

MINUTES
SANDY CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Sandy City Hall - Council Chambers #211
10000 Centennial Parkway
Sandy, Utah 84070

June 17, 2014

Meeting was commenced at 7:10 p.m.

PRESENT:

Council Members: Chairwoman Linda Martinez Saville, Scott Cowdell, Kris Coleman Nicholl, Steve Fairbanks, Chris McCandless, Stephen P. Smith, and Dennis Tenney.

Mayor: Tom Dolan

Others in Attendance: CAO Byron Jorgenson; Assistant CAO Scott Bond; Assistant CAO Korban Lee; Assistant to the CAO Communications Director Nicole Martin, City Attorney Walter Miller; Community Development Director Mike Coulam; Development Services Manager Jared Gerber; Public Utilities Director Shane Pace, Public Works Director Rick Smith, Deputy to the Mayor John Hiskey; Police Chief Kevin Thacker; Fire Chief Bruce Cline, Parks & Recreation Director Scott Earl; Administrative Services Director Art Hunter; Assistant Administrative Services Director Brian Kelley; Council Office Director Phil Glenn; Council Office Manager Pam Lehman

1. **OPENING REMARKS/PRAYER/PLEDGE:**

The prayer was offered by **Councilman Stephen Smith**, and the Pledge was led by **Councilwoman Linda Saville**.

2. **CITIZEN(S) COMMENTS:**

a. There were no citizen comments.

PUBLIC HEARING(S):

3. **Consideration and Review of Impact Fee Facilities Plan**

Public Hearing to consider the following: 1. Amendment/Consideration of the Sandy City Impact Fee Facilities Plan for Parks and Recreation, Fire, Police, Water and Storm Water; and 2. Consideration of an Impact Fee Enactment to amend Chapter 14 "Development Impact Fees" of Title 16 "Revenue and Finance" of the revised ordinances of Sandy City and to modify Impact Fees in the City's Fee Schedule for Parks and Recreation, Fire, Police, Water and Storm Water, based upon the Impact Fee Facilities Plan and Impact Fee Analysis.

Discussion: Administrative Services Director Brian Kelley introduced the consultant Carson Bise, Tischler/Bise regarding the Impact Fee Facilities Plan and analysis.

Carson Bise presented a power point presentation on the Impact Fee Study for Sandy City. The five impact fee categories that were addressed include Parks and Recreation and Trails, Fire Facilities, Police Facilities, Water and Storm Water. The Impact Fee Facilities Plan is prescribed by the Utah Impact Fee enabling act which covers a 10 year period. The plan is to outline facilities needs which the City plans to embark on within the next 10 years to serve growth in the community. The actual calculation of the impact fees for each of the categories is also included in the plan. Mr. Bise presented a brief overview of the methodology of the impact fee study and fees. Water and sewer are based on meter sizes, and storm water on acreage. He reviewed the proposed rates for single family homes, multi- family units, non residential, and commercial. Since it has been over 10 years since an impact

fee study has been updated, the water impact fees should be increasing for all meter sizes.

Scott Cowdell asked if the impact fees would affect remodels and expansions of single family homes and businesses.

Mr. Carson stated that it generally does not affect the typical remodel. It is generally prescribed by the local implementing ordinance on how expansions are treated. If you are increasing the size of a commercial site you would pay the differential impact fee.

Jody Burnett reported that Utah State Statute handles this by the definition of development activity. A remodel of a single family dwelling would not trigger the differential impact fee. Major commercial expansions would, since it would increase the demand for capital facilities.

Chairwoman Saville opened the public hearing.

Steve Van Maren, 11039 Lexington Circle, missed the notice when the Impact Fee Study was posted on the City's website. He did not get to see the study until tonight's presentation. He stated that what he saw during the presentation was a less expensive restroom at Flat Iron Park. He thought that the City had put more money in the budget for restrooms this year.

Kris Nicholl stated that the figure that was shown in the slide was based on a combination.

Steve Van Maren also was hopeful that the Council would take more time to look over the Impact Fee Study.

Linda Martinez Saville stated that if Mr. Van Maren had any questions, the Council would be happy to answer them for him.

As there were no further comments, the hearing was closed.

Council discussion

Chris McCandless noted that this was presented to the Council for consideration. The Council will adopt the Impact Fee Study in a few weeks.

