



Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund Board

Meeting Minutes January 11, 2024 9:00 am – 12:30 pm Location: Department of Workforce Services 140 East 300 South SLC, UT 84111 Conference Room 211 Via Zoom Link

The following links will take you to the public materials which were shared throughout the meeting which may be helpful

while reading through the minutes.

Link to the agenda:

https://www.utah.gov/pmn/files/1052185.pdf

Link to the audio recording:

https://www.utah.gov/pmn/files/1055705.mp3

Members Present and Representation:

Beth Holbrook - Transit-Oriented Development Mayor Logan Monson - Local Government Marty Henrie - Mortgage Lender Mike Glenn - Rural David Snow - Mortgage Lender John Lindsay - Rental Housing Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Local Government Jason Wheeler - Housing Advocacy Jed Nilson - Homebuilers **Excused/Absent:** Kip Paul - Real Estate

Staff Present:

Christina Oliver - HCD Director Jennifer Domenici - HCD-Assistant Director Jennifer Edwards - HCD Assistant Director

Dan Murphy - HCD-OWHLF Ashley Trujillo - OCC-Administration Janell Quiroz - HCD Administration Daniel Herbert-Voss - HCD-OWHLF Elliott Lawrence - DWS - Counsel Katye Halterman - HCD - OWHLF Kaylee Beck - DWS - Finance Carver Black - DWS - Finance **Interested Parties and Guests:** Karl Niederer Tim Cohen **Rvan Davis** Natasha Pfeiffer Amy Rewland Janice Kimball Lydia Robertson Zack Jones

Agenda Item	Discussion	Recommendations/Actions
Welcome	I. Beth Holbrook welcomed the Committee and called for	Board member attendance called
	attendance.	conclusion - Quorum present
Public	No Public comment	
Comment		
ltem 1	Mike Glenn asked for a correction to October's minutes. The	Beth Holbrock called for a motion to
Approval of	minutes stated that Board member Glenn recused himself from	approve Meeting Minutes from
Meeting	the vote on the Liberty Star Ranch project, he did not recuse	Quarterly Meeting – July 13, 2023
Minutes	himself and in fact seconded the motion.	Electronic Meeting – July 17, 2023

	After motion to approve made (Henrie) and seconded (Wheeler) role call vote: Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - A Marty Henrie - Y Mike Glenn - Y David Snow - A John Lindsay - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y Jed Nilson - Y Motion passed	Electronic Meeting – September 7, 2023 Quarterly Meeting – October 19, 2023 Electronic Meeting – December 5, 2023 * Staff to check and correct minutes regarding Liberty Star Ranch Mike Glenn recusal from discussion. Marty Henrie made a motion to approve all meeting minutes minutes aside from the correction that needed to be verified from Oct 19, 2023 Jason Wheeler seconded the motion.
Item 2 Financial Report & FY24 Budget	Kaylee Beck presented a financial review of the fund and explanation on how the info was laid out in the packet. Mike Glenn asked a followup question about the uncommitted amount in the single family section being represented by dashes. Kaylee explained that it had been committed but it didn't mean that loans had been purchased from the committed funds as of yet. No additional questions or comments were made.	
New Business Item 3	OWHLF project scoring criteria - review and approval Dan Murphy explained the email about the current and changes for scoring and asked the board to read through proposed changes and respond to future followup email with feedback about scoring. New process based on feedback could be accepted as soon as April. Mike Glenn Q.) Are we discussing those priority points today? Dan Murphy A.) No please email questions and feedback to staff to work through.	Board to read through proposed changes and email with feedback by the end of February. Transition to new scoring by April
Item 4	No additional questions or comments were made. Combined PAB/OW Executive Summary Board Packets Dan Murphy showed example of new format Beth explained the benefits of consistency Mike Glenn gave followup report of assignment completed in meeting with Dan and going through the new format to give feedback	
ltem 5	Rural Predevelopment Grant RFGA Changes - Review and Approval Dan Murphy explained this was presented beforehand via email and the changes proposed are to ensure that the fund is compliant with the statutes. Summary of changes: • Clarified language to ensure that it meets statute • Clarified language for allowable expenses • Added requirement that, in order to receive staff recommendation, a letter of support from applicable local municipality or county is required • Clarified monitoring language to ensure that it meets compliance requirements	Motion to approve changes as recommended by staff made by Jed Nilson 2nd Mike Glenn Motion passed

 Clarified budget language Updated application and monitoring checklist Beth Holbrook Q.) How is this communicated to applicants?
Beth Holbrook Q.) How is this communicated to applicants?
Dan Murphy A.) The new information is provided in the application
process and will be communicated at the time of application. As the
process goes forward the monitoring team will reach out to ensure
compliance or make corrections.
No additional questions or comments were made.
After motion to approve made (Henrie) and seconded (Wheeler)
role call vote:
Beth Holbrook - Y
Mayor Logan Monson - A
Marty Henrie - Y
Mike Glenn - Y
David Snow - A
John Lindsay - Y Mayor Dawo Ramsov, X
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y
Jed Nilson - Y
Kip Paul - A
Motion passed
Motion passed
em 6 Homeless Projects (Statute Priority - Homelessness) Motion to accept Staff's
44 North Permanent Supportive Housing (SLC) – First Step House recommendation made by Mike
Glen
Dan Murphy summarized project 2nd Jed Nilson
Staff Recommendation: Fund \$2,000,000 in HOME funds for 30 years at Motion passed
1.5% as a deferred loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) Zions Bank
permanent loan; 2) OWHLF HOME loan; 3) DWS-OHS ARPA loan.
Funding contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the
Application, and construction to Energy Star/minimum HERS standards.
Source of funding determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as
needed, and subject to funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to
show a total of 10 HOME-assisted units restricted for 30 years minimum
Representatives of project:
Janice Kimball with Housing Connect, Shawn McMillan First Step,
Marcus Lonardo development Rep for 44 North
Shawn McMillen- This development is similar to other projects Housing
Connect and First step have partnered on. Mental health and
reoccurring conditions wrapped together with housing.
O) Mike Clenn Lies the sity several energy of this yet?
Q). Mike Glenn - Has the city council approved this yet?
A). Janice -it's underway, we have the support of city staff, we just need
to make sure it's on the agenda. If approval does not happen we will
to make sure it's on the agenda. If approval does not happen we will bow-out our ownership and that has been cleared with UHC Q). Beth Holbrook - Do you have a timeline yet?

