

R6 Regional Council - Executive Board Meeting
Wednesday, May 7, 2025
82 East 600 North, Richfield UT 84701

8:00 AM	Welcome/Meeting Called to Order	Commissioner Bartholomew	_____
	1. Opening Remarks		
	2. Pledge of Allegiance		
	3. Minutes Approved		_____
	-Executive Board Meeting 03.05.25		
	4. Clearinghouse Review		
	5. FYI	Travis Kyhl	_____
8:10	R6 Programs, Ongoing Projects & Reports		
	1. Aging Annual Plan	Doug Kirkham	_____
	2. Agri-Park Update	Shaun Kjar	
	3. CDBG Policies & Procedures	Shay Morrison	
	4. CDBG Rating & Ranking	Shay Morrison	_____
	5. Budget Overview	JaLyne Roundy	
	6. Other	Commissioner Bartholomew	_____
8:45	Aspire Team Presentation		
9:00	Congressional/State Reports		_____
	Senator Lee	Gary Webster	
	Senator Curtis	Jeff Raisor	
	Rep. Owens	Holly Sweeten	_____
	Rep. Maloy	Cindy Bulloch/Evelyn Warnick	
	State Agencies - Time Permitting	Representatives	_____
9:30	Adjourn		
	<i>According to the Utah Open and Public Meetings Act, the board may enter a closed session at any time</i>		

**MINUTES
R6 REGIONAL COUNCIL
EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING**

DATE: March 5, 2025
PLACE: 82 E 600 N, Richfield
TIME: 9:00 a.m.

ATTENDING:

Executive Board

Commissioner Scott Bartholomew
 Commissioner Roger Brian
 Commissioner Greg Jensen
 Commissioner Clinton Painter
 Commissioner Sam Steed

Mayor Chuck Bigelow
 Mayor Bill Davis
 Mayor Michael Holt
 Mayor Noreen Johnson
 Mayor Justin Seely
 Mayor Ron Torgerson

Staff

KerrieLynn Beard
 Brock Jackson
 Abby Ivory
 Shaun Kjar
 Doug Kirkham
 Travis Kyhl
 Pam Morrison
 Shay Morrison
 Cade Penney
 Jess Peterson
 Amy Rosquist
 Tyler Timmons

Congressional Staff

Cindy Bulloch
 Jeff Raisor
 Holly Sweeten
 Gary Webster

Other

Brent Boswell
 Robyn Davis
 Forest Turner

Welcome/ Meeting Called to Order

Commissioner Scott Bartholomew welcomed all and called the meeting to order.

Approval of Minutes- Commissioner Greg Jensen made a motion to approve the minutes from the 1.8.25 Executive Board Meeting. Mayor Chuck Bigelow seconded the motion. Motion approved.

FYI- Mr. Travis Kyhl told the board members that he will continue to share the agency's annual review.

R6 Programs, Ongoing Projects & Reports

Annual Action Plan- Mr. Shay Morrison talked about some minor changes to the annual action plan and offered to answer any questions. Commissioner Clinton Painter made a motion to approve the Annual Action Plan. Commissioner Sam Steed seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Action: No action need

CDBG Rating and Ranking- Mr. Shay Morrison directed the group's attention to the CDBG Rating and Ranking worksheet in their packets. He let the group know that the state staff is making some decisions regarding the applications that may change the scores. He encouraged input from the board members while scoring the applicants. The community advisors and the board members reviewed the proposed community/county projects and scoring sheets. The following projects were reviewed:

Wayne County	Ambulance Purchase
Bicknell	Community Greenhouse
Lynndyl	Water Pump
Manti	Senior Center Improvements
Levan	Pickleball Courts
Salina	Park Improvements
Sevier County	Freezer Building

The community advisors answered the questions that arose. Board members verified the scoring process and agreed with the ranking of the projects. Commissioner Roger Brian made a motion that CDBG awards be made according to the ranking process and to accept any changes the state staff make to the applications. Commissioner Clinton Painter seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Action: No action needed

Utah Project Portal Update- Mr. Travis Kyhl gave a brief reminder about the portal project. He expressed that state agencies are loving it. Some additional CIB funding was awarded and will funnel through the agency. He reiterated that the goal of the project is to help the communities.