Stephen Smith stated that he spoke with Brian Kelley and asked him if the proposed numbers were the numbers that the Council would have to accept. Mr. Kelley indicated that the Council could tweak the numbers if they wanted to. Mr. Smith would like more time to review the Impact Fee Study given the complexity of the calculations and number of assumptions that have gone into the study.

Dennis Tenney asked if there needed to be a motion to bring this back for adoption.

Brian Kelley stated that the Administration was not intending for the Council to adopt the Impact Fee this evening. They wanted to give the Council time for review.

4. **Hale Rezone**

Public Hearing to rezone approximately 1.87 acres from the R-1-20 "Single-Family Residential District" to the R-1-10 "Single-Family Residential District". The property is located at approximately 431,441,451,477, and 491 East Wassail Road.

Discussion: Development Services Manager Jared Gerber presented a brief summary of the following Staff report.

PROPERTY CASE HISTORY

Case Number Case Summary

R#93-13 On November 9, 1995, the City Council approved a request to rezone the subject property from R-1-40A to R-1-20 (along with other property that was rezoned to R-1-10). The final vote was delayed to allow for accurate surveys of the property to determine the division between zonings. The original approval vote was held February 22, 1994.

S#94-11 On April 7, 1994, the Planning Commission approved the subdivision Orchard Farms #1 which includes four of the lots requested for rezone in this application (431, 441, 451, and 471 E. Wassail)

S#96-02 On June 8, 1996, the Planning Commission approved the subdivision Orchard Farms #3 which includes one of the lots requested for rezone in this application (491 E. Wassail)

S#98-26 On June 18, 1998, the Planning Commission approved the amendment to Plat Note #5 on Orchard Farms #1 to remove the "A" designation on the R-1-20 lots. When the zoning was originally approved, City Council determined to remove the "A" designation and it was never corrected on the Plat. This amendment rectified that mistake.

BACKGROUND

Mr. Matt Hale is requesting to rezone approximately 1.87 acres from the R-1-20 "Single-Family Residential District" to the R-1-10 "Single-Family Residential District". The property is located at approximately 431, 441, 451, 477, and 491 E. Wassail Road.

The subject property is bordered on the north by R-1-20, on the east and south by R-1-10, and on the west by the railroad tracks.

ANALYSIS

The subject properties (five single-family lots), currently all have homes built facing inward onto Wassail Road. They are zoned R-1-20 while all other lots on the interior of the Orchard Farms subdivision are zoned R-1-10. The applicant is requesting to rezone the property to be consistent with the rest of the neighborhood. This request results from the applicant's desire to subdivide his lot (451 E. Wassail) in order to develop a new home on the northern half.

A community meeting was held February 5, 2014 at City Hall. Five residents representing four households were in attendance. No major concerns were raised with 3 of those in attendance supporting the request. A copy of the minutes is attached.

This property was rezoned in 1995 from the R-1-40A district to R-1-20, as part of an overall rezoning of approximately 19 acres to R-1-20 and R-1-10. The original request at that time was to rezone the entire 19 acres to R-1-8. At that time, the City had determined to try and maintain a more rural feel along the "Crescent Backbone" or corridor and staff recommended that nothing smaller than R-1-10 be approved

within the area. The resulting split in zoning within the subdivision was a compromise that allowed for larger lots to remain along 11000 South while providing a transition zone between the larger and more rural lots to the north and the smaller R-1-8 lots to the south. This maintained the intent of the following Crescent Community Goals and Policies:

Crescent Community's Goals and Policies

Goal: 1. Honor existing rural atmosphere and natural resources.

Policies: b. Encourage lots of one-half acre or more in existing rural area

c. Recommend the adoption of a R-1-10 lot size as the minimum.

Goal: 2. Provide a balanced cross section of single family dwellings of high quality

Policies: a. Require minimum residential lot size of 10,000 square feet in future developments with a 90' minimum frontage width. Encourage large lots of one-half acre or more.

The decision to rezone a portion of the property to R-1-20 was appropriate and fits with the goals and policies in place in 1993. The City still attempts to maintain a more rural, larger lot feel along 11000 South where possible and appropriate. The requested rezone only affects interior lots and would not allow subdivision of larger lots that front 11000 South.

