

ALPINE CITY COUNCIL MEETING

February 13, 2024

Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

- A. **Roll Call:** The following were present at the anchor location, which constituted a quorum: Brent Rummler, Jessica Smuin, Kelli Law, and Chrissy Hannemann. Mayor Carla Merrill was excused.
- B. **Prayer:** Brent Rummler
- C. **Pledge:** Kelli Law

Staff: Shane Sorensen, Ryan Robison, Steve Doxey, Chief Brian Gwilliam, Chief Brian Patten, and DeAnn Parry

Others: Heidi Smith, Roman Frazier, Tiffany Frazier, Julie Linford, Kip Thompson, Jennifer Wadsworth, Matt and Carrie Brinton, Samantha McClellan

II. CONSENT CALENDAR

- A. **Approve City Council minutes of Work Session on January 18, 2024, and City Council meeting on January 23, 2024**

Motion: Kelli Law moved to approve the Consent Calendar as proposed. Jessica Smuin seconded the motion. There were 5 yes votes, 0 no votes, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummler		
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Chrissy Hannemann		
Jason Thelin		

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment was offered at this point.

IV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. Financial Report

City Administrator Shane Sorensen reported on the budget. The council will look at a few adjustment proposals at their meeting in two weeks. Sales tax is up over last year. On the red/green report, red indicates budgets to watch as we near the end of the fiscal year. There are lags in some of the tax revenues. Interest rates are up, which is good for the city. There are no major budget concerns. We anticipate issuing our first home permit this month. The city has full-time building department staff, but our inspections are contracted out, so we do not pay for services we do not use when home construction is slow. We have reviewed our building department response timeline and found that we are not delaying construction with our responses.

Jessica Smuin asked about the number of remodels and other permits. Shane Sorensen will bring that report to the next City Council meeting.

Chrissy Hannemann asked about work sessions regarding the budget. Shane Sorensen said that we will have a work session in two weeks regarding PI rates and other budget issues.

Kelli Law asked about the fines and tickets revenue, which has increased.

Chief Brian Gwilliam said he would need to research that further.

Kelli Law said that because we cannot install speed bumps, officers writing more tickets should help motorists slow down in the city. Kelli also mentioned that he has seen more officers driving around in Alpine neighborhoods, and he appreciates their presence here.

Kelli Law also asked if Page 2 of the red/green report was correct in showing 1,433 percent higher interest earnings.

Shane Sorensen clarified that when the interest is included in the report, the entire amount is shown initially in the General Fund. Near the end of the fiscal year our Financial Director, Dave Sanderson, will split it up between the various funds (water, sewer, PI, storm drain, etc.). Dave has mentioned that with the increased interest revenue, he may need to split it between the funds earlier in the year.

Kelli Law asked if we have a projected total.

Shane Sorensen will either have Dave Sanderson split the interest revenue into the various funds in a spreadsheet or get the total projected revenue to Kelli Law.

Chrissy Hannemann asked about the recreation expenditures to date in the red/green report on Page 1, Recreation Impact Fees.

Shane Sorensen explained that impact fees are only used for projects in our impact fee plan, and we have not done any projects to date that are eligible for those funds. Impact fees cannot be used for general park operations or maintenance.

Chrissy asked how the projects at Burgess Park were funded.

Shane explained that most of that was paid through the Capital Improvement Fund. Page 3 of the red/green report shows that for Parks and Recreation maintenance we expended 57 percent of our budget at 59 percent into the fiscal year.

Chrissy asked about projects that have already been approved.

Shane explained that the council will look at everything on the project list and how it will be funded. Shane also offered to go through the budget for this fiscal year with Chrissy Hannemann.

