

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on Tuesday, October 1, 2024, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 pm. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFLPAOK5eawKS_RDBU0stRQ

Council Members present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Amy Z. Anderson, Vice Chair Mark A. Anderson, Councilmember Jeannie Simmonds, Councilmember Ernesto López, and Councilmember Mike Johnson. Administration present: Mayor Holly H. Daines, City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, and City Recorder Teresa Harris.

Chair Amy Z. Anderson welcomed those present. There were approximately 12 in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

OPENING CEREMONY:

Allison MacAllister, member of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) offered the opening ceremony and led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

Ms. MacAllister stated that BPAC is a coalition between elected officials, representatives from diverse government agencies, and residents. It is an advisory group and has been involved in activities over the past year like Nibley's Transportation Plan. They were also part of Bear River Health Departments Bike Week and National Bike Week. BPAC has a more visible presence in Logan, where pop-up bike lanes are used to demonstrate their usefulness and viability in a community that embraces transportation outside of cars. This week is National Week Without Driving, the community is invited to participate in alternate forms of transportation.

Meeting Minutes. Minutes of the Council meeting held on September 17, 2024 were reviewed and approved with one correction to line 96.

Meeting Agenda. Chair A. Anderson announced there are two public hearings scheduled for tonight's Council meeting.

ACTION. Motion by Vice Chair M. Anderson seconded by Councilmember López to approve the amended September 17, 2024 minutes and tonight's agenda as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote.

- A. Anderson: Aye**
- M. Anderson: Aye**
- Johnson: Aye**
- López: Aye**
- Simmonds: Aye**

44 **Meeting Schedule.** Chair A. Anderson announced that regular Council meetings are held
45 on the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 p.m. The next regular Council
46 meeting is Tuesday, October 15, 2024.

47

48 **QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL:**

49

50 **Chair A. Anderson explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not**
51 **otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the**
52 **microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should**
53 **be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by**
54 **the Council Chair. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the**
55 **time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items and items**
56 **that are germane or relevant to the authority of the City Council. Items brought**
57 **forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond to**
58 **outside of the City Council meeting.**

59 There were no further comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

60 **MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:**

61 **Award Presentation – Mayor Daines ([10:18](#))**

62

63 Mayor Daines announced that Teresa Harris, City Recorder received the Recorder of the
64 Year Award from the Utah Municipal Clerks Association, a statewide organization for
65 clerks and recorders. Ms. Harris has done an amazing job over the years. She is efficient
66 and effective with a matchless professional demeanor. She is a true asset to the City.

67

68 The Council & Chair A. Anderson expressed their gratitude to Ms. Harris for all that she
69 does for the City and the community.

70

71 No further Mayor/Staff Reports were presented.

72

73 **COUNCIL BUSINESS:**

74

75 **Planning Commission Update – Councilmember Simmonds ([14:47](#))**

76

77 Councilmember Simmonds reported that the Planning Commission did not meet.

78

79 **Board and Committee Reports – Chair A. Anderson, Councilmember López and**
80 **Councilmember Johnson**

81

82 Chair M. Anderson reported that the Bear River Homeless Council met in Box Elder to
83 discuss funding for a feasibility study. The Library Board met as well as the Fine Arts
84 Committee. The Fine Arts Committee reviewed the applications for funding. The
85 Economic Development Committee met to discuss RDA projects that are completed or
86 are in the process of completion, as well as ongoing projects such as the mall. The

87 Hillcrest Neighborhood did a dessert mingle for the neighborhood to interact with each
88 other. Hillcrest is looking for a new neighborhood Chair.

89

90 Chair M. Anderson inquired when the funding for the Fine Arts recipients would be
91 awarded.

92

93 Mayor Daines answered that the recipients were aware, and checks had been sent. An
94 email will be sent to the Council informing them of who received the funding.

95

96 Councilmember López attended the Cache Arts Board and new board members were
97 ratified. The Cache Arts Board discussed post-pandemic stabilization. The Bridger
98 Neighborhood had an activity and met to discuss the possible future plan for Bridger
99 Park. The Library Board met and discussed library statistics of patron usage. There will
100 be trains put on display in the library during Thanksgiving and the holiday season. The
101 non-resident library fee was discussed, this fee is for residents not residing in the City of
102 Logan. The Youth City Council is taking shape, and the first activity is scheduled for
103 October 15th at 3:30 p.m. at Logan High School.