In reviewing the affected lots, staff believes that the resulting zoning would allow for two of the lots to be easily subdivided (although Mr. Hale is the only owner with the desire to do so at this time). Additional subdivision may be possible on the other lots, but would likely require demolition of existing structures. This would allow for an additional 5 lots within the subdivision, but most likely will only result in two additional single family homes.

Staff believes that the requested rezoning will still be able to maintain the original intent of the 1993 rezoning by keeping large R-1-20 lots fronting 11000 South while allowing the interior facing lots to conform to the R-1-10 designation had by the remainder of the neighborhood.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to rezone the subject property from the R-1-20 "Single-Family Residential District" to the R-1-10 "Single-Family Residential District". On May 15, 2014 the Planning Commission made a positive recommendation based on the following findings:

1. That the proposed rezoning is consistent with the Sandy City General Plan.
2. That the proposed rezoning will have no unmitigated negative impacts on the surrounding properties or the area as a whole.

Chairwoman Saville opened then closed the public hearing as there were no comments.

Motion: Chris McCandless made a motion to have documents brought back to rezone approximately 1.87 acres

from the R-1-20 “Single-Family Residential District” to the R-1-10 “Single-Family Residential District”. The property is located at approximately 431,441,451,477, and 491 East Wassail Road.

Second: Dennis Tenney

Vote: McCandless-yes, Tenney – yes, Smith-no, Cowdell-no, Nicholl-yes,

Fairbanks – yes, Saville – yes.

Motion Approved: 5 in favor- 2 opposed

5. **Workers Compensation Fund South Rezone**

Public Hearing to rezone approximately 6.26 acres from the MU “Mixed Use District” to the CBD “Central Business District”. The property is located at approximately 90 West Albion Village Way.

Discussion: Development Services Manager Jared Gerber presented a brief summary of the following Staff report.

BACKGROUND

Mr. Kenney Nicoles, of Think Architecture, is requesting to rezone approximately 6.26 acres from the MU “Mixed Use District” to the CBD “Central Business District”. The property is located at approximately 90 W. Albion Village Way.

The subject property is bordered on the north and east by CBD, on the south by, on the east by State Street, and on the west by MU.

ANALYSIS

The subject property was included in the original Albion Village mixed-use project that began in 2002 with the rezoning of approximately 13.7 acres to the Mixed-Use Zoning Designation. Five of the ten originally proposed residential condominium buildings have been completed along with the club house and pool. This property was intended to be the third phase of Albion Village.

Due to a struggling economy and dwindling condominium market at the time, the original developer was unable to complete the entire mixed-use project and requested that the remaining undeveloped property be subdivided into marketable parcels. In November or 2010, the Planning Commission agreed to vacate and amend a portion of the Albion Village Phase V Condominium Plat and a portion of Lot 1 and all of Lot 2 of the Albion Village Subdivision.

Subsequently, Workers Compensation Fund (WCF) acquired the property and incorporated it into their plans for expansion of their office park development. WCF has provided a concept site plan which shows the possibility of relocating the East Jordan Canal and construction of additional office and retail buildings on the subject property.

A community meeting was held on Wednesday, April 30, 2014 at City Hall in which property owners within 300 feet were invited to attend and discuss the rezoning request. Thirteen residents from Alta View Estates and 1 resident from Albion Village attended the meeting. Concerns regarding the extensions of Moon Glow Way and Albion Village Way, construction noise and dust, and other possible construction nuisances were discussed. The applicant will follow up during construction and these concerns should be addressed during the development proposal review process. A copy of the minutes was included in the packet for review.

The Downtown Illustrative Master Plan (adopted in 2002) designates the subject property as part of the “Entertainment/Business District”, and while it was originally envisioned to be mixed-use, the proposal to incorporate it into the emerging office park fit well into the overall vision of the plan. The inclusion of restaurant pads along State Street meets the goal of providing services to the growing work force in the area as well as the

Expo Center and existing residences. The concept plan provided with the application also includes increased mobility through the area by creating additional vehicular access and trails, also meeting the ideals outlined in the Downtown Illustrative Master Plan.

