissa Layton,
Councilmember Amy Shumway,*

*City Attorney Paul Roberts,
Recording Secretary Deanne Chaston,
Community Development Director Lyle
Gibson, and
City Parks and Recreation Director Colby
Thackeray.*

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor **Brett Anderson** called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m. Councilmember **Roger Child** and City Recorder **DeAnn Carlile** were excused. Councilmember **Alex Leeman** offered the invocation, and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilmember **Amy Shumway**.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Consider an ordinance adopting the Farmington City General Plan

Community Development Director **Lyle Gibson** presented this agenda item. The Council reviewed this item two meetings ago, and wanted some adjustments and corrections.

Susie Petheram, senior planner with FFKR and project manager for Farmington's General Plan update, addressed the Council. This project started with a review of the current General Plan, and involved a steering committee to identify key policies to retain. Care was taken to engage the community in a variety of ways, including a survey with 329 participants. The General Plan is an advisory guide, a framework for the Council to make and guide decisions. It is also a regional collaboration tool that can be used in conjunction with different agencies to secure funding for future projects.

Petheram said several updates were made since she was last before the Council. Part 1 now includes added detail to the community context and components for how Farmington relates to the region and county. Part 2 changes include a policy guide and elements. Chapter 6 on transportation has been refined to remove reference to the Lagoon circulator trolley, and instead more general language about the Utah Transit Authority (UTA) finding transit solutions. Chapter 5 was updated on housing and neighborhoods, with community profiles clarified for each quadrant. Those neighborhood profiles are new to this updated General Plan. Part 3 changes include an updated future land use map divided into four categories, complete with more context

and a framework for future changes, as well as a clarification of the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) zone.

Shumway and **Leeman** said all their previous questions had been addressed. **Mayor Anderson** noted that a public hearing had already been held on this item at a previous Council meeting.

Mayor Anderson opened and closed the Public Hearing at 7:15 p.m., as nobody signed up in person or electronically to address the Council on the issue.

Isaacson, who served on the committee along with **Leeman**, said that FFKR had done an excellent job and he was pleased with the outcome. He requested a printed copy, which is worth having for easy reference.

Motion:

Shumway moved that the City Council approve the updated Farmington General Plan, subject to all applicable Farmington City ordinances and standards.

Findings 1-3:

1. The proposed plan considers the present and future needs of Farmington and its future annexation area.
2. The plan considers the health, general welfare, safety, energy conservation, transportation, prosperity, civic activities, aesthetics and recreational, educational, and cultural opportunities of Farmington.
3. Together with other City plans (adopted and in progress), the proposed plan fulfills the requirements of Part 4 of the Municipal Land Use, Development, and Management Act, including 10-9a-401 of the Utah Code.

Leeman seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman	X Aye ____ Nay
Councilmember Scott Isaacson	X Aye ____ Nay
Councilmember Melissa Layton	X Aye ____ Nay
Councilmember Amy Shumway	X Aye ____ Nay

Consider an ordinance adopting the Parks, Recreation, Arts, and Trails Master Plan

Gibson presented this agenda item. He said the Planning Commission recommended approval with some corrections such as more current names of board members.

Lisa Benson with Landmark Design presented the Parks, Recreation, Arts, and Trails Master Plan. Farmington has 26,000 residents now, but is projected to have 37,000 by 2050. Farmington has 14 playgrounds, nine restrooms, 11 large pavilions, eight multipurpose fields, eight pickleball courts, eight tennis courts, eight baseball/softball fields, three volleyball courts, and seven multi-sport courts. Farmington has 85 acres of open space, which are opportunistic acquisitions.

This study identified the existing Level of Service (LOS), which is calculated by the number of existing park acres (110.2 acres) per 1,000 residents. Farmington's LOS is 4.19 acres per 1,000 people, which is a high level compared to other communities that are built out. To continue this LOS, Farmington would have to add an additional 41 acres by 2050. Of those 41 acres, the City

has already planned 27.5 acres at Brown Park, Burke Lane Detention Basin Park, Business Park, Farmington Pond expansion, and Glovers Lane Park. **Benson** recommended two neighborhood parks to fill in some walking-distance gaps, one on the north end and one on the south end of the City.

