

MINUTES OF A CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL SESSION

City Council Chambers 125 South Main Street, Vineyard, Utah April 30, 2025, at 6:38 PM

Present Absent

Mayor Julie Fullmer Councilmember Sara Cameron Councilmember Jacob Holdaway Councilmember Mardi Sifuentes Councilmember Brett Clawson

Staff Present: City Attorney Jayme Blakesley, City Manager Eric Ellis, Lieutenant Holden Rockwell with the Utah County Sheriff's Office, Chief Building Official Cris Johnson, Community Development Director Morgan Brim, Senior Planner Cache Hancey, Public Works Director Naseem Ghandour, Project Manager Justine Marshall, Finance Director Kristie Bayles, Utility Billing Clerk Maria Arteaga, Parks and Recreation Director Brian Vawdrey, Communications Manager Jenna Ahern, City Recorder Pamela Spencer and Deputy Recorder Tony Lara

Others speaking: Pete Evans and Nate Hutchinson with Flagborough; Jason Bird with the Utah County Health Department; residents Terry Ewing, Daria Evans, Karen Cornileous, and David Pearce

1. CALL TO ORDER/INVOCATION/INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Fullmer opened the meeting at 6:38 PM.

2. PRESENTATIONS/RECOGNITIONS/AWARDS/PROCLAMATIONS

2.1. GFOA Award Presentation

Finance Director Kristie Bayles will present the GFOA Award awarded to the City.

Finance Director Kristie Bayles presented the GFOA Award and thanked Treasurer Zack Adams and utility Billing Clerk Maria Arteaga for their work on the award document.

2.2. Proclamation 2025-02 - 56th Annual Municipal Clerks Week

A proclamation declaring May 4-10, 2025, as Professional Municipal Clerks Week

2.3. Proclamation 2025-03 Bike Month

A proclamation declaring May 2025 as Bike Month

2.4. Proclamation 2025-04 Building Safety Month

A proclamation declaring May 2025 as Building Safety Month

2.5. Proclamation 2025-05 National Drinking Water Week

A proclamation declaring May 4-10, 2025, as Vineyard City Drinking Water Week

2.6. Proclamation 2025-06 National Public Works Week

A proclamation declaring May 18–24, 2025, as Public Works Week Mayor Fullmer presented the proclamations.

2.7. Downtown Update

Pete Evans with Flagborough will give an update on the Downtown development.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Flagborough.

Pete Evans and Nate Hutchinson with Flagborough reviewed the plats and gave an update on the development.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked where people would be parking. Mr. Hutchinson reviewed where the current parking was located.

Mayor Fullmer felt these projects were exciting.

Councilmember Cameron appreciated the detail on the exterior of the buildings.

Mayor Fullmer thanked them for working with other partners on parking issues. Mr. Hutchinson mentioned that they were working with The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints on church sites as well.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked about schools in the development. Mr. Hutchinson replied that they were working with the school district and charter schools. They hoped to integrate schools into a mixed-use environment.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked for details about the public pool. Mr. Hutchinson explained that the charge and memberships would be similar to other municipalities. They hoped to have Phase 1 of the area completed by Memorial Day of 2026.

2.8. Memorial Bench & Tree Program

Memorial Bench & Tree Program

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Parks and Recreation Director Brian Vawdrey

Mr. Vawdrey gave an update on the Memorial Bench and Tree Program.

Mayor Fullmer called for comments from the council.

Councilmember Clawson asked if the QR code would be linked to a city hosted page. Mr. Vawdrey explained that it would go to the "see my legacy" website. A discussion ensued.

Mayor Fullmer commented on the work that had gone into putting this program together.

Councilmember Holdaway asked about hosting a landing page on the city's website instead of having additional software. Mr. Vawdrey explained why he felt that the software was valuable. A discussion ensued. Mayor Fullmer suggested that Councilmember Holdaway work with Mr. Vawdrey on solutions.

2.9. Utah County Mosquito Abatement Program

Jason Bird of the Utah County Health Department will present information on the 2025 mosquito abatement.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Jason Bird with the Utah County Health Department.

Mr. Bird gave an update on the mosquito abatement program. A discussion ensued.

Councilmember Holdaway expressed his appreciation for their program. He asked if they helped cities with putting together a plan for getting rid of breeding sites. Mr. Bird stated that this was an ongoing was ongoing effort. Public Works Director Naseem Ghandour explained that with their partnership with the health department there were several ways to mitigate mosquitoes.

Mayor Fullmer said the city was grateful for their partnership.

3. WORK SESSION

3.1. Concession Offerings at Vineyard Grove Park

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Mr. Vawdrey.