Action: No action needed

CIB Application Reviews- Mr. Tyler Timmons told the board members that the CIB application was included in their packets so they can follow along. He explained that CIB requires the Executive Board to review all applications for projects in the region and vote supportive of the project, non-supportive of the project, or neutral. Four applications were submitted by the deadline:

Eureka City	Roads Master Plan
Sevier County	Brooklyn SSD Culinary Water Improvements
Scipio Town	Water Meter Upgrade
Spring City	Multipurpose Building/Fire Dept.

Board members discussed the applications. Commissioner Greg Jensen made a motion to support the project. Commissioner Clinton Painter seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Action: No action needed

Financial Reports- Ms. Amy Rosquist directed board members to turn their attention to the financial statements provided to them. She gave an update on the agency's financial situation at this point in the fiscal year. Commissioner Roger Brian made a motion to approve the R6 Regional Council financial report and the Six County Association of Governments financial report. Mayor Michael Holt seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Action: No action needed

Congressional Briefing Update- Mr. Travis Kyhl told the board members that the committee is navigating a new process, trying to bring members of congress on the tour rather than staff.

Action: No action needed

Multi-Family Housing Project Hinckley- Ms. KerrieLynn Beard briefed the group on a proposed multi-family housing development in Hinckley. She informed the board that the agency had been approached to collaborate with Apex Development on the project, similar to their existing partnership on the Eagle View Townhomes project. Mr. Travis Kyhl elaborated on the advantages of this collaboration. Mayor Michael Holt made a motion to support moving forward with the potential partnership. Mayor Chuck Bigelow seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Action: No action needed

Other- Mr. Brock Jackson updated the board on the Outdoor Recreation Grant program. He encouraged one commissioner from each county to join the stakeholder group. Mr. Jackson plans to attend the county commission meetings to present the information to all of the commissioners.

Action: No action needed

Economic Development District

Regional Priorities- Mr. Travis Kyhl reported on the recent statewide focus on regional economic development. He highlighted the formation of the Central Utah Regional Economics (CURE) group. Ms. Abby Ivory provided updates on relevant legislative bills and detailed new and prospective businesses in each county. Mr. Brent Boswell expressed gratitude for the coordination between Juab County and R6. He discussed a grant program and provided updates on several businesses relocating to Juab County. Commissioner Greg Jensen informed the group of potential battery storage units near the solar farm and mentioned the associated tax increment benefit.

Action: No action needed

Central Utah Agri-Park- Mr. Shaun Kjar provided a report regarding a meeting with a waste processing facility, which has expressed potential interest in the agri-park.

Action: No action needed

Congressional/State Reports

Senator Lee- Mr. Gary Webster reported on Senator Lee's activity. For information on Senator Lee, please refer to his website at lee.senate.gov.

Representative Maloy- Ms. Cindy Bulloch reported on Representative Maloy's activity. For information on Representative Maloy, please refer to her website at maloy.house.gov.

Representative Curtis- Mr. Jeff Raisor reported on Representative Curtis's activity. For information on Representative Curtis, please refer to his website at *curtis.house.gov*.

Representative Owens- Ms. Holly Sweeten reported on Representative Owens's activity. For information on Representative Owens, please refer to his website at *owens.house.gov*.

State/Federal Agency Reports

GOEO- Mr. Forest Turner mentioned Fillmore's successful application to the Rural County Opportunity Grant program. He presented details about some training that James Dixon is offering. Mr. Turner also mentioned two noteworthy changes to the REDI program.

Action: No action requested by Congressional or State Representatives.

Clearinghouse Review- Commissioner Clinton Painter made a motion to approve the clearinghouse review. Commissioner Greg Jensen seconded the motion. Motion approved.

Adjourn 10:50 a.m.

R6 Regional Council

CDBG Application Policies

2026 Program Year

Method of Distribution

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) – To ensure that CDBG projects administered through the Six County Region meet the national objectives; the R6 Regional Council (R6) Regional Review Committee (RRC) has implemented the following: 1.) An application will be rated and ranked against all submitted applications within the Region. 2.) Successful applications will be funded in order of priority as determined by the rating and ranking process until the regional CDBG funding allocation is exhausted.

CDBG POLICIES – 2026 Program Year

The following policies have been established to govern the CDBG award process. All eligible project applications submitted by the December 15th deadline will be accepted for rating and ranking.