Goals in the City's General Plan include:

Commercial Development

Goal 3.0 Attract professional service firms, management divisions of medium-sized firms, light industry or high tech businesses, and support services for existing businesses

Commercial Zoning & Development

Goal 1.0 Provide adequate and accessible commercial service, to maximize the compatibility of commercial and residential use, and to increase the employment opportunities within Sandy City

The proposed rezoning would comply with both of these stated goals by providing additional high quality office space for employment and providing further opportunities for restaurants. With the unfortunate termination of the Albion Village Mixed-Use project, the proposed rezoning would see the remaining land developed and incorporated into a successful office park, still providing a mixed-use environment to the portion of that project that was completed. By providing employment opportunities and retail services (restaurants) within walking distance to those residences, the concept plan still conforms closely to the goals and policies of the mixed-use zoning.

Staff believes that should this request be granted, working with the applicant during the development review phase will allow for the promotion of mixed-use concepts and ideas that will benefit the area as whole. The requested change is in compliance with the City's General Plan and staff believes that the resulting zone change would be compatible with the surrounding area.

Jared Gerber noted that he received several calls, e-mails, and a petition from the residents of Alta View Estates. There was a concern with bringing Moon Glow Way through and into the project.

In meeting with the developers and Public Works, Moon Glow Way will be stubbed and will not be taken through at this time. The Neuroworks building would require the construction of Albion Village way to connect into State Street.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to rezone the subject property from the MU "Mixed Use District" to the CBD "Central Business District". On May 15, 2014 the Planning Commission forwarded a positive recommendation based on the following findings:

1. That the proposed rezoning is consistent with the Sandy City General Plan.
2. That the proposed rezoning will have no unmitigated negative impacts on the surrounding properties or the area as a whole.

Kenny Nicoles, Architect Workers Compensation Fund Property, stated that Workers Compensation Fund has a history of building quality developments in Sandy. Their intention is to continue that legacy with the expansion of the Town Ridge campus.

Chairwoman Saville opened the Public Hearing.

Dillman Rozinski, 170 Albion Village Way, purchased a condo with the assumption that there would be further residential development towards State Street. He believes the commercial development will affect the livability of the residents in the area. He would like to see the area remain as a mixed use with more residential housing.

Chairwoman Saville closed the public hearing.

Dennis Tenney asked Mr. Nicoles what their plans were for buffering between the residential neighborhood and the proposed commercial buildings.

Kenney Nicoles stated that they purposely located the Neuroworks building to adjoin the residential area as a transition to the taller buildings to the north. The Albion Village would also have a smaller office building located towards the east of the development.

Dennis Tenney asked if a road would be put through providing access for residents onto State Street.

Kenny Nicoles noted that Albion Village Way is currently a dedicated city street that stubs at the back of the Albion Village development. The road would be continued through to State Street.

Dennis Tenney asked if they would be willing to consider additional vegetation to create an additional buffer between the residential neighborhood and commercial development.

Kenny Nicoles stated that they would be willing to work with the residents to help buffer as best they can. This project is conceptual at this point with a lot of work left.

Scott Cowdell asked what the height was for the proposed buildings on the property, and where the tallest buildings would be located.

Kenney Nicoles noted that the two twin buildings would be five stories tall. The existing workers' comp building is six stories. The buildings fronting onto State Street would be single story buildings. The tallest buildings would be four to five hundred feet from the residents.

Scott Cowdell expressed concern that the tall buildings on the ridge will be imposing to the residents. He was opposed to the first rezone when the condos were built. It seems that developers always want to put the residential component of their development in first. When the economy fails, the developers leave. This is what happened here. He asked how much residential had been planned for by the first developer.

Mike Coulam reported that Tallon Development built Albion Village and had plans to continue with more residential units towards the east.

Scott Cowdell asked how many more residential units they planned on building.

Mike Coulam was not certain but he thought maybe half way to State Street.

Scott Cowdell stated that he would like to see more residential units continue to the east to buffer the existing condos. He is concerned with the five story buildings that will be right on top of the residential.

Kenney Nicole noted that the area where the proposed five story buildings would be located is already zoned CBD. They are only asking for a zone change on the south side of the east Jordan Canal where they are putting in a parking structure for the Neuroworks building.

Scott Cowdell asked how large the parking structure would be, and if the parking structure would be located next to the residential.

Kenny Nicole stated that the proposed parking structure would be four levels tall. The intent was to place the parking garage on the lower portion of the land so from the uphill side it would look like an on grade parking lot.

