

MINUTES OF THE TOWN COUNCIL
MEETING
OF THE TOWN OF CLARKSTON

July 2, 2024
7:00 P.M.

Town Council Meeting held at the Clarkston Town Hall, 50 South Main, Clarkston, UT

Attendance: Mayor N. Craig Hidalgo

Councilmembers: McCall Stephensen, Adam Hanover, Mike Kelly

Town Clerk: Kristi Hidalgo

Public Attendance: Max Pierce, Deborah Van Noy, Nate Allen, Regan Wheeler, Nate Davis, Brooke Coulam, Zoe Brown

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Hidalgo called the Clarkston Town Council to order at 7:00 p.m. Councilmember A. Hanover led the Pledge of Allegiance and offered Reverence to begin the meeting.

Mayor Hidalgo addressed the minutes of the Clarkston Town Council Meeting held June 4, 2024. Councilmember A. Hanover motioned for the Clarkston Town Council to approve and adopt the minutes of June 4, 2024. Councilmember M. Kelly seconded the motion. All in favor "Aye" Motion Carried.

2. BUSINESS

Youth Council Liaison – Youth Councilmembers Brooke Coulam and Zoe Brown introduced themselves and reported that in May the youth council had a service project. They scrubbed and cleaned headstones at the cemetery in preparation for Memorial Day. It went well and they had a good turnout. The youth council provided concessions during the T-Ball and Coach Pitch ball games scheduled in Clarkston Town again this year and they helped with Pony Express. They participated in the Parade, provided games for youth ages 12-18 and sponsored the Movie in the Park. Mayor Hidalgo thanked the youth council for their service to the community.

Deborah Van Noy – Utah Agricultural Land Trust – Presentation on Placing Land Easements on Agricultural Properties – Deborah Van Noy stated that she is a board member of the Utah Agricultural Land Trust. She explained what they are doing to try to preserve agriculture in Utah. Ms. Van Noy stated the following facts:

- In the last decade we've lost a half a million acres of agricultural land in the state of Utah.
- In the 1970s, we could feed our entire population of Utah with what we produced. Today, we provide 2% of the vegetables that are consumed in the state and 3% of the fruit.

Ms. Van Noy declared that it's a dire condition and the Utah Agricultural Land Trust wants to do what they can to help protect agriculture in the state of Utah. One of the mechanisms used to do that is conservation easements. A conservation easement selectively removes certain rights from the land. The landowner never loses ownership of their land, but they agree to restrict development on their property. The land remains agriculture forever. It can be sold by the grandchildren and the great grandchildren for agricultural purposes, but it can no longer be sold for development purposes to build subdivisions on that property. The easements are filed in the courthouse, just like any deed of trust. The Utah Agricultural Land Trust is the entity that holds the easement. The agricultural landowner continues to own their land. The Utah Agricultural Land Trust monitors the easements on an annual basis to make sure that there is no development taking place. The easement protects conservation values. This type of easement is referred to as an Easement by Grant. It is where one party expressly transfers easement rights to another party, and that easement limits development, maintaining conservation on the property. Councilmember A. Hanover asked what the tax burden is for citizens. Ms. Van Noy stated that the Utah Agricultural Land Trust is a non-profit organization, and their funding is obtained through donations. They are not funded by taxes.

Regan Wheeler and Nathan Downs- Cache County Water District – Presentation on County Wide Irrigation System – Nathan Downs stated that he is the General Manager of Cache Water District and he brought two members of his board Reagan Wheeler and Max Pierce with him. Max represents the northern area of Cache County and Regan represents agriculture for all of Cache County. Mr. Downs said that he wanted to come to the Town Council Meeting for two reasons. To present what the Cache Water District has been up to to hopefully help the residents of Clarkston and then to discuss a potential project that could be in the works. Mr. Downs stated there are three big things that are happening at this end of the county. They are as follows:

- This fall there will be a Snow Tail Site put on top of the mountain out here. The Natural Resource Conservation Service puts those all over the the country. Utah has more of them than any other state. It will provide a lot better idea of what the snowpack is like on the mountain. It is going to take a decade of gathering data to accurately access that information. Right now, it's a guessing game.
- The state engineer wanted to permanently close Cache County to any new water rights. The Cache Water District pushed back on that and convinced the State of Utah that the 1994 Groundwater Study for Cache County needed to be updated before making that decision. Senator Chris Wilson helped secure a \$600,000 grant to have a new updated groundwater study done for Cache County. Utah State University will be working on that, and it will take approximately 2 years to complete. The study will give a better idea of what has changed from the early 90's to now. Roughly 30 years later, how has the groundwater recharge and use changed in the valley. Figuring out what we have and how much comes in every year from the snow melt and then how much we take out and how much just leaks out and leaves the county.

