

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Thursday, March 21, 2024

6:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers

4580 South 2300 East

Holladay, Utah

Briefing Session 5:30 pm

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Rob Dahle
Paul Fotheringham
Emily Gray
Matt Durham
Ty Brewer
Drew Quinn

City Staff:

Gina Chamness, City Manager
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder
Carrie Marsh, City Planner

Mayor Rob Dahle started the Briefing Session at 5:30 p.m. and reviewed the agenda. There is a public hearing on proposed amendments to Chapter 13.14.031 – Accessory Dwelling Units. Mayor Dahle noted that there is a lot of information in the packet on this issue. He noted that a few written comments were submitted ahead of the meeting and that this is not necessarily a pressing issue, so he suggested opening the public hearing, leaving the public hearing open, and then discussing the matter further during a Work Meeting on April 11, 2024.

Another item on the meeting agenda relates to amendments to Title 13.76.730, 13.100.010, and Chapter 5.54.101 regarding Home Occupations. Mayor Dahle believed the main issue, based on previous discussions, is who can run a home-occupation business. The intention is to prevent straightforward applications from going through the full Planning Commission process.

Following those items, there will be a City Manager Report and Council Reports. After that, the City Council will recess the City Council Meeting and reconvene in a Work Meeting. Council Member Fotheringham asked about the agenda item for Title 13.100.010 – Adding Quasi-Public (Churches) as a Permitted Use in the C-2 Zones. Mr. Godfrey clarified that it was removed from the agenda.

Mayor Dahle reviewed the items on the Work Meeting agenda. Avenue Consultants will share information about the 3900 South update. Additionally, there will be a Highland Drive update shared and a sidewalk priorities discussion. There will also be a discussion on the public hearing items. The calendar items were reviewed. There are Council Meetings scheduled for April 11 and 25, 2024.

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Thursday, March 21, 2024

6:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers

4580 South 2300 East

Holladay, Utah

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Rob Dahle
Paul Fotheringham
Emily Gray
Matt Durham
Ty Brewer
Drew Quinn

City Staff:
Gina Chamness, City Manager
Carrie Marsh, City Planner
Joe Bolton, Assistant City Engineer
Jared Bunch, City Engineer
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder

I. *Welcome* – Mayor Dahle.

Mayor Rob Dahle called the City Council Meeting to order at approximately 6:00 p.m.

II. *Pledge of Allegiance.*

Mayor Dahle led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. *Public Comments.*

Matt Snow - 2730 Stanford Lane. He shared comments about the fencing ordinance along major thoroughfares. When he was on the Planning Commission, there were discussions about making things more pedestrian-friendly. He is afraid that the current ordinance is tunneling off the City and pedestrians are being pushed into the street. While things are technically safe, pedestrians are unlikely to want to walk down the street with the current conditions. He suggested that the fencing ordinance language along major thoroughfares be reconsidered and refined. Property owners are not concerned about the public side of their property and will continue to build large fences. Mayor Dahle explained that the item will be referred to City Staff who will determine if there is a desire to bring that to the Planning Commission for consideration and a possible recommendation.

IV. *Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Chapter 13.14.031 Accessory Dwelling Units.*

Mayor Dahle praised the quality of the staff report and explained that Council Members rely on City Staff to provide the information that is necessary to make responsible decisions.

Carrie Marsh, Planner explained that what is before the Council is a proposed ordinance amendment to the existing Accessory Dwelling Unit (“ADU”) Ordinance. In 2021, the State mandated that internal accessory dwelling units (“I-ADU”) be permitted uses within residential zones. Municipalities were allowed to place additional regulations on external accessory dwelling units (“E-ADU”). The code was then amended to only allow E-ADUs on lots that are half an acre or larger. A series of public hearings were held with the Planning Commission in 2021 and then it came to the City Council.

Ms. Marsh explained that in the Planning Department, residents often apply for permits to add an ADU to their property, often in the form of a garage with a unit above. The Planning Department is unable to issue a permit for existing structures that are not part of the main structure for parcels that are less than half an acre, as that is not in line with the current code. She clarified that those structures can be built and used for personal use, but those units cannot currently be rented out.

