



**Minutes of the
Millcreek City Council
May 12, 2025
6:30 p.m.
Work Meeting
7:00 p.m.
Regular Meeting**

The City Council of Millcreek, Utah, met in a public work meeting and regular meeting on May 12, 2025, at City Hall, located at 1330 E. Chambers Avenue, Millcreek, UT 84106. The meeting was recorded for the City's website and had an option for online public comment.

PRESENT:

Council Members

Jeff Silvestrini, Mayor
Silvia Catten, District 1
Thom DeSirant, District 2
Cheri Jackson, District 3
Bev Uipi, District 4

City Staff

Mike Winder, City Manager
Elyse Sullivan, City Recorder
Francis Lilly, Assistant City Manager
Lisa Dudley, HR-Finance Director
Kurt Hansen, Facilities Director
Kristy Parajuli, Promise Education
Coordinator

Attendees: Council Member Ross Romero, Jason Burningham, Gayland Moffat, Ted Zaharias, Brandon Francom, Sasha Francom, Leslie Blackham, Laura Renshaw, Cheneil Hill, David Garrett, Mike Glauser, Cindi Ipsen, Kerwin Ipsen, Alex Woo, Simon Li, Jun Hu, Jessica Ho, Jacob Carlton, Kadee Worley, Jackson Wang, Connor Gale, Ben Black, Jason Black, Robyn Dunyon, Yiting Xie, Cathy House, Paige Pectol, Veda Samsara

WORK MEETING – 6:30 p.m.

TIME COMMENCED: 6:31 p.m.

Mayor Silvestrini called the work meeting to order.

1. Salt Lake County Council Member Ross Romero Introduction

Council Member Romero introduced himself to the council and commended the council on Millcreek Common.

2. Millcreek Common East Block Bond Discussion; Jason Burningham, LRB

Mike Winder reviewed proposed plans for Millcreek Common East Block with SLC Strength & Conditioning moving to the building to the south and the Fine Art Inn temporarily relocating to the old city hall site (1330 E 3300 S). The proposal included a retail/condo building, Hyatt Studios Hotel, and a parking structure. Fine Art Inn would then move into the retail/condo space and own their space. The existing east woonerf parking would be lost for a

patio and space for the condo building. He showed the council the proposed architectural plans from Beecher Walker Architects. Millcreek will purchase the Millcreek Common North site from Cottonwood Residential for \$3.1 million and it will be used for parking during the east block construction. For Millcreek Common North, Millcreek would use community reinvestment agency affordable housing dollars to buy down the land and find a development partner to construct affordable first time homebuyer condominiums. The city would retain ownership and control of the ground floor retail and the pacing of when development happens. Winder broke down the proposed revenues (\$80 million) and expenses (\$75 million) from 2026-2056.

Jason Burningham presented a comprehensive overview of the proposed bond issuance for Millcreek's East Block project. The city aims to secure approximately \$24 million in net construction funds by issuing just under \$23 million, strategically using the Millcreek Community Reinvestment Agency as the bond issuer to maximize financial flexibility. Burningham highlighted the potential for a tax-exempt transaction, which could save the city around 80 basis points on interest rates, with sales tax revenue serving as the primary bond security. He provided a debt service coverage analysis of the city's two existing bonds (City Hall Series 2021 and Millcreek Common Series 2019) with the proposed East Block Development bond, Series 2025. He emphasized the project's strong financial standing, showing a debt service coverage of 2.91 times, well above the market's standard two-times covenant. He then provided comparables with nearby cities (Cottonwood Heights, Holladay, Midvale, Sandy, and South Salt Lake) on sales tax revenue, maximum aggregated total annual debt service, and debt service coverage ratio. The proposed 30-year bond is estimated to carry an interest rate of 4.83%, with a carefully planned timeline including a parameters resolution in May, a public hearing in June, and the bond sale scheduled for early August. Burningham's presentation underscored the city's prudent approach to financing the development project, balancing financial efficiency with strategic long-term planning.

Council Member Uipi asked for clarification on the debt service ratio and the use of city sales tax revenue. Burningham explained the coverage table and the capacity of the sales tax to support the debt service. Council Member Uipi asked if the sales tax revenue was from the whole city or the Millcreek Center CRA. Burningham said the whole city. Council Member Catten asked if the tax-free mechanism for the transaction had been done before. Burningham acknowledged it had, so the city's bond counsel, Gilmore and Bell, P.C., felt this transaction could be tax exempt.