The survey revealed that residents are slightly happier with the gym than with the pool, and they want an additional expanded aquatics facility and gym. Residents also want to preserve open space and add walking and bike paths. **Benson** recommended upgrading existing facilities, diversifying programming offerings, and exploring partnerships for big-ticket facilities. While residents feel Farmington has the right amount of trails, they want those trails linked as well as enhanced signage and better mile markers. To carry out all recommendations, it would cost the City \$276 million through the year 2050.

Mayor Anderson said it is eye-opening to see what the residents love and what they want more of. Councilmember **Melissa Layton** wanted a change to Page v of the document, updating the 2022 youth program statistics to something more recent.

Shumway suggested changes on Page 4 of the plan, saying reference to Davis Creek should be added. She would like the hillside development taken out of the plan, mapped in the lower right corner as a potential area of growth. It is not part of the City and is a contentious topic. She said a comma should be placed between the words “diving” and “locker rooms” on Page 12 of the document.

Shumway noted that the City has recently shifted away from wanting small infill pocket and neighborhood parks. Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) have lead to development of regional parks instead.

Mellor said Farmington is approaching build-out, but every creek has a 50-foot easement to each side of the center. Many other cities have used these areas for amenities.

Mayor Anderson opened and closed the Public Hearing at 7:35 p.m., as nobody signed up in person or electronically to address the Council on the issue.

City Parks and Recreation Director **Colby Thackeray** said he is excited to button this plan up, as it has been a long time in the marking. His department will use it as a guideline to help allocate resources.

Motion:

Leeman moved that the City Council approve the ordinance (enclosed in the Staff Report) adopting the Parks, Recreation, Arts, and Trails Master Plan as drafted, with the changes suggested earlier by **Shumway**.

Findings 1-4:

1. The proposed plan considers the input of many from the advisory committee to the general public over the course of many months.
2. The plan is highly detailed and descriptive. This is helpful in understanding existing conditions and desired improvements and programming related to Parks, Recreation, Arts, and Trails.
3. The plan clearly outlines priorities based on a large amount of input.

4. The plan helps identify costs associated with desired improvements allowing decision makers to prepare to address needs and interests of the community.

Isaacson seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Scott Isaacson	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Melissa Layton	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Amy Shumway	X Aye	_____	Nay

Shumway said it is a good indication when no one shows up to a public hearing.

Lagoon Administration Building – Zone Change and Schematic Subdivision and Street Vacation

Gibson presented this agenda item. Lagoon is hoping to develop a two-story office building on the east side of 200 West. The Primordial ride is on the west side. Parking would be to the north. The new building would have all departments in one location and would also include an employee kitchen. The property is currently zoned Buffer (B) and Commercial Recreation (C-R) and is located on a dead-end portion of 200 West. The applicant is requesting a zone change to Commercial Recreation Transition (CRT).

At issue is the end of the 200 West cul-de-sac where people park to access a trail spur of the Lagoon Trail along Farmington Creek. A street vacation as proposed would remove that public access, and leave it a private area controlled by Lagoon. In acknowledgement of its trail access point elimination, **Dustin Allen**, director of engineering representing Lagoon, offered in a letter to pay Farmington \$37,500 for the use of improving, building, or otherwise maintaining trails in the City.

The Planning Commission forwarded a favorable recommendation, although they would like to see the final site plan approval return back to them. The Development Review Committee (DRC) took note of the roof condition of an older home owned by Lagoon on 600 North, and essentially requested a re-roof. However, this was not discussed during the Planning Commission meeting.

Applicant **Sheldon Killpack** with One West Construction said this would be a good buffer for the neighbors to the east. Employees now are dropped off on the frontage road, which creates issues. The new building would solve those issues. Employees have been parking in this lot for the past few years, so there would not be a change in traffic. Lagoon would take the street off Farmington's hands and maintain it in the future.

He said he was caught off guard by the request to reroof the home, as it was not part of the Planning Commission's original motion. He said the precedence was strange because a property outside of the zone was being pulled into the arrangement as a contingency. There has been a tenant in the home for the last five years, and this tenant would be displaced for a couple of months by a re-roof.

Mayor Anderson opened the Public Hearing at 7:50 p.m.

Ann Johnson said she lives in this area and loves the 200 West access to the trail, complete with an access tunnel and great murals. She is not the only one who uses it. Taking away that access takes away half the trail loop. She hopes there is some way to provide some parking.