A). Janice - this was right before Christmas that we were working on	
getting before council and we had a couple months lead time.	
Q.) David Snow - If this is serving the homeless population, how does it	
derive it's cash flow?	
A). Janice- Cash flow comes though rent assistance which is provided	
through section 8.	
Q). David Snow - That comes through HUD?	
A). Janice - Correct	
A). Marcus - yes vouchers through section 8 as well as a grant from OHS	
Q). David Snow - Was that on an annual basis?	
A). Marcus - Yes, annual funding over the compliance period of the Tax	
Credits	
Q). Christina Oliver - Are you talking about the 15 year project based	
vouchers.	
A). Marcus and Janice- Yes	
Q). Mike Glenn - are we the last player in the financial package?	
A). Marcus - Yes, the project has full operating and management costs	
for over 15 years. Your dollars are the last dollars in to fill out the	
development.	
Q). Mike Glenn - timeline for shovels in the ground is what?	
A). Marcus - we're targeting Q4 2024 (pending design and entitlement	
schedule at the city)	
Q). David Snow - What contractor have you selected.	
A). Marcus - We have not gone through our official procurement	
process, it'll happen over the next several months. We received bids	
from Bonneville builders and Overland Construction	
Q). David Snow - Are you feeling confident that you're not going to have	
any price increases that will blow the budget.	
A). Marcus - We feel solid in the numbers not over padded we have	
contingency built in. Recently the cost escalations have cooled.	
Q). David Snow - Is your contingency 5%	
A). Marcus - Yes Q). Jason Wheeler - The executive summary indicates as a funding	
source \$35000 Rocky Mt Power but I didn't see a letter of confirmation	
for that funding.	
A). Marcus - That is a source of funding we would be eligible for and it's a requirement of Utah Housing Corp to list all grants the project is	
programmatically eligible for as a source of funding. We're confident	
that we'll be able to secure that. If there is an issue it's a small enough	
cost we'd be able to absorb it.	
Q). Jason Wheeler - the terms right now are 1 ½ % for 30 years, your	
other loans are all at 40 years. I'm assuming the negative cash flow(the	
300 and some odd per month) is at 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ % and not at 0%. Is that correct	
if it were a fully amortizing loan?	
A). Marcus - Yes	
Q). Jason Wheeler - and if it were at 0% interest and fully amortizing	
how would that affect DCR and cash flow?	
A). Marcus - it would be problematic. What's important about our	
request is that the loan is fully deferred. Being a permanent, supportive	
housing project means we have very high operating expenses. It will	
have 3 fulltime case managers to provide supportive services. The cost	
for staff and a supportive housing director is \$300,000/year. The way	

the numbers work the section 8 workers and the attainable housing grant can support the debt service and pay for all operating expenses. If we did have to make interest or principal payments at all then over time our debt service coverage ratio would fall below 115 and then below 1 and we would in the bable to cover our debt service on our senior loan and the project would be in jeopardy. So it's important to the project that the loan be fully deferred for the term of the loan. Q). That would include a cash flow loan / that also would be an option? A/Q). Marcus - Yes, are you asking if there was a cash flow where, if there was cash flow you would be paying the cash flow back to the flow we'd like to keep it in the project to provide beter supportive services and 1 think it would be a nominal amount of cash flow. Ideally we'd love it to be fully deferred just due to the nature of the project. No More questions Vote on motion: Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - A Jed Niko Greon - Y Maryor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y Motion passed Rehabilitation Projects (Statute Priority - Rehabilitation) Brick House Group Home (St. George) – Access Foundation Drick House Group Home (St. George) – Access Foundation		
Rehabilitation Projects (Statute Priority - Rehabilitation) Brick House Group Home (St. George) – Access FoundationMotion to Fund in full the Yellowhouse project and defer the brickhouse until the next fiscal year made by Jason WheelerDan Murphy summarized projectStaff Recommendation: Fund \$247,000 in state LIH funds for 30 years at 0% as a fully-amortizing loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) State Bank of Southern Utah; 2) OWHLF state LIH Loan. Funding contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the application, and rehabilitation to meet DHHS minimum licensing standards. Source of funding determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 5 LIH-assisted units restricted for 30 years minimumMotion to Fund in full the Yellowhouse project and defer the brickhouse until the next fiscal year made by Jason Wheeler 2nd by Dawn RamseyDeveloper and interested parties:Developer and interested parties:	grant can support the debt service and pay for all operating expenses. If we did have to make interest or principal payments at all then over time our debt service coverage ratio would fall below 115 and then below 1 and we wouldn't be able to cover our debt service on our senior loan and the project would be in jeopardy. So it's important to the project that the loan be fully deferred for the term of the loan. Q). That would include a cash flow loan/ that also would be an option? A/Q). Marcus - Yes, are you asking if there was a cash flow kind of contingency sweep? A). Jason Wheeler - yes Olene Walker does cash flow loans where, if there was cash flow you would be paying the cash flow back to the fund. A). Marcus - Yes ideally we would like it fully deferred, if there is cash flow we'd like to keep it in the project to provide better supportive services and I think it would be a nominal amount of cash flow. Ideally we'd love it to be fully deferred just due to the nature of the project. No More questions Vote on motion: Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - A Jed Nilson - Y David Snow - Y Marty Henrie - R Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - R Mike Glenn - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y	
funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 5 LIH-assisted units restricted for 30 years minimum Developer and interested parties:	Brick House Group Home (St. George) – Access Foundation Dan Murphy summarized project Staff Recommendation: Fund \$247,000 in state LIH funds for 30 years at 0% as a fully-amortizing loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) State Bank of Southern Utah; 2) OWHLF state LIH Loan. Funding contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the application, and rehabilitation to meet DHHS minimum licensing standards. Source of funding	Yellowhouse project and defer the brickhouse until the next fiscal year made by Jason Wheeler
Discussion outlined below referred to both Brickhouse and Yellow	funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 5 LIH-assisted units restricted for 30 years minimum Developer and interested parties: Alan Oviatt and Mike Keenan	