Last year, direction was received from the City Council to look at the ADU Ordinance and specifically to look at converting existing accessory buildings into permitted E-ADUs. Ms. Marsh explained that this would include some existing buildings with occupiable space. Those could be converted to become a rentable ADU. The proposed changes to the code are in line with that direction and look at some of the current issues preventing the conversion of an existing accessory structure.

Ms. Marsh noted that the Staff Report includes a Summary of Changes Comparison Chart. She reported that there were several meetings held with the Planning Commission and the public hearing was open for all three Planning Commission Meetings. The Staff Report includes the current language, the original City Staff recommendations, and the Planning Commission recommendations. She clarified that the Planning Commission was not comfortable with looking at the conversion of existing structures. The Commission recommended allowing E-ADUs on lots smaller than half an acre, but added some other restrictions on the height and proposed that there be increased setbacks.

Mayor Dahle reported that there will be initial discussions now and during the Work Meeting. However, there will be a more detailed discussion on April 11, 2024, during the Work Meeting. He believed the City Council should review the comparison chart row by row at that meeting. Mayor Dahle explained that he wants to better understand the comments made by some of the residents at the Planning Commission level and the changes between Staff and Commission recommendations.

Ms. Marsh reported that during the Planning Commission Meetings, there were six or seven comments received by members of the public. She offered to share the written comments from the Planning Commission Meetings with the Council for consideration as well. Council Member Brewer was curious to know how the vote went at the Planning Commission level. Ms. Marsh explained that the proposed language was sent to Commissioners and each sent back a response. Those responses can also be forwarded to Council Members for consideration ahead of the next meeting.

Mayor Dahle opened the public hearing. He reported that written public comments were received from Melanie Kemp, Dan Kemp, and Ryan Steele. Those were forwarded to Council Members.

Elaine Ereksen - 4388 Wander Lane. She wondered whether the amendments meant it would be possible for her to build an apartment above a garage. Mayor Dahle explained that it will depend on what the City Council approves. Currently, there are recommendations from City Staff and the Planning Commission. The Council will ultimately determine what is approved.

There were no further comments. The public hearing will remain open.

V. *Public Hearing on Proposed Unified Police Department Interlocal Agreement.*

Mayor Dahle reported that this relates to a proposed UPD Interlocal Agreement.

Mayor Dahle opened the public hearing. There were no comments. The public hearing was closed.

VI. *Consideration of Resolution 2024-10 - Approving a Revised and Restate Interlocal Agreement Between Public Entities Governing the Unified Police Department ("UPD") of Greater Salt Lake City.*

Council Member Fotheringham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2024-10 – Approving a Revised and Restated Interlocal Agreement Between Public Entities Governing the Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake City. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Resolution 2024-10 was approved by a unanimous vote.

VII. *Consideration of Ordinance 2024-03 - Amending Title 13.100.010 Adding Quasi-Public (churches) as a Permitted Use in the C-2 Zones.*

The item was removed from the agenda.

VIII. *Consideration of Ordinance 2024-04 - Amending Title 13.76.730, 13.100.010, and Chapter 5.54.010 Regarding Home Occupations.*

Mayor Dahle stated this discussion has to do with who can run a home-occupation business and what guidance can be given to Staff to allow items to be approved without moving them to the Planning Commission.

Council Member Brewer noted that there is a desire to codify some items so Staff can be more efficient. In general, he understands that the regulations are important to mitigate nuisances and other problems in neighborhoods. What is proposed strikes an appropriate balance, but he has questions about how this will impact members of the public. Mayor Dahle believed certain boxes can be checked to determine whether something meets the code. If something is proposed that is outside of the code, then it needs to go to the Planning Commission so conditions can be placed.

Mayor Dahle asked if it is possible to run a Home Occupation business that exceeds the numbers listed in the proposed language. For group lessons or sessions, it states that those cannot exceed six people at a time. Ms. Marsh explained that Home Occupations outside of these standards will require a Conditional Use Permit application. If there are larger groups proposed, then the applicant would need to indicate where the parking will be located, and so on. The Planning Commission would review that application and create conditions to mitigate any potential impacts to the neighborhood. Council Member Brewer liked that there is some flexibility to accommodate different circumstances.

Council Member Durham moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2024-04 – Amending Title 13.76.730, 13.100.010, and Chapter 5.54.010 Regarding Home Occupations. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. Ordinance 2024-04 was adopted by an unanimous vote.