Mayor Anderson closed the Public Hearing at 7:52 p.m., making note that an email from **Joe and Ellen Shaffer** would be made part of the record.

Mayor Anderson said what the applicant is proposing is much more subdued than a big ride, which could have happened. Lagoon listened to earlier Council comments made during an earlier study session.

Leeman said it will be good to reduce congestion on the Frontage Road. He agrees with the applicant on the reroof. He would also like to see as much vegetation preserved or planed as possible. **Layton** said she has got a lot of resident feedback about trailhead parking.

Killpack said he has met with Staff on this issue, is willing to put the proposed \$37,500 in the Development Agreement, and is otherwise open to discussions.

Mellor said that the cell tower approval recently went through an appeal process. Trail parking may be able to be incorporated there, so this is not the best time to address trail parking. Parking should not be allowed to pose a problem for Lagoon, nor should it block access to their facility.

Isaacson said while the area will be losing a lot of trees, it is generally a good proposal. He would like to see as much vegetation as possible. **Leeman** said the vegetation screen near 600 North makes the proposed building less visible. **Layton** said this is a good neighborhood buffer, and it is better than having a new, big ride there. However, it is difficult to lose that trail spur.

Killpack said compared to other amusement parks across the country, Lagoon doesn't like to cut down their own trees. Lagoon therefore actually looks like a park, and will replant as much as possible.

Mellor said Farmington will be giving up 1/10 of a mile, but the \$37,500 will help pay for half of a 10 foot wide asphalt where it is now just a dirt path. The target is to get it installed before the pedestrian walkway.

Shumway said she did get some phone calls about losing this trail spur. However, she thinks it is a good trade-off to see traffic flow improvements.

Mellor said the City owns the staging area around the \$2 million well house, where the trail could be accessed. However, he doesn't want to encourage parking there because it would be limited and City trucks need emergency access to the well house.

Motion:

Leeman moved that the City Council approve a zone change from Buffer (B) and Commercial Recreation (C-R) to Commercial Recreation Transition, as well as a schematic subdivision plan and street vacation of 200 West for the Lagoon Administration Building, subject to all applicable Farmington City development standards and ordinances and the following Conditions 1-5 (not including 2):

1. All DRC comments to be addressed.

- ~~2. Improvements be done to the roof of the existing home located at 145 West 600 North, which is a historic resource. The improvements shall provide a better weather barrier protection to the home.~~
3. Any vegetation removed by the construction process along the eastern and northern property lines will be replaced by similar or better landscaping to serve as a buffer to residential areas.
4. All lighting will be directed away from neighboring properties.
5. Lagoon shall contribute 437,500 in cash to Farmington City, which will be used towards the construction of a trail along Lagoon Drive. Payment shall be received before a building permit for the Administrative Building may be issued.

Findings 1-6:

1. The site plan complies with the setbacks, height standards, minimum district size and uses for the Business Park (BP) zone, as required by the CRT zone (11-21-050).
2. It is intended that the final site plan will comply with 11-7-70 (Standards for Construction of...Commercial Recreation Uses...on an Undeveloped Site).
3. The proposed use conforms to the General Plan designation for this area.
4. The use is compatible with the character of adjacent commercial properties, and will maintain a buffer for the residential areas in Grove Creek Circle via Farmington Creek Trail and the surrounding forested area.
5. The more restrictive CRT zone replaces the CR zone, which allows for typical higher-impact amusement park uses.
6. With compliance to conditions and requirements, it is reasonable to assume the use will not create unreasonable risks, interfere with the lawful use of surrounding property, or create a need for essential services that cannot be met.

Layton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman
 Councilmember Scott Isaacson
 Councilmember Melissa Layton
 Councilmember Amy Shumway

X Aye ____ Nay
 X Aye ____ Nay
 X Aye ____ Nay
 X Aye ____ Nay

BUSINESS:

Consider a Resolution for the establishment of a Green Waste Program

Mayor Anderson presented this agenda item, which has been discussed for the last two years. The Council budgeted to purchase the equipment necessary for implementing a green waste program. The first cans are expected to arrive this winter, with rollout commencing later in the spring. The Public Works department is developing fee schedule amendments and program timing with the waste carrier. The City's partners at Wasatch Integrated Waste Management requires a resolution be approved before taking next steps. Handling green waste separately will help extend the life of the landfill that the community depends on, as well as decrease transport costs. **Mayor Anderson** said the amount of green waste that Farmington produces from April to October is astounding and heavy. It is unfortunate that the City has done this for so long.

