

**Riverton City, Utah  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
Minutes  
May 20, 2025**

**Riverton City Hall  
12830 South 1700 West  
Riverton, Utah 84065**

---

**Attendance:**

Mayor Trent Staggs

**Councilmembers:**

Councilmember Tish Buroker  
Councilmember Spencer Haymond  
Councilmember Tawnee McCay  
Councilmember Troy McDougal  
Councilmember Andy Pierucci

**City Staff:**

Kevin Hicks, City Manager  
Ryan Carter, City Attorney  
Cary Necaise, Public Works Director  
Jason Lethbridge, Development Services Director  
Jamie Larsen, City Recorder  
Chief Shane Taylor, Riverton Police Department  
Josh Lee, Communications Director  
Sheril Garn, Events and Operations Director  
Stacie Olson, Assistant Public Works Director  
Fire Marshal Wade Watkins, Unified Fire Authority

**Mayor and Council Informal Meeting – 5:15 PM**

**WORK SESSION – 6:00 PM**

**Call to Order**

Mayor Staggs called the Work Session to order at 6:01 PM and conducted a roll call. Councilmembers Buroker, Haymond, McCay, and McDougal were present. Councilmember Pierucci joined via Zoom.

**Discussion Items**

**Budget Discussion**

Mayor Staggs opened the work session by stating that the focus would be budget items raised during recent Councilmember meetings and discussions with staff. He explained his decision to eliminate the City's membership in ULCT (Utah League of Cities and Towns) due to what he viewed as limited return on investment. He expressed that the organization's lobbying efforts had not effectively countered legislative threats to City land use authority. He noted that training opportunities through the League would still be accessible at non-member rates.

Councilmember McCay expressed support for reinstating the Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT) membership fees in the budget. She emphasized the value of the League's training programs, particularly highlighting the usefulness of a recent session attended in St. George.

Councilmember McCay **MOVED** that the City Council reinstate the Utah League of Cities

**and Towns membership fees into the budget.** Councilmember Buroker **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes, and Pierucci-yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Kevin Hicks, City Manager, clarified that the difference in education and training budgets between the original department requests and the Mayor's proposed budget resulted from a deliberate effort to reduce costs. He consulted with department heads to identify acceptable reductions, resulting in a total cut of approximately \$52,000 across all funds.

Mayor Staggs supported employee education and training, especially for roles with continuing education requirements, such as police. However, he noted that this budget line item has grown over the years and suggested tightening expenditures to balance wage increases.

Councilmember McDougal expressed a preference for allocating a single dollar amount to education and training rather than scrutinizing each department's specific requests. Mr. Hicks confirmed that the current Mayor's budget reflects a \$52,000 cut based on department feedback. He clarified that if the Council wants to restore any portion of that, he needs direction on a specific dollar figure, which staff would then allocate accordingly.

Councilmember Buroker supported the idea of allocating a lump sum for education and training and allowing the City Manager to determine how it should be distributed. She was comfortable with cutting the budget by about 20%.

Councilmember Haymond recommended reducing the education and training budget by only \$22,000 instead of the initially proposed \$52,000 cut. This would leave approximately \$30,000 more in the budget for allocation by the City Manager. He emphasized that, beyond the educational value, off-site trainings also serve as a morale booster and provide opportunities for networking and professional growth.

Councilmember McCay expressed support for the value of trainings but acknowledged that not all budgeted funds had historically been used. She proposed a compromise by suggesting a \$26,000 cut—half of the originally proposed \$52,000 reduction—while retaining the remaining \$26,000 in the budget.

Councilmember Pierucci reaffirmed strong support for professional development, citing personal experiences of learning valuable information at past conferences. He supported increasing the training budget from the Mayor's proposed cut. He emphasized that the upcoming National League of Cities (NLC) conference, which will be held in Salt Lake City, presents a rare local opportunity. He recommended allocating part of the restored training funds to cover the cost of joining the NLC so that City officials could attend the conference.

Mayor Staggs questioned whether joining the National League of Cities (NLC) required a separate membership fee, noting that in his past experience, membership in the Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT) typically provided access, with an extra cost only if attending the national conference. He shared a personal opinion that attending the NLC conference in the past had been of no value to him and warned that the event may not align ideologically with some Councilmembers' views.

