

**Pleasant Grove City  
City Council Meeting Minutes  
Work Session  
Tuesday, June 24, 2025  
4:30 p.m.**

Mayor: Guy L. Fugal

Council Members: Dianna Andersen  
Eric Jensen  
Cyd LeMone  
Steve Rogers  
Todd Williams

Staff Present: Scott Darrington, City Administrator  
Deon Giles, Parks Director  
Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder  
Christina Petersen, City Attorney  
Denise Roy, Finance Director  
Drew Engemann, Fire Chief  
Neal Winterton, Public Works Director  
Sierra Pierson, Assistant to the City Administrator  
David Packard, Human Resources Director  
Keldon Brown, Police Chief  
Daniel Cardenas, Community Development Director  
Megan Zollinger, Recreation Director  
Kacia Watson, Intern  
Stacy Martineau, Library

Excused: Sheri Britsch, Library and Arts Director

The City Council and Staff met in the Community Room, 108 South 100 East, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

---

**4:30 P.M. WORK SESSION**

Mayor Guy Fugal called the Work Session to order at 4:30 p.m. and welcomed those present.

**a. Renaming City Street**

City Administrator, Scott Darrington, explained that renaming a City street is not something that is done on a regular basis. The current policy was written approximately seven years ago when a specific request was made in regards to an individual who was serving in the military and lost their life. When that previous request came through, City Staff asked other cities what their policies were, and the City Attorney put together a policy based on the research that was conducted.

There was a request made recently to rename a street in Pleasant Grove. There have been some questions about the current policy and how to administer that policy. Administrator Darrington reported that the request this time is for an individual who lives in the community. This is the first request to name a City street after someone who is still alive and is a citizen who has not died in service. Administrator Darrington reviewed the current policy. He explained that someone can petition for a street name change, and then the City Council makes the determination. There are certain criteria for eligibility, and some of the criteria are subjective. The criteria are as follows:

- Extraordinary civic contribution to the community;
- Loss of life in the line of duty while serving as a City employee;
- Loss of life and/or performing a heroic act while serving in any branch of the United States Armed Forces; and
- Widespread recognition as a national or historical figure.

The request most recently received falls under the first criterion, which is an extraordinary civic contribution to the community. Currently, there is no definition for "extraordinary," so there is some subjectivity, but future requests will need to be judged similarly to whatever determination is made by the City Council. This is the first request the City has received that falls under the first criterion. Administrator Darrington can answer questions or concerns about the current policy. He explained that street coordinates will stay the same. For example, if the street was 200 East, it would remain 200 East, but on the street sign underneath that, it would include the name of the individual. Council Member Cyd LeMone asked for specific information about the proposal. Administrator Darrington reported that the specific proposal is for 1150 East. If the request was granted, the street would remain 1150 East, but would have a sub-name to avoid address changes.

Council Member LeMone wanted to know if all four of the criteria need to be met. Administrator Darrington clarified that only one needs to be met. Council Member Dianna Andersen noted that the City Council needs to determine what "extraordinary" means in this context, since the current policy does not define that. Council Member Steve Rogers asked for examples of an "extraordinary civic contribution to the community" from other cities. He wants to know what has been done elsewhere. Administrator Darrington explained that there would need to be some research to determine that. During the original research process, the focus was on establishing a general policy. Other cities were not asked how the criteria were specifically applied in each area.

Council Member LeMone likes the idea of the other criteria and expanding it beyond the military, because there are citizens who do incredible things in the community, but have not served. She feels it is important to look at veterans as well as those who have focused on other forms of service. Administrator Darrington clarified that the criteria for military service relates to a loss of life or a heroic act. He noted that the conversation at this time should relate to the policy that is in place and whether there is a desire to change or clarify that policy further before a decision is made. Council Member Eric Jensen asked how many other requests have been received. Administrator Darrington reported that one request was made previously, and there is now a new request.

Council Member LeMone would like to see "extraordinary" better defined in this context. It would be beneficial to do so ahead of future applications. Council Member Todd Williams agrees that some more thought needs to be put into the code so the language is better defined. Council Member Rogers would like to know what the practice is in different cities and states. He is most familiar with

situations related to public safety and individuals who served in the military. He would like a better idea of what constitutes “extraordinary” and whether it is more common to rename a street after an individual has passed away. Administrator Darrington believes the City Council would like City Staff to pause the current application and conduct some more research. It is possible to further define “extraordinary” and some of the other criteria language included. Council Members confirmed that there is a desire to have clear definitions in place for this process.

