



**NOTICE AND AGENDA
SANTA CLARA CITY COUNCIL WORK MEETING
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 13, 2025
TIME: 4:00 PM**

Public Notice is hereby given that the Santa Clara City Council will hold a Work Meeting in the Santa Clara City Council Chambers located at 2603 Santa Clara Drive, Santa Clara Utah on Wednesday, August 13, 2025, commencing at 4:00 PM. The meeting will be broadcasted on our city website at <https://santaclarautah.gov>.

1. Call to Order:

2. Working Agenda:

A. General Business:


1. Discussion regarding Animal Control Ordinance Title 6, Animals. Presented by McKenzie Peterson, Animal Control/Shelter Manager and Jaron Studley, Police Chief.
2. Discussion regarding Payment Processing Fee. Presented by Lance Haynie, Government Affairs Director.
3. Discussion regarding UAMPS All Requirements Membership Model. Presented by Gary Hall, Power Director.
4. Discussion regarding allowing Shakespeare in the Park to store equipment on city property. Presented by Councilman Pond.

3. Staff Reports:

4. Adjournment:

Note: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodation during this meeting should notify the city no later than 24 hours in advance of the meeting by calling 435-673-6712. In accordance with State Statute and Council Policy, one or more Council Members may be connected via speakerphone or may by two-thirds vote to go into a closed meeting.

The undersigned, duly appointed City Recorder, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted within the Santa Clara City limits on this 7th day of August 2025 at the Santa Clara City Hall, on the City Hall Notice Board, at the Santa Clara Post Office, on the Utah State Public Notice Website, and on the City Website at <http://santaclarautah.gov>. The 2025 meeting schedule was also provided to the Spectrum on January 1, 2025.


Selena Nez, CMC
City Recorder

Mayor

Rick Rosenberg

City Manager

Brock Jacobsen



City Council

Jarett Waite

Ben Shakespeare

Christa Hinton

David Pond

Janene Burton

CITY COUNCIL

Meeting Date: August 13, 2025

Agenda Item: 1

Applicant:

Requested by: Jaron Studley

Subject: Update Animal Control Ordinance Title 6, Animals

Description:

Animal Control Ordinance Title 6, Animals

Recommendation: Discussion

Attachments: N/A

Cost: 0

Legal Approval: N/A

Finance Approval: N/A

Budget Approval: N/A

2603 Santa Clara Drive, Santa Clara, Utah 84765

Phone (435) 673-6712 Fax (435) 628-7338

This document explains some updated rules and definitions about animals in Santa Clara. It says that animals like dogs, livestock, or wild animals are considered “at large” if they are off their owner’s property and not under control—unless they are cats, which are now an exception. The reason for this change is Animal Control is already releasing cats back into the community if they are feral. This will allow all cats, feral or domesticated, to be at large. Our surrounding cities allow their cats to do that, and it is impacting our city.

A pet shop is now defined as a place that sells pets and pet supplies.

A new term, “hoarding,” was added to describe when someone has too many animals and can’t take proper care of them.

The Review Panel, which helps decide what happens to certain animals, must have at least three members and can include experts or animal group members. This will allow us to be more specific about who is involved in the review panel for animals at the shelter.

There’s a rule that no one can have more than four dogs at one home unless they live on a big piece of land or next to open space. Even if the city gives a license for more dogs, the limit still applies. This will allow the limiting of animals on the property to ensure safety, health and nuisances in a residential area.

Animals picked up by the city will be held for at least five working days before they can be adopted or moved, unless the owner gives them up sooner. If an animal stays in the shelter too long or shows bad behavior, the Review Panel will decide what to do. This will give a clear expectation of what could happen to animals that begin to show aggressive behaviors.

Lastly, any female animal in heat must be kept in a secure place so it doesn’t cause problems. This will ensure the process of breeding in dogs and cats to hopefully decrease the increase in puppies and kittens.

DEFINITIONS:

AT LARGE: All wild animals and any livestock or domesticated animals off the premises of the owner or custodian of the animal and not under immediate control of the owner or custodian are considered at large. In the case of a dog, "immediate control" shall mean on a leash, or confined within a vehicle or pet carrier/cage.