1. R6 approved \$50,000 of the total annual CDBG allocation for administration of the R6 CDBG program. \$50,000 of the total annual CDBG allocation is also allocated for regional consolidated planning. Lastly, \$100,000 of the total annual CDBG allocation is allocated for Single Family Housing Rehabilitation. The remaining amount of annual R6 CDBG funds is allocated on a competitive basis. To encourage multiple projects and local match, no project will receive more than 50% of the net allocation or \$250,000, whichever is less. Depending on funding, the R6 Regional Review Committee (RRC) reserves the right to eliminate the 50% rule and \$250,000 maximum by a vote of the board.
2. In compliance with the policies of the State of Utah CDBG program, and to be eligible for funding consideration, all grantees or sub-grantees must have drawn down 50% of any prior year's CDBG funding prior to the RRC rating and ranking session.
3. Applicants must provide written documentation of the availability and status of all proposed non-CDBG funding at the time their application is submitted. A project is not mature and will not be funded if non-CDBG funding cannot be secured and committed by December 15th, 2025. If non-CDBG funds aren't secured and committed by December 15th, 2025, the applicant may provide a commitment letter by December 15th, 2025 that clearly states that they will pay the difference to fully complete their project if a different source of non-CDBG funding isn't secured and committed.
4. State policy has established the minimum amount of funding of \$30,000 per project and the maximum amount is limited only by the annual allocation amount, and the R6 CDBG policies outlined in paragraph 1 (one).
5. Projects must align with and be consistent with the Region's Consolidated Plan. Sponsored projects on behalf of an eligible sub-recipient may not necessarily be listed in the

R6 Rating and Ranking Policies

jurisdiction's capital investment plan, but the sub-recipient's project must meet goals identified in the Region's Consolidated Plan.

6. Attendance at one of the annual "How to Apply" workshops is mandatory for all applicants and sub-grantees. The project manager from the applicant's jurisdiction should be in attendance. Newly elected officials and project managers are especially encouraged to attend, as the administrative requirements and commitments of a CDBG project are considerable.
7. Housing projects are encouraged to use the R6 Housing Department's available resources and emergency projects may be considered by the RRC at any time. Projects applying for emergency funding must still meet a national objective and regional goals and policies. Projects may be considered as an emergency application if:
 - ❑ Funding through the normal application timeframe will create an unreasonable risk to health or property.
 - ❑ An appropriate third-party agency has documented a specific risk (or risks) that in their opinion need immediate remediation.
8. The amount of any emergency funds distributed during the year will be subtracted from the top of the regional allocation during the next funding cycle. Additional information on the emergency fund program is available in the Application Policies and Procedures manual developed annually by the state in Chapter II, Funding Processes.
9. Applications on behalf of sub-recipients (i.e., special service districts, non-profit organizations, etc.) are allowed. The applicant city or county must understand that even if they name the sub-recipient as project manager, the city/county is still responsible for the project's viability and program compliance. A subcontractor's agreement between the applicant entity and the sub-recipient must accompany the application (after funds have been committed to the project).
10. Multi-year projects will be considered. Proposals must contain specific cost estimates and work elements by year so that annual allocations by the RRC can be determined at the outset. No projects over 2 years will be considered.
11. Project maturity will be considered in determining the awarding of funds for the funding cycle, i.e., project can be completed within eighteen months, leveraged funds are in place, detailed scope of work is developed, engineer's cost estimates in place, etc.
12. Applicants that were funded in the year immediately prior to the current program year are not eligible for funding.
13. The application must be submitted by 5:00 PM Mountain Time (MT), December 15, 2025. Any applications received after this date and time will not be considered for funding.
14. Applicants with lower populations may receive additional points in the "Percent of Non-CDBG Funds Invested In Total Project Cost" category.

R6 Rating and Ranking Policies

15. In the event of a tie the following policies will be followed:

- A) The project is in an Economically Distressed Community¹
- B) The project that has the highest percentage of LMI beneficiaries
- C) The project with the most non-CDBG funds leveraged
- D) The Project with the most points in the Geographical Impact category

16. All projects will be fully funded in the order of their rating and ranking prioritization. Once a balance remains insufficient to fully fund the next project in the order of rating and ranking prioritization, that project will be given an opportunity to receive funds, with two conditions: 1.) The project must be able to maintain the same scope of work that was listed in their initial application. 2.) The project must be able to be rated and ranked the same as their initial application. However, if a project chooses to receive the remaining balance and is required to increase their contribution in order to be funded, it will not alter the initial rating and ranking order previously approved. This process will continue until no additional projects can be funded.

17. Once no additional projects can be funded, the remaining balance will be allocated between the region's Single-Family Housing Rehabilitation Program and the Regional Planning Program. The R6 RRC will determine the percentage distributed to each program within the specific program year cycle. Note: Funds allocated to the Regional Planning Program must comply with the 20% funding cap outlined in 24 CFR 570.489(a)(3)(ii).

