

Community Advisory Committee
2/20/2025
2001 S State Street
Salt Lake City, UT. 84114
Government Center
S2-950
12:00 – 2:00 pm

The meeting began promptly at 12:05 PM

Committee In attendance:

Jacob
Todd
Turner
Candy
Mike
Pat
Antonio
Katherine
Rex
Steve (Resident)
Dan (staff)
Amanda (staff)
Russell (staff)
Caitlin (staff)

12:06 Todd move to approve minutes, Katherine second. Unanimous

12:07 Began discussing app #104- SSL (Home Ownership)- Katherine recused due to conflict as SSL appointee

SSL application outlined and discussed the project details, including the long-term goals of the project.

Same concerns with budget as every other acquisition/rehab project but has good leverage. Larger request and low number of households served seems like it is a lot to ask for.

12:15 #105- SVS (Shelter upgrades)- Clear and well written application. Funds being used to renovate and increase capacity of existing property. 100% of project cost is being requested but there are good contingency plans. Clear connection to benefit for residents/clients

12:22 #106- Assist Inc.- (Emergency Home Repair)- concerns with the budget (admin costs), project involved a complex set of services that could require more admin than other projects. Experienced applicant.

12:30 #107 – First Step House (REACH). Great program. Unclear what the asking amount is. Narrative does not match the first page \$ amount. Budget and Leverage average. Russell (staff) clarified the request amount is \$173,500 based on submitted application fields.

12:36 # 108 Assist, Inc. (Aging in Place) - Good narrative. Great idea. Application was unclear on what they were asking for. Seems duplicative of other application.

12:40 # 109 – No Application (withdrawn)

12:40 #110 – Volunteers of America (YRC). Narrative was short and to the point. Applicant provided a timeline for the project. No leverage, asking 100%. Decent budget. Coordination with consolidated plan. Experienced staff.

Question from Turner about why some agencies take direct admin vs indirect. Russell (staff) provided context about the complexities of calculating direct admin and that some agencies prefer to do direct admin because it results in a more accurate rate of admin reimbursement.

12:45 #111 – House of Hope (Douglas Residential) Good narrative and application ties in goals. Old building, low ask. Good program. Asking 100%. Budget lacked details, No leverage. No supporting bid documentation was provided. Coordination clearly aligns.

12:55 PM - Discuss finalization of scores and final meeting details. - Dan – All scores entered before the end of day tomorrow (5:00 PM). Staff will put together funding scenarios and will provide to the group at the next meeting. Staff to check scores to make sure no concerns or issues and will reach out to each member if needed.

Russ can send raw scores to members if needed. -

Next week we will go over the scenarios.

1:00 PM Meeting adjourned.