IX. *City Manager Report – Gina Chamness.*

Manager Chamness reported that an offer was made and accepted for the Finance Director position. The new hire is scheduled to start work on April 1, 2024. The person recommended has a Master's

Degree in accountancy and has worked for two other cities locally. Both the Mayor and Council Member Fotheringham participated in the interview.

X. *Council Reports and District Issues.*

Council Member Brewer had no updates to share.

Council Member Durham reported that he attended the Happy Healthy Holladay Coalition Meeting on Tuesday. There is continued work being done on the Master Plan and there have been meetings with the community to identify different needs. He expressed appreciation for those who assisted with drafting his article for the Holladay Journal.

Council Member Fotheringham reported that the Fine Art Show is taking place this week. His understanding is that there has been record attendance so far and it is on track to see record sales. Council Member Fotheringham noted that on April 15, 2024, Holladay Arts will sponsor the annual Dance Concert at Olympus Junior High School. Lastly, there has been communication with the One Kind Act a Day organization. The founder and primary funder of the organization is a Holladay resident. Their Executive Director and staff have been reaching out to the City of Holladay to look at ways to integrate their program. It might be possible to integrate them with the Helping Hands of Holladay Award that is normally given out in September. The other initiative they are looking at involves the schools in the City. This is not a fundraising organization as it is already fully funded. The only objective of the organization is to spread a message of kindness. Council Member Fotheringham explained that information will be shared with the Council by the end of the month.

Council Member Quinn shared information about the Community Renewable Energy Agency, which has been rebranded as Utah Renewable Communities. Utah Renewable Communities is applying for a grant from the EPA for a Climate Pollution Reduction Implementation Grant. If that is received, the \$2 million will go toward reducing the initial costs. It is possible that this could reduce the monthly fee for those first participating in the program by more than half. She has to sign a letter supporting the involvement of Holladay in the grant application, so she wanted to make sure the Mayor and Council are aware that she will be doing this. Council Member Quinn reported that the Climate Pollution Reduction Implementation Grant would primarily be used to cover the estimated excess costs of roughly half of the program's new clean energy target and the first five years of program administration costs. Rocky Mountain Power cannot shift any of the costs of the program to non-participants. If it is possible to obtain the grant, it will make a notable difference.

Council Member Quinn was pleased to hear about the kindness initiatives. A few weeks ago, she was in a Community Council Meeting listening to a mother talk about the struggles her children face, as her children have all been the target of racial slurs. She stressed the importance of kindness initiatives and appreciated the work that Holladay has done in the past. It is important to be kind to others.

Council Member Gray thanked City Staff for their assistance. A lot of residents have reached out over the last week with a variety of issues. One particular issue that came up was that The Cotton Bottom had between 50 and 100 people wanting to use their restrooms because the restrooms at the park were not yet open. There is a desire to be good neighbors, so City Staff was looking into how soon the restrooms can open.

Mayor Dahle reported that the Youth Council met yesterday and was planning to have a fundraising event on the 18th, which aligned with the final day of the Public Safety Appreciation Week. City Recorder, Stephanie Carlson suggested that the efforts be combined with Police and Fire. The idea is to set up a table and collect donations for Primary Children's Hospital, either toys or money. Mayor Dahle next discussed Dog Days of Holladay, which is something that may be organized again.

XI. *Recess City Council in a Work Meeting:*

Council Member Fotheringham moved to RECESS the City Council Meeting and RECONVENE in a Work Meeting. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council. The Council convened in a work meeting at 6:50 pm.

a. *3900 South Update – Avenue Consultants*

Mayor Dahle noted that Avenue Consultants was hired approximately nine months ago to work on the second phase for 3900 South, to put a trail between 2300 East and the Jordan River Parkway. The project was to look at a concept to develop further and develop some cost estimates. Blair Tomten from Avenue Consultants shared information on the Mid-Valley Active Transportation Plan. It included Holladay, Murray, Cottonwood Heights, Taylorsville, and Midvale. It created a regional network of active transportation routes. 3900 South was the number one project across the participating cities. During the Mid-Valley Active Transportation Plan process, there was a lot of public outreach conducted as well as open houses and surveys. 3900 South was a clear priority.

