



SEVEN COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE COALITION
MEETING MINUTES

February 8 at 1:00 p.m.

Utah State Capitol Kletting Room(Anchor Location + Electronic)
350 State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84103
(801) 712-7622

Board Members Present: Casey Hopes (Carbon County) attended electronically, Lynn Sitterud (Emery County) attended electronically, Greg Jensen (Sevier County), Brad Horrocks (Uintah County), Silvia Stubbs (San Juan County), Greg Miles (Duchesne County), Jack Lytle (Daggett County) absent.

Also, in attendance: Keith Heaton, Brian Barton, Stacey Herpel, Mike Hawley, Melissa Cano, Mick Thomas, Jon Stearmer, Kevin Flinn, Dana Dean, Stan Holmes, Natasha Ballif, Jeff Hartley, Bart Kettle, Matt Podolinsky, Bergen Eskildsen, Rron Pierce, HD Sanderson.

Attended telephonically: Melanie Sasser, Doug Rasmussen, Irene Hansen, Will Lane, Lorie Fowlke – Rep. John Curtis, Candace Powers, Melissa Schnee, Joel Brown, Daniel Hawley, Mark Michel, Sam Van Wetter, Macey Wallace, and Carrie Nowers.

Others Present: (Please notify staff at 385-337-0033 of any spelling corrections or if you were present and not listed.)

Public Meeting Participation Information:

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://jonesanddemille.zoom.us/j/86748043561>

Or Join by Telephone:

1-253-215-8782 or

1-346-248-7799 or

1-669-900-6833

Webinar ID: 867 4804 3561

1. Welcome (Greg Miles)

Chairman Miles welcomed everyone to the meeting at 1:00 PM. Board members were present including Commissioner Hopes, Commissioner Sitterud, and Commissioner Hansen who attended electronically. Commissioner Miles then moved to the second agenda item.

2. Public Comment (Greg Miles)

Public comment was accepted verbally, during the meeting, telephonically by Zoom, and by electronic written submission at stacey@7county.utah.gov. Electronic written comments will be forwarded to the Board Members. All comments are summarized in the meeting minutes. A complete copy of any written comments submitted may be requested at the email address provided herein. Chairman Miles then turned time over to Brian Barton for those wishing to make public comment electronically.

Stan Holmes, who represents the Utah Citizens Advocating Renewable Energy, stated that they have been involved since 2014, before the Public Service Commission and other venues were formed. The question that he wanted to bring up today was that he went to the CIB meeting last month and there were a lot of worthy projects that did not get full funding. About \$7.2 million dollars were pending for pending projects. Is there enough money for healthcare facilities for Daggett and San Juan Counties? Mr. Holmes stated that there is not as much as we would like to have to spend on community projects. There is \$60.0 million that has been waiting in the infrastructure fund and it has been about 8 years now waiting for a California Developer to spend it in California or on a coal port that is being bought, and that process could go on another two years or more. Mr. Holmes asked that if it is not being spent here, it is earmarked to be spent in California. This money is generated here from royalties on federal lease. It is held in Utah. Mr. Holmes is wondering if the SCIC would consider working with CIB to approach Senator Michael McHale, who was responsible for the 2016 bill to establish the TIFF and 2019 bill that restricted it to a priority coal port terminal to get him to repurpose the funds? The funds started here or with the CIB they went to UDOT, they went to the TIFF. Why can't that money be spent in Utah?

Chairman Miles thanked Mr. Holmes for his question and comments and proceeded to inquire if any parties in the room wished to make a comment.

Not seeing any at this time Chairman Miles moved to the next item on the agenda.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes for January 11, 2024. (Greg Miles)

Chairman Miles inquired about changes or updates to the minutes, there being none he requested a motion.

Motion to approve January 11, 2024 meeting minutes made by Commissioner Jensen, seconded by Commissioner Horrocks.

Chairman Miles called for a vote to approve the minutes. **The motion passed unanimously.**

Chairman Miles then moved to the next agenda item.