104

105 Chair A. Anderson thanked Councilmember López for his efforts in revitalizing the
106 Youth City Council and asked who the faculty member that is supporting the Youth City
107 Council.

108

109 Councilmember López responded the faculty member volunteering to supervise the
110 Youth City Council is Ryan Nelson.

111

112 Councilmember Johnson reported the Parks & Recreation Board met to discuss capital
113 projects including Trapper Park Bridge which will be completed next Summer or Fall.
114 Some of the work for the trail connection under Main Street has commenced. BPAC met
115 and discussed the National Week Without Driving which is this week. He attended the
116 Hillcrest and Adams Neighborhood social. He did a ride along with the Police. He
117 attended the Public Works Rodeo, which are City & County employees, who show off
118 their skills. A new group met in the library and discussed housing in the City. He briefly
119 summarized the RESAB options presented for the energy portfolio for the City.

120

121 Vice Chair M. Anderson suggested the energy proposals be added to the General Plan.

122

123 Mayor Daines stated the energy proposals will be considered.

124

125 No further Council Business items were presented.

126

127 **ACTION ITEMS:**

128

129 ***(Continued from September 17, 2024) - TEA REZONE – Consideration of a***
130 **proposed rezone of three properties totaling approximately 0.92 acres located at 351**
131 **South 100 East from Traditional Neighborhood Residential (NR-6) to Commercial**
132 **(COM) – Ordinance 24-16 – Russ Holley ([28:00](#))**

133

134 At the September 17, 2024 Council meeting, Planner Russ Holley addressed the Council
135 regarding the proposed rezone.

136

137 **RECOMMENDATION**

138 Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of **approval**
139 to the Municipal Council for a rezone of three properties totaling approximately 0.92 acres
140 located at approximately 351 South 100 East (TIN# 02-053-0026; -0027; -0028) from
141 Traditional Neighborhood Residential (NR-6) to Commercial (COM).

142

143 *Land use adjoining the subject property*

<i>North:</i>	NR-6: Residential Uses	<i>East:</i>	NR-6: Residential Uses
<i>South:</i>	NR-6: Residential Uses	<i>West:</i>	TC-1: Ville 364 (former motel)

144

145 **PROJECT**

146 The proponent is requesting to rezone three properties for a total of 0.92 acres of property
147 from NR-6 to COM. The largest property, located in the rear, is vacant with the two smaller
148 properties along 100 East both currently having single-family homes on them. This block
149 between Main Street and 100 East is currently split-zoned, with the west half being COM
150 and the east half being NR-6. Most of the existing homes along 100 East were built in the
151 early to mid-1900's.

152

153 **GENERAL PLAN**

154 The Future Land Use Plan (FLUP), adopted in 2008, identifies these properties as
155 Commercial (COM) The General Plan, a nonregulatory visioning plan, describes COM
156 areas as being intended for retail, service, and hospitality businesses that provide
157 employment centers and serve city-wide and regional populations. Most COM
158 designations inside Logan City are located near the Main Street/Highway corridor north
159 and south of downtown.

160

161 **ZONING HISTORY**

162 Logan City adopted its first zoning map in 1950. From 1950-1972 these properties were
163 zoned R2, a low-density residential zone. From 1972-1976 the property was zoned R1, a
164 low-density residential zone. From 1976-2000, the property was zoned R3, a medium-
165 density residential zone. From 2000-2011 the properties were zoned SFR, a low-density
166 single-family residential zone. From 2011-2013, the properties were zoned COM, a
167 general commercial zone allowing a wide-ranging of commercial uses. From 2013-2023,
168 the properties were zoned NR-6 a low-density single-family residential zone. The
169 downzone in 2013, was part of the 2012 citywide outreach effort, in which numerous
170 properties along 100 East were downzoned back to NR-6.