18. The R6 RRC is filled by the members of the R6 Executive Board. This 12-member committee is composed of a commissioner and a mayor from each county of the region. Members of the committee are appointed by their county and fulfill terms until the end of their elected period or reappointment if they still hold office. Due to election cycles, the approximate term of a board member is 4 years. The chair of the RRC is the chair of the R6 Executive Board.

The R6 Regional Review Committee has approved the following set-asides for funding:

Housing - \$100,000 if the allocation is over \$400,000. If the allocation is under \$400,000 then 25% of the allocation for the R6 Housing department to help LMI individuals access available housing resources.

Regional Planning - \$50,000 for planning activities to be conducted by R6 staff in HUD pre-approved or survey approved Low to Moderate Income communities.

Administration & Consolidated Planning - \$50,000 for administration of the CDBG program, updating an annual action plan, and meeting with communities to identify planning needs.

¹ For these purposes, a distressed community is defined as a "local government with an average unemployment rate of 9 percent or more over the past three years using American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates; a poverty rate of 20 percent or more among individuals not enrolled in higher education as of the most recent ACS 5-year estimates, OR a population decline of 5 percent or more between the 2010 Decennial Census and most recent ACS 5-Year estimates."

R6 Rating and Ranking Policies

R6 Regional Council 2026 CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria and Project Score Sheet		Total Project Cost:			Non-CDBG Funds:		
Applicant & Project:		CDBG Request:			Source of Funds:		
	CDBG Rating and Ranking Criteria Description	Data Range/Score (Mark only one for each criteria)					Score:
1	Capacity To Carry Out The Grant: Rated by state staff. (See Note #1 for scoring)	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 points	
2	Percent Of Non-CDBG Funds Invested In Total Project Cost: Non-CDBG Funds Amount, Divided by Total Project	>10%	7.01-10%	4.01-7%	1.01-4%	<1%	
2a	Jurisdictions with a population less than 500	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	
		>20%	15.01-20%	10.01-15%	5.01-10%	1-5%	
2 b	Jurisdictions with a population of 501-1,000	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	
		>30%	25.01-30%	20.01-25%	15.01-20%	1-15%	
2 c	Jurisdictions with a population of 1,001-5,000	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	
		>40%	35.01-40%	30.01-35%	25.01-30%	1-25%	
2 d	Jurisdictions with a population greater than 5,000	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	
3	Non-CDBG Funds Secured: Non-CDBG funds have been Secured, Partially Secured, or Applied for.	Secured 3 points	Partial 2 points	Applied 1 point			
4	CDBG Funds Requested Per Capita: CDBG funds requested divided by the number of project beneficiaries.	\$01-100.99 5 points	\$101-200.99 4 points	\$201-400.99 3 points	\$401-800.99 2 points	\$801 or > 1 point	
5	Jurisdictions with a population of less than 5,000 will receive 1 point.	Yes 1 Point	No 0 Point				
6	Project's Geographical Impact: Projects will be rated on their relative impact in the community both in terms of numbers and relative need.	Multiple Counties 5 Points	County 4 points	Portion of County 3 points	Community 2 points	Portion of Community 1 point	
7	LMI Population: Percent of the projects beneficiaries considered 80 percent or less LMI. (based on HUD Pre-Approved LMI Communities or an LMI survey)	>80% 5 points	75.01-80% 4 points	60.01-75% 3 points	55.01-60% 2 points	51-55% 1 points	
8	Extent Of Poverty: The percentage of Low Income (LI: 50% AMI) and Very Low Income (VLI: 30% AMI) persons directly benefiting from the project.	>20% 5 points	15.01-20% 4 points	10.01-15% 3 points	5.01-10% 2 points	1-5% 1 point	
9	Project Maturity: (See Note #9 for scoring)	2 Points	1 point				
10	Applicant Funded In Previous Program Years:	2021 and Prior 4 points	2022 3 points	2023 2 points	2024 1 point		