4. Presentation on Zero Emissions Industrial Hub. (Jeff Hartley)

Chairman Miles turned the time over to Jeff Hartley. Mr. Hartley stated that he is here representing a company called Red Leaf Resources. Red Leaf Resources has been around since about 2008. Red Leaf started as an oil shell developer. They have leases for large tracts of the oil shell with the potential to produce billions of barrels of oil. They have been working on technology and financing the capitalization of oil shell. It has been challenging because it is hard to capitalize fossil fuel projects, but oil shells are particularly hard. In 2010, one of the founders of Red Leaf started a new company called Uintah Partners, and he put together some pieces of land in the Uintah Basin on Leeland Bench. When Mr. Dana left the business, he had total controlling interest of the loan note and they had given their permit. Through the separation agreement they paid a bunch of cash. They gave them the note for the Partners ownership. It is that property that in the 2011-2012 time-frame we got a permit from the state for up to 40,000 oil barrels a day. It was a unique piece of property because it had all of the water and federal air shed under the then existing division of air, the state had control of this one piece and so that was permitted for the oil upgrader and the permit remained active over time. When they got to the point where the capital was too much of a burden based on their trajectory to get their project started. So, we took control of that property. They currently have 100% ownership of 7600 acres. The property is flat with pretty good county roads and with an air permit for up to 40,000 a day, oil refinery upgrader, which is important because you couldn't get that permit today and it remains valid, and Red Leaf intends to go forward and take on the challenges.

One of the things that we have been working on over the years is how to power their needs. The interest that they have, to extract oil from the oil shell down super deep and they looked at different designs of power and heat. They came up with a solution where they could use their own heat from the refining process to create hydrogen and using the hydrogen to create the cycle of power so it become self generating of it own power, capture the emissions and if you can sequester the emissions then you could have a zero emissions oil upgrader at the same time the railroad was being discussed and permitted and the terminus for the extension beyond Duchesne County lands on that property. So rather than control the property, they said what if we built the oil upgrader under our air permit? We built a trans-loader for oil and other things for the rail under that permit and we built other things that could attach to it, as long as we stay under that threshold of air allowed admissions. They realized that if they can do this system, they are able to heat it up and process the oil and have zero emissions through using hydrogen as their base load power and capturing the other emissions, much of them would be put back into the stack to burn, and some off them would peel out and could sequester it. If you create a system, then what else? What would your limitations be? I am here today to describe that Red Leaf is now proposing to build a net zero industrial park on the 7600 acres. The possibilities are truly limitless if within that park boundaries, and provide power. That is the hydrogen based green power without emissions to whoever comes there and they take the emissions from whoever builds there. There are more oil wells or a factory of some kind, if you take emissions and you can sequester them. Then you can have a true net zero cycle for the whole industrial park. There would be very few things that would be able to be permitted to be constructed in the basin. So, what they are proposing is to build an industrial park to provide the green energy to deal with the

emissions and provide whoever wants to come and build their facility there, a net zero opportunity.

By doing that, there are lots of federal tax credits for sequestration and other things that they could benefit from to help underwrite the cost of things. But they think that they can get the economics healthy looking. If they can do that, you can have things that benefit the railroad. If the economics ever justify going the other 11 miles into Uintah County that is when that would make sense for us. We would find a way for the revenues to cover the cost of doing that and make that hub of the loadout economic. We have had conversations with Inland Port, GOEO, and EDCU, and think there is a way that we can stack some incentives that the state offers and other economic tools in a way that we could probably get this thing built. All of that hinges on a few things, one of them, and probably the biggest challenge on them, is being able to finance the oil upgrader, but they are feeling more confident as they work on that. The other thing is the railroad getting built because the industrial park only makes sense if it is a terminus point or a point for the railroad. It will need support from the community, state, county, and the cities in the area. They have the ability to create a lot of jobs through this and when we talked to GOEO and the EDCU about recruitment, it was about finding a company that fits in this location. They have had a few companies that stated they wanted green energy and that they do not need access to freeways.

The release process in their oil upgrader would have a stream of pure carbon coming off that they could put to use in their companies. Finding that steady stream is really challenging and that is another thing that could be offered. So, it is a unique play, but it is doable. We wanted SCIC to know that it is out there that we are going to start pursuing it and putting together that economic package of what that would look like so that it makes sense to be the least burdensome on the state and the counties. It has good potential and again, there are some things you just could not get a permit for because of air, but they can help solve that air problem. One of the benefits that might come from that is our property is against the Ute reservation. So, we would add CO₂ into our other missions, it would be a pool that would bleed over onto the reservation lands. We have been working closely with Devin Pearson, letting him know that we are going to be testing this. What we learned would be beneficial for SCIC is to increase products down the road. If SCIC supported this there could be other benefits that come from that as well, but sequestration finance can be upgraded to piece a lot of things together. It is not easy and it is not quick, but we are confident and we are going to move forward.