A map of the six-city regional network was shared. Ms. Tomten pointed out 3900 South on the map and noted that there are already bicycle lanes up the hill from 2300 East. This project will take a shared-use path all the way west to the Jordan River. It was next explained that the purpose of the project is to refine and set the project scope, seek community input, and ensure that the vision includes the voice of the public. Additionally, the intention is to complete a 30% design and understand the impacts and implementation costs. The goal is to take the first step towards implementation.

For this project, it was important to make sure that residents from Holladay, Millcreek, and South Salt Lake City all had the opportunity to provide input about what they would like to see. The public communication started last year and there were a number of outreach events. A summary of the survey responses was on the website as well as photographs from outreach events.

The cost design and estimate information was shared. This was looked at in three segments. The segments were designed to make sense of the different cities in the area. Segment 1 is where South Salt Lake is located, Segment 2 is Millcreek, and Segment 3 is both Millcreek and Holladay. The desire was to end each segment in a logical location, so it goes from 2300 East to 900 East. Some aerial images were shared to illustrate the areas that are being discussed. The location of the shared use is on the south side of the road through Holladay and Millcreek. No travel lanes will be taken away, but things will be shifted to accommodate what is contemplated.

Mayor Dahle asked about the right-of-way that will need to be purchased for this to move forward. It was clarified that there is a small amount that needs to be purchased, but it is anticipated that there will be a lot of temporary easements to rebuild driveways and tie-in landscaping that will be disturbed by construction. There will be very little real acquisition needed. It was noted that there are a lot of cyclists that use 2000 East. A rendering of Highland Drive was shared next, which included a proposal to shift everything over and use the space to navigate the hill. Since this area is steep, there

is also a second proposal, which a rendering was created to illustrate. It would allow bicyclists to slow down naturally and provide a gateway into Holladay. Discussions were had about the steepness of the area and the second proposal. It was clarified that this is currently in the concept phase and details would be refined more in the future.

Cost estimate information was reviewed. It was clarified that this information still needs to be refined and it is not a representation of the final numbers. Funding sources have been considered. The Utah Department of Transportation (“UDOT”) unveiled the Utah Trail Network prioritization list and the 3900 project was highly ranked on the list. There is a lot of momentum to move this work forward, but it may be difficult to obtain the necessary funding all at one time. A phased approach is something that is possible to pursue. Council Member Brewer wondered whether there was a priority list out of the three segments. It was noted that there has not been a segment priority expressed by UDOT, but different cities have different priorities. There are other potential funding sources as well. As for maintenance of the trail, if there is money received from UDOT, maintenance is part of that trail program. UDOT has not determined exactly what maintenance entails, but that is part of the discussion.

Mayor Dahle asked what the role of the City is at this point. He wanted to understand whether Holladay should engage to see if there are additional pots of money available or advocate for their segment to be funded. It was suggested that there be communication between Holladay, Millcreek, and South Salt Lake. Having discussions about the best way to move forward would be worthwhile.

Additional discussions were had about potential funding sources for this work and the different segments. Mayor Dahle asked if this will go through the Wasatch Front Regional Council (“WFRC”). This was denied. It was clarified that the funding currently being discussed will be through UDOT. Mayor Dahle suggested that the City look at what other pots of money may be available for this. The fact that UDOT is willing to commit \$10 million changes the negotiating position because that can be leveraged against other funds. Others will see it as an opportunity to get more for their money. However, the City will need to start this process and identify where those pots of funding are.

b. *Highland Drive Update- Joe Bolton.*

Assistant City Engineer, Joe Bolton shared some background information and explained that in 2021, five potential cross-sections for Highland Drive from Arbor Lane to Van Winkle were presented to the City Council. From those five options, the City Council narrowed them down to two cross-section concepts. The public was surveyed and the five-lane cross-section was preferred. During that time, high-level data collection and traffic modeling was conducted. The findings were presented to the City Council in November 2021. Based on those findings, the Council chose the three-lane cross-section. City Staff applied for grant funding in the fall of 2022. WFRC reviewed the application but wanted more information about the traffic impacts on surrounding areas. As a result, a more in-depth traffic study was conducted in 2023 to respond to the feedback received.