V. ACTION/ DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Ordinance 2024-03: Code Amendment to Sections 3.04.030 & 3.23 Allowing Reception Centers as Conditional Use in the CR-40,000 Zone

City Planner Ryan Robinson reported that Mike McEwan requested a code amendment to allow reception centers as a conditional use within Alpine City. The zone requested for the code amendment would only apply to those lots within the CR-40,000 designation. The specific property is over five acres with .53 acres dedicated to parking and an additional .36 acres for overflow parking. The applicant estimates between the dedicated and overflow parking they should have about 110 parking spaces. This is approximately the same number of spaces as at the Alpine Art Center and well above that designated for Knot and Pine, although surrounding parking is utilized for that location.

This item was a topic of discussion during the December 5, 2023, Planning Commission meeting. The commissioners gave feedback on the following topics:

- Traffic: The city cannot control how many guests arrive at a reception-type event. Some roads could handle the extra traffic while other roads could become congested and make it unreasonably difficult for surrounding neighbors.
- Impact on Neighbors: We need to make sure that neighbors aren't unreasonably impacted by noise, traffic, and parking issues.
- Noise: Some areas may have acoustics that negatively impact surrounding areas.
- Parking: How many parking spots to allow so there is no on-street parking?
- Number of Events: How many events could a center hold per month?

The Planning Commission held a public hearing during their February 6, 2024, meeting. They made the motion to recommend to the City Council that this code amendment request be denied as it does not fit the character of the CR-40,000 zone as outlined in the General Plan. They also had concerns regarding traffic and parking along Grove Drive and the issues that would be created with this type of use. The Planning

Commission asked that if the Council were to approve the request, they send the application back to the Planning Commission to work on standards for a reception center in the CR-40,000 zone.

MOTION: Planning Commissioner Jeff Davis moved that Ordinance 2024-03 Amending the designated sections of the Alpine Development Code to Allow Receptions Centers as a Conditional Use in the CR-40,000 Zone be denied based on the fact that it does not fit our Master Plan or Transportation Plan. John MacKay seconded the motion. There were 7 Ayes and 0 Nays. The motion passed.

City Staff have researched what neighboring communities are doing to address the issues raised by the Planning Commission during their initial discussion. Attached is a draft version that could act as a starting point if the Council wants to allow this type of use. These standards were created referencing the vision outlined in the General Plan, while allowing a use that is commercial in nature.

PUBLIC NOTICE A public hearing was held during the February 6, 2024, Planning Commission meeting. Additionally, a letter was sent out to all property owners within 300 feet of this property. Staff received one phone call in opposition to this type of use.

ALPINE CITY CODE • 3.04.030 Conditional Uses in the CR-40,000 Zone • 3.23.060 Adding Standards for a Reception Center • 3.23.070 Adding Reception Center as a use with a Land Use Authority designated.

GENERAL PLAN: Land zoned as CR-40,000 (Country Residential – 40,000 square foot minimum lot size) shall include, but is not exclusive to, land generally located around the periphery of the city center considered appropriate for low-density residential development. These areas should provide for the perpetuation of the rural and open space image of the city. (Alpine City General Plan Policy 2.5 Pg. 7)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Because this is a legislative decision the standards for approval or denial are that the proposed code amendment should be compatible with the standards found in the General Plan as well as current city code and policies. A decision should be made by the City Council for approval or denial based on those criteria. Because this is a code amendment, this use will be allowed on all lots in the CR-40,000 zone that meet this requirement. The focus tonight is on the use, not necessarily approving a specific application. If approved, that will come later as part of the review process as an administrative decision.

Brent Rummeler reported that the City Council and the mayor received an email opposing the proposal, and he spoke with Ryan Robinson and Shane Sorensen about specific language in the proposal. Brent thinks that the four-event limit would still be disruptive to the residential neighborhood.

At this point, Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin invited attendees to comment.

Kip Thompson

643 E Alpine Blvd

Kip thinks that a reception center is a bad idea for this location. He is concerned about the increased traffic and the noise, which carries easily in that area. There are a lot of unknowns with this proposal, and Kip feels that event centers are more feasible near the entrance to town.

Matt Brinton

1584 N Eliza Circle

Matt is worried worry about traffic and parking issues with the proposed center. He is concerned about how the city would prevent people from parking everywhere, and multiple no-parking signs would be unsightly. Also, residents in the area often have their own private events at their homes, and an event center with many extra cars could encroach on residential visitors.