3. Staff Reports

There were none.

4. Discussion of Agenda Items, Correspondence, and/or Future Agenda Items

There was none.

Council Member DeSirant moved to adjourn the work meeting at 6:59 p.m. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

REGULAR MEETING – 7:00 p.m.

TIME COMMENCED: 7:03 p.m.

1. Welcome, Introduction and Preliminary Matters

1.1 Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Silvestrini called the meeting to order and led the pledge of allegiance.

1.2 Canyon Rim Academy 3rd Grade Report on Service Project

Council Member Jackson noted the third grade toured city hall and performed a service project in cleaning up Canyon Rim Park on May 9th. Paige Pectol, Canyon Rim Academy teacher, said new social study standards were implemented by the state and the focus was on students' local communities. The students have been studying Millcreek. This included a field trip to City Hall, a presentation from Ryan Lufkin, the Vice Chair of the Historic Preservation Commission, learning and performing a song about the history of Millcreek in their music class, and finally, a culminating project. They had a challenge to support the Public Works Department in helping clean up the park. She thanked Council Member Jackson. The students presented their findings and suggestions for improving Canyon Rim Park, including fixing benches, removing hazards, and adding more trash cans.

Mayor Silvestrini thanked the third graders for their ideas. He invited any Millcreek resident to help pull weeds in parks.

1.3 Mayor's Star of Excellence Awards

Mayor Silvestrini said the council was proud to honor academic achievements in the city. Millcreek had 74 marvelous high school juniors achieve a 4.0 grade point average this school year. The council values the students as members of the community. The students came from AMES High School, Cottonwood High School, Granite Technical Institute, Granite Online, Olympus High School and Skyline High School. Mayor Silvestrini presented awards to: Joseph Ford, Rhona Van Der Merwe, Zari Zitting, Andrew Goddard, Jeong Kim, Samuel Knudson, Jaxon Rawlins, Anna Neff, Tomo Sato, Saul Veitia Rodriguez, Persephone White, Hiram Wilson, Poppy Anderson, Jason Black, Caleb Carrier, Wyatt Hansen, Isabella Kotter, Anna Lowry, Jwan Muslim, Pier Peterson, Melina Quiroga, Eliza Rosenhan, Ian Turner, Wil Wilhelm, Cora Wood, Izaiah Woolston, Sydney Cundick, Ava Lambert, Naomi Mills, Braxton Pulley, Joselyn Taveras, Brigham Thompson, Clara Armstrong, Jacob Bjorklund, James Boyd, Jacob Boyden, Annie Bridge, Baylee Burbidge, Ollie Cameron, Malia Chaya, Scarlett Hall, Halle Hipple, Mei Ho, Lyra Horton, Dallin Huber, Avery Jensen, Jane Johnson, Nixon Killen, Ripley Killen, Brooke Lambert, Amelia Linford, Elizabeth Mackay, Tess Malmrose, Josephine Martindale, Sarajane Matheson, Porter Miller, Aidan Nguyen, Ashley Price, Lauren Probst, Isabelle Reynolds, Paul Schlegel, Samuel Schlegel, Lauren Scott, Mitchell Sessions, William Silver, Jacob Simmons, Tillman Tate, Ivette Tello, Brooke Vorwaller, Benjamin Wakefield, Sadie Walton, Elijah White, Matthew Wilkinson, and Ellie Wright.

1.4 Public Comment

In anticipation of comments regarding a recent application for a recovery residence at 868 East 4315 South, Mayor Silvestrini acknowledged the strong community interest by explaining that the city's ability to regulate such facilities is very limited due to federal and state laws, including the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act. These laws mandate that if such facilities meet zoning and occupancy codes, cities cannot reject them—even the city council has no authority to vote on or deny these applications. To provide context, the mayor described a similar past application for another group home that proposed housing 15 unrelated men. The city denied that request, citing excessive occupancy beyond what the property and state regulations could reasonably support. However, the applicant appealed, and the land use hearing officer ruled in favor of the applicant, though limiting the occupancy to state requirements which are estimated to be 10 residents. The city has since appealed that decision, disputing the justification provided for the higher occupancy. This legal challenge has already cost Millcreek taxpayers over \$50,000.