Mr. Downs stated that about 10-15 years ago Lewiston and Cornish had initial conversations about potentially running a water line across the river to help Cornish with some water shortages. Those conversations have gone on and off for years before the Cache Water District was even formed. Mr. Downs stated that part of the Cache Water District's mission is to help communities make sure that they have a resilient water supply 50 years from now. Knowing that the West side all the way from Wellsville to Clarkston has the least reliable water source in the valley, the district restarted that discussion. Since then, Lewiston has drilled a new well that produces upwards of 1800 gallons per minute and Cornish is just coming online with a new treatment facility and new water source so there's potential down the road for those cities to have excess water. Trenton, Newton and Clarkston, based on current sources, probably aren't ever going to have an excess. They might have adequate if things don't change. The thought is, is there a consensus between those five communities to start looking at the potential of an interconnect between all five cities that could supply water either full time or just in times of shortages? And if there was a water system that supplied all four cities on this side of the river would the four cities consider having one full-time person that ran it for all four cities? That efficiency would be worth it in the long term. Mr. Downs stated that this is thinking 5/10/15/20 years down the road. There is an opportunity to look at this a little further and have some studies conducted to see what it would cost to move forward on this potential project. Mr. Downs concluded that the Cache Water District would be happy to start looking for a grant to fund a feasibility study to see how that would be set up, what it would take, and what would make the most sense. The Cache Water District wants to help communities plan for future needs

Nate Allen – Executive Director of Utah Approves – Presentation on Approval Voting –

Nate Allen stated that he is the executive director of Utah Approves. Utah Approves is currently working with the state legislature regarding piloting this voting method called Approval Voting.

See Exhibit A They are going around to cities and towns asking for letters and support to see if it can be added as a voting option. They are trying to see what kind of interest there might be across the state. Essentially Approval Voting just let's voters say yes or no to each candidate rather than yes to only one. The candidate with the most yes votes, or in other words, the highest approval rating, wins the election. It's a simple tabulation of whoever gets the most votes. The following are reasons for Approval Voting:

- Better reflects voter values
- Produces broadly supported consensus winners
- Limits vote splitting and spoiled elections
- Cost-effective
- Cost savings (if no Primary)
- Secure, transparent, easily audited
- Simple and easy to administer and explain
- More expressive

Mayor Hidalgo wondered if voters would decide on using the Approval Voting Option or if the legislature would determine that. Mr. Allen replied that they are hoping that the Utah State Legislature will make a decision in their next upcoming general session but it would not automatically opt you in, it would just provide the option for municipalities to use it if they wanted to. There would still be the option to stay with the current voting system, if preferred. Councilmember A. Hanover wondered how Approval Voting is more secure. Mr. Allen stated that it mostly refers to the precinct summability. So, the fact that ballots are cast in the individual locations and then counted there as well, and then the vote totals are sent to a central reporting location. As opposed to sending the ballots in general to a central counting location.

3. DEPARTMENT

Mayor Hidalgo – Town Hall and Community Center Project Update – Mayor Hidalgo reported that the building is being insulated right now. They will be starting the sheet rocking soon. The Council discussed the office furniture needed for the new building. The cost for the office furniture will be approximately \$25,000.

Clarkston Town Star Deputy – Mayor Hidalgo stated that we have a new Star Deputy. His name is Spencer Daines. Officer Mike Merrill took a job as a Resource Officer in one of the schools.

Roads Department – Mayor Hidalgo reported that the Clarkston Roads Department had been repairing potholes in town and CDC Construction had finished preparing the roads so that the Cache County Roads Department can do the chip and seal on them. Road repairs for this year will be done on 300 North, 400 North, and some on 300 South.