171

172 **LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE**

173 The Land Development Code (LDC) 17.10.080 states the COM is intended for retail,
174 service, and hospitality businesses that serve city-wide and regional populations. COM
175 land will be located near high-capacity streets and served by mass transit. COM land may
176 also support vertical mixed-use building with commercial on ground floors and

177 residential on the upper floors. COM lands adjacent to NR zones are subject to
178 transitional development requirements that may require lower building heights and larger
179 setbacks near or adjacent to NR-zoned properties.

180

181 **STAFF SUMMARY**

182 The FLUP and General Plan show this entire block, along with blocks to the north, as
183 future COM areas. The Ville 364 project and associated TC-1 zoning is an anomaly and
184 is currently under a down-zoning process back to COM to match the area. Staff would
185 encourage that as part of the forthcoming new General Plan project, some focused
186 thought and future consideration be put into these fringe areas along 100 East and 100
187 West. Thoughtful consideration for future development in a comprehensive and
188 empathetic manner is warranted for these fringe areas. Currently, the staff recommends
189 approval to rezone to COM.

190

191 **AGENCY AND CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

192 No comments have been received.

193

194 **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

195 Notices were mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject property. As of the
196 time of this report, one comment has been received and is attached for review.

197

198 **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

199 Legal notices were published in the Herald Journal on 8/10/24 and the Utah Public
200 Meeting website on 8/12. Public notices were mailed to all property owners within 300
201 feet of the project site on 8/5/24.

202

203 **RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF APPROVAL**

204 The Planning Commission based its decision on the following findings supported in the
205 administrative record for this project:

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

1. The Logan City FLUP identifies the area as COM which is consistent with this request.
2. The area is contiguous with other COM zoned properties on the same block and along high-capacity streets and corridors as prescribed in the LDC.
3. The COM zone allows for a range of commercial and mixed-use developments, which are similar to some of the surrounding developments.
4. The transitional development standards in the LDC will ensure compatibility with NR-6 zoned properties located east of the subject area.

215

216

217

218

On August 22, 2024, the Planning Commission recommended approval to the Municipal Council for the TEA Rezone from Neighborhood Residential (NR-6) to Commercial (COM). **Planning Commissioners vote (5-2).**

219

220

221

222

Councilmember Simmonds stated that she is reluctant to rezone the project area as the General Plan will be revised and the area may change to a new zone. Furthermore, with a lack of a project by the proponent at this time, she is even more disinclined to rezone the area.

223
224 The proponents, Tom Hale and Erik Ashcroft were asked to come before the Council to
225 answer specific questions.
226
227 Vice Chair M. Anderson asked if a gas station was going to be put in the proposed area.
228
229 Mr. Hale answered that was not the intent at this time. A project has not been determined;
230 they would like to know the rules before they invest funding into a specific project.
231
232 Mayor Daines clarified that may not be the intent of the proponent, but it is allowed in the
233 zone. Unless there is a deed restriction by the proponent precluding that, theoretically, for
234 example, a gas station could go there.
235
236 Vice Chair M. Anderson requested confirmation that one of the proponents Mr. Ashcroft
237 resides at one of the properties.
238
239 Mr. Ashcroft confirmed that is the case, he resides at the property at present.
240
241 Vice Chair M. Anderson inquired if there was any detrimental impact with the
242 construction of the nearby Maverik.
243
244 Mr. Ashcroft responded that there was some detrimental impact, primarily noise by
245 trucks delivering goods.
246
247 Councilmember López asked if the neighborhood still felt like single-family residential.
248
249 Mr. Ashcroft answered the impact on the neighborhood was the widening of the road
250 which increased traffic in the neighborhood.
251
252 The proponents were excused.
253
254 Vice Chair M. Anderson stated with the area becoming a corridor, it would make more
255 sense for the rezone to be approved as commercial.
256
257 Councilmember Simmonds said she is not opposed to the zone being changed but is not
258 certain if commercial is the correct rezone. She recommended waiting until the new
259 General Plan is presented, which will give the Council a different opportunity to develop
260 the area.
261
262 Chair A. Anderson shared the same sentiments as Councilmember Simmonds and is in
263 favor of waiting for the new General Plan to be presented.
264
265 Councilmember López said the rezone would not be spot zoning due to the surrounding
266 commercial activity and he would not be opposed to the change.
267

268 Councilmember Johnson expressed concerns about changing the rezone, but the
269 proponent does not have a project in mind. There may be a detrimental impact if the
270 proponent is not able to do the project and it may result in a less than desirable
271 alternative.