Chairman Miles asked Mr. Hartley that when he stated there were people with some interest in wanting the carbon, whether it is manufacturing or whatever? Does that meet the definition for carbon sequestration?

Mr. Hartley responded by saying that it is pure carbon and food grade carbon that can be used in manufacturing or for other things. You captured some of those emissions that you then put back into the stack and then you reburn some of those. Eventually it gets sent to a lower volume, but you take those to your pipes and you take them to your head unit that you are going to inject and that is the change.

Chairman Miles thanked Mr. Hartley for his information and wished them good luck. He then moved to the fifth agenda item.

Chairman Miles handed the meeting over to Commissioner Horrocks and departed [1:27 pm]

5. Introduction of Mick Thomas (Greg Miles)

Commissioner Horrocks introduced Mick Thomas who is the director of the Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining. The time was turned over to Director Thomas. Director Thomas stated that he has only been in for a month so far. It has been a thrilling month. He is originally from Southwest Missouri and plans on staying awhile. It is humbling and an honor to be the new director for the Oil, Gas, and Mining Division, my staff are here with me, Deputy Director Dana Dean and Deputy Director Bart Kettle and Natasha Ballif. Director Thomas stated that his support staff has been very helpful over the past few weeks and have brought him up to speed. Director Thomas stated that in other states, when the state, the division that I am over interacts closely with the counties, especially these counties, the producing counties, great things happen. Director Thomas appreciated SCIC and stated that we could text, e-mail, or call him anytime since he believes in open communication is going to help him get up to speed quicker. Director Thomas stated that this summer he wants to get out and visit each county. Director Thomas stated that he has touted the state's oil and gas production, and the mineral, and coal production over the past three to four weeks. Without the counties, where those resources come from, we would not have roles here. The soil and the land that are all in the counties is critical to the support and the state benefits from it greatly. There is a fair amount of interest in helping more of that revenue come back into the counties. Moving forward, we want to visit and tour each county and bring back to the legislature what the counties have been doing for the state. Putting that on our platform through social media or through some sort of white paper, or something because we want to get the message out of what SCIC is doing. Director Thomas appreciated the opportunity to come to the meeting and would like to continue working on building relationships and communication. Director Thomas asked if there were any questions or anything that the Division could do for SCIC.

Commissioner Stubbs stated that they appreciate the Division and SCIC and everything they have done for San Juan County.

Commissioner Horrocks thanked Director Thomas and then proceeded to the next agenda item.

6. “Wood For Life” Initiative Presentation (Bergen Eskildsen & Matt Podolinsky)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Bergen Eskildsen and Matt Podolinsky for the “Wood For Life” Presentation. Bergen Eskildsen thanked everyone for letting them come and present their program today. Mr. Eskildsen is the Forest Products and Business Program Coordinator for the Utah Division of Forestry. Mr. Podolinsky introduced himself and stated that he works for the National Forest Foundation and is the Utah Recreation Program Coordinator within the State of Utah.

How the NFF Works. We work with Forest Service and Partners to develop relationships and understand restoration and partnership needs at the District, Forest, Regional, and National Levels. We work with communities and partners to raise, aggregate, and deploy funding. The NFF can support collaboration, planning, pre-implementation surveys, and other assessments. We raise and aggregate local government and private funding sources, and then use those to match and leverage federal investments. NFF creates, oversees, and administers contracts in partnership with USFS Staff, operators, small businesses, and other organizations to complete projects efficiently, and can work with local NGO's via invited grants.

The overview of Wood for Life is a network of organizations working to achieve the common goals of providing resources and a sustainable source of firewood to indigenous communities through forest restoration efforts, reducing forest-wide fuels, and strengthening partner relationships.