Julie Linford

432 E Adams Circle

Julie heard about the proposal last night. She feels that allowing a commercial business in a residential area is setting a bad precedent. A commercial venture for gain should not be located in residential neighborhoods. Julie's area has a group text, and the majority of residents are against the proposal. Speeding is a big problem already, and the noise would be worse because of the echoes in the area.

Jessica Smuin commented that our General Plan has commercial entities located at the entrance to our community along the highway. She asked if this proposal were allowed, would every one-acre lot be able to ask for a similar use?

Ryan Robinson clarified that this proposal requires five acres.

Chrissy Hannemann said that Wadley Farms is located in a neighborhood in the middle of Lindon. She feels that the Farm gets along with their neighbors and that parking is handled well. Chrissy can hear noise from receptions at the Alpine Arts Center at her home but doesn't feel that the noise is a big deal. Any events in town would need to shut down at 10 pm anyway. Chrissy said that staff has done a good job putting conditions on the proposal to lessen the impact on neighbors. She feels that prohibiting overnight events is a wise restriction. Chrissy would love to have an event at this location and is in favor of the proposal.

Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin said that his number one concern is traffic and safety. Alpine is unique because we only have three exits. The Alpine Arts Center fits within our ordinance. Jason said that if we want to change an ordinance it should be to make the city better. He does not think this proposal meets the criteria.

Brent Rummmler said that the reason we have zones in our General Plan is to help us make decisions like this. A business of this type in a residential area goes against that plan and creates significant issues for nearby neighbors. Brent feels that this proposal is incongruent with residential zoning.

Motion: Kelli Law moved that Ordinance 2024-03 amending the designated sections of the Alpine Development Code to Allow Reception Centers as a Conditional Use in the CR-40,000 Zone be denied based on the following concerns: it does not meet the vision of the General Plan, it will cause increased traffic, it will have a negative impact on the neighbors. Brent Rummmler seconded the motion. There were 4 yes votes, 1 no vote, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummmler	Chrissy Hannemann	
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Jason Thelin		

B. Ordinance 2024-05: Code Amendment to Section 2.08 of the Development Code to create a Public Facilities Zone

City Planner Ryan Robinson explained that city staff have been asked to create a new zoning designation for property currently used for public or quasi-public purposes in the city. These uses include but are not limited to city-owned property, schools, and churches. Alpine does not have a zone that fits the primary uses of these properties. These properties currently have zoning designations that would allow them to be replaced now or in the future by homes or new businesses. By creating a new Public Facilities Zone, this would ensure stability in the future use of these properties. Once the code requirements for this new zone have been approved, the next step will be to rezone all property within Alpine that meets these criteria (i.e. schools, churches, and local government-owned land). A draft plan was included for review.

The main uses in this zone are public or quasi-public in nature (parks, schools, hospitals, city buildings, etc.) and do not allow commercial or residential development to occur. The City Council reviewed the proposed language during a November City Council work session. Their comments focused mainly on parking requirements and referenced additional criteria found in the code, specifically off-street parking and school standards found in Development Code 3.20. These comments were addressed in the attached draft.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing during their February 6, 2024, meeting. The discussion among the commissioners was focused on which properties would be impacted, the process to rezone those properties, and included certain quasi-public uses (i.e., churches).

MOTION: Planning Commission member Jeff Davis moved to recommend approval of Code Amendment to Section 3.08 of the Development Code to create a Public Facilities Zone as proposed. Michelle Schirmer seconded the motion. There were 7 Ayes and 0 Nays. The motion passed.

CITY CODE: • Adoption of New Chapter 3.08 of Alpine Development Code

NOTICING: A public hearing was held during the Planning Commission’s February 6, 2024, meeting in accordance with state and local requirements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Because this is a legislative decision, the standards for approval or denial are that the proposed code amendment should be compatible with the standards found in the General Plan as well as the current city code and policies. A decision should be made by the City Council for approval or denial based on those criteria.

