The Quarterly Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Carbon Water Conservancy District was held Tuesday January 14, 2025 in the Upstairs Conference Room at the Carbon County Administration Building, 751 East 100 North, Price, UT 84501.

Present:
Jon Richens, Trustee, Chairman
Daniel Allen, Trustee, Vice Chairman
Keith Cox, Trustee, Treasurer
Russell Seeley, Trustee (Remote)
Tyler Clark, Trustee
Gordon Odendahl, Clerk

Also Present:
R.J. Davis, Dam Tender
Derris Jones, Assistant Dam Tender
Doug Rasmussen, Finance Director
Janelle Jensen, Assistant Finance Director
Larry Jensen – Carbon County Commissioner
Shanny Wilson – Carbon County Economic Development
Bryan Schmutz, USBR
Antonio Resta, rPlus Energies (RC Solar)

Excused:
Christian Bryner, Attorney

1. Open Meeting and Introduction of Guests.
Chairman Richens opened the meeting at 3:00 PM and thanked everyone for their attendance.

2. Welcome Newly-Appointed Board Trustee.
Chairman Richens announced that Daniel Allen was recently reappointed for another 4 year term as Trustee and thanked Trustee Allen for his continued service. Chairman Richens then introduced Tyler Clark who was recently appointed by the County Commissioners and will be filling the Trustee position previously held by Brad Timothy. Chairman Richens asked everyone in attendance to introduce themselves for the benefit of the new trustee and the guests in attendance.

3. Review and Possible Approval of Minutes from Meetings on 12/10/2024.
There were no comments or concerns about the minutes. Trustee Allen made a motion to approve the minutes of the Special Meeting on 12/10/2024 as written. Trustee Cox seconded the motion, and the motion was approved unanimously.

4. Dam Tenders Report – RJ Davis.
Dam Tender RJ Davis stated the elevation of the reservoir is currently 7,612.83 ft which is 53,049 acre ft. of usable storage. This correlates to 80.6% of usable capacity. We are currently releasing 3.7 CFS from the dam. Mr. Davis reported that current SNOTEL readings for Mammoth-Cottonwood is 7.2" of water content. This is 82% of today's Median and 39% of Annual Median. Clear Creek is 6.1" of water column, which is 80% of Today's Median and 34% of Annual Median, Chairman Richens asked about the level of the reservoir and if we are currently concerned about the level of the lake. Mr. Davis stated the reservoir is currently rising between 1.5" to 2" per week. Mr. Davis stated that he did not see any reason at this time to increase the release of any water. Chairman Richens stated that earlier today there had been a virtual meeting at 1:00 PM, Gary Henrie from USBR was one of the presenters. Chairman Richens noted that he was surprised of the reports that currently we are a little ahead of last year's snowfall. Most of 2024's snowfall occurred in late January and afterwards. In most areas of the state the snowpack is mainly in the higher elevations with very little snowfall at lower levels. The Southwest corner of the state is very dry. Snowfall as well as soil moisture content is

very low and is a big concern in this area. Dam Tender RJ Davis stated that he recently took a drive over the top of the mountains and the snow levels look about the same as this time last year.

5. Public Comments on Matters Concerning Carbon Water Conservancy District

   Note: Public Comments will be limited to 2 Minutes per Person. Public Comments or Requests from the Public may not be voted upon by the CWCD Board at this meeting because they are not listed as Agenda Items for the current meeting.

   There were no comments from the public.

6. Discuss and Possible Approval of Carbon County Redevelopment Agency Interlocal Agreement for RC Solar Community Reinvestment Project Area.