Parks Department – Mayor Hidalgo reported that he hired a company to trim up all of the trees in the park. There were three trees removed that were dead. The Cemetery trees are also going to be trimmed up.

Councilmember M. Stephensen - June Warrant List – Councilmember M. Stephensen presented the Warrant List for June 2024. Councilmember A. Hanover motioned for the Clarkston Town Council to approve the Warrant List as read. Councilmember M. Kelly seconded the motion. All in favor “Aye.” Motion carried.

Youth Council – Councilmember M. Stephensen reported that the youth council is planning on sponsoring another Movie-in-the-Park and play some night games before summer is over.

Citizen Advisory Committee – Councilmember M. Stephensen stated that she had received a letter from the RAPZ Tax Committee and that Clarkston Town has officially been awarded \$45,266 for the Pickle Ball Court Project. Mayor Hidalgo stated that next year he wanted to apply for another RAPZ Tax Grant for new lighting and fencing for the project.

Pony Express Day – Councilmember M. Stephensen and the Town Council expressed that this year’s Pony Express Days went very well. Mayor Hidalgo thanked Councilmember M. Stephensen for all the work she put in to making the day a great success.

Councilmember A. Hanover - Planning Commission – Councilmember A. Hanover stated that Lester Lee has shown some interest in filling the vacant planning commission seat. Councilmember A. Hanover said that he had invited him to the next Planning and Zoning Meeting just to observe. He would like to have him appointed by at least January 2025. Councilmember A. Hanover reported that the planning commission approved the Jorgensen addition. There was one set back measurement that had to be added and then the plan complied.

Councilmember M. Kelly – Cemetery Department – Drinking Fountains – Councilmember M. Kelly reported that he was working on getting quotes for the drinking fountain repairs and also looking at options to replace the drinking fountains altogether.

New Cemetery Road - Councilmember M. Kelly said that he was still waiting for a third response to the Request for Quote (RFQ) to do the new cemetery road. Mayor Hidalgo asked Councilmember M. Kelly out of the two quotes he had received which one would he recommend for the job. Councilmember M. Kelly said Top Job. The Council discussed and determined to hire Top Job to do the project. Councilmember A. Hanover made the motion to authorize Top Job to do the project. Councilmember M. Stephensen seconded the motion. All in favor “Aye” Motion Carried.

4. RELEVANT BUSINESS

Next Meeting – The next Town Council Meeting will be held on Tuesday July 2, 2024 at 7:00 pm.

5. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Council, Councilmember A. Hanover. motioned that the Clarkston Town Council Meeting be adjourned. Councilmember M. Stephensen seconded the motion. All members present voted “Aye”. Motion carried. The meeting was adjourned at 8:37 p.m.



Clarkston Town Clerk



Exhibit A



Utah
Approves.

Approval Voting

Savings, Simplicity, and Security

What is Approval Voting?

- Voting 'yes' or 'no' for each candidate, rather than 'yes' to only one
- The candidate with the most 'yes' votes, the highest approval rating, wins

Current Ballot

Vote for ONE Candidate

Business Betty	☐
Education Eddy	☒
Parks Pablo	☐
Public Transit Tiana	☐
Taxes Terry	☐

Approval Ballot

Mark ALL NAMES you approve of

Business Betty	☒
Education Eddy	☒
Parks Pablo	☐
Public Transit Tiana	☒
Taxes Terry	☐

How is Approval Different?

	Current System (Plurality)	Approval Voting
Elects based on	Exclusive support	Favorability rating
Tends to favor	Candidates with a passionate base of support	Candidates with broad-based appeal
Works well with	Races with only 2 candidates	Races with any number of candidates

Why Approval Voting?

- Better reflects voter values
- Produces broadly supported consensus winners
- Limits vote splitting and spoiled elections
- Incredibly cost-effective
- Cost savings (if no Primary Election)
- Secure, transparent, easily audited
- Simple and easy to administer and explain
- More expressive


Approval Voting
Support your favorites

Alice	☒
Bob	☐
Carey	☒
Drew	☒
Edith	☐
Frank	☐


Voter Values and Consensus Candidates

- Higher winning percentage gives winner stronger mandate to lead
- Shows true levels of support for each candidate
- No splitting the vote -> Broadly supported candidates
- Candidates have a simple strategy: appeal to as many people as possible