11	Project Priority: Determined by R6 Executive Board members. This Board is composed of a mayor and commissioner from each of the Six Counties.	Water Infrastructure Improvements 6 points	Street/Sidewalk Improvements 5 points	Recreation Facility Improvements 4 points	Sewer/Storm Infrastructure 3 points	Public Facilities, Public Health/Safety 2 points	LMI Housing 1 point
12	National Objective Compliance: When a project is deemed to fall under Limited Clientele Activities, Targeted Activities, ADA Accessibility, Planning-only Activities, as highlighted under the "National Objectives, Eligible Activities and Federal Compliance Requirements" section of the State of Utah's CDBG Application Policies and Procedures, that applicant will receive 4 points.	Yes 4 Points	No 0 Point				
13	Remove Architectural Barriers (ADA): Is the entire project solely focused on ADA compliance?	Yes 2 points	No 0 point				
14	Health And Safety: Does the project address serious health and safety threats.	Yes 3 points	No 0 point				
15	LMI Housing Stock: Infrastructure for the units, rehabilitation of units, new units and/or accessibility of units for LMI residents. (See Note #15 & #16 for scoring)	>20 units 6 points	15 - 20 units 5 points	10 - 14 units 4 points	5 - 9 units 3 points	1 - 4 units 2 points	
16	Affordable Housing Plan Implementation: City/County has adopted an Affordable Housing Plan and this project addresses some element of that plan. (See Note #15 & #16 for scoring)	Yes 2 points	No 0 point				
17	Pro-active Planning: Communities who pro-actively plan for growth and needs in their communities. (See Note #17 for scoring)	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point		
18	Civil Rights Compliance: Applicant is in compliance with federal laws and regulations related to civil rights. (See Note #18 for scoring)	2 points	1 point	0 point			
19	Application Completion: (See note #19) for Scoring	1 point	0 point				
						Total Score:	0
Notes:							
#1 - This score will come from the CDBG state staff rating, which can be found under "Capacity to Carry Out the Grant" in the CDBG policies and procedures handbook.							
#9 - One point will be awarded if an architect/engineer is already selected and is actively involved in the application process, or a CDBG compliant procurement process has been followed for equipment purchases. One point will be awarded if architectural/engineering designs/plans are completed for the project or a vendor has been selected for an equipment purchase.							
#15 & #16 - Both of these scoring criteria will only be utilized when scoring a housing project.							
#17 - One point will be awarded if the applicants general plan has been updated in the previous 5 years (ex. For the 2026 cycle: updated during or after 2021). One point will be awarded if the applicant maintains a detailed Capital Improvements List for future projects. One point will be awarded if the applicant keeps a detailed Asset Inventory list. One point will be awarded if the applicant can document an active planning and zoning commission. The Capital Improvements List, Asset Inventory list, and documentation of an active Planning and Zoning Commission must be submitted by the R6 December 15th, 2025 deadline.							
#18 - One point will be awarded if the applicant has completed the "ADA Checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal" form. One point will be awarded if the applicant has adopted all of the following policies prior to the SCAOG December 15th, 2025 deadline: Grievance Procedure under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 and ADA Effective Communication Policy, Language Access Plan, and Section 504 and ADA Reasonable Accommodation Policy. (Forms available from R6)							
#19 - One point will be awarded to applications that contain all correct required documentation under attachments in Webgrants 3 (i.e.: engineers estimate, scope of work, project location map, public hearing notice proof, public hearing minutes, SAM Registration and photographs of the project area,) at the time of application submission, by the Decemeber 15th, 2025 R6 deadline.							
*All population figures will be sourced from the most recent data available in the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey.							

R6 Regional Council
Combined Financial Report
07/01/2025 to 06/30/2026
100.00% of the fiscal year has expired