   There was not a representative from RC Solar present, so Shanny Wilson from Carbon County Economic Development explained the history of this project. The project has been in the works for several years and is now coming close to fruition. Ms. Wilson stated there were a few bumps in the road, but those have been worked out. Commissioner Jensen has been involved with this project since the beginning and is welcome to offer any comments to the group. In the beginning the company asked for a 43.75% tax incentive and the county offered 38%. There were negotiations back and forth and finally both entities agreed upon 40.75%. The site is adjacent and just North of the Graphite Solar Project, which has been in operation for several years now. Chairman Richens stated that based on what has been said in previous meetings it seems like the company has been active with support to the communities where they have other operations. Chairman Richens asked if this project is the same as the existing Graphite Solar Project. Commissioner Jensen stated the RC Solar Project is bigger and includes Battery Backup, so the value of the equipment will result in higher asset values as well as creating a few more jobs than the existing project. Tax incentives are larger for the Graphite Solar project 49%. The Graphite Project generates around $300,000 after rebates. Commissioner Jensen stated this type of equipment quickly depreciates and after 15 years the taxable value is 12% of new. Typically the panels will be need to be replaced after 25 years. Trustee Cox asked how the County negotiates the various types of incentive packages. Commissioner Jensen stated that each instance is different and both parties try to make it work, but sometimes it does not work out based on what the business needs compared to what benefits are brought to the area by the project. Commissioner Jensen said that sometimes we also need to look at the negative effects that a new business might have on existing local businesses. Although Attorney Christian Bryner was not in attendance, he did send an email saying he was stuck in another meeting and that he had reviewed the Interlocal Tax Agreement and he did not see anything of concern legally in the agreement. Trustee Cox made a motion to approve the Carbon County Redevelopment Agency Interlocal Agreement for RC Solar Community Reinvestment Project Area. Trustee Clark seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously. Commissioner Jensen asked if he could also provide additional comments concerning these types of Tax Incentive plans. He then stated that these new projects increase the total tax revenue collected by the county and the total tax dollars by law all go into the same pot, which cannot exceed the budget, so although it may not be visibly apparent, when tax rates are calculated in future years, the additional tax revenues have the effect of reducing the taxes paid by all of the other tax payers in the county.

7. Discuss and Possible Approval of Development and Tax Increment Financing Incentive Interlocal Agreement – Community Development Project Area Plan. West Price Travel and Tourism Community Development Project Area – Status Hospitality Group.

Chairman Richens stated this project has been discussed at our last 3 meetings. There are 2 phases to this project, one for each planned hotel. The infrastructure for both hotels would need to put in place in phase 1 of the project. There was a virtual meeting on December 19th with all taxing entities to discuss and explain the project. The agreed upon incentive is 51% for all entities and the plan is scheduled to last a maximum of 15 years. There is a fixed dollar amount of $ 3 Million that when met cannot be exceeded and / or the 15 year timeframe may not be exceeded. There are also requirements of jobs to be created and contributions to the housing fund for affordable housing. It was noted that due to the higher end branding of the hotels in the proposal, the developer feels that the new hotels when completed will only be competing with themselves since they currently own the Holiday Inn Express in West Price. Commissioner Jensen stated he was concerned that these new hotels would hurt the existing hotels in the area, but based on comments from the developer, there are many businesses that are requiring their employees to stay in the Provo because none of the local hotels are of the approved brands or meet approved ratings standards. Price City is the agency proposing this plan. Chairman Richens stated he received a call from Nick Tatton with Price City informing us that Price City has approved the Interlocal Agreement. Trustee Cox stated that PRWID and the School Board have already approved this Interlocal Agreement. Commissioner Jensen stated this is on the agenda for the County Commission meeting tomorrow (1/15/2025) and they intend to approve it. Chairman Richens asked if anyone would like to look into the agreement further as he has the formal agreement already loaded. Again, Attorney Christian advised by email that he didn't see any legal problems with the agreement. It is more a matter of if the board is willing to support giving up the agreed upon tax incentive amount, but based on past history these projects usually produce much more tax revenue than if the land was left vacant. Trustee Allen made a motion to approve the Development and Tax Increment Financing Incentive Interlocal Agreement – Community Development Project Area Plan, West Price Travel and Tourism Community Development Project Area - Status Hospitality Group. Trustee Cox seconded the motion and the motion was approved unanimously.