Plurality Election



Approval Election




Voter Satisfaction

Based on computer simulation with 5 candidates and 200 voters

Warren D. Smith, 2000

All methods are identical with only 2 candidates

Simplicity



Security and Administration

- Precinct summable unlike other alternatives
- The county clerks have stated approval voting is the only alternative that “has an audit trail they are comfortable with”
 - County Clerks are also supportive of implementing approval voting if cities want to try it
- Fewer spoiled ballots

Cost-Effectiveness

- No new voting machines or software
- Ballot size stays the same (mail-in and printing)
- Voter education is extremely cost efficient and simple
 - Candidates don't need to explain the method
- No additional cost for administration like RCV

Number of participating municipalities	Allocated costs	Number of participating municipalities	Allocated costs
1	\$ 36,156	13	\$ 2,781
2	\$ 18,078	14	\$ 2,583
3	\$ 12,052	15	\$ 2,410
4	\$ 9,039	16	\$ 2,260
5	\$ 7,231	17	\$ 2,127
6	\$ 6,026	18	\$ 2,009
7	\$ 5,165	19	\$ 1,903
8	\$ 4,520	20	\$ 1,808
9	\$ 4,017	21	\$ 1,722
10	\$ 3,616	22	\$ 1,643
11	\$ 3,287	23	\$ 1,572
12	\$ 3,013		


Additional RCV Costs

*Estimated Costs	Ranked Choice	Approval Voting
Voter Education	\$1,090	\$363

*Estimates based on per capita spending by other cities

Where has Approval Voting been used?


- International Elections
 - Papal Conclaves
 - Venice, Italy
 - Greek Legislature
 - UN General Secretary
- US Elections
 - Fargo, ND
 - St. Louis, MO



Comparison of Voting Systems

	Current System	Approval	Ranked Choice
Cost	High (2 elections)	Low (1 election)	Moderate
High Winning Percentage	No	Yes	Yes (Artificial)
Voter Satisfaction	Low	High	Moderate
Ballot Spoilage	Moderate	Low	High
New Voting Software	No	No	Yes
Ballot Size	Small	Small	Large
Counting Complexity	Low	Low	High
Precinct Summable	Yes	Yes	No
Voter Education	Low	Moderate	High

Questions?



Report Criteria:

Invoices with totals above \$0.00 included.

Only paid invoices included.

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid
GENERAL FUND							
COURT							
10-42-220 PROSECUTOR							
184	OLSON & HOGGAN, PC	216246	CRIMINAL PROSECUTION SER	05/31/2024	14.00	14.00	06/18/2024
Total COURT:					14.00	14.00	
ADMINISTRATION							
10-44-220 MILEAGE							
440	KRISTI HIDALGO	062424	Mileage	06/24/2024	115.28	115.28	06/24/2024
10-44-240 OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXPENSE							
103	Al's Trophies & Frames	2025	APPRECIATION PLAQUES	06/14/2024	28.23	28.23	06/18/2024
10-44-270 UTILITIES							
657	ALLTECH	A7207	PHONE SERVICE - TOWN HALL	06/17/2024	36.48	36.48	06/18/2024
246	COMCAST	060624	INTERNET	05/22/2024	104.70	104.70	06/06/2024
189	DOMINION ENERGY	060624	UTILITIES	05/21/2024	43.85	43.85	06/06/2024
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	78.08	78.08	06/06/2024
916	VISA	061824	COMCAST INTERNET SERVICE-	05/04/2024	104.69	104.69	06/18/2024
10-44-305 LEGAL/PROFESSIONAL							
184	OLSON & HOGGAN, PC	216545	P&Z TRAINING	05/31/2024	717.50	717.50	06/18/2024
10-44-320 E911 FEES							
685	LOGAN CITY	060624	911 FEE	05/22/2024	834.00	834.00	06/06/2024
685	LOGAN CITY	062424	911 FEE	06/19/2024	834.00	834.00	06/24/2024
10-44-330 SANITATION COLLECTION FEES							
876	T & S ADVANCED WASTE	1869	GREEN WASTE DUMPSTER	06/12/2024	500.00	500.00	06/18/2024
913	WM CORPORATE SERVICES,IN	0059262-2514-	SANITATION COLLECTION	06/03/2024	5,672.90	5,672.90	06/18/2024
10-44-741 CAPITAL OUTLAY - EQUIPMENT							
921	C.I.S. OFFICE FURNITURE	1008	NEW TOWN HALL FURNITURE	06/10/2024	9,132.00	9,132.00	06/18/2024
Total ADMINISTRATION:					18,201.71	18,201.71	
FIRE & RESCUE							
10-53-260 FIRE DEPT. GRANT							
917	AIR COMM	INV-126100	FIRE DEPT. PAGERS - FY 2024	05/24/2024	2,847.07	2,847.07	06/06/2024
10-53-270 FUEL/OIL & UTILITIES							
657	ALLTECH	A7207	PHONE SERVICE - FIRE DEPT.	06/17/2024	36.48	36.48	06/18/2024
246	COMCAST	060624	INTERNET	05/22/2024	104.69	104.69	06/06/2024
189	DOMINION ENERGY	060624	UTILITIES	05/21/2024	95.69	95.69	06/06/2024
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	178.82	178.82	06/06/2024
916	VISA	061824	COMCAST INTERNET SERVICE-	05/04/2024	104.70	104.70	06/18/2024
Total FIRE & RESCUE:					3,367.45	3,367.45	
ROADS & STREETS DEPARTMENT							
10-60-255 FUEL & OIL							
189	DOMINION ENERGY	060624	UTILITIES	05/21/2024	14.71	14.71	06/06/2024
10-60-420 ROAD UTILITIES/STREET LIGHTS							
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	564.11	564.11	06/06/2024
10-60-730 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS							
175	LOWES	986753	ASPHALT REPAIR	05/07/2024	1,895.51	1,895.51	06/06/2024
Total ROADS & STREETS DEPARTMENT:					2,474.33	2,474.33	