	Prior Year Actual	Prior Year Budget	Original Budget	Increase/ (Decrease)
Change In Net Position				
Revenue:				
Federal	2,712,557.39	4,721,719.00	4,694,581.00	(27,138.00)
State	7,996,856.55	8,536,656.00	1,496,160.00	(7,040,496.00)
Local/Other	7,729,409.03	729,789.00	960,656.00	230,867.00
Counties	481,997.00	473,826.00	465,611.00	(8,215.00)
Interest	261,891.99	105,900.00	190,700.00	84,800.00
Transfers in	83,041.23	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fund balance	0.00	2,719,753.00	6,958,495.00	4,238,742.00
Total Revenue:	19,265,753.19	17,287,643.00	14,766,203.00	(2,521,440.00)
Expenditures:				
Wages and benefits				
Personnel				
Salaried	1,857,108.95	2,422,110.00	2,303,733.00	(118,377.00)
Hourly	97,610.79	114,311.00	213,192.00	98,881.00
Total Personnel	1,954,719.74	2,536,421.00	2,516,925.00	(19,496.00)
Fringe benefits				
FICA Match	146,549.16	191,622.00	187,995.00	(3,627.00)
State retirement	263,741.45	353,664.00	320,689.00	(32,975.00)
Group Insurance	800,806.63	1,019,827.00	1,006,483.00	(13,344.00)
Workers Compensation	48,313.63	48,379.00	39,916.00	(8,463.00)
Unemployment Insurance	3,446.31	8,208.00	6,461.00	(1,747.00)
401k Retirement	107,897.42	166,267.00	140,196.00	(26,071.00)
LT Disability	12,114.79	13,576.00	11,612.00	(1,964.00)
Total Fringe benefits	1,382,869.39	1,801,543.00	1,713,352.00	(88,191.00)
Total Wages and benefits	3,337,589.13	4,337,964.00	4,230,277.00	(107,687.00)
Travel				
Instate travel	66,335.49	112,822.00	94,056.00	(18,766.00)
Out-of-State travel	9,963.36	13,300.00	12,886.00	(414.00)
Lodging/Meals	36,091.04	42,623.00	49,005.00	6,382.00
Out-f-state per diem	12,279.19	8,814.00	17,148.00	8,334.00
Board member travel	6,251.78	11,250.00	8,301.00	(2,949.00)
Vehicle expenses	19,815.45	34,055.00	38,293.00	4,238.00
Total Travel	150,736.31	222,864.00	219,689.00	(3,175.00)
Operation				
Office supplies	20,296.09	26,096.00	26,644.00	548.00
Postage and mailing	3,974.16	7,475.00	10,601.00	3,126.00
Printing	1,836.34	4,834.00	12,643.00	7,809.00
Telephone	32,775.04	43,211.00	44,600.00	1,389.00
Subs, publ and books	310.00	2,050.00	400.00	(1,650.00)
Assoc/Member dues	23,483.00	14,000.00	27,348.00	13,348.00
Rent	88,219.05	116,059.00	136,136.00	20,077.00
Utilities	27,480.24	42,000.00	36,660.00	(5,340.00)
Misc. expense	2,073.18	2,360.00	1,973.00	(387.00)
Advertising	23,599.34	31,458.00	35,537.00	4,079.00
AOG Fiscal Services	268,697.28	351,818.00	373,707.00	21,889.00
Audit expense	14,290.00	15,000.00	15,000.00	0.00
Bank charges	4,322.75	9,500.00	5,000.00	(4,500.00)
Repairs and services	7,136.49	13,670.00	15,948.00	2,278.00
Insurance Gen.	44,987.14	36,607.00	46,354.00	9,747.00
Professional supp	0.00	0.00	36,750.00	36,750.00
IT Expense	1,935.57	9,111.00	8,732.00	(379.00)
Program expenses	251,643.02	435,542.00	409,800.00	(25,742.00)
IT expense	52,008.59	78,690.00	91,396.00	12,706.00
Legal costs	9,114.00	12,000.00	20,000.00	8,000.00
Contractual services	556,485.78	1,404,898.00	1,208,446.00	(196,452.00)
Conf./Workshops reg.	14,599.54	18,727.00	78,635.00	59,908.00
Training costs	22,104.64	14,402.00	22,108.00	7,706.00
Operating expense	55,020.83	3,939.00	68,128.00	64,189.00
Leased equipment	583.62	850.00	1,000.00	150.00
Rx/Medical supplies	20,446.98	50,000.00	27,780.00	(22,220.00)
Health & safety	45,043.71	60,565.00	97,923.00	37,358.00
Food & other	82,508.62	107,017.00	123,258.00	16,241.00
Volunteer expense	55,260.16	78,666.00	83,638.00	4,972.00

R6 Regional Council
Combined Financial Report
07/01/2025 to 06/30/2026
100.00% of the fiscal year has expired

	Prior Year Actual	Prior Year Budget	Original Budget	Increase/ (Decrease)
Bldg. materials	64,790.78	71,005.00	73,933.00	2,928.00
Revenue returned	278,224.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
County programs	583,427.04	602,426.00	630,577.00	28,151.00
Emergency assist	80,308.72	127,222.00	53,419.00	(73,803.00)
Other	34,261.40	147,741.00	110,303.00	(37,438.00)
Tools	9,203.37	19,960.00	9,378.00	(10,582.00)
Total Operation	2,780,450.47	3,958,899.00	3,943,755.00	(15,144.00)
Capital outlay				
Equipment	46,928.06	21,895.00	12,995.00	(8,900.00)
Vehicles	0.00	0.00	25,800.00	25,800.00
Land	3,210,093.03	8,698,665.00	6,179,495.00	(2,519,170.00)
Total Capital outlay	3,257,021.09	8,720,560.00	6,218,290.00	(2,502,270.00)
Transfers out	83,041.23	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fund To Be Appropriated	0.00	47,356.00	154,192.00	106,836.00
Total Expenditures:	9,608,838.23	17,287,643.00	14,766,203.00	(2,521,440.00)
Total Change In Net Position	9,656,914.96	0.00	0.00	0.00