8. Discuss and Possible Approval of 4th Qtr. 2024 – YTD Financial Statements - Doug Rasmussen – Janelle Jensen.

Finance Director Doug Rasmussen reviewed the Financial Statements for the year ending December 31st 2024. Mr. Rasmussen stated there is really very little change in the financial statements since our meeting last month. The biggest change is we received the large year-end property tax check from the County Treasurer which currently shows as Accounts Receivable on the Balance Sheet which is in the neighborhood of $256,000. Clerk Odendahl confirmed the check has been received and was deposited this morning in the amount of $255,799.42. Mr. Rasmussen reviewed the Balance Sheet, Combined Profit & Loss Statement, Profit & Loss by Fund (Class) and the Profit and Loss Statement (Budget vs Actual). Mr. Rasmussen summarized the Combined Income Statement stating Total Income is $485,000, Expenses are $183,000, leaving a Net Income of approximately $302,000. Reviewing the Individual Funds (Classes), Mr. Rasmussen reported the Capital Projects BOR Fund shows interest Income of $30,000 for the year. Capital Projects Fund had $42,000 Interest Income. The Debt Service Fund shows $37,057 Income and the exact same amount as Expense, so Revenues equal Expenses which is as it should be. Mr. Rasmussen continued with the General Fund showing $374,954.38 Total Income, $145,978.42 Total Expenses, leaving a Net Income of $228,975.96 for the General Fund. Mr. Rasmussen stated that after reviewing these financial statements, we are well within the parameters of the 2024 Budget. Mr. Rasmussen then noted that attached to the Financial Statements is a General Ledger Detail showing each individual transaction for the 4th Quarter. This is required quarterly by the State Auditor's Office. Chairman Richens asked if the $350,000 transfer was made as was designated by our Amended Budget in December 2024. Clerk Odendahl reported the transfer was made in December. Chairman Richens asked Mr. Rasmussen if anything in the P&L by Class stood out to him, looked unusual or out of the ordinary. Mr. Rasmussen replied there were a few tweaks that were made for the 2025 Budget, but no, everything looked normal for 2024 and there was nothing that concerned them. Trustee Allen made a motion to accept the 4th Quarter YTD 2024 Financial Statements as presented. Trustee Cox seconded the motion. The motion was passed unanimously.

9. <u>Discuss and Possible Approval of Funding Support to Develop B2E2 Grant Application for Upper Price River Watershed Project.</u>
   Chairman Richens reviewed the history of the USBR funding opportunity and the several different buckets that were discussed - B2E2, B2W and other possible funding opportunities - and noted that it started out with Bill Butcher from PRWUA approaching us about supporting an application to get 100% funding piping the canals and helping with the expense for Horrocks Engineers to updating the application for the additional funding opportunity. This has now changed and it may be Price City acting as a sponsor along with the Price River Water Conservation District for the funding. We will table this item at this time until we get more clarity on the situation. Chairman Richens stated he was asked by the Mayor of Price City to write a letter and he did compose a "Letter of Support" for Price City to apply for funding for this project. An email was sent out concerning the letter and Chairman Richens informed the trustees that he did appreciate the "thumbs up" comments from everyone. Chairman Richens stated we will need to ratify this letter of support at our next meeting.

10. <u>Upcoming Training Opportunities.</u>
    a. Water Law & Policy Seminars – March 17th (St George, UT)
       Chairman Richens noted the opportunity is open to all trustees or Officers if anyone is interested in attending. Trustees Allen and Cox both stated they have attended in the past and recommended the event. Both stated it was very educational.
    b. Utah Water Users Workshop – March 18th & 19th (St. George, UT)
       Trustee Allen stated that considering the meetings, discussions and events surrounding the Lower Basin Reservoir and other projects that are in the works, he was seriously considering the event hoping to learn new things that might help him better understand why and what is happening here locally. He felt anything we could do to better understand Water Policy could be beneficial. Chairman Richens stated that we appreciate him going and just asked that whoever attends to report back to the board about the event.
    c. Dam Tender Training – March 27th, 2025 (Rexburg, ID)

Chairman Richens stated this training is not official, but at this time is set as "Save the Date" status. The plan is for a tour of the former Teton Damsite as well as the Dam Tender Training. There were no other training events to discuss at this time. Chairman Richens reminded those in attendance of the meeting scheduled tomorrow at the Price City Domes concerning the Lower Basin Reservoir and associated projects. Chairman Richens explained there was a meeting on December 16th with Price City, Carbon County Commissioner Jensen, CWCD, Horrocks Engineers, Johansen & Tuttle, PRWUA, NRCS and all parties involved with this project. During the meeting Jonathan Johansen stated that the Canal Companies were on-board with the project but the canal companies had concerns about working with CWCD as being the sponsor of the reservoir portion of the project. Mr. Johansen didn't know what their concerns were, so this meeting tomorrow with all parties involved with the project is being held to find out what concerns there may be about Carbon Water Conservancy District being the primary sponsor of the dam. Chairman Richens noted that there will be remote access for anyone if they wished to attend virtually. The meeting is tomorrow (January 15, 2025) at 4:00 PM at the Price City Domes.