Ryan Robinson explained that this proposed zone would keep the city in the driver’s seat to determine the future use of the land. Ryan displayed a city map with purple and green areas that would be addressed by this new zone and reported that other cities are also working on this same issue.

During a discussion period the council clarified the following points:

- The council would first need to adopt the specific language of this ordinance. If the ordinance is approved tonight, a draft will be presented at a future City Council meeting.
- The council would then need to identify each specific property to receive the new Public Facilities Zone designation.
- The Public Facilities Zone would not apply to vacant parcels of land even if they are owned by a church or school entity.
- The city would need to notify all property owners that will be impacted by the Public Facilities Zone and their neighbors within 500 feet. This would involve most of the city.
- If a new entity were to purchase land for this type of use, we would follow the process to rezone it to Public Facilities.
- Charter schools are allowed in any zone in the city. If a new school were to be built in Alpine, it would be rezoned as Public Facilities.
- Cities and courts vary on how they treat the quasi-public designation. It would be up to the council to determine how they would apply that designation, particularly regarding churches.
- It is important for the city to be able to determine how land is used, especially if a church or school were to be demolished in the future.

Motion: Chrissy Hannemann moved to approve Ordinance 2024-05 proposed code amendment to section 3.08 of the Alpine Development Code creating a Public-Facilities Zone as proposed. Brent Rummler seconded the motion. There were 5 yes votes, 0 no votes, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummler		
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Chrissy Hannemann		
Jason Thelin		

C. Ordinance 2024-06: Code Amendment to Alpine Development Code 3.31, 4.04, and 4.06 Boundary Line Adjustments

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Alpine City Staff in review of our current code has suggested changes to the section regulating boundary line adjustments. These changes were made to make it more compliant with the State Code as well as to make it easier to interpret and apply for residents and staff. These changes include:

- Separating the sections by those in a recorded subdivision and those which are not.
- Clarifying the approving bodies in different situations.

- Mirroring review language with State Code.

In their November 7, 2023, meeting the Planning Commission held a public hearing to amend this section of the code and recommended a favorable motion to the City Council. After a further review by the city attorney to better mirror the requirements of the State Code, enough changes were made to warrant another review by the Planning Commission. Additional changes have been made after review by staff and legal counsel. These changes provide references to sections in the new subdivision requirements in title 4.04.100 and 4.04.110 to eliminate any inconsistencies.

CITY CODE: Alpine City Code 3.31 Boundary Line Adjustments

NOTICING: The notice requirements of the State of Utah and Alpine City have been met. A public hearing is required as part of tonight's meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Because this is a legislative decision, the standards for approval or denial are that the proposed application should be compatible with the standards found in the General Plan as well as the current city code and policies. A decision for approval or denial should be based on those criteria.

Attorney Steve Doxey explained that the redlines (which are blue in the packet) indicate the actual changes, and the other notes are just comments on the draft. The council can proceed and adopt the ordinance including the edits that are in this draft, and then have staff put them in the code where they belong.

Motion: Brent Rummler moved to approve Resolution 2024-06 proposed code amendment to section 3.31 Boundary Line Adjustments as proposed, with the understanding that the edits in the draft proposal will be completed by staff. Jessica Smuin seconded the motion. There were 5 yes votes, 0 no votes, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummler		
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Chrissy Hannemann		
Jason Thelin		

D. Award of Contract: Lambert Park Bonneville Shoreline Trail Connector Project – Sunset Mountain Machinery \$217,637.41

In 2022, the city applied for and was awarded a grant in the amount of \$76,925.75 through the Utah Outdoor Recreation Grant program. The plan was to construct an asphalt trail, approximately 8 feet wide, along the north side of Grove Drive from the intersection of Grove Drive and Katherine Way, east to the Dry Creek crossing. The Grove Drive Realignment Project included a similar asphalt trail from the Dry Creek crossing to the entrance of the Bowery. When completed, this project would provide an all-weather walking/biking path in an area that doesn't have a sidewalk or dedicated pedestrian path. It would also complete a connection between Lambert Park and the open space and trails in The Ridge at Alpine and Three Falls. The city has until May 19, 2024, to construct the trail, unless an extension is granted.