Carrie Fox and Kyle Fox shared comments with the City Council. Ms. Fox reported that the name that is currently being considered is Bezzant Boulevard. It is the parents of Mark Bezzant who donated the land for the Battle Creek Park. Since what is envisioned is Bezzant Boulevard, it does not necessarily relate only to Mark Bezzant, but the family as well. It was noted that there can be discussions about the name. Mayor Fugal stated that in the past, donations have not resulted in street name changes. Mr. Fox reported that the Bezzant family has done a lot of service over the years. He pointed out that the City Council knows about individuals in the community and suggested that the decision not necessarily be made based on what is happening in other cities.

Ms. Fox reported that Mr. Bezzant will be highlighted on the Fourth of July, so the hope was to be able to have a determination made before that time. However, it is possible to tell him that something is currently underway. Mayor Fugal believes the process will take a little bit more time. Council Member Jensen recommended a printed-out sign in the meantime. It was clarified that this has already been created. Council Member Andersen explained that there might be another form of recognition that could be pursued while the street name change is still being considered.

**b. Staff Business.**

Community Development Director, Daniel Cardenas, reported that Laurel Cunningham assisted with an application for the Utah Main Street Program. He will spearhead that project, and the first meeting will take place on July 2, 2025. After that date, there will be a meeting with them on the third Wednesday of each month. This is separate from the Mountainland Association of Governments (“MAG”). There will likely be a request for proposal (“RFP”) for a consultant released at the end of the week. There is a lot of downtown preparation taking place right now.

Administrator Darrington informed the City Council that the City applied to be a part of the Utah Main Street Program, which is separate from the funding from MAG for the downtown consultant. Eventually, these will come together in some way, but right now, there are two separate processes. Council Member Rogers asked if a Council Member is needed to represent the City in the program. Director Cardenas explained that he will find out more details at the meeting on July 2, 2025.

Public Works Director, Neal Winterton, reported that a lot is happening in the Public Works Department. All of the pay requests listed under the Consent Items are examples of projects that are happening in the City. There are a few people in the Public Works Department that he would like to highlight, including inspectors Bryan Stubbs and Shawn Pitts. A lot of their work happens late at night or early in the morning. People are working behind the scenes who make all of these payments and projects possible. For example, there were people street sweeping ahead of Strawberry Days. Many individuals are involved in taking care of the City on a regular basis.

Director Winterton shared information about the micro-surface project with chip seal. It is not complete at this time. The contractor stated that everything was scheduled to be complete by Strawberry Days, with the exception of 1100 North. However, there were several breakdowns with their machines. There is still a commitment to complete the work, but it is slightly behind schedule.

Director Winterton reported that 400 North by Cook Family Park has been held up by Comcast. The Rocky Mountain Power relocation took place in November, but the Comcast line has still not been relocated, so the work cannot be completed. Comcast needs to be removed from the existing poles so the City can complete the curb, gutter, and roadway section. He has been informed that the Comcast work will be done by July 10, 2025, which shifts paving into August. This is not a City issue, and there are emails to document that the delays are the result of Comcast.

Council Member Rogers pointed out that delays cost money. He asked if there is any legal recourse that can be taken to force compliance with the timeline. City Attorney, Christine Petersen, explained that she would need to look at their Franchise Agreement. Council Member Rogers does not want July 10, 2025, to roll around and then have the date be pushed back further by Comcast. If there is damage being caused to the City, then something should be pursued. Director Winterton confirmed that there are quantifiable costs that highlight what the delays have cost the City. It is harder to prove some of the intangible costs, but the quantifiable costs can be shown. Attorney Petersen confirmed that it is possible to look at what the Franchise Agreement states.

Director Winterton reported that he represents Pleasant Grove in different capacities. For instance, there is a monthly meeting with Pleasant Grove Irrigation Company and a quarterly meeting with the Pleasant Grove Metropolitan Water District. As for the Timpanogos Special Service District, he sits on that Board. There are major upgrades happening with the Timpanogos Special Service District that will impact the rates. Some of it is due to State regulations, and some is due to growth. The growth is paid for with impact fees, and the State regulations are paid for with user fees. That is the reason the sewer fees in the City have increased. There are meetings with the Timpanogos Special Service District two times per month, and he is the Chair of the Engineering Committee. He has also recently been assigned to be part of a Committee related to some of the legal issues.