272
273 **ACTION. Motion by Vice Chair M. Anderson seconded by Councilmember López**
274 **to approve Ordinance 24-16 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote. Motion is**
275 **Denied (3-2).**

276 **A. Anderson: Nay**

277 **M. Anderson: Aye**

278 **Johnson: Nay**

279 **López: Aye**

280 **Simmonds: Nay**

281

282 *(Continued from September 17, 2024) - CODE AMENDMENT – Consideration of a*
283 *proposed code amendment to the Land Development Code amending Chapter 17.36*
284 *to modify the operational standards of home occupations, amending Chapter*
285 *17.37.70 to permit all types of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU), remove the*
286 *prohibition on ADU's around USU, expand ADU's to all zones, adopt new standards*
287 *for detached ADU's, and modify operational standards for ADU's. Amending*
288 *Chapter 17.37.130 to prohibit short-term rentals (STR) in single-family zones with*
289 *some exceptions, reduce the total number of permitted and licensed STR's citywide,*
290 *and modify operational standards for STR's – Ordinance 24-18 - Mike DeSimone,*
291 *Community Development Director ([52:00](#))*

292

293 At the September 17, 2024 Council meeting, Community Development Director Mike
294 DeSimone addressed the Council regarding the proposed code amendment.

295

296 Mr. DeSimone provided the Council with updated language for the Land Development
297 Code (LDC) Chapter 17.36 "Home Occupations" and 17.37 "Additional Development
298 Standards" reflecting the Council's discussion on September 17, 2024. The following
299 proposed code changes were made:

300

301 1. 17.37.070.N.5: Updated height of external ADU's to not exceed height of the primary
302 dwelling or 20' whichever is more restrictive.

303 2. 17.37.070.N.7: Removed minimum lot size of 6,000 square feet for external ADU's.

304 3. 17.37.130.B.4: Updated the distance between STR's in residential zoned to 750' as
305 measured from property line to property line.

306 4. 17.37.130.B.4.a: Added Owner Occupied STR's as exempt from the 750' with some
307 stipulations.

308 5. 17.37.130.B.5: Updated total numbers of STR's in residential zones from 3 per 1,000
309 to 2 per 1,000 of total population.

310

311 Chair A. Anderson reviewed the code sections and stated the Council is fine with the
312 first two sections which were previously discussed.

313

314 Councilmember Simmonds expressed concerns regarding the map of the illegal short-
315 term rentals (STR) in existence. As of right now only 23 are legally licensed but there are
316 many operating illegally. There must be some method to bring them into compliance
317 before allowing more. She suggested not allowing more STR's at this time to permit time
318 to bring the illegal STR's into compliance.

319
320 Vice Chair M. Anderson understood the sentiments of Councilmember Simmonds but
321 stated that the illegal STR's cannot be brought into compliance if they have no ability to
322 get a license.

323
324 Chair A. Anderson asked the Council to consider if they are in favor of STR's in the City.
325 If that is not a yes, then STR's need to be reconsidered in the City. In Cache County
326 there are 4.4% STRs which is a rather low number in comparison to other counties.
327 Furthermore, litigation has taken place with a ruling that STR's be homeowner-occupied.
328 It may be a possible alternative.

329
330 Craig Carlston, City Attorney replied that the ruling will be reviewed as it is dependent
331 on what jurisdiction it was, he is unaware if the ruling occurred in the State of Utah.

332
333 Chair A. Anderson did a small poll to see if the Council is in favor of banning STR's in
334 single-family residential housing.

335
336 Councilmember Simmonds said she would be in favor of banning STR's from single-
337 family zones (NR-4 & NR-6).

338
339 Councilmember Johnson would also be in favor of the ban with the exception of
340 homeowner occupied STR's. He believed it would make a neighborhood stronger and
341 give the neighbors a way to communicate concerns.