Mr. Vawdrey gave a background on the interest in offering concessions at Grove Park. He then reviewed recommended options for offering concessions at Vineyard Grove Park.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked for clarification about the options. Mr. Vawdrey replied that the shacks would only allow one (1) vendor, and the food trucks would allow three (3). A discussion ensued about the options and logistics of setting up concessions.

Mayor Fullmer asked about timing for bringing this back to the council for approval. Mr. Vawdrey replied that he would like to bring it back to the council for approval in May. A discussion ensued about how to set up the contracts. Mayor Fullmer suggested that the council reach out Mr. Vawdrey with any additional comments.

Councilmember Cameron asked about implementation. Mr. Vawdrey replied that he was hoping to get something going this year.

3.2. CM/GC - Vineyard Center Design Update

Public Works Director Naseem Ghandour will present on CM/GC and the Vineyard Center

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over Public Works Director Naseem Ghandour.

Mr. Ghandour gave an update on the CM/GC (Construction Manager/ General Contractor) for the Vineyard Center design process. A discussion ensued.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked Senior Planner Cache Hancey about the changes in the design. Mr. Hancey explained the design process and why there were changes to the design. Councilmember Sifuentes asked about the footprint of the building. Mr. Hancey replied that it might be a little larger, but level four had been adjusted by eliminating the flex office space.

Mayor Fullmer asked about the location of the center in comparison to the aquatics center. Mr. Hancey reviewed the locations.

Councilmember Holdaway asked when they would have a final cost. Mr. Hancey explained that they could have projected costs sooner than later. City Manager Eric Ellis mentioned that in addition to using the comparable figures, Orem had added an escalation to include any inflation in costs to help anticipate upfront investments and annual costs. A discussion ensued.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked for costs to date. Mr. Ellis explained that the bond estimate would be \$16 to \$18 million. He gave a breakdown of the current costs for the building: \$1.5 million committed to the architecture and engineering project; MAG (Mountainland Association of Governments) will invest \$8 million, and the city will invest \$3 million they had set aside for the project. He noted that the city's investments would be \$3 million, and MAG would be up to \$9 million with a total cost of the entire project, at \$28 to \$31 million. A discussion ensued.

Councilmember Holdaway wanted to clarify that other cities were paying cash. He quoted code that the city had to disclose the total cost to citizens. Mayor Fullmer explained that there were bond parameters and what they financed. It was noted that other cities bond. A discussion ensued. All the dollar amounts were important, but they represent different things. Mr. Ellis pointed out that LRB (Lewis Roberston and Burningham) gathered comparables with cities that had used sales tax revenue bonds. The discussion continued.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked what the consequences would be if they amended the bond parameters and the possible ballot question being for a different amount and if it was an option for the city to put the question on the ballot. City Attorney Blakesly replied that the legislature sets the bonding procedures and the type of bonds which the city was bonding for, which were sales tax and franchise revenue bond. He explained the referendum process. Not a process for the council to put the question on the ballot unless they do a General Obligation (GO) bond. A discussion ensued about GO bonds.

Councilmember Clawson called for a point of order as to Councilmember Holdaway's texting during the discussion. There was a discussion between Councilmembers Clawson and Holdaway regarding the code of conduct. Mayor Fullmer called for a point of order and clarified that the council should not be texting during the meeting.

Mr. Blakesley continued his explanation.

Councilmember Sifuentes asked about the referendum process and the city's ability to discuss the Vineyard Center project. Mr. Blakesley stated that the general rule was that city resources could not be used to advocate on something that was the subject of a referendum. He said that the city *Page 4 of 11; April 30, 2025, City Council Special Session Meeting Minutes*

could provide factual information about the public hearing for the bond and the project. He noted that city staff could respond to direct questions about the bond or project. Councilmember Sifuentes asked about using city, or staff prepared resources that had already been provided and if the referendum halted the project. Mr. Blakesley replied that the council could use those types of resources if they were factually correct information but could not ask staff to prepare arguments for advocacy of the bond. He mentioned the Fiscal and Legal impact statement that was created in consultation with the bond counsel.