The 2023 traffic study recommends against the three-lane cross-section, as it will cause all intersections to fall below acceptable levels of service by 2030. As a result, City Staff recommends moving forward with the five-lane cross-section option instead. The cross-section will still maintain a center turn lane, a 10-foot shared-use path, and buffer zones. It will also keep traffic at acceptable levels of service. The first study was higher level in nature and did not account for Holladay Hills or the Holladay Crossroads being built out. That will add quite a bit of traffic to Highland Drive. Since

WFRC recommended a more in-depth study take place, the City followed that recommendation and an additional study was done.

Mr. Bolton discussed the level of service. He explained that this is the average delay at an intersection. Council Member Fotheringham was glad that the City went through the process it did. The preference of the Council was the three-lane cross-section, but there were concerns expressed then about the level of service and whether it would support the higher traffic levels. The additional study answered those questions. He was pleased that the City went through the process of obtaining more information on this matter.

Mr. Bolton reported that an acceptable level of service is D. Holladay has chosen C as the standard that the City wants to maintain. Council Member Gray wondered whether it would make sense to include the intersections of Murray Holladay and Van Winkle as part of the renovations to the corridor. City Engineer, Jared Bunch, explained that there is a proposed solution for Van Winkle that has not been implemented yet. Ms. Chamness asked about the right turn-off of Murray Holladay. Mr. Bunch stated that it would help the east-west traffic but not the north-south traffic. He believed the motion that made Murray Holladay fail was a left turn going westbound to southbound. It was clarified that there are at least a dozen different movements at an intersection and each movement gets its own level of service. It doesn't mean that every movement will be at a D level, but one or two of those movements may be. Addressing those specific movements will be the focus.

Mayor Dahle stated that there is support for the recommendation put forward by City Staff, given the new information that has been received. Ms. Chamness reported that there is an estimated cost of approximately \$30 million. Some funding has been obtained, but a lot still needs to be determined.

c. Sidewalk Priorities Discussion – Joe Bolton

Mr. Bolton next shared information about sidewalk priorities. He explained that City Staff wanted to confirm the sidewalk project priorities for future projects. The potential sidewalk projects were produced by City Staff to identify gaps in sidewalk runs, pedestrian priority corridors, and public sidewalk requests. He reported that the potential sidewalk projects include the following areas:

- 2000 East;
- 2700 East;
- Lincoln Lane;
- Bluebell Drive;
- 4500 South;
- Casto Lane;
- Gurene Drive;
- 5600 South;
- Holladay Boulevard.

Some of the areas listed were the result of previous direction from the City Council and some were City Staff recommendations based on what has been seen.

Council Member Gray asked if it is possible to share a rough schedule of the timeline. Mr. Bolton clarified that there is not a rough schedule at the moment. If the City were to take on all of this work,

it would probably take 10 years to complete everything on the map based on the current funding levels in the City. He reported that between \$100,000 and \$300,000 per year is funded. Ms. Chamness added that the 2700 East work will be more difficult in certain locations.

Council Member Fotheringham asked about the decision-making process for the areas proposed. Mr. Bunch reported that nearby schools are beneficial when applying for grant funding. There is a desire to have sidewalks on collector streets, which is why 2700 East, 5600 South, and 4500 South are listed. Council Member Fotheringham wanted to know why Casto Lane was included. Mr. Bunch explained that a lot of people walk that route and there is hardly any sidewalk available to use. Traffic also moves quickly in that area. Ms. Chamness noted that Casto Lane has a rural feel and there are often vehicles driving fast down there. Mayor Dahle was in favor of prioritizing 5600 South sidewalk work over Casto Lane sidewalk work.

The Council discussed some of the different walking routes to schools. Ms. Chamness reported that in the past, the City Council has prioritized sidewalks that access schools and transit. That is something that can be considered. Council Member Durham noted that he is often asked about Holladay Blvd and Highland Dr to 4500. It is dark at night and vehicles drive quickly there.

Council Member Gray further discussed the Casto Lane suggestion and thought it should be a lower priority than some of the others that are on the list. Mayor Dahle is interested in the projects where supportive funding can be obtained. He believed 4500 by Olympus Park is important to focus on.