11. Report on Ongoing Business Matters or any New Business.
    a. Update on schedule for USBR Engineering Work for New Regulating Gate and Actuator.
    Chairman Richens stated that after our last meeting we have advised the Denver Office that it is our desire to continue on with USBR doing the work and we are not in such a hurry that we can't work with their workload schedule. We have not heard back from Andy Quiniones yet.
    b. Updates on Safety Measures from UDOT at Scofield Dam.
    USBR Liaison Officer Bryan Schmutz reported that UDOT does have plans to have Rumble Strips ground into the pavement when their repaving project is done. However, there are not any flashing lights included in the project. USBR will continue communicating with UDOT about increased safety precautions but this is what is in the proposed plans at this time. Chairman Richens displayed a drawing that UDOT had sent to Mr. Schmutz of the proposed safety features. Chairman Richens stated the plans are not final yet but this is what UDOT is trying to get approved. Mr. Schmutz stated that even though the flashing lights are not in the plans, what is in the proposed plans is better than nothing. Chairman Richens thanked Mr. Schmutz for working with UDOT and keeping them on task.
    c. Security System Project Update.
    Mr. Schmutz stated there is no update from Erik at this time. They are waiting for money.
    d. Piezometer Automation – Update from USBR Denver Office for Safety of Dams.
    Mr. Schmutz stated the Dam Safety department in Denver reached out to the Provo Office about this last week. James Sheridan has told Denver that the request originated with the Automation Department and has been communicating with them what is needed. At this time they are hopeful that Dam Safety can find the funding for this.
    e. Updated Status of Spillway Repairs.
    Chairman Richens reported there are no new updates on this yet. We have signed an agreement with USBR for them to make the required repairs and we have sent a check for the project. Now we are waiting for the weather to warm up so USBR can get their repair crew to the dam in the Springtime and hopefully the repairs can be completed before the water level reaches the spillway.

f. Update on Calibration of USGS Flow Meters below Scofield Dam and Lower Device near Golf Course.

Assistant Dam Tender/River Commissioner Derris Jones reported that when the water level reaches the neck of the spillway this spring, we will need to start releasing water in order to keep ice from getting into the spillway structure and breaking up the concrete. At that time he will coordinate the releases with the Moab Office of USGS so they can come up and take their measurements to calibrate the devices. Mr. Jones stated USGS wanted 200 CFS released. He is not sure we will be able to release that much, but we will work with them to release as much as possible. USGS has started a Rating Table but there are some blank spaces they need to correlate to the different flow levels for more accurate Flow Charts. Depending on the time of year that this occurs, Mr. Jones stated that if Carbon Canal is not iced up at the time of these releases, Carbon Canal has agreed to let us run the water through their weir at the same time and get readings just to double check the USGS numbers. Chairman Richens stated this is a good idea because we can let out water at the reservoir, but we really don't know how much of the water is carried by the river at lower levels after coming through Price Canyon and other areas. So by double checking the flow measurements, this would help out in proving the measurements at the stream gauge. Chairman Richens thanked Mr. Jones for working with and coordinating the efforts with USGS, the Canal Companies and with the Water Users Association. Trustee Seeley commented that he thought it is commendable that we have been communicating with Carbon Canal concerning the releases needed to prevent damage from flooding and/or ice buildup. Trustee Seeley noted that in past years, the canal companies have been sensitive to us releasing water during non-irrigation seasons and he believes that by communicating and explaining the reasons for the releases, this will help to alleviate misunderstandings in the future. Trustee Seeley thanked Mr. Jones for reaching out to everyone and communicating with the other entities.

g. Price River Water Resources Committee Update.

Trustee Russell Seeley stated there is nothing to report. As previously discussed, the next meeting will be held tomorrow. Chairman Richens noted that in previous meetings we had been informed that the Lowdermilk property below the proposed site of the Lower Basin Reservoir has been sold. Chairman Richens stated that John Houston (Intermountain Electronics) now owns all of the former Lowdermilk property North of Consumers Road and Mark Olson owns all of the property South of Consumers Road. Both property owners have stated that they are in favor of the reservoir being built at the proposed Consumers Wash location, so the property owners should not present a roadblock to the project if it becomes approved.

h. Discuss and Approve 2025 Water Share Leasing Plan, Costs and Scheduling.