Rewrite:

AT LARGE: All wild animals and any livestock or domesticated animals, **except cats,** off the premises of the owner or custodian of the animal and not under immediate control of the owner or custodian are considered at large. In the case of a dog, "immediate control" shall mean on a leash, or confined within a vehicle or pet carrier/cage.

PET SHOP: Any establishment containing cages or exhibition pens, not part of a kennel or cattery, wherein dogs, cats, birds or other pets for sale are kept or displayed.

REWRITE:

PET SHOP: **Any establishment selling pet supplies and/or accessories,** not part of a kennel or cattery, wherein dogs, cats, birds or other pets for sale are kept or displayed.

ADD: - HOARDING: The accumulation of numerous animals which overwhelms the owner's ability to provide even minimal standards of nutrition, sanitation and veterinary care.

REVIEW PANEL: The review panel shall be made up of the director, one or more animal control officer(s) as appointed by the director, the Friends Of Ivins Animal Shelter (FIAS) president or one other designated FIAS representative, or any other person(s) requested by the director who may have specific knowledge/training to assist the panel in making decisions regarding animals. The director shall make the final determination as to the disposition in each case.

REWRITE:

REVIEW PANEL: The Review Panel shall be composed of a minimum of three (3) individuals and may include the Director, one or more Animal Control Officers as appointed by the Director, a representative from a recognized animal shelter support group, and/or any other person(s) selected by the Director who possess specific knowledge, expertise, or training relevant to the case under review. The panel shall serve in an advisory capacity to assist in making informed decisions regarding the disposition of animals. The Director shall consider the input and recommendations of the Review Panel but shall retain final authority and make the final determination in each case.

ORDINANCES:

Santa Clara city ordinance, under license requirements and fees should read:

LICENSE REQUIREMENTS: No person or persons at any one dwelling unit, as defined in the zoning ordinance of the city, shall at any one time own or license more than **four (4) dogs** in any combination, except as otherwise provided herein.

The sole exception shall apply where the person occupies a dwelling unit:

- Located on **one acre or more of land**, or
- Where the property **adjoins an open space or agricultural zone** on at least one side.

The purpose of this subsection is to minimize health risks and nuisance issues for neighbors due to the keeping of dogs. This ordinance does **not waive compliance** with any other provisions of this chapter or the city's nuisance ordinances.

Due to the difficulty in tracking ownership, the issuance of a dog license by the city for more than the permitted number of dogs shall **not be considered a waiver** of these limits, and full compliance with this section may still be enforced.

6.05.020 Animal at large (Prohibited acts)

It shall be unlawful for the owner or custodian of any animal to allow such animal to run at large. The owner or person charged with responsibility for an animal found running at large shall be strictly liable for a violation of this section regardless of the precautions taken to prevent the escape of the animal and regardless of whether or not he knows that the animal is running at large.

6.01.050: ANIMALS AT LARGE(General)

No animals shall be allowed to run at large or to be herded, tethered or staked out upon any street, sidewalk or other public place within the city and all such animals so found may be impounded and/or the owner of the animal cited. (Ord. 2015-16)

Re write to say: Animal at large (put under prohibited acts)

It shall be unlawful for the owner or custodian of any animal to allow such animal, **other than domestic cats**, to run at large or to be herded, tethered or staked out upon any street, sidewalk or other public place within the city. All such animals so found may be impounded and/or the owner of the animal cited.

The owner or person charged with responsibility for an animal found running at large shall be strictly liable for a violation of this section regardless of the precautions taken to prevent the escape of the animal and regardless of whether or not they knows that the animal is running at large.

Period of impound: Santa Clara – 6.06.030

Domesticated animals shall be impounded for a minimum period of five (5) working days before further disposition, unless voluntarily relinquished by the owner or custodian in which case there shall be no minimum period of impound. Notice shall be deemed given when sent to the last known address of the listed owner. All adoptable dogs and cats, except for those quarantined or confined by court order, that have not been reclaimed by their owners within the minimum impound period are then eligible for adoption, placement with a qualified rescue organization or placement into foster care.

A. After one hundred twenty (120) days an animal, not adopted or placed, may have its status evaluated by the review panel.

B. Regardless of length of time in the shelter, an animal who begins to manifest aberrant or aggressive behavior or whose health is deteriorating may be evaluated by the review panel.