342
343 Councilmember Simmonds is in favor of Councilmember Johnson's suggestion for
344 homeowner-occupied STR's.

345
346 Vice Chair M. Anderson asked if housing is a business or if is it a home. He did not want
347 to limit what a home can be. With the percentage of homes already low, it may be
348 detrimental to the neighborhood to fully ban STR's.

349
350 Councilmember López remarked there are STR's in the neighborhood he resides and
351 there has only been one instance where there have been multiple cars at one of the STR's.
352 Several members of the community have expressed support for STR's in a residential
353 area. There is a place for them, he would keep STR's in residential areas with the
354 limitations proposed.

355
356 Chair A. Anderson expressed a similar stance as Councilmember López about STR's in
357 residential areas.

358

359 Councilmember López said if the percentage of STR's in the County was higher, then
360 there would be a need to have greater restrictions. Furthermore, he is not in favor of
361 putting STR's only in multi-family housing as multi-family housing has to deal with
362 higher density.

363
364 Chair A. Anderson inquired if the leases for TC-1 allow STR's.

365
366 Mike DeSimone, Community Development Director responded that most TC-1 projects
367 would not allow STRs' based on the lease, but there is a diverse range of housing in TC-
368 1, TC-2, and Commercial.

369
370 Councilmember Simmonds expressed concerns about adopting the ordinance and having
371 more unlicensed STR's as a result. It is difficult to make a complaint, it is difficult to call
372 out a neighbor, and there may or may not be a result. It is a question of how to enforce.

373
374 Councilmember Simmonds proposed a motion with the changes as articulated in the
375 ADU section regarding height requirement and elimination of square footage requirement
376 on a lot. In regard to short-term rentals, the distance would be increased to 750 degrees
377 and be from the nearest property line to the property line. While homeowner-occupied
378 STRs are exempt from the 750' with some stipulations. Lastly, the total number of STR's
379 in residential zones would be changed from 3 per 1,000 to 2 per 1,000 of the total
380 population.

381
382 **ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Councilmember**
383 **Johnson to adopt Ordinance 24-18 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote.**

384 **A. Anderson: Aye**

385 **M. Anderson: Aye**

386 **Johnson: Aye**

387 **López: Aye**

388 **Simmonds: Aye**

389
390 **PUBLIC HEARING - Consideration of a proposed resolution indicating the Intent**
391 **to Adjust the Municipal Boundary between the City of Logan and Providence City –**
392 **Resolution 24-38 – Mike DeSimone, Community Development Director ([1:28:32](#))**
393

394 At the September 17, 2024 Council meeting, Community Development Director Mike
395 DeSimone addressed the Council regarding the proposed resolution.

396
397 Logan City was approached by the developers of Shoreline Estates in Providence to
398 adjust the common municipal boundary between Logan and Providence due to a
399 discrepancy in the location of the jurisdictional boundary. The parcel in question #02-
400 005-0004 on the Logan side (formally Rinderknecht/now Watterson) was annexed into
401 Logan on 5/19/94 under Resolution 94-28 (Attachment B & C) while the parcels in
402 question #02-005-0005/02-05-0010 on the Providence side (formally Checkett's/Chugg
403 Farms/now Shoreline Estates) were annexed into Providence on 1/26/05 (Exhibit D). The
404 understanding was that when the legal descriptions were prepared for these annexations,

405 they were following an established fence line between the two properties. The reality is
406 that the actual boundary is located approximately 126' south of the fence line. Waterson
407 and Checketts recorded a Boundary Line Agreement on 2/3/21 to clarify the boundaries
408 of their correlated to the fence line which was the assumed boundary.

409
410 The rest of the municipal boundary adjustment involves a small portion of #02-005-0004
411 with the Logan/Providence boundary shifting to the south (middle arrow) to coincide
412 with the northern boundary of the Providence Hollow Subdivision (parcels #02-295-
413 0314, 02-295-313, 02-295-310, 02-295-309 , & 02-295-308). The eastern boundary will
414 shift towards the east (left arrow) to coincide with the eastern boundary of Providence
415 Hollow Subdivision (parcels #02-286-0020 & 02-286 -0021) that follows an old ditch
416 line terminating at the corner of #02-005-0004, 02-286-0020 & 02-335-8001.