Firewood Banks are similar to food banks. They provide emergency and seasonal firewood for heating and cooking. Firewood banks that partner with WFL provide wood to tribal communities for heating, cooking, and traditional uses. This is critical for tribal communities and rural areas. Firewood Banks can be partially funded by federal funds.

Some background about Wood For Life and how we got started. Closure of the Navajo Generating Station and Peabody coal mine in Kayenta – Coal fired power plant and residual coal was the main source of fuel for off-grid homes in Hopi and Navajo communities. Community members can burn wood as easily as coal. It is a good transitional, and possibly long term, fuel source. Forests are ancestral Tribal lands with abundant fuelwood. Forest restoration efforts are ongoing. Lack of markets for small diameter wood and biomass. In response to requests and grassroots discussions, Wood For Life started in Arizona in 2020. Similar efforts had started in Idaho and have continued. Wood For Life partnership expanded to include Southwest Colorado and the San Juan National Forest in 2021.

FFSL has provided a framework for the Wood For Life program in Utah. FFSL has a trailer that can haul small loads to where the wood is needed. USFS currently has a free-use permit for tribal firewood gathering. A number of wood banks are established in San Juan County. NFF and FFSL are in discussion with the Manti-La Sal to haul wood in late summer. The main goal is to grow the Wood For Life across the state and develop more partnerships. With that, are there any questions?

Commissioner Stubbs stated that she would like to see this on the reservations and how she is excited to see how this type of program can change lives and help those communities in San Juan County.

Commissioner Horrocks thanked the Wood For Life team for their information and presentation and asked for any questions or further discussion, there being none moved on to the next agenda item.

7. San Juan Projects Update (Sylvia Stubbs)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Commissioner Stubbs who stated that she would like to cover some of the planning and budgets in the county. Commissioner Stubbs thanked the coalition for their support and everything the Coalition has done for San Juan County. It is hard to imagine what it is like to go to the reservation. If you have never been to another country, you cannot understand that not everybody thinks alike or does things alike and sometimes the process is slow and they have to trust you.

One project has to do with the Navajo Mountain Regional Transportation planning. Right now, in my district, from one end to the other it can take about 7 to 8 hours. So, you have to go all the way around. This is a complicated budget because of trying to understand what the roads are going to be like. People want this road where they can travel 20-30 minutes instead of 3-4 hours going around.

We joined with UAMMI and other partners which was Energy Fuels and the College in San Juan County and through that program we were able to secure \$50,000 to develop a curriculum. We are trying to have the requisition to have an engineer in each campus, so we are hoping that goes through.

We have the Inland Port doing some contribution in some of the counties and we are doing a study on housing because if we are going to bring industry to the county, we need to have water and energy in place.

We have several grants that we are looking for. The second biggest grant is in the process. This application is a federal grant. The dream would be to have a hub where we can work with minerals, and if we get a train, then we can get that going.

Commissioner Stubbs thanked the coalition and turned the time back over to Commissioner Horrocks, who asked if there were any questions for Commissioner Stubbs, seeing none he moved onto the next item agenda item.

8. Privacy Policy (Brian Barton)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Brian Barton to go over the Coalitions Privacy Policy. Mr. Barton stated that an email was sent out with a draft privacy policy. This policy addresses website security and what the coalition is doing with information from any visitors that come to the website. Basically, there is an acknowledgement of IP addresses or some tracking on Google Analytics that takes place and so we are not collecting detailed information. There is not a gateway or anything that allows or requires visitors to enter their names or email addresses, but we do know the general IP address. As well as we can track location information just in Google Analytics. This is a basic privacy policy. It will be posted on the Coalition's website.

Jon Stearmer stated that the privacy question for clarification was a requirement that the state legislature put in place and then the state auditor's office is following up with all public entities across the state. So, we received a ~~very good~~ reminder from them and they were kind enough that they had a draft policy that they said we could use. It is good to have, but there is no legal

requirement that we have it.

Mr. Barton stated that if this is approved, we will send a copy to the state's office and then they can check that box off for SCIC.

Commissioner Horrocks thanked Mr. Barton for the update and asked if there were any questions. Seeing none, he moved onto the next agenda item.