C. If, after the evaluation by the review panel, it is determined that the animal remains adoptable, transferable or capable of being rehabilitated, the animal may be placed under the care of FIAS and placement attempts shall continue per an agreement with FIAS. If determined that an animal is no longer adoptable, transferable or capable of rehabilitation, the animal may be euthanized as approved by the director. This paragraph shall be entirely subject to, and may be modified or terminated pursuant to, the terms of any written agreement entered between the city and FIAS.

Rewrite:

Domesticated animals shall be impounded for a minimum period of five (5) working days before further disposition, unless voluntarily relinquished by the owner or custodian in which case there shall be no minimum period of impound. Notice shall be deemed given when sent to the last known address of the listed owner. All adoptable dogs and cats, except for those quarantined or confined by court order, that have not been reclaimed by their owners within the minimum impound period are then eligible for adoption, placement with a qualified rescue organization or placement into foster care.

A. After one hundred twenty (120) days an animal, not adopted or placed, may have its status evaluated by the review panel.

B. Regardless of length of time in the shelter, an animal who begins to manifest aberrant or aggressive behavior or whose health is deteriorating may be evaluated by the review panel.

C. If determined that an animal is no longer adoptable, transferable or capable of rehabilitation, the animal may be euthanized as approved by the director. This paragraph shall be entirely subject to, and may be modified or terminated pursuant to, the terms of any written agreement entered between the city.

6.05.040: FEMALE DOGS IN HEAT:

It shall be unlawful for the custodian of any female dog in heat to fail to confine such dog in a secure enclosure so as to prevent it from coming into contact with other dogs and creating a nuisance, except for planned breeding.

REWRITE: 6.05.040: FEMALE ANIMAL IN HEAT:

It shall be unlawful for the custodian of any female animal in heat to fail to confine such animal in a secure enclosure so as to prevent it from coming into contact with other animals and creating a nuisance.

Mayor

Rick Rosenberg

City Manager

Brock Jacobsen



City Council

Jarett Waite

Ben Shakespeare

Christa Hinton

David Pond

Janene Burton

CITY COUNCIL

Meeting Date: August 13, 2025

Agenda Item: 2

Applicant: N/A

Requested by: Lance Haynie

Subject: Payment Processing Fee Discussion

Description:

Discussion regarding the potential implementation of payment processing fees on non-utility bill credit card transactions to offset processing costs and recover expenses associated with electronic payment services.

Recommendation: Discussion

Attachments: N/A

Cost: N/A

Legal Approval: N/A

Finance Approval: N/A

Budget Approval: N/A

Mayor

Rick Rosenberg

City Manager

Brock Jacobsen



City Council

Jarett Waite

Ben Shakespeare

Christa Hinton

David Pond

Janene Burton

CITY COUNCIL

Meeting Date: August 13, 2025

Agenda Item: 3

Applicant: Gary Hall

Requested by: Gary Hall

Subject: UAMPS All Requirements Membership Model

Description:

UAMPS is exploring an all requirements membership model as part of a broader strategy to better serve its diverse members. Currently, UAMPS operates under a project-based model, where members choose specific projects to participate in. In contrast, an all requirements model would have members purchase all their wholesale power from UAMPS. Recognizing that member communities have different needs, UAMPS plans to offer both models, allowing members to choose the approach that best fits their local goals and capabilities.

Recommendation: Discussion

Attachments: N/A

Cost: 0

Legal Approval: N/A

Finance Approval: N/A

Budget Approval: N/A

UAMPS ALL REQUIREMENTS PROJECT INVESTIGATION TALKING POINTS

JULY 2025

Intended Use & Audience: *These talking points are to be used by UAMPS member representatives to solicit feedback from their governing boards on transitioning from a project based membership model to an all requirements membership model with UAMPS.*

BIG PICTURE UAMPS ALL REQUIREMENTS MODEL

UAMPS Mission to deliver low cost, reliable wholesale power supply to the members is not changing—to meet this **Mission**, UAMPS must evaluate if there is a better way on **HOW** we deliver on this Mission for the members. As we plan for the future, UAMPS recognizes that not **ALL** the members are the same and UAMPS must determine how to meet varying member needs. UAMPS has four **Values** that guide our behavior, culture, and strategic planning.

1. **Informed decision making**
2. **Integrity**
3. **Collaboration**
4. **Accountability**

Based on the UAMPS Mission and Values, UAMPS staff and membership are exploring an all requirements membership model. This effort is part of a broader strategy to better serve the diverse needs of our membership.