Director Winterton discussed the North Utah County Water Conservancy District and explained that there is a meeting once a month. North Utah County Water Conservancy District manages Grove Creek and Battle Creek dams as well as Tibble Fork, Silver Lake, and Dry Creek. Lehi City has done a Cooperative Agreement to provide some amenities, and there will be a recreational opportunity with sand, a parking lot, and a restroom. There were some dam inspections conducted earlier in the day. Director Winterton is also on the Board of the North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service District. The intention was to perform some remodel work, but based on excavations, it was determined that the building has undersized footings and foundation. As a result, other options need to be explored. Director Winterton has been assigned to sit on the Task Force to explore those options. It does not look good from a cost perspective when the original intention was to remodel existing buildings. A new building will likely need to be constructed instead.

There is a desire to discuss with the City Council how Pleasant Grove is developing and some of the challenges that have been seen. Director Winterton explained that work is done between the Public Works Department and the Community Development Department to facilitate development. There is a best and highest use mindset. He shared an example situation where a property sits on a high

slope but was once one large piece of property. Over time, it has become smaller, and that large piece of property only has a frontage of 30 or 40 feet. If the City allows that development to drain onto the development below, then it creates significant problems for existing residents. The departments are trying to create a balance between the best and highest use and the protection of existing residents. He stressed the importance of responsible development. Director Winterton acknowledged that there are challenging parcels that remain in the City. Many of those challenges relate to drainage, slopes, frontage, size, and imposing burdens on neighbors. There are some downward sloping flag lots and cul-de-sacs in the City, which he does not feel are beneficial to the residents on the downward side. It is important to pay attention to those issues.

Director Winterton shared information about the micro-surfacing work. He reported that it is continuing, and the hope is for it to be done shortly. Any graveled surface or chip-sealed surface will receive the final micro-surface treatment. Those updates will be shared on social media. He believes that the 1100 North work will take place this weekend, as there will be some night work.

Human Resources Director, David Packard, thanked Staff, the Strawberry Days Committee, volunteers, and everyone who assisted to make sure all of the events were well run. It is a unique community celebration that is appreciated by residents and visitors. A lot of work took place throughout the City to host the different events and make sure everything went smoothly. He shared information about the Pie Eating Contest and the 5K. There were a lot of wonderful activities and events. He reiterated his appreciation for everyone who was involved in Strawberry Days.

Fire Chief, Drew Engemann, reported that the City was fortunate that the wind did not cause more damage. There were no power lines that went down in Pleasant Grove. The pancake breakfast went well, and there was a good turnout. The 5K run, breakfast, and the luncheon made the week busy overall. The whole front area was covered in chalk-drawn strawberries. There were people who came from all over to enjoy Strawberry Days. It was fun to see everyone get involved. Chief Engemann reported that the wildland team returned a week and a half ago. After three days or so, the team turned back around and left for Southern Utah to assist with the Pine Valley fire. The Fire Department is doing well, and there is a full staff. A few part-time employees were lost, but more were hired to replace them. Things are going well in the department overall.

Recreation Director, Megan Zollinger, shared the Cook Family Park grand opening video. She reported that Chris Fox created the video. She thanked him for the wonderful job that was done. The video can continue to be used as an advertisement for the park and the community. Council Member LeMone asked whether Mr. Fox filmed anything from Strawberry Days, which was denied. Director Zollinger noted that she can connect him with the Strawberry Days Director. Council Member Williams pointed out that videos like this promote the City. It can attract businesses and residents to Pleasant Grove. This is the kind of promotional material that ensures the City stands out. Director Zollinger agreed that the video tells the story of Cook Family Park.

Parks Director, Deon Giles, reported that Strawberry Days went smoothly. He was disappointed in the windstorm, but other than that, everything went well. One tree was lost in the windstorm on I-15. There was no major property damage, but there were some tree limbs lost. There are still a lot of leaves on the pickleball courts, but overall, Strawberry Days was a success. There was a great turnout for all of the events. He thanked everyone for their efforts. Director Giles stated that aerating and

seeding are currently taking place. Mayor Fugal reported that the downtown area was cleaned quickly. Director Giles added that the carnival did a good job with cleanup.