Q). Beth Holbrook - could you remind me what is the total amount	
requested, available and options.	
A). Kaylee Beck - Available 451,645, asking 570,500 short 118,855.	
Options to fund 1 project completely and 1 partially, fund both partially	
proportionate to the task for each project (each just shy of 80% per	
project). I don't know if the developer would have a preference on	
which the funds should go to.	
Q). Beth Holbrook - Obviously one is older than the other, what is the definition of "substantial interior cleaning" does that change the	
dynamic in anyway or is it pretty much surface cosmetics?	
A). Daniel Hurbert-Voss - It's mainly cosmetic, the older one is just in a	
little bit worse condition. No issues foreseen at this point.	
Q.) MIke Glenn - do we know what their time frame is and how quickly	
they want to start?	
Q.) David Snow - If we do fund everything available how do they make	
up their gap in funding?	
A.) Allen - we don't have a problem pulling the Brick house and coming	
back in July when there might be more funding. The yellow house will	
need some construction (putting up walls, build out an ADU in the back)	
and has slightly more urgency than the Brickhouse.	
Q). David Snow - How much in remodel costs do you have?	
A). Allen- around \$100,000	
Q). Mike Glenn - so he's saying to table the Brickhouse until July when	
we get our funding?	
A). Alan - Yes	
Q.) Jason Wheeler - Are there any other outstanding requests for state funds?	
A). Daniel Herbert-Voss and Kaylee Beck - the only other one is the	
pre-development grant and that is different.	
Q). David Snow - Doesn't that finish us off until our next funding in	
June?	
A). Jason Wheeler - yeah, I think they're suggesting we just award the	
Yellowhouse and wait on Brickhouse until the next fiscal year.	
A). Kaylee Beck - if you just awarded Yellowhouse you'de have 128000 if	
anyone came with a little ask in April and otherwise it would be added	
to the funding in July. Q). Marty Henrie - Is the building that is detached behind also a	
residential building?	
A). Alan - that is currently a large garage. It has that space for that	
attachment.	
Q). Mike Glenn -I can't recall if Saint George is an entitlement city that	
receives its own HUD funds. If it is, it would be worth checking to see if	
they could put some CDBG funds into this project.	
A). Jen Domenici - yes they do get their own CDBG funds.	
A.) Beth Holbrook - that's for you Alan, something to look into.	
No More questions	
Vote on motion:	
Beth Holbrook - Y	

Mayor Logan Monson - Y	
Jed Nilson - Y	
David Snow - Y	
Marty Henrie - Y	
Kip Paul - A	
John Lindsay - Y	
Mike Glenn - Y	
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	
Rehabilitation Projects (Statute Priority - Rehabilitation)	Motion to Fund in full the
Yellow House Group Home (St. George) – Access Foundation	Yellowhouse project and defer the
	brickhouse until the next fiscal year
Dan Murphy summarized project	made by Jason Wheeler
Staff Recommendation: Fund \$323,500 in state LIH funds for 30 years at	2nd by Dawn Ramsey
0% as a fully-amortizing loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) State Bank	
of Southern Utah; 2) OWHLF state LIH loan. Funding contingent on all	
other funding sources as listed in the application, and rehabilitation to	
meet DHHS minimum licensing standards. Source of funding	
determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to	
funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 6	
LIH-assisted units restricted to 30 years minimum.	
See discussion under Brickhouse Group Home project for discussion	
regarding this project.	
No More questions	
Vote on motion:	
Beth Holbrook - Y	
Mayor Logan Monson - Y	
Jed Nilson - Y	
David Snow - Y	
Marty Henrie - Y	
Kip Paul - A	
John Lindsay - Y	
Mike Glenn - Y	
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	
New Construction Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by	Motion made by Marty Henrie to
Score)	accept staff's recommendation on
Skyline Arch Apartments (Moab) – Housing Authority of SEU	this project.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2nd Mayor Dawn Ramsey
Dan Murnhy summarized project	2nd Mayor Dawn Ramsey
Dan Murphy summarized project	
Staff's recommendation: Fund \$1,500,000 in HOME funds for 35 years	
at 1.5% as a fully-amortizing loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) Rocky	
Mt CRC permanent mortgage; 2) OWHLF HOME loan. Funding	
contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the application, and	

