

BIG WATER PLANNING AND ZONING

Big Water Town Hall

60 Aaron Burr, Big Water, Utah 84741

APPROVED MINUTES

5:30 PM SPECIAL MEETING

FRIDAY OCTOBER 11, 2024

MEETING 5:30 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER – 5:40pm

2. ROLL CALL – Jenni Johnson, Wryht Short, Nicole Wood, Robert Wilkes; absent: Tyler Sharp

3. APPROVAL OR AMENDMENT OF AGENDA- Nicole motions to approve, Jenni seconds, all aye.

4. CONFLICT STATEMENT – none

5. ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS – none

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS –

Tom Reneau: Who and when did this annexation policy plan idea come about? Nicole

Wood: It was discovered that we were supposed to have one back in the 1990's when Utah state said we need one.

Tom Reneau: What benefits would annexations bring to current citizens of Big Water?

Robert Wilkes: It would bring in tax dollars to Big Water from Aramark rentals, they are doing millions in rentals, part of that would be coming to use in taxes because then they would be in Big Water limits. Amangiri also does millions in business. Just 1% of that would be enough to do all our roads.

Tom Reneau: Do the fire fighters get paid to go to Amangiri and Lone Rock? Robert: Not to Lone Rock. Katie: We do have an interlocal agreement with the county for fire. We do not make any money on that.

Tom Reneau: What if an entity doesn't want to be part of an annexation? Nicole: There is a process, and we will be going through it. This is just the start of the process. If there is too much negativity then there are other ways to approach this. We cannot just make an entity be part of this, they do have a say.

7. NEW BUSINESS–

a) Discussion on Annexation Policy Plan to review and evaluate first draft annexation plan, explore potential revisions, and assess the impact of annexation on community development, infrastructure, and public services–

Nicole: I think this all looks pretty good. And we can try to talk to Amangiri about it.

Robert: This map doesn't mean that we have to Annex this. I think we should make the West border further out. It doesn't mean we have to, but we can if in 10 years we have growth, then we could. We would like the map to go out to Clark Bench and to include the Toad Stool's/Hoodoo's but not Paria. It doesn't mean we are annexing to there, but we would have the option too. The draft looks really good. The map can

be edited later and modified as we go. We do not want it to look like a land grab. We have to be able to put in infrastructure before we could charge for anything. The Board would like to schedule the Public Hearing and begin noticing the effected entities next week.

8. FINAL CITIZEN COMMENTS– Tom Reneau: If we are going out to the Toad Stools, is there a possibly of doing what Page did, why don't we continue out Paria? There is a lot of growth out there. What about annexing the Wave? Wryht: The Wave is in Arizona, but the parking lot is in Utah. Tom We could put in an entry booth. Tom: I think we need to think bigger.

9. FINAL COMMENTS– Robert: I agree with Tom, having the map bigger would be beneficial. We can get a feel for how much kickback we are going to get.

Nicole: Like Kanab's annexation goes all the way to Escalante and to Big Water.

Robert: We could annex all the way out and then put in an entry to House Rock Valley Road and there is another job.

Nicole: Once we have the Public Hearing we will know quite a bit.

Wryht: I think changing the boundary out to House Rock Valley Road would be beneficial.

Robert: We cannot go to a state highway. Only a county road.

10. ADJOURNMENT– 6:11pm Motion to adjourn made Jenni, seconded by Nicole, all aye.