Council Member Andersen asked about the cleanup on the softball fields. Director Giles reported that this was one of the better years in terms of trash. Council Member Andersen shared a comment from a mother about the pump track. She wondered whether there could be arrows on the pump track for younger users, because there were a few near collisions. Director Giles explained that the pump track is designed to go both ways. A lot of it comes down to supervision. Younger users should visit the pump track earlier in the morning, such as 9:00 a.m. or 10:00 a.m.

Police Chief, Keldon Brown, reported that it was a busy week with Strawberry Days, but things went well. He shared information about the Car Show and other events like the parade, carnival, and rodeo. There were a lot of long days, but Strawberry Days was successful overall. There was not a lot of action that needed to be taken, which might have had to do with the visual police presence. The City Council expressed appreciation for the weekly email updates that are sent out.

Pleasant Grove Library Employee, Stacy Martineau, shared information about the library. She reported that there is a program at the library called Wee Read, which is for children who are between 18 months and three years old. A lot of research has gone into this program. Part of the program includes structure, so the children know what to expect, and there is also a lot of repetition. There is a two-year-old in the community who is non-verbal. He has been attending Wee Read on and off for a year and can now do the actions for four different songs. As he was leaving Wee Read, he made the first specific communication that his family had seen. The classes are helping him connect more than he was before. She expressed appreciation for the funding provided.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**ACTION:** At 5:35 p.m., Council Member Williams moved to ADJOURN the Work Session. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

The City Council Work Session Minutes of June 24, 2025, were approved by the City Council on July 15, 2025.



---

Wendy Thorpe, CMC  
City Recorder

*(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)*

**Pleasant Grove City  
City Council Meeting Minutes  
Regular Session  
Tuesday, June 24, 2025  
6:00 p.m.**

Mayor: Guy L. Fugal

Council Members: Dianna Andersen  
Eric Jensen  
Cyd LeMone  
Steve Rogers  
Todd Williams

Staff Present: Scott Darrington, City Administrator  
Deon Giles, Parks Director  
Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder  
Christina Petersen, City Attorney  
Denise Roy, Finance Director  
Drew Engemann, Fire Chief  
Neal Winterton, Public Works Director  
Sierra Pierson, Assistant to the City Administrator  
David Packard, Human Resources Director  
Keldon Brown, Police Chief  
Daniel Cardenas, Community Development Director  
Megan Zollinger, Recreation Director  
Kacia Watson, Intern  
Stacy Martineau, Library

Excused: Sheri Britsch, Library and Arts Director

The City Council and Staff met in the Community Room, 108 South 100 East, Pleasant Grove, Utah.

---

**6:00 P.M. REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

**1) CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Guy Fugal called the Regular Session to order at 6:00 p.m. and welcomed those present.

**2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member Steve Rogers.

3) **OPENING REMARKS**

The Opening Remarks were offered by Council Member Dianna Andersen.

4) **APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA**

City Administrator, Scott Darrington, reported that Item 10C will be continued. Some last-minute changes were provided, so the item will be continued until it is ready to be presented to the Council.

**ACTION:** Council Member Jensen moved to APPROVE the Meeting Agenda, as amended. Council Member Williams seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

5) **OPEN SESSION**

Mayor Fugal opened the Open Session.

*Aaron Loosli* stated that he lives off Locust Avenue near the Recreation Center. He shared comments about the armory and the overgrown area. Over the years, he has mowed and sprayed the weeds in that area, but it continues to be neglected. He asked if someone could be contacted so there is a permanent solution. Mayor Fugal reported that work is being done to address the issue.

*Jim Adams* informed the City Council that on 600 North, there were three water breaks in the culinary water in three weeks. He understands that there are projects in the works and it will take time to fix certain issues, but wondered whether the City can obtain the materials and equipment needed to handle the repairs internally rather than contract out. This would ensure there is complete control over the projects in terms of time and quality. This would also avoid contractor markups and allow money to go further. It is possible to be proactive, and he is willing to speak to Staff about this suggestion. Mr. Adams thanked the Public Works Department for their efforts. He noted that a lot of money is being spent on repairs, so another solution might make sense. Mayor Fugal noted that the Public Works Director can meet with him to explain the process.

There were no further public comments. The Open Session was closed.