9. Executive Director's Report (Keith Heaton)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Executive Director Heaton for the Executive Director's Report. Executive Director Heaton thanked everyone for attending the meeting today. Executive Director Heaton thanked Jon Stearmer and Brian Barton for their efforts on educating our legislators on what the coalition is doing here. They have both been very engaged and neither of them live down the street, so a big appreciation to them both.

Executive Director Heaton expressed his appreciation and thanks to Commissioner Horrocks, Commissioner Lytle, and Commissioner Miles for their legislative efforts. Executive Director Heaton stated that the three of them have been very active in legislation promoting the coalition and the things the coalition are trying to do in Eastern Utah. Executive Director Heaton stated that working together as counties, with the shared interest, is very Utah and that is the way to get things done. It is not always easy but it is important and there has been a lot of progress that has been made. We want to continue to work and make sure everyone is aware of the good things that are being done.

Executive Director Heaton shared his appreciation to Mr. Hartley for coming and talking with the coalition today. The coalition has been working with the firm that he represents for many years and will continue to do so as long as it is in the interest of the county and the people who live there. A zero emissions industrial park idea is most intriguing and has great promise. This is not an easy lift, but one that we think is worth the effort and we will continue to support them.

We are continuing to work with one of the natural gas pipeline companies in the Uintah Basin, negotiating on use of some of the right of way easements that we have with both the Hickens and with Red Leaf. We are working through that and negotiating with the right amounts and we are hopeful that there will be a positive outcome in the future. Executive Director Heaton expressed his appreciation for Jones and DeMille and Jon Stearmer for their assistance with those negotiations.

Executive Director Heaton shared a conversation he had with a company that he was introduced to by Mark Michel called, Valor Infrastructure Partners, a veteran owned company, that are not dealing in fossil fuels, they deal in renewables, especially interested in wind generation and expanding into the State of Utah. We look forward to having them come and visit us at a future meeting. These types of opportunities are exciting. We know what we have here in Utah, this is a good place for business, a good place for energy, and all of the types of energy.

Executive Director Heaton shared his appreciation to Commissioner Stubbs and the things that are happening in San Juan County. We are making good progress and we want to express appreciation to the Commissioners for the things that they do and their influence on Capitol Hill, it is far greater than they think. The legislators appreciate the voice from the people to them. Executive Director Heaton then handed the time back to Commissioner Horrocks.

Commissioner Horrocks called for questions for Executive Director Heaton and thanked him for all he does for the coalition, there being no question he moved on to the next agenda item.

10. Strategic Communications Report (Melissa Cano)

Commissioner Horrocks introduced Melissa Cano to cover the strategic communications report. Melissa Cano stated that the report will be brief, just a couple of reminders and updates. So, we will be engaging with our stakeholders and supporters at the end of this month. Our normal outreach meeting. So, if you can join and know of some who would like to attend for the railway, please let me know. This is a way we can continue to engage with our local supporters. We continue to be engaged with finding different avenues of support and happy to talk about that.

Commissioner Horrocks asked if there were any questions about the Communications Report. There being no further discussion, Commissioner Horrocks appreciated Melissa Cano and all the work she has been doing and moved on to the next agenda item.

11. Engineers Report (Jones & DeMille)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Brian Barton with Jones & DeMille to talk about the Engineers Report. Mr. Barton stated that Executive Director Heaton covered a lot of the major points and effort over the last month. We sent an update memo yesterday. There is a table at the top off the memo that summarizes all of the active projects, the active initiatives, and then the potentials.

We are going to include in that memo a list of the success stories the coalition has completed. It is important to document that for the public. Some of the projects are the Railway and Navajo Mountain project. From an Engineers perspective, these are important projects. There are a couple of areas in the State of Utah that are isolated and that region is one of those areas that needs some attention to just connect those citizens to the rest of the state. We are also seeing great things with the Navajo Water settlement planning effort. Dan Hawley and Scoot Flannery have done a great job at gathering critical information. This information helps us become more efficient on the back end.