Mr. Blakesley explained the stay process. He noted that the stay would only stay the work on the bond, but the city would have to reconfigure the financing of the project. Councilmember Sifuentes asked for further clarification on the stay. Mr. Blakesley stated that the only item being referred was the bond parameters resolution. A discussion ensued. Councilmember Sifuentes asked about the potential for a city stay on the project. Mr. Ellis pointed out that having the completed plans for the Vineyard Center had a durable value to the city. Councilmember Holdaway disagreed with Mr. Ellis' statement on the durability of the having completed plans. He added that he would not advocate for a GO bond but felt that the sales tax bond was riskier. He felt that the city should have gotten preapproved for the bond before moving forward with the plans. A discussion ensued. Mayor Fullmer clarified that the city process was to plan first to determine cost and then get the financing. The discussion continued. Councilmember Holdaway commented on how quickly the design of the building had changed at that the library had been removed. Mayor Fullmer explained the design options presented. Councilmember Cameron explained that they wanted the library board to have a say in how to expand the library. Councilmember Holdaway felt that the design was flexible and would change between now and August. Mayor Fullmer reiterated the design process and cost estimates. Councilmember Holdaway suggested they put a stay on the design process and find other solutions for staff expansion and funding mechanisms. Councilmember Sifuentes felt that bonding was smart with inflation; build now and save on future inflation costs. She stated that if they waited and saved, they still would not have enough money. Mr. Ellis explained the cost savings they would produce if they built now and how much they would lose if they waited to build in five (5) years. The discussion continued. Mayor Fullmer felt the reason for starting this project was to incorporate staff who were using tailers and other spaces that they were utilizing along with additional offices outside of city hall. The discussion continued.

Mayor Fullmer felt that it would be beneficial for the council to sit down with staff and go through the plan to understand where they were in the design process. Mr. Ellis mentioned that the sales tax bond rate would be .05 percent than a GO Bond and was a nontaxable bond. The discussion continued. Mayor Fullmer called for a point of order and asked Mr. Blakesley if they were crossing any boundaries in their current discussion when it came to the referendum. Mr. Blakesley replied that they could discuss the bond. A discussion ensued about interest on the bond.

Mayor Fullmer called for a point of clarity on the bond parameters. She said that \$35 million was the bond parameters and that they were trying to hone in on the actual costs. She felt it was a point of confusion on how the bond parameters worked. The discussion continued.

Mayor Fullmer explained the process the city had already gone through to date. She said that the city wanted to be fiscally conservative and were talking to people about what they wanted. They were trying to make it more affordable and make the right decision. A discussion ensued about a 45-day stay.

3.3. Tentative Budget

The mayor and City Council will discuss the tentative budget. No action will be taken at this time.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Finance Director Kristie Bayles.

Ms. Bayles presented an overview of the tentative budget. A budget discussion ensued. Mayor Fullmer explained the tentative budget process.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mayor Fullmer called for public comments.

Terry Ewing, living in the Villas, commented on the \$35 M bond and costs of the building. She also commented on the list of bonds that LRB had presented at the last meeting and that the city did not have any final plans. She commented on the fire station and the size of the fire trucks that would be available in the city.

Daria Evans, living in the Villas, asked to have public comments before work sessions. She expressed appreciation for Mr. Ghandour's help when she reached out to him. She felt that the Takeback Medication Day was a success. She gave a shoutout to deputies Ryan and Stilson for their help at the crosswalk at 400 North and Main Street during school hours, because the sewer repair had caused scary situations. She then read a response from one of the crossing guards. She said that she was happy to learn that the Utah City development was including schools and churches. She asked about the Vineyard Beach shoreline plan. She expressed concern that the notice on April 3rd was not available to the residents. There was a discussion about the bond interest at maturity.

Karen Cornelious, living in The Villas subdivision, said that this was the first time she had heard that the city was using \$3million and wanted to know where it came from. She expressed concern with a comment from the town hall where the mentioned that he city only needed 20 percent of the building for city staff. She said that the fiscal impact for the referendum did not include an expense that the citizens owned. She asked about a contract with MAG for the Vineyard Center.

Jacob Holdaway, living on Holdaway Road, expressed concerns with how the council was treating him. He reviewed the process he had gone through since he started last year. He felt that there were things mandated by the state: the budget, the procurement policy, and restricted access to ledgers. He felt that, as long as the four councilmembers were aligned, he was never going to get anything. He felt it was up to the council to report every month.

David Pearce, living in the Cascade subdivision, expressed appreciation to all who participated in the drug takeback day. He said that Democracy was messy and felt that tonight was proof.

Mayor Fullmer addressed the bond comments and the design of the buildings and the savings aspect. She mentioned that staff shared offices and rented space to save money for the fire station and other facilities. She said that a priority now was to have space for the staff needed to meet services for the city. She added that LRB had shown a common use comparison, that the city had been planning for a while and they had just discussed the CM/GC. She mentioned that Vineyard

was in a multi-city service area and the ladder truck was housed in the cooperative. They were building a fire house to have emergency staff closer to home. She said that residents were under the impression that approving the resolution they had given bond counsel permission to sell the bonds, but they had added to the resolution that it had to come back to council before they sold the bonds. Mr. Ellis gave an update on the status of the shoreline project. Lieutenant Rockwell explained that they had posted a no U-turn sign at the intersection on 400 North and Main Street and they would be enforcing it.