The mayor emphasized that the current application being discussed is from a different applicant and appears to comply with zoning and occupancy limits, making it more likely to be approved administratively. Although the city will enforce all applicable laws and attempt to mitigate neighborhood impacts, there may be no legal grounds to deny the facility. The planning director was then asked to present further details before opening the floor to public comments, with the intent of informing and guiding the community's feedback.

Council Member Uipi moved to reorder the agenda to entertain a staff report from the planning director. Council Member Catten seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

4.3 Staff Reports

Francis Lilly, Planning Director, provided a detailed update on the pending application for a recovery residence at 868 East 4315 South. The application, submitted two weeks prior, proposes housing for eight adults and one live-in manager. The applicant has agreed to quiet hours, limited parking (six vehicles), and no off-street parking. An inspection by city officials confirmed that the five-bedroom property appears to meet the state's occupancy requirements. Notably, recovery residences often operate with a buddy system to ensure no residents sleep alone, which is consistent with national practices. One bedroom would be designated for the live-in manager.

The city is currently reviewing the application in accordance with zoning laws and considering financial information voluntarily provided by the applicant. Lilly emphasized that, under federal law, individuals recovering from substance use disorders are considered disabled and are therefore entitled to reasonable accommodations in housing. This legal framework mandates that if an applicant demonstrates compliance with zoning, licensing, and other legal requirements, the city must approve the use—even if it exceeds the usual limit of four unrelated adults in a single-family home. In this case, the applicant is requesting an increase to eight, citing therapeutic and financial justifications.

Lilly clarified that the city cannot deny a permit based on the applicant's character, unverified online claims, or internal household dynamics, as these are matters under the jurisdiction of the Utah Department of Health and Human Services. The city's role is to ensure the application meets all zoning and licensing standards, and to protect taxpayers from potential legal and financial consequences that can result from improper denial of such permits. Denials can trigger lawsuits or federal investigations under the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act, potentially leading to penalties and loss of funding.

The application differs from a prior, controversial one due to fewer residents, a larger home, and live-in management—factors viewed as substantive improvements. While the city may impose reasonable, non-discriminatory conditions (such as on parking or adherence to treatment plans), it must approve such facilities in residential zones if they qualify as residential uses under federal and state law. Concerns about the qualifications or conduct of providers should be directed to the appropriate state agencies. Lilly concluded by noting that any final decision will be publicly noticed, and both applicants and affected parties have a right to appeal within a 10-day window. Resources and QR codes were provided for further information on state licensing and federal housing guidance.

1.4 Public Comment Continued

Laura Renshaw, Millcreek Library Manager, highlighted new equipment which can be checked out and ongoing/new events at the library.

Leslie Blackham acknowledged the importance of care and treatment for individuals struggling with substance abuse and mental health issues, she emphasized that such care should be provided by qualified professionals in properly equipped settings. Her main objection to this application centered on the characterization of the facility as a residence rather than a business, citing a reported monthly operating budget of \$54,000 as evidence that it functions more like a commercial enterprise than a traditional home. She raised issues regarding the property's transformation from a three-bedroom to a five-bedroom home, alleging that the city failed to investigate the original property listing before the sale and that construction work may have been completed without proper permits. She referenced specific city ordinances, arguing that unauthorized remodeling should be grounds for revocation or denial of the facility's permit. She noted her neighbor's house is not selling and she believes it is from the proposed residential facility three doors down. She warned of the facility's potential to disrupt the character, safety, and property values of the neighborhood.

Cheneil Hill, founder of Stand Against Fear and Exploitation, works across Utah by protecting communities from abuse, and expose organizations that use their status of faith to shield from accountability. She relayed that a convicted sex offender who kidnapped a child was found entering two public parks, which violated the Sex Offender Registry conditions and is punishable as a misdemeanor. She said, according to the Utah Commission on Criminal Justice, high risk sex offenders reoffend at the rate of 22% in Utah within five years. She noted Millcreek had 71 registered offenders. She felt Utah laws were too lenient, and felonies were needed. She urged the city council to stand for justice, to support stronger local protections, and to advocate with her.