Scott Cowdell complimented Worker's Comp for their quality developments. He would not want to see this development if he lived in the area. He asked if the developer would be required to install additional buffering on the west and south side of the residential development. He asked them to consider placing two additional residential units to help buffer the existing condos, or a green space.

Stephen Smith expressed sympathy for the concerns regarding a lack of residential development in the area. He does not like the mixed use zoning. Developers come to the City proposing a great project, the City changes the zoning to accommodate their project, and then it morphs over time. The City is then left with something they never would have voted for in the original proposal. He does not believe that the impacts from the proposed development would be much different from the original development. He believes that people have the right to develop their property. He will support the rezone. He also is in favor of blocking off Moon Glow Way.

Chris McCandless noted that he is not certain that additional buffering would make that much of a difference since there will be two large parking lots that separate the residential from the commercial development. Perhaps some fencing or elements that let people know they are leaving a commercial district and entering into a residential zone. He favors the rezone and their proposal to bury the canal. He is not in favor of pushing Moon Glow Way through. He likes the concept of leaving it as a stub road that is landscaped and maintained by the applicant until the property needs to be dedicated as a right of way. He believes that the proposed plan is sensible.

Scott Cowdell stated that he would be voting against this project. A residential zone and development is different than looking out of a window and seeing a parking lot and commercial buildings. That is why the City separates commercial from residential zones. We don't put large commercial areas in the middle of residential areas like Houston, Texas would do.

Motion: **Chris McCandless** made the motion to approve the Workers Compensation Fund South Rezone, rezoning approximately 6.26 acres from the MU "Mixed Use District" to the CBD "Central Business District". The property is located at approximately 90 West Albion Village Way with a request to the applicant that they treat the residents kindly and do things to help lessen the impacts of the commercial development.

Second: **Kris Nicholl**

Vote: **McCandless – yes, Nicholl-yes, Fairbanks-yes, Tenney-yes, Smith – yes, Cowdell – no , Saville – yes.**

Motion Approved: 6 in favor-1 opposed

COUNCIL ITEM(S):

6. **Oak Valley Drive Annexation**

Resolution #14-47C- indicating intent to annex an unincorporated area, setting a hearing to consider such an annexation ,and directing publication of hearing notice for July 22, 2014 at 7:05 p.m.

Motion : **Kris Nicholl** made the motion to adopt **Resolution #14-47C**, indicating intent to annex an unincorporated area, setting a hearing to consider such annexation and directing publication of a hearing notice for July 22, 2014, at 7:05 p.m.

Second: **Chris McCandless**

Vote: Nicholl-yes, McCandless – yes, Cowdell-yes, Smith – yes, Tenney – yes, Fairbanks – yes, Saville –yes.

Motion Approved:

7. **Council Chairman and Vice Chairman Appointments**

Resolution #14-45 C – appointing a Chairman and Vice Chairman for the Sandy City Council.

Motion: **Dennis Tenney** made the motion to adopt **Resolution #14-45 C** appointing **Steve Fairbanks** as Chairman and **Chris McCandless** as Vice Chairman for the Sandy City Council to serve a term beginning July 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2014.

Second: **Chris McCandless**

Vote: Tenney-yes, McCandless – yes, Nicholl-yes, Fairbanks-no, Smith – no, Cowdell – no , Saville – yes.

Motion Approved: 4 in favor- 3 opposed.

8. **Council Assignments to Various Sandy City Citizen Committees**

Resolution #14-46- appointing City Council members to serve on various Sandy City Citizen Committees.

Discussion: **Chris McCandless** has served two consecutive terms as liaison to the Planning Commission. He recommended appointing Kris Nicholl to that position. Kris Nicholl stated that she would be honored.

Kris Nicholl asked if anyone would like to be the liaison to the Orchestra and Chorus of Sandy City and Arts Guild. She has a conflict with meeting times.

Scott Cowdell stated that he would be the liaison to the Arts Guild and Orchestra and Chorus.

Steve Fairbanks noted that he no longer sits on the Salt Lake County Convention Facilities Advisory Board. Nichol Martin now represents the City.

Chris McCandless stated that he would like having a council representative attend the board meetings.

Steve Fairbanks noted that he met his term limit on the advisory board.