construction to Energy Star/minimum HERS standards. Source of	
funding determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and	
subject to funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total	
of 8 HOME-assisted units restricted for 35 years minimum.	
Developer and interested parties:	
Amy Roland (financing), Ben Riley ex. Director of HASU, Laura Harris	
Project manager	
Ben - Project is on Land trust land, bedroom sizes based on community	
feedback	
Amy - real need for rental properties in Grand county	
Q). Marty Henrie - Question regarding expense per door for Daniel	
Herbert-Voss, have we seen any projects on non fee simple land that	
have approached ½ million dollars per door?	
A). Daniel Herbert-Voss - no we have not.	
A.) Amy - I can speak to that, The land itself was completely donated by	
the donor there has been considerable expense in developing the land,	
putting in streets, sidewalks, curbing, all the utilities had to be laid,	
Underground grading and dealing with drainage issues and flood issues	
etc. the land development costs for the Trust Land were pretty high. So	
Land trust is asking the developers to compensate the Land Trust for	
those infrastructure costs. It is notable that the cost they're passing	
along is considerably less than the value of the land.	
Q). Marty Henrie - Are you saying that the costs for the infrastructure is	
included in the \$500,000 r is that simply part of the lease payment	
annually?	
A). Amy - That 559,000 is basically the por rata share of the	
infrastructure development for the whole complex. It's been allocated	
to each parcel, so that is it's share of the infrastructure costs.	
Q). Marty Henrie - I see that and it is separate from the costs you	
presented to us, correct? The 500,000/door excludes those. I'm just	
making the point that this is the most expensive cost per unit that	
we've seen come through this board and the land and land development costs aren't even a part of the 500,000, I just want to be	
sure I'm clear about that.	
A). Amy - no, the land development cost of 559,000 is included in the	
500,000/ door. It is part of the development cost for this project.	
A). Marty Henrie - ok that's great. It's still the most expensive project I	
think we've ever seen. I'm not necessarily opposed to the project, I'm	
just wondering on the costs.	
Q). Marty Henrie - Do you have contract bids? Do you have hard cost	
Bids? Do you have a contractor selected who's provided a bid at this	
level?	
A). Amy - we went out to bid with incomplete construction drawings	
and not yet approved plans. We invited 6 contractors we knew had	
interest and experience in the area. I believe we had two responses.	
The lower of the two was Kier construction. Kier construction has not	
been awarded the construction they were awarded a construction	
manager type role. They worked with the architect in completing the	
bids and drawings. They are likely to be the contractor. I would say the	

one of the extreme problems with Moab is a lack of contractors that can do the work. They are generally coming from someplace far away (Like Ogden in this case). There's really no local contractor. So that doesn't help the construction cost. Also the scale of the project is small so costs aren't spread out over a large number of units. A). David Snow - Understood Q). Marty Henrie - Inside your budget in our package you have site development costs, earthwork, plumbing and so forth included in the cost. Is that the cost that you are repaying in the lease that the Lessor has incurred to develop the site? You are saying that those annual costs are included in this budget you've presented. A). Amy - the 559,000 essentially as an acquisition price which is awkward because we're not buying the land. It is essentially infrastructure costs. There are also parcel development costs in the construction costs. There is also the lease cost which is pretty minimal-328/unit to help with longer term management/maintenance costs A.) Marty Henrie - Thank you Q.) Christina Oliver - The costs are definitely higher, the type of projects we usually look at have large multi-family unit counts that are each able to absorb site, development and land costs. Does the 559,000 include Site development, procurement of the land and the parcel and full development of the Townhome, is that correct? A.) Amy - the 559,000 is the price share of the infrastructure for the project, basically roads, curbs, sidewalks, burying utilities. There are also development costs in the construction budget for developing the particular parcel. the totals for development costs work out to roughly 500,000/unit. This is a sort of total development cost which includes all those infrastructure and development costs. Q.) Christina Oliver - so that roughly 501 per unit is to develop all 32 units and includes all those development costs. Correct? A). Amy - Correct. Q.) Davis Snow - I understand that but Townhomes shouldn't be double the costs. C.) Jason Wheeler - If you look at the unit breakdown 8- 3bd, 4-4bd, 12-2bd and 8-1bd. so some of these units will certainly be going to be larger than we typically see in a multi-family housing development. Many of the projects we see, the mix is studio, 1bd and 2 bd, without any 3 or 4 bd units. So that makes it a little different, I agree though that these costs are quite high. C). David Snow - agree with what you're saying but not to the magnitude of twice as high, it's extraordinarily high. And it's mostly horizontal and vertical improvements not ground improvements. A). Amy - Most land costs incorporate development, you just don't see the costs because they were done 20-50 years ago. C). David Snow - typically the developer gets repaid for those costs through the lease payments. In this case the Lessor wants the development costs upfront and wants lease payments as well. A). Right, they are a nonprofit so they don't have the profit side motivation to develop the land and sell for maximum value. They are committed to keep it affordable so they are asking for compensation for the construction improvement cost. I agree that the costs are high, it's

frustrating doing business in Grand County, In Moab there aren't many suppliers, the material has to come from a long way away. Workers have to be brought in and housed locally. There is a reason there is a big housing crisis there. It's really hard and really expensive to build there. Q). Jed Nilson - Do we have a point of reference for any developments we've done in Grand County? I've personally been looking at building homes for sale down there and have been shocked. Just as a point of reference I went through a little townhome development that was I think 4 or 6 little 4plexes, they were all 1 bd/1bth 4 plexes. Not nearly as nice or complex, just the most simple and cost effective construction and they were 250,000 per unit. I was shocked at the price. But there is no labor force you have to haul everything and everyone so when we look at the costs and everything she's been referencing. So I'm looking for a reference point for Moab pro and building costs.

A). Christina Oliver - I don't have specific numbers but anecdotally working with the AOG not only in this area but other rural areas of the state this is a common problem and the costs are significantly more. Specifically our self help programs. And I know those are stand alone programs but those are items that not only the state legislature has recognized that homes in rural Utah cost significantly more but also the governor's budget promotes additional funding for these programs. We don't have the magnitude of dollars to build significant projects like the one being presented to you today. So yes costs are higher labor shortage is a real thing in rural Utah. They prefer to be along the wasatch front and wasatch back to build-they can make a lot more money. I do also want to point out that I think it's extremely important that this project did receive 9% tax credit. 9% tax credits are one of the most scarce resources we have in the state and for them to receive the allocation that they did really speaks volumes to Utah Housing Corporation's process but also to the fact that this particular project in this area is an extremely important product to provide to the community.

C). Jed Nilson - The Moab area has an extreme Housing shortage and extreme affordability issues. People not familiar would be shocked by these prices. I've been looking down there and seeing what it would cost for my company to build down there. So I just wanted to call out that the costs are significant but there is a severe need.