After staff gave the project a close review, it was realized that the design was more complicated and expensive than originally anticipated. The City Council approved a contract with Wilding Engineering at the October 24, 2023, City Council meeting to design the project in more detail. As the design progressed, city staff presented updates to the City Council including the impact on each property involved. The affected residents were also involved. The design was completed, and the project was advertised for competitive bids.

Bids from approximately ten companies were recently opened. The lowest bid on the project was Sunset Mountain Machinery LC, with a total bid price of \$217,637.41. This company was also the low bidder on

the Grove Drive Realignment and Burgess Park Trail Rehabilitation Projects which were successfully completed last fall. Attached is a bid tab and recommendation from City Engineer Jed Muhlestein, P.E.

As part of the design process, value engineering was used to reduce costs. The following changes were made:

- Narrowing the trail in front of the Gregory residence to reduce expense on landscape repair (~\$40k savings)
- Shifting the trail away from the Bening residence which reduced landscape repair as well as eliminated the need to replace almost all of their driveway (~\$30k savings)
- Changing grading to eliminate some storm drain sumps (~\$30k savings)
- Modifying some minor things, such as narrowing the trail from the Gregory residence to the intersection of Grove Drive and Kathryn Way.

The cost of this project is higher than originally anticipated. However, staff recommends that the project be completed all at once rather than in phases. With this area not having any sidewalk, this is a key connection between recreation areas and other parts of the city. The Grove Drive Realignment Project finished up under budget, with the excess funds that were budgeted being available for funding the additional \$63,785.91 needed to complete this project. The project is required to be completed prior to the grant due date this spring.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Review the bids for the Lambert Park Bonneville Shoreline Connector Project and award the project to Sunset Mountain Machinery LC in the amount of \$217,637.41.

Shane Sorensen clarified that this trail was presented under action items instead of the consent calendar so that the council could have a discussion if desired. He has been surprised at the number of pedestrians walking along Grove Drive and reported that there are drop offs of 6-8" in some areas. This trail would greatly improve safety for pedestrians.

Shane explained that we have the option to move the Bountiful Shoreline Trail closer to where the historical location was, and the Trails Committee would need to have input on that decision. Grove Drive is considered a local street, mostly due to pavement width, although this section of Grove Drive acts as a collector. The lack of sidewalks makes it more dangerous for pedestrians.

Chrissy Hannemann expressed that this trail seems like a safety necessity.

Shane Sorensen said that the trail will be beneficial to the community. Working with the residents, the city learned that the Gregorys did not want their low rock wall moved. Shane thinks the wall will be fine as it is. Some of their trees may need to be trimmed, but the trees can stay.

Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin clarified that when this area was annexed into the city, we could have required homeowners to remove landscaping in the city easement, but we did not. Instead, we have worked with the homeowners to find the best solution.

Shane Sorensen said that because the project must be completed by May 19, we could have the contractor begin grading, even with the wet weather we are experiencing.

Motion: Jessica Smuin moved to approve the award of the Lambert Park Bonneville Shoreline Connector Project to Sunset Mountain Machinery LC in the amount of \$217,637.41. Kelli Law seconded the motion. There were 5 yes votes, 0 no votes, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummeler		
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Chrissy Hannemann		
Jason Thelin		

VI. STAFF REPORTS

Chief Brian Gwilliam reminded the council of tomorrow's Public Safety Board meeting 7:30 am in Highland.

Chief Brian Patten had stepped out for a phone call.

Ryan Robinson gave an update on the city's effort to inform the public about new subdivisions. Ryan displayed a draft that Heidi Smith created of a Current Projects report that could appear on the city website. This would be not only for subdivisions, but also for street repairs and other city projects. The report included the name of the project, the address, the current status, and the next steps to be taken. The city would want to publicize this new website offering on social media and in the *Newsline*.