Cathy House asked if the city could address the comments raised by Blackham.

Gayland Moffat, 4315 S, described the uniqueness of his neighborhood. He asked for the chance to ask staff questions on the application and reasonable accommodations. Mayor Silvestrini said the city could email those interested in the progress of the application.

Veda Samsara noted her son had stayed in 18 different treatment facilities and in each one there was a drug problem. She was worried about introducing drugs to a cul-de-sac with young families.

Ted Zaharias, 4315 S, said the purpose of R-1 zoning is to establish single family neighborhoods which provide persons who reside within a comfortable, healthy, safe and pleasant environment and this facility would change the feel of the neighborhood. He is invested in Millcreek but now wants to move due to all the treatment facilities in his neighborhood. He felt the applicants for the facility had been deceptive for not getting a building permit and building after business hours. Anybody could open a treatment facility, there were how-to instructions on the internet. He expressed concern with individuals associated with the application.

Kerwin Ipsen, 850 E, expressed concern about precedence with surrounding facilities in his neighborhood. He discussed recidivism and high turnover of residents in the facility. The homeowners have a reasonable expectation of safety. He expressed concern with parking on the street. The concentration of the facilities in the neighborhood was of concern. He wanted the city to take steps for facilities coming into neighborhoods.

Brandon Francom, 4315 S, questioned the definition of disability not including drug abuse, but physical impairment. He suggested the city provide a definition more suitable for this use. The zoning is primarily designated for single family residences. Other cities define single family dwellings as housing people within the same family. He noted he had young children playing in the street. He said another house in his neighborhood had issues with parking and he did not want the issue to grow in the area.

Kadee Worley asked about the status of the new zoning code and its effect on this application. She felt businesses providing places to live invited opportunities for deception and were a conflict of interest. Residential recovery centers come into neighborhoods and make lots of money at the expense of the community.

Blackham invited city staff to come to the neighborhood after business hours to see the current parking problem.

2. Financial Matters

2.1 Public Hearing to Consider Amending the Fiscal Year 2024-2025 General Fund Budget

Council Member Catten moved to open the public hearing. Council Member Uipi seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council

Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Lisa Dudley reviewed the General Fund revenues and expenditure amendments. Other city funds' amendments would be presented at the next council meeting. Current updates to the General Fund include revised revenues and adjustments related to administrative fees, which are based on CRA tax increment revenues. Since final CRA tax data becomes available after March 31, updated admin fees—driven by greater-than-anticipated tax increment growth—will be presented at the next meeting. Interfund transfers related to Community Foundation sponsorships, which exceeded original estimates, will also be addressed at that time. These adjustments will help reduce reliance on fund balances.

Tonight's proposed changes are limited to internal departmental line-item adjustments, which do not alter the overall budget total and are presented for transparency, though not required by state code. These changes reflect ongoing efforts to ensure departments remain aligned with budget expectations as the fiscal year ends. In addition, the storm water utility fund has experienced increased interest income, and a new general ledger account has been created to track contracted labor for utility billing separately from general contract labor, enhancing financial clarity. Looking ahead to the May 27 meeting, the council will consider broader amendments across city funds, including capital project funds—where higher-than-expected interest income and corrected transfers will be addressed. For example, funds will be moved from park impact fees to support Millcreek Common Phase Two, and a federal grant originally budgeted at \$2.4 million will be corrected to \$2.5 million. That evening will also include a CRA meeting to adopt required interfund loans and debt service schedules, as well as a Community Foundation meeting to approve related budget amendments.

Mayor Silvestrini asked for public comment. There was none.

Council Member Jackson moved to close the public hearing. Council Member Uipi seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

2.2 Discussion and Consideration of Ordinance 25-16, Amending the 2024-25 Fiscal Year Budget

Dudley mentioned that a fee schedule update was included in the ordinance, particularly with the updated zoning code taking effect May 19, 2025. Lilly requested the fee schedule be effective on May 19, 2025, to align with the new code. Mayor Silvestrini asked for public comment. There was none.