Mr. Hicks confirmed they could adjust the budget enough to ensure elected officials could attend both the National League of Cities (NLC) conference in Salt Lake City and the Utah League of

Cities and Towns (ULCT) conference in St. George. However, he clarified that he wasn't entirely certain about the NLC membership structure.

Councilmember Haymond **MOVED** that the previously proposed \$52,000 reduction to the education and training budget be reducing by only \$26,000 instead. Part of the \$26,000 being restored should be allocated to cover approximately \$4,800 for a one-year membership in the National League of Cities.” Councilmember Buroker **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes, and Pierucci-yes. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Hicks addressed a clerical error discovered in the tentative budget: a line item in the culinary water fund was mistakenly entered as \$30,000 instead of the intended \$3,000. The error had originally been corrected in one section but was missed in another. The correction will be reflected in the final version of the budget.

Mayor Staggs pivoted to a discussion about the City's curbside recycling program and asked whether the Council supported retaining the program.

Councilmember McDougal provided context for the discussion on curbside recycling by highlighting upcoming cost pressures on the City's sanitation fund. He reminded the Council that years ago, TransJordan approved a \$2 per-ton tipping fee increase to help fund a new transfer station in Sandy. He explained that, up to this point, the City has absorbed the tipping fee increases without significantly raising costs to residents. However, the next major capital project—a second transfer station at the TransJordan site—is expected to be substantially more expensive. While that project has not yet gone out to bid, he warned the council to expect further increases in the coming years, including another potential \$2-per-ton tipping fee increase.

Councilmember Buroker expressed support for maintaining the City's curbside recycling program. She stated that the most compelling reason for doing so is that, regardless of whether Riverton reduces or eliminates its recycling services, sanitation fees are expected to increase soon. She acknowledged the need for a stronger education effort around recycling practices but opposed the idea of ending the program abruptly at the beginning of the year.

Mayor Staggs asked Councilmembers who support maintaining curbside recycling whether they prefer a fee increase or an increased general fund transfer to cover the cost. He suggested a modest subsidy from the general fund could be justified.

Councilmember Buroker expressed strong support for requiring each enterprise fund to be self-sustaining and stated she would support a fee increase to maintain recycling.

Councilmember McCay voiced support for keeping recycling, noting that most residents value it and its costs are not rising as quickly as other sanitation services. She stated she would support a sanitation fee increase, though possibly not as high as staff recommended, since the proposal included building the fund balance. She also requested that staff explore the possibility of implementing an opt-in recycling option before the current contract ends. Staff responded that such a change would require rebidding the contract, which is currently set to expire on June 30, 2028, with bidding planned to begin around mid-2027.

Councilmember Pierucci expressed mixed feelings about recycling, stating skepticism about its

actual impact but acknowledging the value in terms of service provided—specifically the number of cans residents receive and how much they pay for that service. While supportive of a fee increase to keep the sanitation fund self-sustaining without subsidizing from the general fund, he opposed increasing fees to build a \$125,000 fund balance. Instead, he favored a more usage-based approach where residents who utilize more cans pay more. He suggested a tiered fee model, particularly targeting the roughly 7,000 residents with more than two cans, and asked whether staff had developed such modeling. Staff indicated they had discussed the concept during budget talks but were not ready to present any formal model yet.

Staff presented three possible sanitation fee structures in response to the request for a tiered approach targeting heavier users. The first model proposes a \$1 increase to the base rate (from \$13 to \$14), a \$3 hike for homes with one additional garbage can (raising the total increase to \$4 for them), and a jump from \$7 to \$12.50 for households with more than one extra garbage can. The additional recycling can fee would increase to \$2.50. This model would nearly break even with only a minimal General Fund transfer or none at all, using rounding in the fund. The second model—originally recommended—applied a flat \$2.50 increase to all can types, bringing the base rate to \$15.50 and resulting in a \$120,000 fund balance contribution. The third model showed a true break-even scenario with a uniform \$2.10 increase (base fee to \$15.10, additional garbage to \$9.10, and additional recycle to \$7.10), without contributing to fund balance.

Mayor Staggs expressed support for separating out the cost of the first garbage and recycle cans to improve transparency for residents, suggesting that the current bundled fee obscures the true cost of recycling, which is nearly double the collection cost of garbage. He recommended charging a distinct, visible amount for recycling within the base fee—e.g., \$10 for garbage and \$5 for recycling—to better inform residents, especially ahead of future opt-in or opt-out decisions.