Collette West, sustainability specialist for Wasatch Integrated, said her company has hired an outside engineering consultant to determine its green waste capacity and the possibility of expanding its compost pad. According to its green waste foreman, they are already pretty full. They would like all district members to approve a green waste program, and nine of its 15 cities already have a program.

Leeman said he would like a future newsletter article to explain how the green waste program will lower Farmington's overall bill. **Mayor Anderson** said there is a misconception that Farmington doesn't recycle. **West** said that while trash and recycling go to the same place, each is tipped on different sides before some of it is shipped 100 miles away. Recyclables are kept out of the landfill.

Motion:

Isaacson moved that the City Council approve the resolution establishing a green waste program in the Spring of 2026.

Shumway seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman	X Aye	___	Nay
Councilmember Scott Isaacson	X Aye	___	Nay
Councilmember Melissa Layton	X Aye	___	Nay
Councilmember Amy Shumway	X Aye	___	Nay

Amendment to the Development Agreement for the Gatrell Gardens Planned Unit Development (PUD) Subdivision

Gibson presented this agenda item, saying the City Council has a lot of discretion on this item. Gatrell Gardens was approved in 2023 and has since completed infrastructure improvements and the construction of some homes. Nine lots were approved, and seven are under development. Elite Craft Homes submitted a building permit for a remodel of the home at 37 N. 100 West that includes the preservation of the oldest portion of the building and removal of later additions, to be replaced by larger-scale additions.

The Development Agreement and PUD offered flexibility if two existing homes (at 79 N. and 37 N.) were not razed and instead maintained their eligibility for consideration on the National Register of Historic Places. Due to the existing Development Agreement, the proposed plans were shared with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to discover if they would maintain the home's eligibility. The response was that it may not maintain the home's eligibility.

They currently have an interested buyer for 37 N., which is on the corner of a private street. The original portion was constructed in the 1880s with a single bedroom. Since, two additions were made to the north around 1960. They would like to modernize the garage and tear down the 1960s additions.

Applicant **Trent Preston** (173 N. Main, Farmington, Utah), Vice President of Elite Craft Homes, said the SHPO's recent opinion is inconsistent with what they have approved in the past at 177 N. and 207 N. Main, where addition replacements have been subordinate to the historic building. Both of those are still eligible, and they both have the higher structured roof and bigger additions. To maintain historic homes, they need to be livable in today's world, and no one wants

to live in a two-bedroom home. This particular home has become very dilapidated over time. This proposal is consistent with what they have done in other places throughout the City.

The hard part with going through the SHPO is that their opinions are very subjective. In his experience, the SHPO doesn't randomly pull eligibility. He would like to stick to if the City Council feels the proposal is in line with the Development Agreement. He said his family and company have done more to preserve historic homes than anyone else in Farmington, and the proposal fits the intent of the Development Agreement.

Isaacson said he was concerned that the modernized garage as planned will be bigger than the house, and therefore wouldn't preserve the historic aspect.

Preston agreed, saying the two-dimensional drawing submitted to the Council doesn't allow them to see the depth. The front of the historic home would protrude well in front of the proposed garage, which will be set back. The two existing homes are in the Original Townsite Residential (OTR) zone, and therefore are not part of the PUD or Homeowner's Association (HOA) that will maintain the private lane. The home in question won't be accessed off that private lane. He wants a three-car garage rather than a two-car garage with a pad on the side. The original portion of the home adds character and value to Farmington. It has a rock foundation and is constructed with adobe topped by plaster. The 1880s portion is in better shape than the additions made in the 1960s.

Isaacson said he would like to honor the historical language in the Development Agreement, and the opinion from the SHPO doesn't satisfy the condition in the agreement. **Preston** said that so far, it is just one person's opinion that it wouldn't be eligible, and he has spoken with another architect with historic credentials who thought it would remain eligible.

Shumway said her biggest concern was the garage, and she is not comfortable setting a precedence if the Council is not sure it will be listed on the historic registry. A bonus density was given if the applicant fulfilled that requirement. She would like more clarity on the issue.

