From:
 Michael Wild

 To:
 Wendy Gurr

 Subject:
 REZ2023-001047

**Date:** Monday, March 25, 2024 8:13:36 PM

RE: REZ2023-001047 - Alex Smith rezoning of property 1226 East 10600 South from A-2 to CMU

and the property directly adjacent to above property. I am the property owner at Due to work, I will not be able to attend the rezoning meeting. I would like voice my concerns on the rezoning. I am not in favor of this zoning change. My concerns are Mr. Smiths current disregard for the current zoning laws. The property is not currently zoned for business use, yet his current use of the property is for business purposes. In addition the 1225 East street, is a private street and having him use it for business use is not acceptable. There is a 25 foot easement on the west side of his property for the street. As there is a 25 foot easment on the East side of my property. To make a street width of 50 feet. This easement was acknowledged on all deeds from when the property was originally broken up and sold as a metes and bounds, to the time of platting of Brinkerhoff subdivision. The easement is acknowledged the Brinkerhoff subdivision which was platted in 2016. Mr Smith does not acknowledge this easement. Currently there is a fence on a large portion of the road/easement and he as recently put new landscaping on the road/easement. He has already hit my fencing and not repaired the damages with his heavy equipment. Which is proof that the road as it currently is will not support business traffic. As the road is also private there is not city maintenance on the road. What pavement is there, was paid for privately by the owners on the lane at the time. Who is going to pay for the increased damages to the road for his business traffic and snow removal for his customers? With the disregard that Mr Smith has already to current zoning laws and the property around him, allowing him this zoning change will only encourage his disregard for the zoning laws and the properties around him.