Staff clarified that while they can split the fee, they can't accommodate requests to remove the recycling charge under the current contract, but agreed that doing so could initiate important dialogue.

Councilmember Pierucci expressed full support for fee transparency and welcomed public input on the direction of the City's recycling and sanitation services. He agreed with the Mayor's call to separate and clearly identify the costs of garbage and recycling services, emphasizing that such transparency is essential for informed public dialogue. He also acknowledged that under the proposal to eliminate curbside recycling, residents would lose a can while still paying the same base fee—highlighting the importance of weighing service loss against cost stability. He favored a gradual, more sustainable approach that considers residents' financial constraints while building toward long-term viability.

The Council discussed various sanitation fee structures, with general agreement on moving toward a self-sustaining model while allowing for potential cancellations and the need for more data. Most Councilmembers supported a tiered approach where those using more cans pay more, and some favored breaking out recycling fees for transparency—though concerns were raised that this might prompt residents to request removal of recycling services. Staff was asked to model several alternatives, including a \$2 increase across all can types and a scenario where the first garbage and recycling cans each rise from \$13 to \$15, with additional cans increasing accordingly.

## **Adjournment of Work Session**

Councilmember McDougal **MOVED to adjourn the Work Session**. Councilmember Buroker **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a vote; the vote was as follows: Buroker-Yes, Haymond-Yes, McCay-Yes, McDougal-Yes, and Pierucci-Yes. The motion passed unanimously. The Work Session adjourned at 6:56 PM.

## **COUNCIL MEETING – 7:00 PM**

### **Call to Order**

Mayor Staggs called the Riverton City Council Meeting to order at 7:03 PM and conducted a roll call. Councilmembers Buroker, Haymond, McCay, and McDougal were present. Councilmember Pierucci joined via Zoom.

### **Prayer / Pledge of Allegiance**

Jason Lethbridge offered the invocation and Chief Taylor led the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **Citizen Comment**

Mayor Staggs called for public comments;

Jill Walker commented on a widely reported incident involving a Tesla owner and a 72-year-old individual charged with a misdemeanor for placing a bumper sticker on the vehicle. She questioned whether going public is necessary to receive justice in Riverton, expressing concern that not all citizens are treated equally.

Mayor Staggs called for additional public comments; there being none, he closed the Citizen Comment period.

### **Mayor/Council Reports**

Councilmember McDougal reported it is budget season for TransJordan and there is a public hearing scheduled for June. Additionally, it was noted that the East Side transfer station is expected to officially open by July.

Councilmember Pierucci acknowledged National Police Week and expressed appreciation for Riverton's police officers and their service. He also reported on his role with the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District Board of Trustees, noting he was the only trustee to vote against the proposed budget, which includes a rate and property tax increase.

Councilmember McCay highlighted recent community involvement, including attending the Freddy's ribbon cutting, participating in the Golden Spike bike ride along the Jordan River Trail, completing a Unified Fire ride-along, and attending the Arthritis Walk at the City Park. She proposed that Riverton consider implementing nonprofit rates for pavilion rentals, noting that current fees can be cost-prohibitive for organizations.

Councilmember Buroker reported that the South Valley Mosquito Abatement District is effectively following best practices for mosquito control, as highlighted in a recent newspaper article. She reported on the Unified Fire Authority (UFA) budget process, praising its

transparency and detail. She also noted UFA's current focus on evacuation route planning, inspired by lessons from large-scale California wildfires, and shared that improved evacuation maps should be complete by fall. She highlighted House Bill 48, which increases insurance scrutiny for properties in wildland-urban interfaces, and mentioned that a wildland crew will be in the area on September 18 to assess risks. Finally, the Fire Marshal has released this year's fireworks restriction map early; residents can check their address online to see if fireworks are allowed in their area.

Councilmember Haymond thanked the Public Works Department for their prompt response to complaints about temporary patches on 13400 South that had become problematic. He noted that the issues were quickly resolved and residents were impressed with the smooth repairs and fast action.

Mayor Staggs echoed appreciation for law enforcement during National Police Week. He also discussed the City's proposed \$71 million budget, highlighting that it includes no fee or property tax increases. He encouraged public feedback before the final budget adoption in June.. Lastly, he shared that he and the City Manager had just returned from the ICSC conference in Las Vegas, where they met with property owners to explore development opportunities for infill areas on the east side, with a focus on attracting restaurants and retail.