C). Beth Wheeler - thanks for context. Call for any more questions. C). Jason Wheeler - I have another comment- this is a fully amortized loan at 1 ½ % so it will immediately begin generating program revenue and payback that can be used in other projects. If we look at his compared to projects that are fully deferred this type of project allows us to take that money in the very near future and start re-embedding it into other projects.

Beth Holbrook closed comments and entertained a motion on Syline Arch at Arroyo Crossing

Vote on motion:

Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - Y

Jed Nilson - Y	
David Snow - Y	
Marty Henrie - Y	
Kip Paul - A	
John Lindsay - Y	
Mike Glenn - Y	
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	
New Construction Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by	Motion to approve as per staff
Score)	recommendation by Jed Nilson
Moda Griffin Apartments (SLC) – JF Properties	2nd Logan Monson
Dan Murphy summarized project	
Staff Recommendation: Fund \$931,843 in state PAB gap funds for 30	
years at 3.00% as a surplus cash flow loan as requested. Loan to have a	
minimum \$1,000 annual payment to cover loan servicing costs. Lien	
positions: 1) Citibank first mortgage; 2) OWHLF LIH surplus cash flow	
loan; 3) general partner deferred loan. Funding contingent on all other	
funding sources as listed in the Application, and construction to Energy	
Star/minimum HERS standards. Source of funding determined at	
discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to funding	
availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 5 LIH-assisted	
units restricted for 30 years minimum.	
Developer and interested parties:	
JF Properties Ryan Davis , Harold, Jake Wood	
C). JF Prop - excited about the project, offering 2bd units to	
accommodate families and larger households that are not often	
-	
available in the area. We have a number of funding sources coming in	
on this one. We are deferring 50% of our fee and do anticipate a GB	
loan and we appreciate your consideration on the project.	
Q). MIke Glenn - It says they are looking for a state LIHTC allocation in	
December-is that correct?	
A). JF Prop- that is correct	
Q). Mike Glenn - that is a ways off what does that do to your pricing,	
pushing the project back 12 months?	
A). JF Prop - we are doing the application in June to be awarded in	
summer and we're looking to close late summer. we have built in for	
some contingencies as far as price fluctuations.	
Q). David Snow - How long do you defer your half piece of your	
development fee?	
A). JF Prop - it ends up becoming a cash flow contingent deferral and	
gets put into essentially the waterfall position within the project. There	
are a number of different priorities and the deferred development fees	
are about half way down and as cash flow becomes available through	
the life of the project it gets paid down. It'll be several years before we	
get that money back.	
Q). David Snow - the repayment of our loan has priority over that?	

Yes, the second lead position on the cash flow surplus neeler - Since this is pushed out a bit my inclination is to oject, would there be a negative impact on the Olene if we ended the fiscal year with additional funds? Just	
could award this one in July and have additional funds nything came up for April. brook - I would prefer to not defer. erbert-Voss - these particular funds are specifically intended ctivity bond projects. neeler - okay Oliver - yes these funds need to be spent down, so this is a ect to utilize these funds ok closed comments and entertained a motion on Moda ments with JF Properties	
k - Y Monson - Y Y - Y Y Ramsey - Y ır - Y	
action Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by partments (SLC) – Great Lakes Capital summarized project mendation: Fund \$1,903,123 instead of \$1,926,331 in for 30 years at 1.5% as a surplus cash flow loan. Loan to num \$1,000 annual payment to cover loan servicing costs. as: 1) Merchants Capital first mortgage; 2) OWHLF HOME flow loan; 3) Salt Lake County ARPA loan. Funding on all other funding sources as listed in Application, ing remaining funding gap, and construction to Energy im HERS standards. Source of funding determined at FHCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to funding DWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 11 red units restricted for 30 years minimum and interested parties: Capital Karl Niederer, Tim Cone	Motion to accept staff's recommendation by Jason Wheeler 2nd Jed Nilson
	nything came up for April. prook - I would prefer to not defer. prepert-Voss - these particular funds are specifically intended ctivity bond projects. leeler - okay Oliver - yes these funds need to be spent down, so this is a ect to utilize these funds ok closed comments and entertained a motion on Moda ments with JF Properties ion: k - Y Monson - Y Y - Y Y Mamsey - Y r - Y d d totion Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by partments (SLC) – Great Lakes Capital summarized project mendation: Fund \$1,903,123 instead of \$1,926,331 in for 30 years at 1.5% as a surplus cash flow loan. Loan to num \$1,000 annual payment to cover loan servicing costs. Is: 1) Merchants Capital first mortgage; 2) OWHLF HOME flow loan; 3) Salt Lake County ARPA loan. Funding n all other funding sources as listed in Application, ng remaining funding gap, and construction to Energy m HERS standards. Source of funding determined at HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to funding DWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 11 ed units restricted for 30 years minimum and interested parties:

C). Karl - Excited about the project and wanting to capitalize on the	
location with relation to transit. The permits are in for approval now,	
they're projecting end of March so we are ready to go on this project.	
We're selecting the contractor now.	
Q). Mike Glenn - so this project is right next door to the project we just	
approved?	
A). Karl - across the street	
Q.) Beth Holbrock - it looks like there might be some environmental	
issues	
A). Karl - we've had phase 1 and phase 2 done. It used to be a	
laundromat and dry cleaner. We thought it was important to do that	
early on in the project, rapport came back with minimal cleaning	
solvents in the soil and the recommendation is a paper barrier.	
Q). Mike Glenn - and that pricing is all built into the project?	
A). Karl - it is.	
Q). Do you have any special needs units, I don't see any on the form?	
A). Karl - we don't have any set aside to be special needs but we are	
targeting ami's as low as 30 and another 10 targeted to 40 Ami and	
income average all the way to 80%	
Q). Mike Glenn - but in terms of units specifically outfitted for the	
disabled?	
A). Karl - yes we are sitting at 14	
Q). Marty Henrie - I don't see the contractor that your are working	
with, I'm wondering how far down the road into the bidding process	
you are?	
A). Karl - we've been working with R&O for the preCon stage, we're	
finalizing contracts with them hopefully today.	
Q). David Snow - question for staff- Do we have a set max as far as %	
that we allow for the developer fee?	
A). Daniel Herbert-Voss - the max I believe is 10%	
Q). David Snow - believe we're beyond 10%	
A.) Dan Murphy - I've got development co of 43 mil and 3.4 mil in	
developer fees is 7.6%	
Q.) Mike Glenn - on the order of repayment, we will get paid from the	
cash flow surplus in the second position of priority.	
A). Karl - yes and the other loan is also a cash flow surplus loan not a	
regular loan.	
Beth Holbrook closed comments and entertained a motion on 9ten	
West Apartments	
Vote on motion:	
Beth Holbrook - Y	
Mayor Logan Monson - Y	
Jed Nilson - Y	
David Snow - Y	
Marty Henrie - Y	
Kip Paul - A	
John Lindsay - Y	
Mike Glenn - Y	
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
Jason Wheeler - Y	