Mayor Fullmer made a statement to resident "Councilmember" Holdaway. Evey time she sat in a meeting and every public conversation and every time he had asked for the General Ledger and vendor list, he has received them. She mentioned that they had talked with the State Auditor to make sure they could show him how the staff had been working on this. As a council, they had stated at every meeting that they wanted him to have what he needed. When he asked about travel, and other items, they had done presentations on them. When he said he did not have access to how he votes on things, it was in the financial policy that they have to vote on it as a council person in order for it to go into the financial policy for the different layers of signing for department heads, City Manager, the City Manager and the mayor, and different groups because they had inserted checks and balances. She stated that he had been given this policy multiple times, when asked for it to be on the agenda, she put on an agenda and then looked at it again in August. They talked about creating a financial committee. She reiterated her previous comments. She said that Councilmember Holdaway wanted it done outside of the law and parameters that they work in as a group and body, and they asked how to make it work for him. They made new laws to make it so that it did work for you. This all was a matter of public record. When he asked about travel and no one would tell you, everything was outlined in the budget. He talked about travel in multiple meetings and told people that she had wasted taxpayer dollars going on lavish trips. He was told in multiple meetings and given records that proved she did not do this, but he kept bringing it up as if she did. After a while she stopped responding because it had nothing to do with the business of the city. She said that Councilmember Holdaway told people that they hid agenda items where they did not vote on them multiple times on something that no return on investment. They held multiple meetings where they voted on them and showed the return in investment.

Councilmember Clawson commented that as they were looking at upcoming budgets and past budgets and making claims about the financial state of the city, he found it amazing that they qualified for an award if the city was in such rough shape. He did not pretend to know the weight of the award. In everything that he had seen in going through the budget process, it had a different story to tell.

Councilmember Cameron addressed the comment about only needing 20 percent of the new building, ignoring the fact that they had shared partnerships who would share the building and expenses. She felt that they should not have taken off the leasing partners, which could help make the building net positive. They could not move in that direction because of the hyper criticism on everything they did. She noted that she had attended a really good educational conference put on by ULCT (Utah League of Cities and Towns). The main issues with every city were the negativity and the constant criticism and how to address the misinformation and the constant criticism. She said that before she became a councilmember, she loved Vineyard, and that Mayor Fullmer was doing a great job. Then she heard all the criticism and accusations. After a year and a half and people were saying the city was not transparent and talking to other people on the council telling her they knew about things that could be found on agendas and in minutes, but she keeps hearing the same narrative that it was not transparent. She said that she had not done her own homework in the

beginning but just listened to other people who were angry and had a vendetta and an agenda against the mayor and the city. She did not feel critical about the city and Mayor Fullmer anymore after walking the walk and seeing how hard it is and how hard they work to make the city beautiful.

Councilmember Sifuentes disclosed that she had taken a position with the county helping families who are in inter-generational poverty. She said that, for Councilmember Holdaway's comment that he had not approved of anything financial was erroneous; he had voted on consent items with fiscal impacts. She wanted to remind staff to spell out acronyms on the agendas. She said that she had always been open to discussing the financial policy and the one time they put it on the agenda they did not know he was going to be out of town. (Mayor Fullmer noted that they brought it back in August for discussion.) She said that she did not mind if they wanted to change policy, then they should do it. She felt that if Councilmember Holdaway were more willing to work with her, they would get more accomplished. She stated that she was willing to collaborate with him and worked on Municipal Code Title 3 because it was something that meant a lot to Councilmember Holdaway. She felt that there were other things that they could align on, but they were too busy disagreeing on other things that they could not get anything done. She added that she also had frustrations too but felt because everyone was constantly yelling at each other and getting frustrated and voting no on things that shouldn't be voted no on out of a need to make administrative moves; she felt that she could not mention during the meeting frustrations because they were too busy with his. She felt that it would be nice if he could figure out a way to collaborate and talk to her without it having to be a publicly recorded item.