Generally speaking, there are two types of joint action agencies:

- All Requirements Joint Actions: Members commit to buy ALL of their wholesale power supply from the joint action agency.
- Project Based Joint Actions: Members subscribe to specific projects but it is not a requirement. (This is UAMPS' current membership model.)

UAMPS understands that our member communities are **diverse, with different needs, priorities, and internal capabilities**. Providing flexibility is essential. Some members may prefer the simplicity and efficiency of the all requirements model, while others may continue to value the customization offered by the project based approach. Both models will remain available, ensuring that each member can choose the path that best supports their local objectives and operational structure.

HOW THE ALL REQUIREMENTS MEMBERSHIP MODEL WOULD WORK

Member Participation in Selecting Wholesale Power Supply

- All requirements members would **remain actively involved** in selecting the wholesale power supply opportunities UAMPS pursues on behalf of the membership.
- UAMPS envisions a more routine and collaborative Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) that would include input from both all requirements members and project based members to guide future power supply decisions. **ALL members will help shape procurement strategies** by identifying what matters most to them—such as cost, regulatory risk, greenhouse gas emissions, etc.
- The IRP will use member input to select the optimal resources to pursue. UAMPS will then develop an Annual Resource Procurement Plan, based on that feedback outlining which resources will be pursued within a given year.
- The all requirements and project based members will vote on the Resource Procurement Plan annually, ensuring **all members** stay involved in key decisions.
- UAMPS believes that the most cost-effective wholesale power supply can be achieved by combining the power needs of **BOTH** the all requirements and project based member to take advantage of the economies of scale.

What's Different for the All Requirements Members Moving Forward?

- The all requirements members will no longer need governing body approvals for each individual power supply purchase.
- Instead, all requirements members will sign a single power supply contract, giving UAMPS the responsibility to procure the **members' full power supply** including short term (EDAM, hourly, monthly), mid-term (month-multi-year) and long term (5+ years).

Why the All Requirements Membership Model May Be a Better Fit for a Member

- The project based membership model imposes a procurement responsibility on members and their staff to actively manage power supply procurement. Members must navigate a range of multifaceted issues related to its wholesale power procurement, which requires ongoing management by their staff.
- Members will face **increasingly complex procurement decisions** moving forward—this change is being driven by the move toward smaller scale generation

resources—replacing the large coal plants of the past, combined with changes in wholesale markets that now **require additional procurements** for both energy and resource adequacy.

- Again, it should be noted that some members will likely continue with the project based model as they have developed and plan to maintain internal staff with specialized expertise in their wholesale power supply needs. Other members have expressed the desire to focus more on the distribution needs of their communities and would prefer to rely on UAMPS staff to manage their wholesale power supply.

Costs of the All Requirements Membership Model

- UAMPS staff is still evaluating the costs of administering the all requirements model, but early indications suggest it will be a **very cost-effective option** for the participating members.
- UAMPS **does not** anticipate a lot of incremental administrative costs. Similar to the project based model. UAMPS' staff costs will be allocated proportionally to the administrative effort required to operate the all requirements framework, ensuring equitable and transparent cost distribution.
- An important cost benefit of the all requirements model is the potential for a more economical wholesale power supply procurements made possible by greater certainty in the volumes to be purchased.

ALL REQUIREMENTS INVESTIGATION

UAMPS

UTAH ASSOCIATED MUNICIPAL POWER SYSTEMS

July 16, 2025



AGENDA

Review of Talking Points

Recap of All Requirements
Key Terms

Timeline & Next Steps



BIG PICTURE: WHY CONSIDER ALL REQUIREMENTS?