Motion Passed	
New Construction Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by Score)	Motion to approve staff's recommendation David Snow
Mountain Crest Manor (Orem) – Mt Country Home Solutions	2nd Marty Henrie
Dan Murphy summarized the project, affordable rental property for age 62+ with 4 units. Staff recommendation is for \$100,000 in finding for 30 years instead of 40 years and a 2.5% fully amortizing loan. Lean position would be third. Daniel Herbert-Voss added that the applicant is requesting an	
exemption from energy star requirements due to the size of the project. Q). Mike Glenn is this new constriction? A). Dan Murphy - Yes	
Staff Recommendation: Fund \$100,000 in HOME CHDO funds for 30 years instead of 40 years at 2.5% as a fully-amortizing loan. Lien positions: 1) Provo City \$400,000 first mortgage loan; 2) Provo City	
\$431,736 second mortgage loan; 3) OWHLF HOME fully-amortizing loan. Funding contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the Application, and construction to Energy Star/minimum HERS standards. Source of funding determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as	
needed, and subject to funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 1 HOME-assisted unit restricted for 30 years minimum	
Developer and interested parties: Sharlene Wilde	
C). Sharlene - developer was approached by Orem city to put this project together. and with COVID and all the things (Supply chain issues, Interest rate spikes etc.) we kinda felt like the project as a little bit snake bit but all of a sudden the funding is coming together and every single funding source is signed. We did get the federal home loan bank money yesterday, we have Davis Bacon in place, we've already done the	
earthwork for this project we needed to get started. We are ready to go and continue on this project. C). Mike Glenn - there is an alternative path to the energy star which	
means you meet the HUR standard of being 15% better than code which does not add a lot of cost burden to you as a developer. So I suggest you look at that alternative path and reach out to the Utah	
Energy Conservation Coalition out of Orem to help you achieve that. C). Sharlene - Okay we can do that and Maddox Construction is our developer out of West Jordan and they have significant experience with this type of thing. So we will turn this over to them. And the costs you	
see are not guesses, they are actual and under contract. Q). Christina Oliver - has there or has there not been site work done? A). Sharlene - there has been some sitework done. Yes, we had to get it	
done before winter because some of the funding sources are time sensitive. Q). Jennifer Dominici - Because these are Federal Funds, home funds,	
we need to make you aware that Davis Bacon does apply and there are no issues with that.	

 A). Sharlene - there is not a problem, our paperwork is up to date and Maddox is handling all of that. Q). Jennifer Dominici - where site work has been done, did you receive an environmental review clearance before site work? A). Sharlene - Yes we did, because of the Provo City and Orem City money the environmental review was performed by Provo city and clearance was obtained. Vote on motion: Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - Y Jed Nilson - Y 	
David Snow - Y Marty Henrie - Y Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - Y Mike Glenn - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	
New Construction Projects - Other Multifamily Projects (Ranked by Score) Silos Apartments (SLC) – BCG ARC Fund Dan Murphy summarized the project, Staff Recommendation: Fund \$1,000,000 in HOME funds for 40 years at 3.0% as a fully-amortizing loan as requested. Lien positions: 1) Key Bank construction-topermanent first mortgage; 2) OWHLF HOME fully-amortizing loan. Funding contingent on all other funding sources as listed in the Application, and construction to Energy Star/minimum HERS standards. Source of funding determined at discretion of HCD/OWHLF staff as needed, and subject to funding availability. OWHLF deed restriction to show a total of 6 HOME-assisted units restricted for 30 years minimum. Developer and interested parties: Jonathan Hardy we are about as shovel ready as it gets. We have all other funding sources secured, the precipice of getting our building permits and just need this gap loan.	Motion made by Jason Wheeler 2nd Mike Glenn
 Q). Jason wheeler - that's a storage facility right there? A). Jonathan - yes Q). Mike Glenn - You don't have any utility rebates? You'll probably find a fairly substantial amount if you contact A). Jonathan - yes, yeah we are in touch with Rocky Mt. Power, it's an all electric building. Q). Jason Wheeler - those adjacent Silo buildings, they are staying right? 	