Commissioner Horrocks appreciated Mr. Barton for the information and updates, he asked if there were any additional comments or questions, seeing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

12. Presentation, approval and adoption of monthly expenses. (Smuin, Rich & Marsing)

Time was turned over to Melanie Sasser. Ms. Sasser presented the financial information and requested payment approval today. She went on to request payment approval amounting to \$129,310.69. If there is any discussion or review of any items included in the payment request today she is happy to entertain or discuss those individual line items

**SEVEN COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE COALITION PAYMENT APPROVAL
February 8, 2024 at 1:00pm
Utah Capital - Kletters Room Senate Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84103**

Expenses Previously Approved by Board :

Vendor	Check #	Invoice #	Amount	Description	Grant

Checks Currently Being Approved:

Vendor	Check #	Invoice #	Amount	Description	Funding Source
VOID Check #2381					
Smuin, Rich & Marsing	2382	06917	3,828.70	Consulting Per Contract	General Grant
Keith Heaton	2383	21	261.19	Director's Travel and Admin Expenses	General Grant
Stacey Herpel	2384	0124	921.71	Contract Labor	General Grant
Public Employees Health Program	2385	271765	1,668.74	Insurance Premiums - January '24	General Grant
Jones & DeMille	2386	0133332	14,464.54	Program Management and Engineering	General Grant
Jonathan A Stearmer	2387	1/31/2024	8,461.64	General - Legal Services	General Grant
Jonathan A Stearmer	2388	1/31/2024	2,725.00	Utah Rail Line - Legal Service	Utah Basin Railway Project Grant - STB Regulatory and Other Legal Services - Phase 2
Venable LLP (1/2 Invoice)	2389	2661619	32,486.10	Utah Rail Line - Litigation Challenges to STB Decision	Utah Basin Railway Project Grant - STB Regulatory and Other Legal Services - Phase 2
ETJ Law	2390	2657	1,050.00	Utah Rail Line - Litigation Challenges to STB Decision - September 2023	Utah Basin Railway Project Grant - STB Regulatory and Other Legal Services - Phase 2
Jones & DeMille	2391	0133334	15,894.75	Utah Rail Line - Engineering	Utah Basin Railway Project Grant - Program Management Services - Phase 2
Jones & DeMille	2391	0133334	12,213.25	Utah Rail Line - Engineering	Utah Basin Railway Project Grant - Strategic Communications - Phase 2
ETJ Law	2392	2658	17,237.50	Molten Salt/Research Center Facility - Legal Services - September 2023	Energy Research Center
Jones & DeMille	2393	0133344	18,097.57	Navajo Water Settlement - Engineering	Division of Drinking Water
Total Payment Approval			\$ 129,310.69		

Motion to approve expenses for February in the amount of \$129,310.69 was made by Commissioner Jensen, seconded by Commissioner Stubbs. Commissioner Horrocks called for the vote. **The motion passed unanimously.**

Ms. Sasser then reviewed the balance sheet which was complete to January 31, 2023. It shows the total assets and liabilities of the Coalition with the second page showing the profit and loss statement for January. Also included was a profit/loss by class which shows all of the funding and expenditures by project so far this year. Ms. Sasser then asked if there were any questions regarding the financial information.

Motion to approve the financial report for January was made by Commissioner Jensen seconded by Commissioner Stubbs. Commissioner Horrocks called for the vote. **The motion passed unanimously.**

This concluded the financial update to the Coalition.

Commissioner Horrocks thanked Smuin Rich and Marsing for their work efforts

13. San Rafael Report (Lynn Sitterud)

Commissioner Horrocks turned the time over to Commissioner Sitterud for an update on the San Rafael Research Project. Commissioner Sitterud stated that they got the gravel to the project and they are ready to dig the footers to put the building on. The big thing we are waiting on is to find out when the legislation is over which direction the ownership is going to go. We should know that by next week, if not, by the end of legislation.

Commissioner Horrocks thanked Commissioner Sitterud for the project update and asked if there were any questions, not seeing any he moved onto the next agenda item.

15. Motion to Adjourn (Brad Horrocks)

A motion to adjourn was made and approved at 2:16 PM.

A motion to approve the February 8, 2024 meeting minutes was made.

SEVEN COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE COALITION VOTING:

Carbon	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___
Daggett	Board Member	Yes ___	No ___
Duchesne	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___
Emery	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___
San Juan	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___
Sevier	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___
Uintah	Board Member	Yea ___	No ___

Co-Chair: Greg Miles

Co-Chair: Casey Hopes

(COALITION SEAL)

ATTEST:

Stacey Herpel