6) **CONSENT ITEMS**

- A. **City Council Minutes:**  
**City Council Minutes for the May 24, 2025, Meeting.**
- B. **To Consider for Approval Payment No. 11 to J. Lyne Roberts and Sons, Inc. for the BLVD Well Facilities Project.**
- C. **To Consider for Approval Change Order No. 2 for Geneva Rock Products, Inc. for the 2025 Pavement Preservation Project.**
- D. **To Consider for Approval Payment No. 2 to Geneva Rock Products, Inc. for the 2025 Pavement Preservation Project.**
- E. **To Consider for Approval Payment No. 8 to Got Dirt? Construction, LLC for the 1160 North Utilities and Roadway Improvements Project.**



- F. To Consider for Approval Change Order No. 5 for Got Dirt? Construction, LLC for the 1160 North Utilities and Roadway Improvements Project.
- G. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 17 Part B to Big-D Construction for the Cook Family Park Project.
- H. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 18 Part B to Big-D Construction for the Cook Family Park Project.
- I. To Consider for Approval Change Order No. 6 for FX Construction for the American Fork River Diversion Reconstruction.
- J. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 1 to Staker Parson Companies for the 500 East Reconstruction; 200 South to 1100 North Project.
- K. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 1 to Bar S Construction Company, LLC for the 1520 W; 1100 N to 1800 N Roadway Reconstruction & Culinary Waterline Project.
- L. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 1 to Awolf Construction, LLC for the Windsong Drive; Crestwood BLVD to 100 East Roadway Reconstruction & Culinary Waterline Project.
- M. To Consider for Approval Payment No. 15 to Hydrovac for the Pressurized Irrigation Meters Project.
- N. Payment Approval Reports for June 12, 2025 & June 13, 2025.

**ACTION:** Council Member Jensen moved to APPROVE the Consent Items. Council Member Rogers seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes." Council Member LeMone requested that her vote be rescinded, due to her being related to one of the parties.

7) **BOARD, COMMISSION, COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS**

A. None.

8) **PRESENTATIONS**

A. None.

9) **PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS**

- A. **Public Hearing to Consider an Ordinance (2025-009) for a Code Text Amendment to Amend Sections 10-6-2: Definitions, and 10-9A-8: Yard Requirements in the Rural Residential Zone. The Applicant is Requesting to Amend the Setback Requirements for Accessory Buildings in the Rural Residential Zone and Add, Amend, or Clarify Several Terms in the Land Use Definitions. *Applicant Ben Huntsman. Presenter: Director Cardenas***

Community Development Director, Daniel Cardenas, presented the Staff Report and explained that this is a Code Text Amendment for Section 10-6-2: Definitions and 10-9A-8: Yard Requirements in the Rural Residential Zone. He shared a zoning map of Pleasant Grove and noted that what is north of State Street is predominantly Single-Family Residential. The green on the map represents Rural Residential. Director Cardenas stated that Rural Residential and Single-Family have the same uses,

but in Rural Residential, there is a slightly higher acreage requirement, and there is a certain amount of animal rights allowed. He discussed accessory buildings in the Rural Residential Zone. Some examples of accessory buildings include a mother-in-law apartment, barn, garage, carport, pool house, greenhouse, gardening shed, or recreation room. Anywhere in the City where there is an R1 Zone, the minimum distance from the main building to the accessory building is six feet. However, in the Rural Residential Zone, the minimum is 20 feet.

Director Cardenas shared some example images to illustrate the difference between the distances of six and 20 feet. The proposal is to change the distance between the accessory building and the main dwelling in the Rural Residential Zone. This would shift it from a minimum distance of 20 feet to six feet. The reason the 20-foot minimum distance was implemented in the Rural Residential Zone previously had to do with animal rights. For example, if there was a kennel on the property, then the minimum distance needed to be 20 feet. The 20-foot minimum was uniformly applied to all accessory building types in the Rural Residential Zone. However, an amendment is now proposed. If there is an accessory building for the occupancy of animals or agricultural uses, then the 20-foot minimum will remain. If there is an accessory building that does not relate to animal or agricultural uses, then the minimum is proposed to be six feet.

It was clarified that the distance from property lines is not proposed to be amended. This amendment relates to the distance between the main dwelling and the accessory structure. Instead of the minimum 20 feet, the proposal is to shift to a minimum of six feet. Director Cardenas explained that this came forward because a lot of the accessory building applications in the Rural Residential Zone have been turned down due to the 20-foot requirement. As a result, he decided to look into the rationale for the 20 feet and determined that it was because of animal rights. If the proposed accessory building will not be used for animal occupancy, it makes sense to reduce the distance. There was a unanimous recommendation from the Planning Commission to change the distance between the main and accessory buildings in the Rural Residential Zone.