Council Member DeSirant moved to approve Ordinance 25-16, Amending the 2024-25 Fiscal Year Budget including the fee schedule, which would be effective as of May 19. Council Member Catten seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

2.3 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 25-16, Acknowledging Receipt of the Tentative Budget on May 12, 2025; and Tentatively Adopting the Tentative Budget Pursuant to the Requirements of Utah Code Ann. § 10-6-111 for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026

Mayor Silvestrini said the council was adopting a tentative budget for the next fiscal year and would have a public hearing on it for feedback before final adoption in June. The current version of the budget reflects extensive discussions between city departments and the finance team, which began in January. Departments have assessed their anticipated needs and potential revenue shifts, with an emphasis on fiscal caution due to economic uncertainty. As a precaution, the city has implemented a general hiring freeze, with exceptions made only for essential replacements and one new hire—an intern transitioning to a full-time GIS position based on demonstrated performance. While the budget does not yet include specific cuts, department heads have been asked to prepare for potential 10% reductions, should a recession or economic downturn arise. The goal is to ensure the city remains agile and responsive in managing its resources.

Dudley said the proposed budget has been developed with a conservative approach in response to ongoing economic uncertainty. Excluding the newly introduced bond, the tentative budget for the upcoming fiscal year reflects only a 1.4% increase over the current year's budget—a notable achievement made possible through careful planning and restraint from city departments, particularly in limiting new staffing requests despite sustained workloads. The largest city expenditure, the law enforcement contract, is included with a 5% increase. No additional staffing is included in the General Fund, and the one new position—a GIS role—is funded through the storm water utility fund and does not impact tax revenue. Personnel-related expenses in the budget include a 3% cost-of-living adjustment and a 1.5% merit increase. The city's health insurance premiums, which are shared between the city (85%) and employees (15%), were initially projected to increase by 7.9%, but negotiations led by the city's insurance broker successfully reduced the rate to 7%, easing one of the city's significant personnel costs.

On the capital side, the tentative budget continues a \$1 million allocation for pavement preservation, in addition to routine road maintenance funded through the public works contract. It also includes a \$1.1 million transfer to support the completion of Millcreek Common Phase Two. One final adjustment to the current year's budget will be brought before the council to refine estimates on year-end fund balance, which currently stands at 35%. Any excess will likely be directed toward the project. These matters will be discussed further at the regular council meeting on May 27.

Mayor Silvestrini expressed appreciation for the city's department heads and staff, highlighting their crucial role in navigating the current economic uncertainty. Despite taking a conservative, defensive approach to budgeting, the city was able to make strategic reductions that allowed for meaningful wage increases—5% for the police department and a combined 4.5% increase for city staff, incorporating both cost-of-living and merit-based adjustments. These efforts ensure that city salaries remain competitive, which is essential to retaining talented personnel and maintaining high-quality services for residents. Thanks to the disciplined budgeting and steady sales tax revenues, these enhancements were achieved without increasing property taxes, and no tax hike will be sought for the city portion of property tax. The mayor echoed that the overall budget

increase is modest—just 1.4%—and fully supported by existing revenues, particularly from sales tax, which has remained stable. Importantly, the city continues to prioritize infrastructure, with the budget maintaining a \$1 million allocation for pavement preservation on top of the regular road maintenance contract with Salt Lake County. This investment reflects the city's commitment to improving local roads in Millcreek. The mayor emphasized that, even under fiscal constraints, the city continues to operate efficiently and deliver high-level services, crediting the team effort behind the budget's success.

Council Member DeSirant moved to approve Resolution 25-16, that the council acknowledges the receipt of the tentative budget that meets the requirements of state law. The tentative budget presented to the council is tentatively adopted to the extent required by Utah Code 10-6-111. The council also sets the time and the place of the public hearing on the Fiscal Year 2026 budget in accordance with the UCA 10-6-114, and the public hearing will be held on Monday, June 9, 2025, at or near 7pm during the regular city and council meeting which will be held in this community forum at Millcreek City Hall. Council Member Uipi seconded.

Winder thanked the Finance Department, mayor and council.

The Recorder called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Business Matters

3.1 Public Hearing Regarding the Issuance by the Public Finance Authority (Wisconsin) of Not to Exceed \$14,000,000 of its Charter School Revenue Notes on Behalf of Canyon Rim Academy for Purposes of Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as Amended

Mayor Silvestrini said Canyon Rim Academy was proposing to build a new building and needed to issue bonds. Part of those requirements by the bonding authority is that a public hearing be held with the city or county and Millcreek volunteered to hold that.