Mr. Chamness discussed the Holladay Blvd proposal. That is another section that the City Council has had some conversations about in the past. There is not necessarily a desire to have a lot of sidewalks there, so she wanted to hear feedback from the Council about what is envisioned. For instance, where the sidewalk would end in that area. Discussions were had about the needs there.

Ms. Chamness noted that based on the discussion, it sounds like fill-in areas are a priority for the Council as well as areas that are located near transit and schools. There can be a prioritization list created for the larger projects. In the past, she has seen the projects rotate among different districts, so the projects are taking place consistently throughout the City. A lot of resources are being devoted to 2700 East, so it might make sense to focus on a different district after that work is completed.

Council Member Fotheringham stated that sidewalks are more appropriate on 2700 East, Lincoln Lane, and the 2000 East sections. Those are less of a country road than even 5600 South. Council Members discussed the 5600 South area. It was noted that Lincoln Lane runs in front of Crestview Elementary. A lot of those students walk to school and some of the streets have a lot of traffic. Mayor Dahle thought that Lincoln Lane would be a higher priority over 5600 South and Casto Lane. Other Council Members agreed with that statement. Council Member Quinn thought the section closer to Crestview Elementary needs to be prioritized because more students walk in that area.

Mayor Dahle asked that placeholder costs be compiled so the Council can further prioritize the items. He wanted to know if there was interest from the Council in pursuing shelters for some of the transit benches. There have been some discussions about this in the past and it might be worthwhile to look into the costs of those. Council Member Brewer wondered whether the Utah Transit Authority ("UTA") could provide some data to let the City know which stops are most heavily used. Ms. Chamness explained that she has some of that data already and shared a few locations with the

Council. Mayor Dahle was interested in trying a few out to see how they look, how they are accepted, and if there are unintended consequences from installation. Council Member Brewer referenced the need for lighting on Viewmont Street from Murray Holladay Boulevard. Many have told him it is dark there. Ms. Chamness thought these were good items to consider during the budget discussions.

d. Discussion on Previous Public Hearing.

Mayor Dahle suggested that the ADU discussion take place during the Work Meeting scheduled for April 11, 2024, and wants the Council to look at what was recommended by City Staff and discuss how that differed from what the Planning Commission recommended. The public comments received can also be considered. It was noted that the current ADU discussions are partly the initiative of the City and partly in relation to past State mandates. Mr. Godfrey explained to the Council that the State is largely focusing on I-ADUs whereas the City Council discussions will mostly relate to E-ADUs. Council Member Fotheringham pointed out that if something E-ADU related becomes a mandate from the State, and the City has already done that work, the City does not receive credit when it comes time to report. He suggested that the City be strategic.

e. Calendar

Council Meetings – April 11 and 25, May 2, 9, 16, June 6 and 13, July 11.

The calendar items were reviewed during the Briefing Session.

XII. Closed Session Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical or Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition and Disposition.

Council Member Fotheringham moved to go into CLOSED SESSION Pursuant to Utah Code Section 52-4-204 and 205 to Discuss the Physical or Mental Health or Professional Competence of an Individual, Potential Litigation, Property Acquisition, and Disposition. Council Member Brewer seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Brewer-Aye; Council Member Quinn-Aye; Council Member Fotheringham-Aye; Council Member Durham-Aye; Council Member Gray-Aye; Mayor Dahle-Aye. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The Council convened in a closed session at 8:10 p.m. Those in attendance included Council Members Durham, Fotheringham, Quinn, Gray, Brewer, and Mayor Dahle. Others present included Gina Chamness, Todd Godfrey, Stephanie Carlson, and Carrie Marsh.

The minutes of the Closed Session were taken and are on file as a Protected Record

Council Member Quinn moved to adjourn the Closed Session. Council Member Fotheringham seconded the motion. The Council roll call vote was as follows: Council Members Durham, Fotheringham, Quinn, Gibbons, Brewer and Mayor Dahle in favor. The motion to go out of closed session at 8:41 p.m. passed with a unanimous vote.

XIII. Adjourn.

Council Member Fotheringham moved to ADJOURN. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 8:42 pm p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Holladay City Council Meeting held Thursday, March 21, 2024.

Stephanie N. Carlson, MMC
Holladay City Recorder

Robert Dahle, Mayor

Minutes approved: **June 13, 2024**