Mayor Fugal opened the public hearing. There were no comments. The public hearing was closed.

**ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to APPROVE Ordinance (2025-009) for a Code Text Amendment to Amend Sections 10-6-2: Definitions and 10-9A-8: Yard Requirements in the Rural Residential Zone. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting “Yes.”

#### 10) **ACTION ITEMS READY FOR VOTE**

- A. To Consider for Adoption a Resolution (2025-023) Adopting the Final Budget for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026, and Adopting the 2025-2026 Certified Tax Rate of 0.000962; and Providing an Effective Date.**  
*Presenter: Director Roy.*

Finance Director, Denise Roy, reported that the City Council started to discuss the budget on February 7, 2025. There have been a lot of discussions about the Fiscal Year 2025-2026 budget since that time. She explained that the City Council will now review the Final Budget document. Within 45 days, the Government Finance Officers Association (“GFOA”) Budget will be finalized.

The Governmental Funds Consolidated Budget was reviewed. Director Roy explained that it includes a summary of everything in the document by fund. Page 4 of the document outlines a lot of what was done in the budget, including increases. The General Fund section starts on Page 6, and it includes the Fund Balance information. She is forecasting that at the end of June 2026, there will be just over \$4 million in the General Fund. It was clarified that Fund Balance is referred to as unassigned monies in the Financial Report. If it is unassigned, it means that it is not yet allocated for something specific. The most that can be in Fund Balance in the General Fund is 35%. The \$4 million that is listed would put Pleasant Grove at 14% to 15%, which is within the range of State compliance. She explained that the lowest amount that can be in that fund is 5%.

Director Roy shared two graphs with the City Council based on previous requests. The first is Sales Tax Revenue (Actuals), which shows information from the last few years. It starts in 2021 and ends in 2024. It shows that the amount has slowly been increasing. There are still two months in 2025 to receive, so the 2025 numbers will not be ready until the end of August. Council Member Andersen noted that sales tax revenues represent 51% of the budget. The second graph pertained to the CARE Tax Fund Balance (Actuals). It shows data between 2021 and 2024. Some of that Fund Balance will be used because of some Battle Creek Trailhead work that has been budgeted for.

Council Member Rogers wanted to make clear to residents that there is an awareness of where the money is going. There is nearly \$1.2 million that can be spent on CARE-approved expenses. One that is envisioned is the Battle Creek Trailhead. It is already a destination hike, but this will make it accessible to everyone in the County. It is important to know that the City has been able to save money each year in order to handle larger projects like that. Even with the commitments made, it has been possible to save money for notable projects. Council Member Rogers is pleased that the budget that will be adopted still allows there to be over \$4 million in a rainy day fund. If there is a recession, the City will still be in a solid fiscal position. He noted that the City is in a good place.

Council Member Eric Jensen expressed appreciation for the work done by Director Roy. It is important to see these figures and recognize that the City is in a good position. Council Member Andersen asked if there are any restrictions on the CARE Tax Fund on holding over annually, which was denied. Director Roy clarified that the General Fund is the only one she is aware of that has those sorts of restrictions in the State Code. Council Member Todd Williams asked about the Transportation Utility Fund. Director Roy clarified that it does not have any restrictions, and the money stays in that fund to be used for roads. Administrator Darrington explained that there needs to be a balance. There is not a desire to spend everything in case an unexpected need arises, but there is also not a desire to delay addressing current needs. If there is a larger project that is anticipated, it makes sense to save some money, but generally speaking, it is used as needed. Director Roy reported that the Transportation Utility Fund information can be seen on Page 21. It shows that at the end of 2026, there will still be a Fund Balance of almost \$700,000. A lot of time is spent looking at projects between the Class C Roads and the Transportation Utility Fund.

Council Member Rogers pointed out that the Capital Projects have been robustly discussed since February. The final iteration is now included in the budget document. It reflects the back and forth that has been at the Council level to evaluate the needs of the City. He encouraged residents with questions about the projects to refer to Page 24 of the budget document. It shows what was requested, discussed, and the recommended funding that is being adopted. Significant funds are being allocated to some projects, but there is still over \$4 million in the rainy day fund. When residents have questions

about the budget, some key pages can be referenced. Administrator Darrington reported that residents with questions about the budget document can sit down with a member of Staff. There is a willingness to educate residents who are interested.