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid
PARKS & RECREATION							
10-70-250 PARK GENERAL							
889	CIRCLE B IRRIGATION	2406-197324	PARK MISC.	06/19/2024	79.88	79.88	06/24/2024
855	JEREMY HIDALGO	062424	MILEAGE	06/24/2024	77.94	77.94	06/24/2024
811	LOGAN EXTERMINATION	218844	INSECT SPRAYING	06/19/2024	465.00	465.00	06/24/2024
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	16.96	16.96	06/06/2024
10-70-440 PONY EXPRESS							
103	Al's Trophies & Frames	2025	PLAQUE-CITIZEN OF THE YEAR	06/14/2024	39.59	39.59	06/18/2024
103	Al's Trophies & Frames	2029	PLAQUE-CITIZEN OF THE YEAR	06/20/2024	5.00	5.00	06/24/2024
880	BOUNCE 4 KIDS	061824	PONY EXPRESS - BOUNCE HO	06/18/2024	1,573.00	1,573.00	06/18/2024
918	DARCIE GODFREY	060624	PONY EXPRESS - FOOTRACE	06/06/2024	200.00	200.00	06/06/2024
923	FANTASTIC FACE PAINTING	061824	PONY EXPRESS - FACE PAINTI	06/18/2024	480.00	480.00	06/18/2024
919	KERRI BAILEY	060624	PONY EXPRESS - FAMILY SOFT	06/06/2024	200.00	200.00	06/06/2024
906	LORI WOOD	061824	PONY EXPRESS - BALLOON TYI	06/18/2024	250.00	250.00	06/18/2024
467	ROUGH STOCK	061824	PONY EXPRESS - BAND	06/18/2024	800.00	800.00	06/18/2024
883	SHELLY HARPER	100	PONY EXPRESS ICE CREAM	06/18/2024	600.00	600.00	06/18/2024
916	VISA	061824	PONY EXPRESS - GUNS FOR G	05/04/2024	1,089.77	1,089.77	06/18/2024
10-70-465 TOWN FLOAT							
916	VISA	061824	PARADE	05/04/2024	134.32	134.32	06/18/2024
10-70-741 CAPITAL OUTLAY - EQUIPMENT							
921	C.I.S. OFFICE FURNITURE	1008	NEW TOWN HALL FURNITURE	06/10/2024	10,000.00	10,000.00	06/18/2024
10-70-800 CONTRACT SERVICES - MOWING							
848	DISTINCTIVE LANDSCAPE	7324	LAWN CARE	06/18/2024	810.00	810.00	06/24/2024
10-70-820 CIVIC CENTER UTILITIES							
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	27.39	27.39	06/06/2024
Total PARKS & RECREATION:					16,848.85	16,848.85	
Total GENERAL FUND:					40,906.34	40,906.34	
Capital Projects Fund							
41-40-110 NEW TOWN HALL - ADMIN DEPT.							
920	DOMINION ENERGY	SL0009884900	TOWN HALL PROJECT - RELOC	06/06/2024	1,044.00	1,044.00	06/06/2024
416	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER - S	061824	NEW TOWN HALL AND COMMU	06/18/2024	4,591.00	4,591.00	06/18/2024
Total :					5,635.00	5,635.00	
Total Capital Projects Fund:					5,635.00	5,635.00	
WATER FUND							
WATER DEPARTMENT							
51-81-240 OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXPENSE							
916	VISA	061824	CERTIFIED MAILINGS	05/04/2024	37.29	37.29	06/18/2024
51-81-250 WATER DEPT EQUIP-SUPPLY/MAINT							
175	LOWES	990737	WATER PARTS	04/27/2024	28.44	28.44	06/06/2024
51-81-255 FUEL & OIL							
189	DOMINION ENERGY	060624	UTILITIES	05/21/2024	14.71	14.71	06/06/2024
51-81-270 UTILITIES							
922	MELISSA KYLER	061824	UTILITY BILL OVERPAYMENT	06/12/2024	63.75	63.75	06/18/2024
219	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	060624	UTILITIES	05/20/2024	82.83	82.83	06/06/2024
51-81-720 WATER SYSTEM REPAIRS & MAINT							
548	JKT CONSTRUCTION	060624	WATER LEAK	05/28/2024	600.00	600.00	06/06/2024
209	THATCHER COMPANY, INC.	202410010739	CHLORINE	05/21/2024	2,022.75	2,022.75	06/06/2024
51-81-741 CAPITAL OUTLAY - EQUIPMENT							
921	C.I.S. OFFICE FURNITURE	1008	NEW TOWN HALL FURNITURE	06/10/2024	5,000.00	5,000.00	06/18/2024