## **Presentations**

### **Riverton Choice Awards for Excellence in Education – Riverton Elementary**

Councilmember Buroker presented the Choice Awards to students Louisa Jones and Kavin Nilsson and teacher Kellie Witkamp from Rosamond Elementary.

### **Riverton Police Department**

Chief Shane Taylor presented an update on the Special Enforcement Team (SET) that began in November, highlighting Detective Grow's significant efforts, including 64 arrests—12 of which occurred in Riverton. Grow is currently assigned 27 active cases involving fraud, drug activity, theft, weapon offenses, and a recent surge in vehicle prowls. He emphasized the need for an additional officer to support Grow, noting that it would enhance case processing and ensure officer safety, especially when pursuing violent fugitives.

Chief Taylor stated that in April 2025, Riverton had 58 reported property crimes and an increase in jail bookings, while traffic citations slightly declined due to shifting focus to arrests, surveillance, and extra patrols. The department continues to issue citations with criminal charges when appropriate, in lieu of jail booking.

## **Consent Items**

Mayor Staggs presented the following Consent Agenda:

- a. Minute Approval: May 6, 2025;
- b. **Resolution No. 25-30** – Approving Riverton City's Entry into an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for the 4311 West 12600 South Corridor Preservation.

Councilmember McDougal **MOVED that the City Council approve the Consent Agenda.**

Councilmember McCay **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes, and Pierucci-yes. The motion passed unanimously.

### **Public Hearing(s)/Action Items**

#### **Ordinance No. 25-12 – Amending the Commercial Architectural Standards in Section 18.65.060, 18.70.060, 18.75.050, 18.80.060, 18.85.060, 18.95.040, 18.100.060, and 18.215.030 of the Riverton City Code**

Jason Lethbridge, Development Services Director, provided a summary of recent changes to the architectural standards ordinance based on prior Council feedback. The requirement for consideration of all building sides was clarified from "equal consideration" to "consistent architectural consideration" to prevent overly strict standards for rear facades, while still ensuring cohesive use of materials. The previous limitations on the amount of glass were removed; glass is now generally permitted as a material, with some discretionary interpretation by the City. For precast concrete, new exceptions allow for less detailed treatment on side and rear walls, again at the City's discretion. Additionally, within a designated downtown area—between 1830 W and 1300 W, from Peterson's Market to the Senior Center—brick will now be a required material, though no set percentage is mandated. Instead, its use will be assessed for visual cohesion with surrounding buildings.

Councilmember Buroker explained her reasoning for advocating brick as a required material in what she proposed be named the "Civic Center" area, rather than simply downtown. She shared that the Peterson family, who developed the prominent clock tower building on 12600 South, had expressed frustration that they were held to a higher architectural standard than newer developments in the area. To address that and promote fairness and consistency, she supported requiring brick for future buildings in that zone. She also expressed support for the revised four-sided architecture language, noting it strikes a fair balance. Lastly, she requested clarification on the exceptions to precast concrete treatment requirements.

In response, Mr. Lethbridge explained that while precast concrete walls still require treatment (such as brick veneer or form patterns), the new exceptions apply only in certain zones (commercial regional and PCC) and allow for discretion on side and rear walls that are not visible from the street. This was done to prevent unnecessary costs in areas like loading docks while still maintaining visual quality on public-facing sides.

Councilmember McCay expressed support for the recent architectural code changes overall, particularly the removal of the limitation on glass. She proposed updating the language in section 6A to read: "All mechanical equipment shall be located in the back or screened so as to shield visibility." The intent was to clarify that equipment placed at the back of a building and not visible does not also need to be screened. She also voiced opposition to the new requirement for brick as a mandatory material in the downtown area. She argued that modern architecture includes a wide variety of appealing materials and felt that requiring brick would unnecessarily limit architectural creativity. She recommended removing the brick requirement entirely.

Mayor Staggs clarified the language regarding mechanical equipment placement. The intent of the current code is that if equipment is located at the back of a building and not visible, the building itself acts as a screen, and no additional screening is required.