Council Member Andersen noted that it is significant when a budget is passed. When she first started, the budget was much smaller. The current situation is a testament to the leadership and fiscal responsibility shown by Staff. She is grateful for every hour spent ensuring that money is being spent well. Council Member Cyd LeMone echoed those comments. Council Member Jensen commented that the budget discussions provide an opportunity to educate residents about what is being done in the City and also to talk about where the City is headed in the future.

**ACTION:** Council Member Williams moved to ADOPT Resolution (2025-023) - Adopting the Final Budget for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026, and Adopting the 2025-2026 Certified Tax Rate of 0.000962; and Providing an Effective Date. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

- B. To Consider a Resolution (2025-024) Authorizing the Mayor to Sign an Underground Right of Way Easement Granting Rocky Mountain Power an Easement Consisting of 0.002 acres for the Monson Well and Booster Pump Site Located at Approximately 100 North and 950 East, Pleasant Grove, Utah; and Providing an Effective Date. Presenter: Attorney Petersen.**

City Attorney, Christina Petersen, explained that Resolution 2025-024 authorizes the Mayor to sign and grant an easement to Rocky Mountain Power at the Monson Well site, which is located at approximately 100 North and 950 East. It backs onto the Murdock Canal. It is a small underground easement that is 10x10 and is located next to the water tank. This easement is necessary because Rocky Mountain Power needs to move its infrastructure, and it is outside of the current easement. She reiterated that this is a small easement and the size is only 10x10.

**ACTION:** Council Member Andersen moved to APPROVE Resolution (2025-024) - Authorizing the Mayor to Sign an Underground Right of Way Easement Granting Rocky Mountain Power an Easement Consisting of 0.002 acres for the Monson Well and Booster Pump Site, Located at Approximately 100 North and 950 East, Pleasant Grove, Utah; and Providing an Effective Date. Council Member Rogers seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

- C. To Consider a Resolution (2025-025) Authorizing the Mayor to Execute a Reimbursement Agreement with Blossom Hill, LLC and Symphony Development for Roadway Infrastructure Improvements in the Area of 200 South and 1150 East, Pleasant Grove, Utah; and Providing an Effective Date. Presenter Attorney Petersen.**

The above item was continued to a future City Council Meeting.

**D. To Consider for Approval a Permit to Exceed the Noise Restrictions for Kyle Fox and Follow the Flag on July 5, July 12, and July 13, 2025, until 11:00 p.m. in the Area of 1550 North 100 East, in Pleasant Grove. Presenter: Attorney Petersen.**

Attorney Petersen explained that the City Council will now consider a request to permit Kyle Fox and the Follow the Flag organization to exceed the noise restrictions in the City. This is a recurring event that has taken place over the last few years in Pleasant Grove. Last year, the noise exception was requested because musical performances were added. The Noise Ordinance goes into effect at 10:00 p.m. with regard to certain decibel levels. The request is to exceed those decibel levels between 10:00 p.m. and 11:00 p.m. on July 5, 12, and 13, 2025. The permit shall only be in effect for those days. 24 hours' advance notice must be given to residents immediately adjacent to the site. This request is to ensure there is no violation, and the cleanup time is considered.

**ACTION:** Council Member LeMone moved to APPROVE a Permit to Exceed the Noise Restrictions for Kyle Fox and Follow the Flag on July 5, July 12, and July 13, 2025, until 11:00 p.m. in the area of 1550 North 100 East, in Pleasant Grove. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

**E. To Consider the Request of William Shupe for a 2-Lot Preliminary Commercial Subdivision Plat, called Grove Commons Plat 'D' on 1.375 acres, located at approximately 1809 West State Street in The Grove - Commercial Sales Subdistrict. Presenter: Daniel Cardenas.**

Director Cardenas presented the Staff Report and explained that the application is for a 2-Lot Preliminary Commercial Subdivision Plat. Grove Commons Plat 'D' is located at approximately 1809 West State Street. Director Cardenas shared an aerial map of the area. Lot 10 and Lot 11 were pointed out on the aerial image. He reported that the applicant is proposing to further subdivide the property, but no Commercial Site Plan has been applied for at this time. Lot 10 will be vacated to create two lots, which are proposed to be Lot 1 and Lot 2. Since there is no Site Plan to review at this time, the City Council is only being asked to discuss the subdivision request.