Clerk Gordon Odendahl reviewed the past years water leases based on our continued revolving right of first refusal agreement where we offer our water shares on a 3 year revolving right of first refusal to current lessees. We have divided the total shares into approximately 1/3 of our total shares being leased for 1, 2, and 3 year right of first refusal terms in any given year. Clerk Odendahl asked if the board would consider setting a lease price at this time, because people typically start calling to lease shares in February and March. It makes it difficult to get everything completed if we wait until after our Annual Meeting in April, so if we could set the lease price now, we could possibly have all the leases completed by mid-April or early May when the irrigation season starts. Chairman Richens asked what last year's lease price was. Clerk Odendahl stated last year's lease price had been set at $25.00 per share. Assistant dam tender Derris Jones asked if there was any interest in participating in the Storage Forbearance Program with the Colorado River Authority. Chairman Richens briefly explained the Storage Forbearance Program in conjunction with the Colorado River Authority of Utah. Chairman Richens explained that we don't know exactly what is going to happen yet. A lot if it depends on what happens with the PacifiCorp Shares (Utah Power & Light Shares). They own a large block of shares and it is yet to be determined if those shares will be participating in the Forbearance program or leased to water users.

(Continued)

6. <u>Discuss and Possible Approval of Carbon County Redevelopment Agency Interlocal Agreement for RC Solar Community Reinvestment Project Area.</u>

At this time, Mr. Antonio Resta from rPlus Energies (RC Solar) arrived at the meeting. Chairman Richens explained to Mr. Resta that earlier in the meeting we had approved the project. Mr. Resta was pleased to hear of the approval, he apologized to the group for being late and asked if he could answer any questions about the RC Solar Project. Trustee Allen mentioned the depreciation of the equipment being mostly depreciated after 15 years, and asked Mr. Resta what RC Solar's intentions were after the equipment was depreciated, Trustee Allen asked if the solar Panels would be replaced after the equipment was depreciated. Mr. Resta stated no, the panels would not be replaced after 15 years. He further explained that solar panels typically degrade approximately 1-1/2% per year so the panels would be around 60% effective after the 25 to 30 year time frame, so they would normally keep the panels in place at that time. Mr. Resta stated that on these types of projects the land is usually leased for 20 years with four 5 year extension periods for a total of 40 years. They also have purchase agreements with the utility companies in approximately 20 year time increments (18 – 22 years), so the project would be reevaluated at the 20 year timeframe for both land leases and purchase agreements. Trustee Allen asked about the 15 year fully depreciated tax value. Mr. Resta stated this was negotiated based on the Graphite Solar project, so it followed about the same lines. Chairman Richens and Clerk Odendahl stated that Commissioner Jensen told us the taxes would be depreciated to 12% of original value after 15 years and then stay constant until something changed or the project ended. Mr. Resta stated that they are now entering the first iterations of many of the earliest projects, and with new technologies and the infrastructure already in place, it would make sense to renegotiate the projects and make upgrades in the existing locations. They do have the ability to renegotiate after the 40 year time period is up. Trustee Clark asked if there were other benefits to the county resulting from the project. Mr. Resta stated the increased tax revenue was the obvious benefit, and there were very few demands on the area because there are few requirements for new housing or infrastructure to support the additional tax revenue. When comparing this project to the Graphite Solar Project, there will be a few more jobs added to the county due to the battery storage feature. RC Solar also supports the local areas where their projects are located with "Local First" scholarship programs and 80% of the jobs created by the project are hired locally. Mr. Resta stated that within the past 6 years, RC Solar has awarded approximately $1,000,000 in scholarships and most recently $350,000 between their projects in Iron County and Emery County with the Green River project. The discussion then returned back to item "h". concerning Water Share Leases.