417
418 As the developers of Shoreline Estates were completing their platting and recordation
419 process, the County Recorder realized the discrepancy in the plat boundary relative to the
420 City's boundaries and rejected the plat (Exhibit D). There are a few different options to
421 address this issue, including Logan signing off on the plat that Providence approved,
422 requiring a separate 3 lot subdivision for the lots in question thereby keeping the area in
423 Logan, or adjusting the municipal boundary through the Utah Municipal Code 10-2- 419
424 process. Staff determined that adjusting the municipal boundary would be the least
425 disruptive.

426
427 The proposed municipal boundary adjustment either affects or is adjacent to the
428 following properties:

429
430 List of Affected Parcels

431	Tax ID	Name	Property Address
432	02-005-0004	Scott R Waterson	n/a
433	02-005-0005	Cree & Gene Spaulding	n/a
434	02-005-0010	Shoreline Estates 2021LLC	n/a
435	02-001-0002	Paul Gibbons	n/a
436	02-295-0314	Mark & Patricia Jensen	570 N Sarah Street
437	02-295-0313	Michael & Brooke Daines	573 Sarah Street
438	02-295-0310	Michelle Zilles	629 E 525 N Providence
439	02-295-0309	Vaughn & Suzanne Nelson	621 E 525 N Providence
440	02-295-0308	Zachary & Kelli Ross	612 E 525 N Providence
441	02-286-0020	Scott R Waterson	598 N 520 E Providence
442	02-268-0021	Eric & Caroline Watterson	574 N 520 E Providence
443	02-335-8001	Ronald & Daina Zollinger	n/a

444
445 Utah Municipal Code 10-2-419 governs the adjustment of common municipal
446 boundaries. Procedurally, (1) each municipal shall adopt a resolution indicating their
447 intent to adjust the common boundaries; (2) hold a hearing no less than 60 days after the
448 adoption of the resolution; (3) publish a public notice for three weeks in the newspaper
449 and on the Utah Public Notice Website; (4) hold a public hearing; (5) adopt an ordinance;
450 and (6) file with the County and the State.

451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495

Chair A. Anderson opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Dr. Gail B. Yost, a resident of Logan was pleased by the amicable resolution.

There were no further comments and Chair A. Anderson closed the public hearing.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Simmonds seconded by Vice Chair M. Anderson to approve Resolution 24-38 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote.

A. Anderson: Aye

M. Anderson: Aye

Johnson: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Nay

PUBLIC HEARING - Budget Adjustments FY 2024-2025 appropriating: \$125,000 – 911 radio reserves toward radio upgrades; \$3,806,781 remainder SLFRF funds for the East-West Sewer Line; \$24,700 to reimburse the Electric Fund for work performed at the North Valley Landfill; \$6,976 funds the Library received from the State of Utah to be used for the Interlibrary Loan Program - Resolution 24-39 – Richard Anderson ([1:33:05](#))

At the September 17, 2024 Council meeting, Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments.

Chair A. Anderson requested confirmation that SLFRF is being moved in order to accept and use.

Mr. Anderson confirmed that is the case, the SLFRF funds will be used on the East-West Sewer Line project.

Councilmember López asked what kind of upgrades the new radio system has and if the radio system was already digital.

Police Chief Jeff Simmons answered that the radio system is changing statewide to allow more bandwidth and reliability. Hence, the upgrades permit communication through Logan Canyon and other hard-to-reach areas. The radio system was already digital beforehand, the upgrade will be an enhancement.

Councilmember Johnson inquired if the change was required.

Chief Simmons responded it was not a law, but it was a change implemented by the State.

Chair A. Anderson opened the meeting to a public hearing.

496 Dr. Gail B. Yost, a resident of Logan expressed her gratitude for the new radio system
497 and how it will help the community.