Mayor Staggs weighed in on the brick requirement in the downtown—or “civic center”—area. He expressed appreciation for having some visual distinction and consistency—particularly in an area considered more historic.

Councilmembers Haymond and McDougal expressed support for maintaining the brick requirement in the downtown or civic center.

Mayor Staggs called for public comments; there being none, he closed the Public Hearing

Councilmember Buroker **MOVED to adopt Ordinance No. 25-12 – Amending the Commercial Architectural Standards in Section 18.65.060, 18.70.060, 18.75.050, 18.80.060, 18.85.060, 18.95.040, 18.100.060, and 18.215.030 of the Riverton City Code.** Councilmember McDougal **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes and Pierucci-yes. The motion passed unanimously.

**Ordinance No. 25-15 – DAI Development Agreement, Amending Zoning from R-3 to C-R, Uses limited to Storage Units, and Preliminary Site Plan Approval for Approx.5.63 acres Located at 12270 South 4000 West.**

Mr. Lethbridge provided an update regarding a development agreement, specifically addressing concerns raised in a prior meeting about what zoning would apply once the agreement expires. The clarification confirmed that the underlying zoning would remain *Commercial Regional*, but with a limitation that only allows **self-storage** as a permitted use. Any change to that use or zoning designation would require a formal zone change application.

Additionally, Mr. Lethbridge noted that the current expiration term of the agreement is **15 years**, but there had been a recent request to **extend the term to 50 years**. This proposed change was not included in the materials previously distributed to the Council. However, a sample motion had been prepared to reflect this 50-year term if the Council wished to incorporate it formally into their action.

Mayor Staggs called for public comments; there being none, he closed the Public Hearing

Councilmember McDougal **MOVED to adopt Ordinance No. 25-15 – DAI Development Agreement, for property at 12270 South 4000, amending zoning from R-3 to C-R and approving preliminary site and design drawings, with all terms and conditions of the Development Agreement as shown in Exhibit “A,” with the modification of the “expiration date” in Section 10 from fifteen (15) to fifty (50) years.** Councilmember Haymond **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes and Pierucci-yes. The motion passed unanimously.

## **Discussion/Action Items**

### **Budget Discussion**

Kevin Hicks, City Manager, presented updated rate structure options for garbage and



recycling services based on Council feedback from the Work Session. Two main scenarios were highlighted: Estimate 2, which applies a flat \$2 adjustment—lowering first garbage to \$10, setting first recycle at \$5, and modestly increasing additional bin rates—resulting in a near break-even outcome; and Estimate 4, which uses a tiered approach for households with multiple bins—first garbage at \$9, first recycle at \$5, higher rates for multiple additional bins, and a small \$16,000 contribution to fund balance to offset expected cancellations.

Mayor Staggs explained for the public that the Council is exploring ways to retain curbside recycling while minimizing rate increases.

Councilmember McDougal expressed internal conflict over the issue, emphasizing the importance of fiscal responsibility and transparency with residents by ensuring fees reflect actual service costs rather than being subsidized. While personally leaning toward eliminating curbside recycling, he acknowledged and respected colleagues' desire to retain it and voiced support for Councilmember McCay's proposed fee schedule as a compromise.

Councilmember Pierucci noted that under estimate two, more residents would see an upfront cost increase compared to estimate four, which places more of the financial burden on those with extra cans. He pointed out that while the difference is only \$1 monthly—\$15 versus \$14 for the first garbage and recycling cans—it can add up for larger families. He also acknowledged the Mayor's skepticism about recycling and supported ongoing public discussion on the topic. He clarified that even under the Mayor's proposal to eliminate curbside recycling in favor of regional options, there would still be a \$13 monthly fee for one can, reflecting a shift in service rather than a fee increase.

Councilmember McCay expressed support for estimate two, noting that while it increases everyone's base rate by \$2, it avoids the steep jump in fees for additional garbage cans seen in estimate four. She emphasized that estimate two keeps fees close to break-even for the City, helping ensure sanitation costs are covered. She advocated for better public education on recycling to reduce contamination and suggested removing non-compliant users from the recycling program.

Councilmember McDougal **MOVED that the City Council support Councilmember McCay's Estimate #2 for raising sanitation fees.** Councilmember Haymond **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes, and Pierucci-no. The motion passed with a 4 to 1 vote.