- Mission remains – deliver low-cost, reliable wholesale power supply
- Diverse member needs require flexibility
- Guided by Values: Informed Decisions, Integrity, Collaboration, Accountability
- Exploring model to better serve members



CURRENT VS. ALL-REQUIREMENTS MODEL

- Current: Project-Based – members subscribe to specific projects
- All Requirements: Members commit to all wholesale power from UAMPS
- Both models remain available for member choice



HOW THE ALL-REQUIREMENTS MODEL WORKS

- Members remain engaged in selecting supply
- Collaborative Integrated Resource Plan (IRP)
- Annual Resource Procurement Plan voted by all
- Economies of scale from combined needs



KEY CHANGES FOR MEMBERS

- No individual approvals for each power purchase
- Single power supply contract with UAMPS
- UAMPS manages full procurement – short, mid, and long-term



WHY IT MAY BE A BETTER FIT

- Reduces procurement complexity
- Addresses market changes & smaller resources
- Lets members focus on distribution
- Project Based Membership still available for those with internal expertise



COST CONSIDERATIONS

- Expected to be cost-effective
- Minimal incremental administrative costs
- Costs allocated fairly based on staff effort
- Procurement savings from larger volume certainty



KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Flexibility: Choose All Requirements or Project-Based
- Simplicity: UAMPS manages complex procurement
- Collaboration: Members still shape planning & resources to be procured
- Maximizing economies of scale will result in the most effective procurement costs



ALL-REQS CONTRACT KEY TERMS

25-year term (term should be relatively long to coincide with life of generating assets to be procured (~25+ years))

- Member can buyout earlier (5-year notice) but resource procured under all requirements project stay with all requirements project

UAMPS will provide all power supply needs of the member except for **excluded resources**

- Once existing UAMPS resources terminate, procurement responsibility for those resources shifts to UAMPS

New large loads in member territories—agreement by ALL UAMPS members

Member coordination on net metering, energy efficiency, and demand response (necessary for UAMPS to better understand member load)



ALL-REQS CONTRACT KEY TERMS...

Rate Schedule: Annual rate schedule based on procured or to be procured w/in budget year all requirements resources and forecast member load

Treatment of Existing UAMPS Resources: Existing resources already procured by AR members will be excluded from the rate schedule and invoiced separately via the project based billing structure



ALL-REQS CONTRACT KEY TERMS...

Voting: Each AR member shall have one unweighted vote.

- Ability to call for a weighted vote
- AR members votes are weighted based on member forecasted demand (accounting for member's forecasted demand minus member's excluded and existing resources)

Payment obligations by a member will be take-or-pay similar to Power Sales Contracts with similar covenants being agreed to by the member



GUIDING PRINCIPLES IN DESIGNING ALL-REQS PROJECT

All UAMPS Members (All-Requirements & Project-Based) must be treated equitably (governance and operations must not discriminate against one type of UAMPS member over the other).

Members will not have identical perspectives; all-requirements members will need to meet in the middle.

Members will not have the ability to jump in and out of all-requirements unless a “light” version is pursued.

The goal is to make resource procurement by the member an easier, more streamlined process.

It will be up to the members the degree to which “give and take” will be equalized amongst members.



CREDIT RATING IMPLICATIONS

- How will a credit agency rate a UAMPS all requirement contract paired with UAMPS Power Sales Contract?
- UAMPS is developing another NGCC plant for a 2035 COD
- 18 UAMPS Members sign All Requirements Contract
- 12 UAMPS Members sign new NGCC Power Sales Contract
- How will a credit agency rate the UAMPS NGCC project
 - Credit Rating for All Reqs Portion and Credit Rating for Project Based Members; or
 - Composite rating between all 30 Members
- Step-Up implications

NEXT STEPS

1

Circulate Revised High Level Talking Points for Governing Bodies

2

High Level Presentation during UAMPS Member Conference

3

Continue Investigation—
Focus on Credit Rating (low cost and relative lower effort as compared to drafting contracts; outcome of credit rating analysis will inform contracting structure)

4

September-October:
Draft All Requirements Contract

5

November-December:
Review Contract w/
Members

6

January 2026: All Requirements Contract out for governing body approvals with Amended Pool Agreement



QUESTIONS

UTAH ASSOCIATED MUNICIPAL POWER SYSTEMS

Mayor

Rick Rosenberg

City Manager

Brock Jacobsen



City Council

Jarett Waite

Ben Shakespeare

Christa Hinton

David Pond

Janene Burton

CITY COUNCIL

Meeting Date: August 13, 2025

Agenda Item: 4

Applicant: Councilman Pond

Requested by: N/A

Subject: Storage for Shakespeare in the Park on City Property

Description:

Discussion regarding allowing Shakespeare in the Park to store equipment on city property

Recommendation: Discussion

Attachments: N/A

Cost: N/A

Legal Approval: N/A

Finance Approval: N/A

Budget Approval: N/A