**R6 Regional Council
EXECUTIVE OFFICE
FY 2026 BUDGET SUMMARY**

PROGRAM	FY 2025	FY 2026	DIFFERENCE
General Administration	\$ 178,268	\$ 223,267	\$ 44,999
Finance	\$ 320,000	\$ 420,250	\$ 100,250
Admin/Equip Pool	\$ 35,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 15,000
Wellness	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ -
Admin Mgmt Fee	\$ 23,875	\$ 39,318	\$ 15,443
Congressional Briefing	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ -
Admin R6	\$ -	\$ 51,000	\$ 51,000
Building Maintenance	\$ 83,063	\$ 92,400	\$ 9,337
Growth Summit	\$ 8,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000
CDBG Administration	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -
Economic Development	\$ 125,000	\$ 100,000	\$ (25,000)
CDBG Planning	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -
Local Economic Development	\$ 140,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 20,000
Ag Feasibility Study	\$ 465,000	\$ 740,000	\$ 275,000
Capital Improvement Project	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Agripark	\$ 8,700,000	\$ 5,836,435	\$ (2,863,565)
RLF	\$ 86,020	\$ 186,079	\$ 100,059
RLF Covid	\$ 74,900	\$ 114,541	\$ 39,641
CIB Regional Planning	\$ 150,000	\$ 160,936	\$ 10,936
State Planning	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ -
ULCT Communit Advisor	\$ 300,000	\$ 306,747	\$ 6,747
ULCT Mapping	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
Broadband	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mobility	\$ 21,761	\$ 36,973	\$ 15,212
Transportation	\$ 30,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 5,000
Hazard Mitigation	\$ 56,155	\$ 10,000	\$ (46,155)
TOTAL	\$ 11,147,042	\$ 8,927,946	\$ (2,219,096)

**R6 Regional Council
AGING DEPARTMENT
FY 2026 BUDGET SUMMARY**

PROGRAM	FY 2025	FY 2026	DIFFERENCE
Aging	\$ 182,665	\$ 209,243	\$ 26,578
ADRD	\$ 4,000	\$ 3,700	\$ (300)
Alternatives	\$ 260,900	\$ 254,700	\$ (6,200)
Aging Meciaid Waiver	\$ 85,700	\$ 97,600	\$ 11,900
AW Case Management	\$ 128,397	\$ 112,643	\$ (15,754)
Senior Nutrition	\$ 625,100	\$ 726,810	\$ 101,710
Ombudsman	\$ 26,700	\$ 24,000	\$ (2,700)
Veterans	\$ 337,125	\$ 332,606	\$ (4,519)
Rural Veterans	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000	\$ -
RSVP	\$ 103,286	\$ 101,744	\$ (1,542)
VITA	\$ 128	\$ 7,938	\$ 7,810
EITC	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,500	\$ (4,500)
Foster Grandparent	\$ 302,065	\$ 302,065	\$ -
Caregiver	\$ 106,000	\$ 94,875	\$ (11,125)
SHIP	\$ 43,806	\$ 45,095	\$ 1,289
Marketplace Navigator	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
SMP	\$ 27,257	\$ 27,257	\$ -
Senior Companion	\$ 19,500	\$ 19,500	\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 2,347,629	\$ 2,600,276	\$ 252,647