h. Discuss and Approve 2025 Water Share Leasing Plan, Costs and Scheduling. (Continued)
Chairman Richens stated we have approximately 2/3's of our shares committed to the "Right of First Refusal" agreement, but we would have 1/3 of our water shares that we could use in the Forbearance Program should it be approved, so maybe we should wait a while before setting our lease price. Trustee Seeley asked if he was correct in assuming that we could have an opportunity to get $150 per share for the shares we do not have committed. Chairman Richens stated this still needs to be approved by the Colorado River Authority and PRWUA will be the agency working with Colorado River Authority locally. Chairman Richens stated that there is a possibility that the water shares could be de-rated to allow for shepherding loss to get the water downstream to Lake Powell, and PRWUA would get a fee to manage the program so we could possibly only get $120 after these deductions but that is still substantially more than the typical revenue from leases. Chairman Richens stated he felt we should honor our commitments for 2/3rds on the right of first refusal agreements in place but it was our duty to participate in the forbearance program if it is approved and bring in the additional revenue since we are stewards of assets that are owned by the District and ultimately managing someone else's money. Trustee Cox asked about the portion of water available for lease and how much would actually be delivered per share if this forbearance program went into effect. There was a discussion about right of first refusal, share lease costs and how we handle it. Trustee Cox stated we should be up front with our intentions with people we have worked with in the past. Chairman Richens and Trustee Allen both spoke in favor that we delay setting Lease Costs until we know what other entities set the costs at and we know what happens with the forbearance program. This item was tabled and the board decided to wait for a later date to set our lease costs.

i. Reminder for training requirements and required classes for Board Members.

   i. New Board Member Training (every 4 years)
   Chairman Richens stated that Trustee Clark would need to take the New Board Member Training as a new Board Member and Trustee Allen would also need to take the course since he has been reappointed for another 4 year term.

   ii. Open & Public Meeting Act Training (annually)
   Chairman Richens stated Attorney Christian Bryner provides this training for the group at a later date, usually at our Quarterly Meeting in October.

   iii. GRAMA Training for Records Officers (annually)
   Chairman Richens stated Clerk Odendahl is the records officer and takes this training later in the year.

j. Discussion and Possible Approval to Initiate a Quote for Audit/Agreed Upon Procedures for 2024 and Possible Scheduling of the Process.
Clerk Odendahl stated this has to do with getting the Agreed Upon Procedures process scheduled in a timely manner and to allow enough time for the audit. If we wait until our Annual Meeting in April, it puts time constraints on the auditors and may cause us to be rushed or late past the deadline. Finance Director Doug Rasmussen asked if the trustees wanted to get quotes from other firms for the AUP. Mr. Rasmussen stated Keddington and Christensen has provided excellent and accurate work in the past, but if the board would like to get some competitive bids from other firms, he could provide some names of firms who provide Auditing Services. The Trustees agreed. Trustee Cox made a motion to proceed with getting quotes for

the Agreed Upon Procedures process. Trustee Allen seconded the motion and the motion was passed unanimously.

k. Possible Approval of Conflict of Interest Policy – Updated Forms to be Signed by Trustees & Officers.
   Clerk Odendahl stated the forms were not available at this time. Chairman Richens stated we would table this item until the April Meeting.
l. Possible Approval and Updating of Banking Signature Card Policy for 2025.
   Chairman Richens suggested we table this item until the April Meeting. Chairman Richens reminded everyone that we elect the officers at the annual meeting in April.
m. Any other items or new business to discuss.
   Trustee Clark asked that since he is new to the board, who the officers were and who is entitled to a vote for board decisions. Chairman Richens named the Officers for Trustee Clark's benefit and stated that although he encourages comments from anyone and open communications in our meetings, only the 5 trustees have a vote for board decisions.
   Finance Director Doug Rasmussen stated that there seemed to be a few questions concerning property taxes and depreciation, so he explained for the benefit of the board that personal property tax is what was being referred to concerning the RC Solar Project. Personal Property is handled differently that Real Property for tax purposes. Personal Property is valued and depreciated based on different types of property classifications and the various classifications are depreciated for tax purposes based on schedules which are set by the State Tax Commission for each different classification of property (equipment). The useful life of the property may be much longer than the depreciation schedules are set for, but for property tax purposes the depreciation is set by the state and all counties follow the same schedules.

12. <u>Adjourn Meeting.</u>
    Chairman Richens asked if there was any further business to discuss. There was no further business. Trustee Cox made a motion to Adjourn the meeting. Trustee Clark seconded the motion and the motion was approved unanimously. Chairman Richens declared the meeting closed at 4:32 PM.

______________________ Chairman

______________________ ~~Vice Chairman~~ Treasurer