Council Member Jackson moved to open the public hearing. Council Member Catten seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Jacob Carlton, bond counsel to Canyon Rim Academy, said the tax-exempt bonds in question will be issued by the Public Finance Authority, a Wisconsin-based, out-of-state entity—not by Millcreek. Although the city is not financially obligated or responsible for the bonds, both federal regulations and Wisconsin statutes require a public hearing in the jurisdiction where the project is located to allow for public comment. Additionally, the statutes mandate that the elected officials of the local jurisdiction formally approve the financing. Tonight's action fulfills those procedural requirements but does not create any financial obligation or liability for the city.

David Garrett said a 61,000 square foot elementary school, K-6, would be built on the existing 7.2 acres. When the new school was built, the 70 year old building would be demolished.

Mayor Silvestrini asked for public comment. There was none.

Council Member DeSirant moved to close the public hearing. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3.2 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 25-17, Approving the Issuance by the Public Finance Authority (Wisconsin) of Not to Exceed \$14,000,000 of its Charter School Revenue Notes on Behalf of Canyon Rim Academy for Purposes of Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as Amended

Council Member Jackson moved to adopt Resolution 25-17, Approving the Issuance by the Public Finance Authority (Wisconsin) of Not to Exceed \$14,000,000 of its Charter School Revenue Notes on Behalf of Canyon Rim Academy. Council Member Uipi seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3.3 Discussion and Consideration of Ordinance 25-17, Amending Title 13 of the Millcreek Code of Ordinances Prohibiting Motor Vehicles on Trails

Mayor Silvestrini said the code allows pedal assisted e-bikes but prohibits the use of motor vehicles or fully electronic e bikes on the trails.

Council Member Jackson moved to approve Ordinance 25-17, Amending Title 13 of the Millcreek Code of Ordinances Prohibiting Motor Vehicles on Trails. Council Member Catten seconded.

Mayor Silvestrini said the reasoning was because motor vehicles have more torque and horsepower and they tear up the trail.

The Recorder called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

3.4 Discussion and Consideration of Resolution 25-18, Approving an Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County Relating to the Healthy Millcreek Coalition for Additional Funding in the New Fiscal Year

Mayor Silvestrini said this amendment adds more funding to the local agreement, all of which would be supplied by Salt Lake County, and is revenue neutral for the city.

Council Member Uipi moved to approve Resolution 25-18, Approving an Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County Relating to the Healthy Millcreek Coalition for Additional Funding. Council Member Jackson seconded. The Recorder called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Reports

4.1 Mayor's Report

Mayor Silvestrini reported that the UFSA and UPD boards were working on the new fiscal year budgets. He reported on recent successful Millcreek Common and community events and a political forum.

Council Member Uipi read a public comment received from Leslie Silvestrini, "Congratulations to everyone on the budget. Good work!"

4.2 City Council Member Reports

Council Member Catten reported she attended the Granite School District's tour of the Granite Technical Institute. She was impressed by what classes are offered to students. Mayor Silvestrini noted he attended a ribbon cutting at the South Town Mall for the Granite CEO program.

4.3 Staff Reports continued

Mike Winder proposed the city consider a Dowdle city puzzle and other ideas for its upcoming 10 year anniversary. The council discussed options.

5. Consent Agenda

5.1 Approval of April 28, 2025 Work Meeting and Regular Meeting Minutes

Council Member DeSirant moved to approve item 5.1. Council Member Jackson seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.

6. New Items for Subsequent Consideration

There was none.

7. Calendar of Upcoming Meetings

- City Council Mtg. TUESDAY, 5/27/25 7:00 p.m.

ADJOURNED: Council Member DeSirant moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:30 p.m. Council Member Uipi seconded. Mayor Silvestrini called for the vote. Council Member Catten voted yes, Council Member DeSirant voted yes, Council Member Jackson voted yes, Council Member Uipi voted yes, and Mayor Silvestrini voted yes. The motion passed unanimously.


APPROVED:


Jeff Silvestrini, Mayor

Date

6-9-2025

Attest:


Elyse Sullivan, City Recorder