Mr. Blakesley gave a statement in follow up to previous comments and the meeting with the State Auditor's office. He explained that they brainstormed ways that Councilmember Holdaway could share the budget documents. He gave his perspective as to what an attorney does, and which has become his mantra and how he governs himself: to know the law, solve problems, and help his client do the right thing within those parameters. He stated that he had no interest in arguing with Councilmember Holdaway, but he did have an interest in helping the council do its work. When Councilmember Holdaway presented the problem with how he could get the general ledger and share it with the people he wanted to advise him, he went to work on it and had a conversation with the State Auditor. He explained that Councilmember Holdaway was given a physical copy of the leger and that he had responded he responded with two things: he wanted an electronic copy in a format that he could sort and review things to understand them in a more granulated way; the second thing was he wanted to share it publicly. Mr. Blakesley said that the state allowed for information to be shared publicly on the transparency website and explained how the website worked. He further explained that there were more details in the ledger such as names of individuals who were late on their utility payments, scholarships awarded to minors, which we felt should be appropriately redacted from the ledger, but it had to be done manually. He said that Councilmember Holdaway had said that he was less interested in sharing publicly on social media but wanted to allow for an accountant that he trusted to work with him. He said that one of the things that the auditor's office had suggested was to prepare a confidentiality and non-disclosure agreement regarding the private and protected information for whomever he shared the document with and have the council approve it.

Mayor Fullmer reiterated what had happened during this discussion.

Councilmember Holdaway clarified what he meant by sharing publicly. A discussion ensued. Mayor Fullmer asked the council if they felt comfortable moving it forward.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES MOVED TO ADD THE NON-DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT TO A FUTURE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER CAMERON SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY MOVED TO ADD A 45-DAY STAY TO A FUTURE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Councilmember Holdaway listed his remaining agenda requests:

- Procurement Policy Thresholds
- The detailedness of the city budget/threshold of how detailed it needs to be in the annual budget. A discussion ensued. Mr. Blakesley suggested that they send this request to the finance committee to get their recommendations before putting the item on a future agenda.
- GRAMA policy A discussion ensued.

5. MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS/DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS

6. STAFF, COMMISSION, AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

City Manager Report mentioned the monthly report. He announced publicly that the city had UTA ECO Passes for purchase by residents.

7. CONSENT ITEMS

- 7.1. Approval of the March 26, 2025, City Council Meeting Minutes
- 7.2. Approval of the April 3, 2025, City Council Special Session Meeting Minutes
- 7.3. Approval of the April 21, 2025, City Council Special Session Meeting Minutes
- 7.4. Professional Services Contract Renewal (Resolution 2025-16)
- 7.5. Recommendation to Expand the Pool of Professional Services Consultants (Resolution 2025-19)
- 7.6. Interlocal Agreement with Utah County for Election Services (Resolution 2025-18) (Fiscal Impact up to \$40,000)
- 7.7. Special Event Fee Waiver Request Mother's Day Luau Fundraiser
- 7.8. Award of City-Wide Streetlight Maintenance Contract (Resolution 2025-20)
- **7.9.** Approval of Master Lease Agreement with Ken Garff Ford (Resolution 2025-21) Mayor Fullmer called for a motion.

Councilmember Holdaway requested that consent items 7.4 and 7.5 be removed for discussion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY MOVED TO APPROVE AND ADOPT ITEMS 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, AND 7.9 AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

7.4 Services Contract Renewal (Resolution 2025-16)7.5 Recommendation to Expand the Pool of Professional Services Consultants (Resolution 2025-19)

Mr. Ellis explained the two items and how the fiscal impact would work. Mayor Fullmer explained that there was no fiscal impact at this time. A discussion ensued regarding how the pool of consultants and budgeting would work.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT ITEMS 7.4. AND 7.5. COUNCILMEMBER CLAWSON SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY VOTED NO. THE MOTION CARRIED FOUR (4) TO ONE (1).

8. APPOINTMENTS/REMOVALS

There were no appointments submitted.

9. BUSINESS ITEMS

9.1. PUBLIC HEARING - Consolidated Fee Schedule Amendment (Resolution 2025-17)Utility Billing Clerk Maria Arteaga will present proposed amendments to the Consolidated Fee Schedule.

Mayor Fullmer called for a motion to open the public hearing.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 11:14 PM. COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Utility Billing Clerk Mr. Arteaga reviewed the changes. A discussion ensued regarding the changes.

Mayor Fullmer called for public comments. Hearing none, she called for a motion to close the public hearing.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER SIFUENTES MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 11:15 PM. COUNCILMEMBER CAMERON SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mayor Fullmer called for comments or a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER HOLDAWAY MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2025-17 WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE AMENDED IMPACT FEES. COUNCILMEMBER CLAWSON SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS CAMERON, CLAWSON, HOLDAWAY, AND SIFUENTES VOTED YES. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

10. CLOSED SESSION

No closed session was held.

11. ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Fullmer adjourned the meeting at 11:16 PM.

MINUTES APPROVED ON: May 14, 2025

CERTIFIED CORRECT BY: PAMELA SPENCER, CITY RECORDER