**ACTION:** Council Member Williams moved to APPROVE the Request of William Shupe for a 2-lot Preliminary Commercial Subdivision Plat, called Grove Commons Plat 'D' on 1.375 acres, located at approximately 1809 West State Street in The Grove – Commercial Sales Subdistrict. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

**11) ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION**

**A. Continued Items from the Work Session, if Needed.**

There were no additional Work Session discussions.

**12) REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF THE JULY 1, 2025, CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA.**

Administrator Darrington reported that there is a meeting scheduled next Tuesday. It is rare for there to be back-to-back meetings, but the schedule was shifted due to Strawberry Days. Part of the Work Session will include a discussion on the parade. During the City Council Meeting, there will be a Vicinity Plan amendment proposal from a resident. There is also a requirement for a public hearing related to the appointed employee salaries, which will include the presentation of a chart with percentages. There is also a possible beer license that will be considered by the Council. The following City Council Meeting will be on July 15, 2025. As for the remaining schedule, the City Council Meetings will be held on the first and third Tuesdays of the month until November.

Administrator Darrington thanked everyone involved in Strawberry Days for all of their efforts.

**13) MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS.**

Council Member LeMone asked for additional information about the armory status. She drives by it every day and recently took a photograph of the current conditions. Administrator Darrington reported that the City is in the process of trying to obtain it, but it still has not been declared surplus, so it is not officially available at this time. There have been discussions with them for five years. Jason Paulson in Public Works has been working with a contact on this. The City is looking at different possibilities. There are certain criteria under which the property could be obtained at no cost.

Council Member LeMone wants to know what can be done in the meantime to address the weeds. Administrator Darrington reported that Code Enforcement can contact them about the conditions. It is possible to re-engage with them, send another notice, and ask them to clean up the area.

Council Member LeMone asked if it would be possible to review the memorial policy that is in place. Attorney Petersen reported that there is a policy regarding the placement of permanent markers and statues. She offered to send that to Council Members for review. Council Member LeMone thinks it is a beautiful thing to do, but there have not been a lot of requests. If someone wants to plant a tree or beautify an area of the City in honor of a loved one, she would like to look into how the City can accommodate that kind of request. She understands there needs to be criteria and standards in place. Council Member LeMone spoke to Lindon about their policy, and there is an openness as long as the criteria are met. It could be something meaningful to look into further.

Council Member Andersen reported that she has seven children and 15 grandchildren. All of them come home for Strawberry Days. She had a wonderful week, and it was a delight to watch her children and grandchildren enjoy everything the City has to offer during the festivities. Council Member Jensen noted that he had family members visit for Strawberry Days as well.

Council Member Williams stated that it was a great week. He thanked everyone for their efforts, making sure Strawberry Days was a success. Council Member Williams asked Director Cardenas if there has been any action on the building next to the Iceberg Drive Inn. Director Cardenas confirmed that there is some work that is currently taking place inside the building.

Council Member Rogers commented that Strawberry Days was wonderful and the rodeo was high quality. A lot of extra effort was put in by Staff, and he expressed appreciation for that. The Pleasant Grove citizens did a good job representing the City during the events. Council Member Rogers sent an email about the stop sign on 300 East that is not visible due to the shrubbery. It was confirmed that work is being done to address that issue. Council Member Rogers noted that the TestOut property opened up their parking lot to allow people to park there, which was very kind. There was discussion about the parking that took place during the Strawberry Days events.

Mayor Fugal referenced the armory issues and explained that it has been an issue for some time. It is something the City is continuing to work on. There was some environmental cleanup that needed to be done, and he believes that work has been completed. Mayor Fugal reported that Strawberry Days was a success. There were several new events this year, including some activities for children. He believes the additions were positive and showed that Pleasant Grove cares.

**14) SIGNING OF PLATS.**

The Valley Grove Business Park Plat 'R' was signed.

**15) REVIEW CALENDAR.**

**16) ADJOURN**

**ACTION:** At 7:05 p.m., Council Member Andersen moved to ADJOURN the City Council Meeting. Council Member Jensen seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously with Council Members Andersen, Rogers, Jensen, LeMone, and Williams voting "Yes."

The City Council Minutes of June 24, 2025, were approved by the City Council on July 15, 2025.



Wendy Thorpe, CMC  
City Recorder

*(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)*