Vendor	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Date	Net Invoice Amount	Amount Paid	Date Paid
Total WATER DEPARTMENT:					7,849.77	7,849.77	
Total WATER FUND:					7,849.77	7,849.77	
PERPETUAL CARE FUND							
PERPETUAL CARE DEPARTMENT							
71-40-610 BURIAL FEES							
548	JKT CONSTRUCTION	060624	BURIALS	05/28/2024	2,800.00	2,800.00	06/06/2024
71-40-710 MAINTENANCE							
889	CIRCLE B IRRIGATION	2406-195236	CEMETERY MISC.	06/06/2024	5.21	5.21	06/18/2024
889	CIRCLE B IRRIGATION	2406-195879	CEMETERY MISC.	06/10/2024	8.54	8.54	06/18/2024
889	CIRCLE B IRRIGATION	2406-195883	CEMETERY MISC.	06/10/2024	17.10	17.10	06/18/2024
160	IPACO, INC	PS512253	MISC. SUPPLIES	05/21/2024	5.04	5.04	06/06/2024
175	LOWES	981686	CEMETERY SOD	05/15/2024	249.75	249.75	06/06/2024
916	VISA	061824	CEMETERY MOWER GAS	05/04/2024	193.69	193.69	06/18/2024
71-40-810 MISC/IMPROVEMENTS							
157	INTERMOUNTAIN FARMERS AS	1021010625	SPRINKLER/GRASS SEED	06/06/2024	114.98	114.98	06/18/2024
157	INTERMOUNTAIN FARMERS AS	1021031783	GRASS SEED	06/10/2024	109.98	109.98	06/18/2024
Total PERPETUAL CARE DEPARTMENT:					3,504.29	3,504.29	
Total PERPETUAL CARE FUND:					3,504.29	3,504.29	
Grand Totals:					57,895.40	57,895.40	

Dated: 2 July 2024Mayor: N. Cruz HidalgoCity Council: [Signature][Signature]City Recorder: Kristi Hidalgo

Report Criteria:

Invoices with totals above \$0.00 included.

Only paid invoices included.