



2024 Canvass - Audit Certification Report

County: UTAH

Election: 2024 PRIMARY

Paper Ballot Audit Summary

1. Number of paper ballots processed: 94070
2. Number of ballots audited: 1932
3. List the contests on the ballot that were audited:

US SENATE, HOUSE 3, UT GOVERNOR,

STATE SCHOOL 10, 13

UT ATTN GENERAL, UT AUDITOR, UT SENATE 22

ALPINE SCHOOL 5, NEBO SCHOOL 7

UT HOUSE 58, 61, 66

Were there any differences between the tabulation software and the votes marked on the paper

ballots? ☒ Yes ☐ No (*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)

Only one difference on batch (T0157) - Voter was clear on choice but their mark was completely outside of the selection area.

DRE Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

1. Number of voting machines used in the election: _____
2. Number of polling places used for the election: _____
3. Number of voting machines audited: _____
4. Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters? ☐ Yes ☐ No
(*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
5. Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
(*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering? ☐ Yes ☐ No
(*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited machine? ☐ Yes ☐ No *If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Signature Affidavit Audit Summary

Total number of signature affidavits audited: 975

Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between a signature's initial verification and the audit? ☒ Yes ☐ No (*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)

EXPLANATION OF SIGNATURE AUDIT INCONSISTENCIES: Additional reviews on other signature the staff processed were made. In all cases the auditor found the staffer was being more strict with their signature reviews. In three cases where the signature was approved but should have been challenged, the signature had similarities, but not enough to make a conclusive match. The voters were sent a cure letter.

Clerk Certification – to be completed before the canvass

☒ Yes ☐ No I certify that I have reviewed the number of vote histories recorded in VISTA and they closely match the number of ballots cast in my county during this election.

Number of ballots counted: 94070

Number of vote histories: 94051

Difference: 19

Explain any differences: Nineteen voters deposited their Fast Cast Voter ballot at the polling place but did not check in and get voter credit.

☒ Yes ☐ No I certify that I have properly maintained chain of custody controls as outlined in 20A-3a-401.1 and Rule 623-8.

☒ Yes ☐ No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and federal laws (20A-3a-404(2)).

☒ Yes ☐ No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using any voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any voting equipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."

County Clerk Signature: 

Date: 7/9/2024