498

499 There were no further comments and Chair A. Anderson closed the public hearing.

500

501 **ACTION. Motion by Councilmember López seconded by Councilmember Johnson**
502 **to approve Resolution 24-39 as presented. Motion carried by roll call vote.**

503 **A. Anderson: Aye**

504 **M. Anderson: Aye**

505 **Johnson: Aye**

506 **López: Aye**

507 **Simmonds: Nay**

508

509 No further action items were presented.

510

511 **WORKSHOP ITEMS:**

512

513 **Budget Adjustments FY 2024-2025 appropriating: \$6,750 donations toward a Public**
514 **Works training event - Resolution 24-40– Richard Anderson ([1:39:34](#))**

515

516 Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget
517 adjustment.

518

519 Councilmember Johnson asked what type of donations were received.

520

521 Mr. Anderson replied that the donations were cash donations.

522

523 The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the October 15,
524 2024, Council meeting.

525

526 **Consideration of a proposed ordinance adjusting the Municipal boundaries of the**
527 **City of Logan – Ordinance 24-20 – Mike DeSimone, Community Development**
528 **Director ([1:40:46](#))**

529

530 Community Development Director Mike DeSimone addressed the Council regarding a
531 proposed boundary adjustment between the City of Logan and the City of Nibley.

532

533 Pursuant to section 10-2-419, Utah Code Annotated, the following boundary line
534 adjustment was proposed.

535

536 Property identified as parcels, or portions thereof, 03-007-0023, 03-007-0011, 03-007-
537 0010, 03-007-0022, 03-007-0009, 03-007-0013, 03-007-0027, in the parcel records of
538 Cache County, State of Utah, located at approximately 2200 South, U.S. Highway 89/91
539 and 1200 West in Logan, Utah and comprising approximately 14.75 acres, and legally
540 described as:

541

542 A portion of the SW1/4 of Section 17, Township 11 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Base
543 & Meridian, more particularly described as follows:

544
545 Beginning on the northerly line of the ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF NIBLEY
546 described in Book 2002 Page 1586 of the Official Records of Cache County and the
547 calculated position of the Center 1/4 Corner of Section 17, T11N, R1E, SLB&M (Basis
548 of Bearing: N89°44'10"W between the West 1/4 Corner and the Center 1/4 Corner of
549 Section 17, T11N, R1E, SLB&M); thence along said Annexation the following 10 (ten)
550 courses and distances: (1) S0°29'32"E along the 1/4 Section line 735.91 feet; (2) thence
551 N70°13'47"W 314.74 feet; (3) thence N69°48'07"W 184.69 feet; (4) thence
552 S55°22'22"W 233.69 feet; (5) thence N78°46'42"W 24.89 feet; (6) thence N66°12'42"W
553 14.69 feet; (7) thence N47°04'08"W 45.23 feet; (8) thence N40°06'34"W 19.26 feet; (9)
554 thence N29°34'24"W 60.21 feet; (10) thence N66°22'17"W 467.69 feet to the easterly
555 right-of-way line of US Highway 89/91; thence N40°10'19"E along said right-of-way line
556 521.32 feet to the centerline of 2200 South Street also being the 1/4 Section line of
557 Section 17; thence N89°44'10"W along said centerline and 1/4 Section line 860.79 feet to
558 the point of beginning.

559
560 Shall be relinquished from City of Logan jurisdiction and shall be transferred to the
561 jurisdictional authority of the City of Nibley.

562
563 Councilmember Simmonds asked what occurs if the adjacent property owners are
564 opposed.

565
566 Mr. DeSimone answered that the adjacent property owners are not opposed to the change.

567
568 Chair A. Anderson requested clarification of where the boundary will be located.

569
570 Mr. DeSimone clarified that the boundary would go down the center of the line (referring
571 to the map).

572
573 The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the November 5,
574 2024, Council meeting.

575
576 No further workshop items were presented.

577
578 **OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:**

579
580 Chair A. Anderson said she is grateful for all the efforts made by the organizers of the
581 Pride Festival and the Center Street Giant Pumpkin Festival for bringing vibrancy to the
582 downtown. She sincerely expressed gratitude to Vice Chair M. Anderson who sponsored
583 the Center Street Giant Pumpkin Festival.

584
585 No further items were discussed.

586
587 There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

588
589
590
591 Esli Morales, Deputy City Recorder

DRAFT