Councilmember McCay raised a question about the stormwater budget, specifically the allocation for Summerhill Park, which appeared on page 89. After visiting the site and reviewing the proposed project costs—approximately \$130,000 for the middle section and \$86,000 for the southern section—she expressed concern over the total \$250,000 investment. She questioned whether the area had a history of flooding or overflow to justify the expenditure, suggesting it seemed more like a beautification project than necessary stormwater work. She recommended either eliminating or significantly reducing the funding—potentially down to \$50,000—and suggested prioritizing maintenance for other areas, like the overgrown edges near Rose Creek, which could present a greater risk during heavy water flow.

Mr. Hicks explained that the Summerhill Park stormwater project had originally been budgeted for the current fiscal year but was split into three phases after bids came in higher than expected. Phase one—the north section—was completed for about \$100,000. The remaining

two phases—the middle and south sections—are estimated at \$216,000, with the contractor agreeing to hold that price if the City proceeds now. He noted that delaying could risk higher costs later. He explained that the completed north section now allows equipment access to both sides of the stream for maintenance, and the same functionality is desired for the rest of the project.

A majority of Councilmembers agreed to complete the project, citing the City's established five-year stormwater plan, the importance of sticking to it, and past flooding issues, especially along Midas Creek.

Councilmember Pierucci expressed interest in having a future conversation about how the City allocates funding for recreations activities and programs. While not proposing changes for the current year, he noted concern about the return on investment for some events, based on the number of residents participating relative to the cost.

Councilmember McCay raised concerns about the significant reduction in the Police Department's fund balance, which is projected to drop from approximately \$3.1 million in FY 2023–24 to about \$1.2 million under the proposed FY 2024–25 budget. While supportive of the department and respectful of the request to add two new officers, she suggested phasing the hires over two years to lessen the budgetary impact.

Chief Taylor emphasized the importance of adding both officers—particularly one to support an existing detective. The Council acknowledged the long-term challenge of declining fund balances and noted potential relief through federal grants.

Councilmember Pierucci agreed that while the police fund balance should be closely monitored, now is not the time to slow hiring given the City's current crime statistics. Based on both the data presented and the Chief's input, he felt the need for increased enforcement was justified and supported moving forward with both officer positions.

Councilmember McCay raised a proposal to eliminate the \$17,500 budgeted for the City's printed calendar, noting that many residents likely no longer use printed calendars. In response, Mayor Staggs acknowledged the calendar has been a longstanding item that has received scrutiny over the years. He noted that while the City doesn't send out frequent printed communications, the calendar and Town Days booklet serve as key touchpoints, alongside the recently resumed printed newsletter included with utility bills.

Councilmember Buroker did not want to eliminate the calendar. However, Councilmembers McDougal and Pierucci agreed with Councilmember McCay and wanted to eliminate the calendar.

### **Upcoming Meetings**

- a. June 3, 2025 - Work Session & City Council Meeting
- b. June 17, 2025 – Work Session & City Council Meeting
- c. July 15, 2025 - Work Session & City Council Meeting
- d. August 5, 2025 – Work Session & City Council Meeting

### **CLOSED SESSION**

- **Discussion of the sale, purchase, exchange or lease of real property including any**

### **form of a water rights or water shares**

At 8:50 PM, Councilmember McDougal **MOVED** to convene in a Closed Session to discuss the sale, purchase, exchange or lease of real property including any form of a water rights or water shares. Councilmember McDougal also moved to close the City Council meeting at the end of the Closed Session. Councilmember McCay **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. There being none, he called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McCay-yes, McDougal-yes, and Pierucci-yes. **The motion passed unanimously.**

The meeting resumed in a Closed Session at 9:01 PM. Minutes for the Closed Session were taken and recorded and are now on file as a Protected Record.

Councilmember McDougal **MOVED** to adjourn the Closed Session at 9:34 PM. Councilmember Haymond **SECONDED** the motion. Mayor Staggs called for discussion on the motion. Mayor Staggs called for a roll-call vote. The vote was as follows: Buroker-yes, Haymond-yes, McDougal-yes and Pierucci-yes. **The motion passed unanimously.**

### **Adjournment**

As previously noted, Councilmember McDougal **MOVED** to adjourn the City Council meeting at the conclusion of the Closed Session. Councilmember McCay **SECONDED** the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 9:34 PM.

Approved: CCM 6.3.25