	A). Jonathan - Yes
	Q). David Snow - the Silos are staying?
	A). Jonathan - yes we took down a 10 pack and the mill tower, but the
	ower mill is going to be adaptively reused and this 6 pack of silos is
S	taying. There is no way to amke them occupiable so they are a
n	nonument on the sight and will be part of the property.
C). David Snow - so there is no use for them?
A	A). Jonathan - there is no occupiable use, we'll do some creative things
f	or events with them.
C	2). Jennifer Dominci - has sitework been done?
	A). Jonathan - Environmental remediation has been done by the lessor
С	of the ground but LIHTC has not done anything. We are not doing any
d	levelopment on the site yet.
C	2). Did you receive any sort of clearance on what has been done?
	A). Jonathan - we've done our state required environmental
	emediation on the site
C	C). Dan Murphy - this emphasis is because the funds would be
	ontingent upon that being done prior to the work.
	C). Jason Wheeler - I think the confusion is that they're leasing the
	property, it's not their property.
-	C). Jennifer Dominici - but with the federal funds any sitework at all we
	have to have ERR clearance before.
	 a). Jason Wheeler - I'm just asking for clarification, if the property is
	wheel by someone else and they're not using federal funds for any of
	he work that's been done so far in terms of environmental remediation
	ind it's separate from the lease and what's being done with federal
	unding so if that's the case the question for Jonathan is has the
	environmental review been done?
	A.) Jonathan - the HUD environmental review has not been done as
	nome funds have not been on the table.
	C). Christina Oliver - Home Funds can not be used on projects where
	he site has already been remediated. We're in current conversations
	vith HUD about that prohibition.
	Q). Beth Holbrook - so to help with understanding, they are not the owners of the land but that is still material?
	A). Christina Oliver - it doesn't matter who owns the land, if HUD funds
	re being used it needs to be done through the HUD process. Which is,
	before you disturb the land you have to have HUD environmental
	learance.
	2). Jason Wheeler - can I just ask, so someone owns a property and
	hey've been digging in their sandbox on their property with no idea
	hat some day some sort of federal project is going to happen on the
-	property and then an entity approaches and says they would like to put
	housing project on the property, the fact that the prior owner has
	been playing around in the dirt should not impact the ability to acquire
	unding for a housing project - right?
	A). Jennifer Dominici - we've been trying to work this out with HUD and
g	et some clarification or guidance. We have brought that to their
а	ttention and are waiting to hear back.
	Q). Beth Holbrook - so their position is "No" at this point?
A	A). Jennifer Dominici - right We're trying to get ahead of this by
	neeting with developers and trying to get the communication out that

	 if there is going to be any federal funds and there has been any site work done at all it;s going to be really tricky, or we just won't be able to use federal funds. We're trying to get ahead of it while seeking further guidance from HUD on how to make this work. C). We won't authorize any Home Funds until we have full approval. Q). Beth Holbrook - that being said what is the cost of getting that HUD approval. I'm really trying to understand how to navigate this if you're in this weird space. A). Jason Wheeler - it's not that expensive it's just a hoop, just time. It's not even that there are lots of studies, it's just a hoop. Q). Beth Holbrook - so, I'm just curious, if we did do staff's recommendation, will we have to have that as a contingency to funding? A). Christina Oliver - Yes C). Jennifer Dominici - In the future we have Sarah Moore at these meetings, she is our environmental specialist. (She was unable to make it due to the weather today) 	
	 C). Christina Oliver - I would like to make a plug for dollars from the governor's budget for Olene Walker, this is the perfect project that we could provide state funding for and not have to worry about any of this. C). Jonathan - Having gone through the environmental stuff we are happy to work with staff on how to navigate that. C). Mike Glenn - but if we do give you funding from the state source it seems like we would still expect you to do an environmental review. C). Beth Holbrook - it's a very optimistic statement because we technically haven't been given any funds yet. But that is correct that if we have that funding stream it wouldn't be under the same expectation. 	
	Entertained a motion on Silos Apartment by BCG ARC fund. Vote on motion:	
	Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - Y Jed Nilson - Y David Snow - Y Marty Henrie - Y Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - R Mike Glenn - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y	
Item 7 New	Shelter Renovation (Moab) – Seekhaven Incorporated	Motion to follow staff's
Pre-Developme nt Grant	Dan Murphy summarized the project Staff recommendation to not fund based on lack of letter of support and not meeting the requirement of usage do to proposed childcare facility on the premises.	recommendation made by Mike Glenn 2nd by Marty Henrie

Q). Jason Wheeler - am I correct in understanding that part of this	
project is for housing?	
A). Dan Murphy - the housing part of the project meets the criteria the	
childcare part does not.	
Q). Beth Holbrook - If they rephrased their application and found	
funding elsewhere for the child acre portion it could potentially qualify?	
A). Dan Murphy - yes potentially, they would need to discuss with	
counsel.	
A). Christina Oliver - I'm pulling up code real quick, it is extremely	
specific. Let me pull it up while Jason asks his question.	
Q). Jason Wheeler - I think this is subjective where it's a part of the	
development to me. Childcare in a domestic violence housing situation	
feels like it's an integral part of housing. Obviously I think before we	
went through this they would need to get that letter of support from	
the municipality for sure. And where that's part of the same	
development it's a needed part of this housing project. If it was just a	
childcare that would be different.	
C). Mike Glenn - we've also not funded shelters A). Christina Oliver - So the code specifically says, it must be in	
preparation for a project that involves the construction of	
moderate income housing unit. It does not provide for homeless	
or shelters	
Q). Mike Glenn - is there funding though through DWS OHS that	
could be of use here?	
A). Christina Oliver - it really depends on if, well ther are 2 things,	
we can link them up with OHS to see if there are any funds laying	
around that could be used for this or if the legislature approved	
additional funds this year then the applicant could apply for those.	
le the explicent here? Abigeil Teuler ne	
Is the applicant here? Abigail Taylor - no	
We still need to make a motion.	
Mike Glenn made the motion to accept Staff's recommendation	
with the understanding that staff will work with the applicant in	
order to help investigate other funding sources.	
Vote on motion:	
Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - A	
Jed Nilson - A	
David Snow - Y	
Marty Henrie - Y	
Kip Paul - A	
John Lindsay - Y	
Mike Glenn - Y	
Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	