**R6 Regional Council
HCAP DEPARTMENT
FY 2026 BUDGET SUMMARY**

PROGRAM	FY 2025	FY 2026	DIFFERENCE
EFS	\$ 3,720	\$ 3,720	\$ -
CRITICAL NEEDS	\$ 13,979	\$ 13,979	\$ -
HEAT	\$ 670,245	\$ 453,929	\$ (216,316)
TANF	\$ 447,507	\$ 203,490	\$ (244,017)
CSBG	\$ 179,752	\$ 171,760	\$ (7,992)
SSBG	\$ 82,682	\$ 82,682	\$ -
HAF	\$ 9,678	\$ -	\$ (9,678)
SOUTH BEND CROWN	\$ 6,187	\$ 6,372	\$ 185
SELF HELP ADMIN	\$ -	\$ 18,671	\$ 18,671
HOUSING	\$ 27,500	\$ 17,000	\$ (10,500)
SELF HELP	\$ 295,558	\$ 262,941	\$ (32,617)
CROWN AT FILLMORE	\$ 2,888	\$ -	\$ (2,888)
CROWN AT EPHRAIM	\$ 3,058	\$ -	\$ (3,058)
CROWN AT DELTA	\$ 5,915	\$ -	\$ (5,915)
CROWN AT MONROE	\$ 5,991	\$ 6,170	\$ 179
RMP	\$ 4,700	\$ 4,700	\$ -
HOME	\$ 100,000	\$ 65,000	\$ (35,000)
QUESTAR/DOMINION	\$ 27,626	\$ 36,835	\$ 9,209
TANF STEPS	\$ 115,569	\$ 115,569	\$ -
WX READINESS	\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000	\$ -
INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTME	\$ 216,269	\$ 192,200	\$ (24,069)
LIHEAP CRISIS	\$ 58,500	\$ 381,430	\$ 322,930
LIHEAP	\$ 338,681	\$ -	\$ (338,681)
HAF-R	\$ 204,570	\$ -	\$ (204,570)
R-SFHR	\$ 65,000	\$ 65,000	\$ -
SERC	\$ 65,000	\$ 260,000	\$ 195,000
LHM	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
AGING IN PLACE	\$ 108,000	\$ 414,720	\$ 306,720
DOE	\$ 191,291	\$ 216,317	\$ 25,026
LAND ACQUISITION	\$ 207,000	\$ 5,000	\$ (202,000)
CDBG REHAB	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ -
RESTRICTED COMMUNITY FU	\$ 133,580	\$ 140,495	\$ 6,915
TOTAL	\$3,713,446	\$ 3,260,980	\$ (452,466)

R6 Regional Council 2025 COUNTY ALLOCATIONS

Population Based on 2022 ACS 5 Year Estimates

	<i>JUAB</i>	<i>MILLARD</i>	<i>PIUTE</i>	<i>SANPETE</i>	<i>SEVIER</i>	<i>WAYNE</i>	<i>TOTAL</i>
POPULATION	11,943	13,027	1,764	28,816	21,667	2,532	79,749
PERCENTAGE	14.98%	16.34%	2.21%	36.13%	27.17%	3.17%	100.00%
EXECUTIVE OFFICE							
Administration	\$26,657	\$29,076	\$3,937	\$64,317	\$48,361	\$5,651	\$178,000
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT							
EDA Partnership Planning	\$4,493	\$4,901	\$664	\$10,840	\$8,151	\$952	\$30,000
Transportation Planning	\$1,498	\$1,634	\$221	\$3,613	\$2,717	\$317	\$10,000
UDOT Mobility	\$1,797	\$1,960	\$265	\$4,336	\$3,260	\$381	\$12,000
	\$7,787	\$8,494	\$1,150	\$18,789	\$14,128	\$1,651	\$52,000
AGING AND VOLUNTEER SERVICES							
Aging	\$4,642	\$5,064	\$686	\$11,201	\$8,422	\$984	\$31,000
RSVP	\$1,048	\$1,143	\$155	\$2,529	\$1,902	\$222	\$7,000
	\$5,691	\$6,207	\$841	\$13,731	\$10,324	\$1,206	\$38,000
HOUSING & COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE							
Critical Needs	\$2,097	\$2,287	\$310	\$5,059	\$3,804	\$444	\$14,000
FEMA	\$599	\$653	\$88	\$1,445	\$1,087	\$127	\$4,000
SSBG	\$3,894	\$4,247	\$575	\$9,395	\$7,064	\$825	\$26,000
	\$6,589	\$7,187	\$973	\$15,899	\$11,954	\$1,397	\$44,000
2025 TOTALS	\$46,724	\$50,965	\$6,901	\$112,736	\$84,767	\$9,906	\$312,000
2024 TOTALS	\$50,695	\$54,675	\$6,630	\$120,883	\$93,198	\$11,061	
DIFFERENCE	(\$3,970.70)	(\$3,709.80)	\$271.25	(\$8,146.89)	(\$8,430.74)	(\$1,155.12)	