

## Maintenance Building Guiding Values Survey Results


### Top Shared Values:

- Low impact/sustainable design (9/10)
- Not on an archaeological site (9/10)
- Design matches park aesthetic (8/10)
- Accessible to park employees (8/10)

### Raw Data:

Which of the following guiding values do you share? Select all that apply.

10 responses



### Comments (new ideas are highlighted)

Not in a residential area, if possible.

Not in a location that could potentially cause traffic issues or negatively impact traffic.

No wire fencing. (Would not be in keeping with the park's natural environment and could be dangerous to animals).

I believe the maintenance building needs to be a size necessary for the current work, plus 25% for future contingencies. It should be located somewhat adjacent to the gathering place of the employees so there will be minimal travel waste. It should be aesthetically pleasing and blend in with the remaining property. However it obviously would need to fit in with budget of the park, even if it does not meet the aesthetic of the park.

I recommend that SLCo locate their structure at the west boundary of Metropolitan Water Supply easement and relocate the historic barn foundation to south of the driveway. Build a "barn" (County structure) on the original barn location circa 1900. This would add visual and interesting enhancement to the site and provide easy access and secure storage through a sliding barn door. The historic foundation when reassembled could offer an area of interest to park visitors. I have a current map of the water company's easement boundary.

I believe the building should be constructed in the most advantageous location that will allow the most efficient use of park employee's time. The building should be constructed to allow for future expansion in the event there is that necessity, but with a conservative view to those needs.

I am curious about the County's timeline for constructing a maintenance shed. I am also curious about their budget for construction, which seems to be the biggest factor limiting the scope based on their comments.

I think west of the Spencer house would be a better spot than the proposed site to the east of the house. Next to the Spencer house makes the most sense in order for county employees to best serve the needs of Dimple Dell park.