Isaacson pointed out that the original Development Agreement doesn't say the home has to actually be registered.

Preston said he has very little faith in the SHPO, who denied his father a listing because they felt he was only seeking state incentives. The City should consider the precedent being set that builders and developers should stay away from historic homes because they are a nightmare. Time is money, and he has put a lot of work and grief into this project. He doesn't feel the original agreement was written well. He asked the Council to consider his company's track record with historic homes in Farmington, and not get bogged down in the minutia.

Layton said that track record does hold a lot of weight, as they have done a lot with historic homes in Farmington. She noted that the applicant is not knocking down the home, which is important. **Gibson** pointed out that the home in question has never been listed on the registry.

Isaacson said that while he is not an expert on historical homes, he feels it does meet the spirit of the agreement the way it is drafted. The agreement didn't say it had to be registered, just that its eligibility would be maintained.

Layton said she wants the massive proposed garages to be setback more. **Gibson** said Farmington's teeth are with its own landmark registry. The Development Agreement prevents

the home from being knocked down, and the owner does not object to inclusion on Farmington's local landmark register. If on the City register, the City has to approve any demolitions.

City Attorney **Paul Roberts** said flexibility was left in the agreement by design, as the Council didn't have these plans when the agreement was originally drafted. If the Council is fine with the design, it would be simpler to amend the agreement so there is no future question. He said the City has an architect on retainer that could offer an opinion. While they are an authority, they are not the authority. **Gibson** said the City only needs to get one architect to give a thumbs up.

Preston said he feels his proposal honors the intent of the Development Agreement, and he believes it will still stay eligible years from now.

Motion:

Layton moved that the City Council approve the proposed amendment to the Development Agreement for the Gatrell Gardens PUD Subdivision allowing for more extensive modification to the home at 37 N. 100 W.

Finding A:

- A. The proposed amendment maintains or improves upon the historic character of the home and neighborhood enough to justify the density that was initially approved and meet the qualities which lead the Council to originally approve the PUD.

Shumway seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Scott Isaacson	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Melissa Layton	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Amy Shumway	X Aye	_____	Nay

Approval of the August 19, 2025, City Council Meeting

Motion:

Leeman moved that the City Council approve the August 19, 2025, City Council meeting minutes.

Shumway seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Scott Isaacson	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Melissa Layton	X Aye	_____	Nay
Councilmember Amy Shumway	X Aye	_____	Nay

GOVERNING BODY REPORTS:

City Manager Report

Mellor said **Mayor Anderson** and Layton will speak at the 9-11 program on Sept. 11, 2025, at 8 a.m. at the Fire Station. A Day of Service will be held on Saturday, Sept. 13, 2025. The Council

Shoot was moved to Sept. 23 at a shooting range in Fruit Heights at 3 p.m. There will be a ribbon cutting for the Western Sports Park (WSP) on Sept. 16 and 17, 2025. Sept. 15, 2025, will be the retirement for Finance Director **Greg Davis** at the Community Center, where **Mayor Anderson** and **Mellor** will speak.

Mayor Anderson and City Council Reports

Gibson said Boyer will soon be coming as a future agenda item. **Mellor** said the crash gate proposed will not connect as a through street.

Shumway noted that according the Legislative Policy Committee of the Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT), Senate Bill 80 will require all municipalities to pay a new water fee to the state beginning July of 2027. **Roberts** said this is the result of federal cuts. **Shumway** also heard that the ULCT is pushing back against the idea of allowing housing in all commercial areas, which is a trend happening in California.

Leeman said the Homeowner's Association (HOA) thought the City would maintain the south side of 950, where all three trees have died. The agreement may have been that the City installed the landscaping and the HOA would do the maintenance. **Mellor** said he will check with **Thackeray** about that. He will also check on landscaping west of Sharpshooter Drive, where **Phil Holland** was the developer.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion:

Leeman made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:13 p.m.

Layton seconded the motion. All Council members voted in favor, as there was no opposing vote.

Mayor Pro Tempore/Councilmember Alex Leeman
Councilmember Scott Isaacson
Councilmember Melissa Layton
Councilmember Amy Shumway

X Aye ____ Nay
X Aye ____ Nay
X Aye ____ Nay
X Aye ____ Nay



DeAnn Carlile, Recorder