

1 **Cache County Council of Governments**
2 **October 16, 2023**
3 **Minutes**

4
5 The Cache County Council of Governments met in regular session on October 16, 2023 at
6 5:00 pm, in the Multipurpose Room, Cache County Administration Building, 179 N. Main Street
7 Logan, UT 84321.

8
9 **ATTENDANCE**

10
11 **Board Members Present:**

12 Craig Hidalgo – Clarkston
13 Paul Erickson – Richmond
14 Bryan Cox – Hyde Park
15 Lyndsay Peterson – North Logan
16 David Zook – Cache County
17 David Hair – Millville
18 Holly Daines – Logan
19 Stephanie Miller – Hyrum
20 Tom Bailey – Wellsville
21 David Wood – Amalga
22 Ed Buist – Mendon
23 Larry Jacobsen - Nibley
24 Kris Monson – Smithfield
25 Larry Payne - Richmond

26
27 **Board Members Online:**

28 Kathleen Alder - Providence

29
30 **Board Members Absent:**

31 Matt Leak - Cornish

32
33 **Others in Attendance:**

34 Jeff Gilbert – CMPO
35 Megan Izatt – Minutes
36 Jesse Mott – Cache County

37
38 **Others in Attendance online:**

39 Ryan Snow - Providence

40
41 **05:00:00**

42
43 **#1 Welcome and Introductions**

44
45 **Mayor Hidalgo** welcomed everyone and asked for introductions.

1 **#2 Approval of the October 2, 2023 Minutes**

2
3 **ACTION: A motion was made by Mayor Peterson to approve the October 2,**
4 **2023 minute with minor changes to name spellings and was seconded by**
5 **Mayor Erickson. The vote in favor was unanimous, 14-0.**
6

7 **#3 Review of Project Scoring Results and Consider Approval of the DRAFT 2023**
8 **COG Funding Recommendation to the Cache County Council**
9

10 **ACTION: A motion was made by Mayor Hair to accept the ranking as**
11 **presented and was seconded by Executive Zook. The vote in favor was 13-**
12 **1 (Mayor Miller voted nay).**
13

14 **Mayor Miller** explained concerns with Nibley's and Richmond's project as her reason
15 for voting nay.
16

17 **ACTION: A motion was made by Mayor Peterson to reconsider accepting**
18 **the rankings as presented and was seconded by Mayor Monson. The vote**
19 **in favor was 13-1 (Mayor Erickson voted nay).**
20

21 The **COG** discussed concerns with Richmond's project due to part of the project being
22 in the County and concerns with possible future development behind the new Lee's
23 Marketplace and the developer for the development should be responsible for part of
24 the road. Mayor Erickson proposed the possibility of taking 60% of the funding instead
25 of 100% funding for the project.
26

27 The **COG** discussed the possibility of not funding Nibley's Phase 5 project which would
28 free up funds for other projects. At this point, due to giving up funding for the Phase 5
29 project last year, Nibley is not willing to voluntarily give up funding for Phase 5 this year.
30 Questions regarding the cross section on the road were discussed and road standards
31 were discussed. Currently, there are not strict standards for roads that apply for COG
32 funding.
33

34 **Staff** and **COG** discussed what the current standards for roads are for the COG and if
35 Nibley's Phase 5 project met those standards. Concerns regarding if Nibley's design
36 cross section was reviewed well by the CTAC were discussed.
37

38 **Staff** explained what funding Richmond's project at 60% would mean for road
39 maintenance.
40

41 The **COG** discussed the possibility of not funding Nibley's Phase 5 this year but
42 promising 100% of the funds for Phase 5 for next year's funding cycle.
43

44 **ACTION: A motion was made by Mayor Miller to approve the rankings as is**
45 **and Mayor Peterson seconded. The vote in favor passed 13-0 with Mayor**
46 **Payne abstaining.**

1 **Staff** and **COG** discussed the CTAC score and how it affected the project rankings.
2 Gilbert explained the history of the CTAC and how the scoring process has evolved
3 since 2008.

4
5 The **COG** discussed the possibility of approving standards that would help support staff.

6
7 **#4 Discuss Possible COG Policy/Prioritization Process Changes for next year**
8

9 **Mott** reviewed the suggested policy change regarding cross sections.

10
11 **Gilbert** reviewed the phased map from the COG master plan and the policy that
12 projects must be in phase 1-3 to apply. The suggested change would be to give projects
13 in phase 1 an extra 10 points, projects in phase 2 an extra 5 points, and phase 3 would
14 receive no extra points.

15
16 **Mayor Hidalgo** asked that the COG consider thinking about a 2 year funding cycle and
17 implementing standards to better support staff.

18
19 **Gilbert** reviewed Utah's 2030-2050 Unified Transportation Plan leaflet.

20
21 **Mayor Cox** asked about the County Oversight/Inspection 1.5% Takedown is used for.

22
23 **Mott** responded that it pays his salary and benefits, the consultant, and some overhead
24 expenses.

25
26 **Mayor Cox** asked for an accounting of the interest on the money that is currently being
27 held for uncompleted projects.

28
29 **Gilbert** responded no interest accrued comes back to the COG and that the issue has
30 been raised in the past. The interest goes into the general fund of the County.

31
32 The **COG** asked that the questions regarding interest be pursued with the finance staff
33 of the County and the County Council.

34
35 **#5 Adjourn**
36

37 **ACTION: A motion was made by Mayor Cox to adjourn and seconded by**
38 **Mayor Peterson. The vote in favor was unanimous, 14-0.**