Mayor Dolan stated that someone from the City Council could be a representative; however, they would be required to attend the meetings.

Dennis Tenney asked how often the advisory board meets.

Steve Fairbanks stated that they meet every other month at 8:00 a.m. in the morning at the Salt Palace.

Dennis Tenney stated that he would be willing to serve on the advisory board.

Kris Nicholl noted that Chris McCandless already serves as an alternate. It should be his choice if he wants to

continue to serve.

Chris McCandless stated that he would serve on the committee unless someone else would like an opportunity to serve.

Mayor Dolan noted that this is a County Mayor appointment.

Chris McCandless asked if they have a right to attend.

Mayor Dolan stated that they would like elected officials to attend. It is in their bylaws.

Motion: Dennis Tenney made the motion to adopt **Resolution #14-46C**, appointing City Council members to serve on various Sandy City Citizen Committees to fill terms beginning July 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2014 with the following changers: Kris Coleman Nicholl to serve as liaison to the Planning Commission, Chris McCandless to serve on the Salt Lake County Convention Facilities Advisory Board with Dennis Tenney as an alternate, and Scott Cowdell to serve as a liaison to the Sandy Arts Guild and Orchestra and Chorus.

RESOLUTION #14 -46 C
SANDY CITY COUNCIL
COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

Term beginning July 1, 2014, and ending December 31, 2014.

- 1. The Orchestra & Chorus of Sandy City Scott Cowdell
- 2. Arts Guild Scott Cowdell
- 3. Association of Municipal Councils Dennis Tenney
- 4. Auto Mall Review Committee Dennis Tenney
- 5. Beautification Committee Linda Martinez Saville
- 6. Board of Adjustment Linda Martinez Saville
- 7. CDBG Committee Scott Cowdell, Stephen Smith
- 8. Chamber of Commerce Board Dennis Tenney
- 9. Civic Center Architectural Review CommitteeStephen Smith, Chris McCandless
- 10. Council of Governments (COG) Rep. .Kris Coleman Nicholl, Steve Fairbanks [alt]
Steve Smith [alt] Chris McCandless [alt]

(*One Year appointment - January to January)

- 11. Community Response Team Advisory Committee..... Scott Cowdell
- 12. Healthy Sandy Steering Committee..... Steve Fairbanks, Linda Martinez Saville,
Stephen Smith
- 13. Historic Committee.....Scott Cowdell
- 14. Jordan River Commission Chris McCandless, Dennis Tenney [alt]
- 15. Planning Commission Liaison Kris Nicholl
- 16. Public Utilities Advisory Board Chris McCandless, Steve Fairbanks
- 17. Parks, Recreation, Trails Committee Stephen Smith
- 18. Salt Lake County Convention Facilities Advisory Bd...Nicole Martin, Chris McCandless,
Dennis Tenney [alt]
- 19. Sandy City Employees Association Scott Cowdell
- 20. Sandy Club A Safe Place for Boys & Girls Dennis Tenney
- 21. Sandy Little Cottonwood Canyons Tourism CmtChris McCandless, Dennis Tenney [alt]

- 22. Sandy Pride CommitteeKris Coleman Nicholl, Chris McCandless,
Linda Martinez Saville
- 23. Senior Center Advisory Council..... Linda Martinez Saville
- 24. Sister Cities- **ON HOLD**.....Chris McCandless
- 25. Transportation CommitteeChris McCandless, Steve Fairbanks
- 26. ULCT Legislative Policy Committee.....Mayor/Hiskey, Steve Fairbanks,
Chris McCandless [alt], Dennis Tenney [alt]
(*Annual Appointment made by the League*)(3rd Monday; during Session every Mon.)
- 27. Wasatch Canyon Updated Master Plan Cmte..... Chris McCandless
- 28. Youth City Council Liaison Steve Fairbanks

Second: Kris Nicholl

Vote: Tenney-yes, Nicholl-yes, Fairbanks-yes, McCandless-yes, Smith-yes, Cowdell-yes, Saville-yes.

Motion Approved: All in favor

At approximately 8:05 p.m., **Stephen Smith** made a motion to adjourn Council Meeting, motion seconded by **Kris Nicholl**.

The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.

Linda Martinez Saville
Council Chairwoman

Xm061714.min

Pam Lehman
Council Office Manager