ltana Qu	Liberty Corner OW/ULF Deard Americal for Dreiget Changes	Mation to account Staff's
Item 8: Existing/Return	Liberty Corner - OWHLF Board Approval for Project Changes	Motion to accept Staff's recommendations Jason Wheeler
ing Multifamily	Dan Murphy summarized the project	2nd David Snow
Projects	Staff recommendation: Approve changes to project configuration,	
Trojects	increasing total unit count from 161 to 200 total. Lien positions: 1)	
	Zions Bank first mortgage; 2) Salt Lake County HOME loan; 3) Salt Lake	
	City non-Federal loan; 4) OWHLF loan #HTF2021; 5) UCNS HOME loan;	
	and 6) Salt Lake County HOME loan. All other conditions as previously	
	approved at the July 17, 2023 OWHLF meeting	
	Developer and interested parties:	
	Chris Zarak and Zach Jones from Cowboy Partners	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Q). Jason Wheeler - would you move forward with market rates if you	
	did not receive this increased 4% allocation?	
	A). Chris - If we did not receive the adjustment then the question would	
	be of risk in relinquishing the current allocation and reapplying for the	
	full allocation. And of course there's no guarantee of any allocation in	
	any given round. But we'd move forward with that.	
	No additional questions	
	Vote on motion:	
	Beth Holbrook - Y	
	Mayor Logan Monson - A	
	Jed Nilson - Y	
	David Snow - Y	
	Marty Henrie - Y	
	Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - Y	
	Mike Glenn - Y	
	Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y	
	Jason Wheeler - Y	
	Motion Passed	
	Residences at Fireclay II - OWHLF Board Approval for Parking and	Motion to follow staff's
	Ground Lease Structure	recommendation Mike Glenn
		2nd Jed Nilson
	Dan Murphy summarized the project	
	Staff recommendation: Grant final approval of the Residences at	
	Fireclay II ground lease terms enabling sufficient parking [18 spaces	
	minimum] in accordance with the June 2023 Murray City Community	
	Development letter and the draft Ground Lease document, with a	
	minimum parking of 18 spaces for Phase II. OWHLF loan #HMP2004 to	
	remain as a surplus cash flow loan with a \$1,000 minimum annual	
	payment regardless of cash flow to cover OWHLF loan servicing costs.	
	Lien positions as previously approved: 1) Horizon Bank	
	construction-to-permanent loan; 2) OWHLF loan #HMP2004.	
	Developer and interested parties: Ryan Kelly TWG Development, Jason	

Ryan - We apologize for not being at the meeting, I'd like to re explain how we got here and answer any questions. We intended to split the lots and the city came back and said, "Hey, the best process here is to actually keep it as one." We actually have a parking ratio because of the proximity to lightrail. The senior 62+ parking ratio is allowable under our code to reduce that so we kind of went from the standpoint of the city's directive and pivoted to that approach. I wouldn't say this is the ordinary route we would go. We kind of got lucky that we got the same investor and lender on both sides, and so we got them on board the concept. So we're here back to you today to approve what the city approved from the gramley's structure in the parking ratio.	
Vote on motion:	
Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - Y Jed Nilson - Y David Snow - Y Marty Henrie - Y Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - R Mike Glenn - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y	
Motion Passed	
Richmond Flats - OWHLF Board Approval for Additional non OWHLF Funding Dan Murphy summarized the project Staff recommendation: Approve the increase in the RMCRC first mortgage from \$3,170,000 to \$4,410,000, and approve the additional funding sources (Salt Lake City -\$210,000 HOME funding; DWS-OHS - \$500,000 ARPA funding) in third and fourth lien positions due to increased construction costs. RMCRC loan to remain in first lien position, and OWHLF loan #HME1924 to remain in second lien position, with OWHLF subordinating only to the RMCRC loan. Developer and interested parties: Todd Reeder and Ashley Grant	Motion to accept staff's recommendation -Marty Henrie 2nd David Snow
 Todd - ribbon cutting in November, great project. Only pains were in construction costs but we got additional funding and now we're looking to get it wrapped up and we appreciate the support. We're 70% leased up and looking forward to being all finalized by end of month. Q). Jason - Wheeler - Was there any change to the interest rate when the loan increased? Q.) Todd - Interest Rate on Rocky Mountain CRC? Q). Jason - Wheeler - It's 5.3 right now, I was curious if it was the same interest rate with the original loan? A). Ashley - at original underwriting we were at 4.7 	
	how we got here and answer any questions. We intended to split the lots and the city came back and said, "Hey, the best process here is to actually keep it as one." We actually have a parking ratio because of the proximity to lightrail. The senior 62+ parking ratio is allowable under our code to reduce that so we kind of went from the standpoint of the city's directive and pivoted to that approach. I wouldn't say this is the ordinary route we would go. We kind of got lucky that we got the same investor and lender on both sides, and so we got them on board the concept. So we're here back to you today to approve what the city approved from the gramley's structure in the parking ratio. Vote on motion: Beth Holbrook - Y Mayor Logan Monson - Y Jed Nilson - Y David Snow - Y Marty Henrie - X Kip Paul - A John Lindsay - R Mike Glenn - Y Mayor Dawn Ramsey - Y Jason Wheeler - Y Motion Passed Richmond Flats - OWHLF Board Approval for Additional non OWHLF Funding Dan Murphy summarized the project Staff recommendation: Approve the increase in the RMCRC first mortgage from \$3,170,000 to \$4,410,000, and approve the additional funding sources (Salt Lake City -\$210,000 HOME funding; DWS-OHS - \$500,000 ARPA funding) in third and fourth lien positions due to increased construction costs. RMCRC loan to remain in first lien position, and OWHLF loan #HME1924 to remain in second lien position, with OWHLF subordinating only to the RMCRC loan. Developer and interested parties: Todd Reeder and Ashley Grant Todd - ribbon cutting in November, great project. Only pains were in construction costs but we got additional funding and now we're looking to get it wrapped up and we appreciate the support. We're 70% leased up and looking forward to being all finalized by end of month. Q). Jason - Wheeler - Was there any change to the interest rate when the loan increased? Q). Todd - Interest Rate on Rocky Mountain CRC? Q). Jason - Wheeler - It's 5.3 right now, I was curious if it was the same

1	