Kelli Law asked if we could have a subscription function so people could be notified when there are changes. Communications Specialist Heidi Smith asked if the council wanted changes to trigger a notification to subscribed residents.

Kelli Law said that would be ideal and suggested that we should include photos of the projects on the website.

Ryan Robinson said that we could also include the concept plans and have an email function for residents to submit comments.

Chrissy Hanneman appreciated that this outreach would allow residents to see the big picture and be informed.

Ryan Robinson asked the council members to let him know if they are aware of any possible future grant projects for trails, outdoor recreation, etc.

Ryan followed up on our Emergency Preparedness plan that was distributed to the council members in January. The plan has a pre-emergency section, during the emergency, and post-emergency sections. It details purchasing requirements, notifying the County, and other relevant information. Ryan said that the Emergency Preparedness committee has met with stake leaders of local churches and is working to get everyone on the same page with an emergency plan.

Chrissy Hanneman mentioned the limitation of only having three exit roads from our city and how traffic would be congested in the event of an emergency.

Brent Rummeler said that the traffic light cycle at Timpanogos Highway is controlled by the state, so it is not helpful outside of school hours. It would also contribute to traffic congestion.

Attorney Steve Doxey did not have any business to discuss.

Shane Sorensen updated the council on our *Alpine Yesterdays* book. Megan Oliphant did a lot of work to find a publisher who could take the book apart and scan it. We are very pleased with how nicely it turned out. We have sold quite a few copies since the re-printing, and an electronic copy of the book is now preserved.

Shane explained that at the end of last year the Alpine and Highland Justice Courts were notified that they had to recertify. This raised questions about what Alpine City should do. Our justice court has been operating with the Highland court, and we pay our portion of the invoices for the judge, staff, and supplies. This has worked very well for Alpine. We do not currently have an interlocal agreement in place with Highland City but have been given until May 1, 2024, to create one. Alpine met with the Highland court and identified some options. These options may be affected by decisions made by the state legislature which is currently in session. Our two choices are: 1) we could run a separate justice court that rents space from Highland, or 2) the preferred option would be to contract with Highland to continue to run the court for us and we would pay our portion of the invoices.

There were no objections from the council.

Shane reported on the fire station remodel. We have met with architect and SIRQ who is helping us with information and costs. and have another meeting next week. We are trying to narrow down the concept plan so we can submit a rough cost estimate to the City Council. We hope to have this information ready in the next month so that we can make decisions on how to proceed.

Chrissy Hannemann asked if we had settled on creating public meeting space. Shane Sorensen explained that the previous directive from the City Council was to create public space, so we are moving ahead with that. The final proposal will be brought to the City Council for approval.

There is a Utah League of Cities and Towns mid-year conference April 17-19. Council members are welcome to attend. Staff can help with registration and hotels, but they need to take care of this sooner than later. The Planning Commission meeting that week is on April 16.

Brent Rummler and Chrissy Hannemann expressed interest in attending the conference.

Shane reported that we have finalized our submittal for the MAG grant for the CVBG program to install more ramps to be ADA compliant in the city. Last year the award was \$50,000. We are hoping to receive that amount again to continue to make improvements.

Shane asked the council if there were additional items they would like addressed on the website. Heidi Smith is working hard and is willing to incorporate their suggestions.

Chrissy Hannemann said it would be nice to include the photos of the recent Mayor's award for a local business, Alpine Airway Wellness.

VII. COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

Brent Rummler had nothing to report.

Jessica Smuin is working on the plans for the spring senior luncheon, which will likely be held near the end of April. The Alpine Arts Center will host again.

Jessica said that several months ago the council talked about updating the alcohol sales code and wondered where we were in that process.

Shane Sorensen explained that last year there were restaurants interested in coming to Highland, but they needed to be able to sell alcohol to make it a viable option. That started the controversy in Highland.

Ryan Robinson reported that Alpine received a number of calls when Highland was dealing with that, but we do not have the same commercial draw as Highland.

Jessica Smuin commented that the code that was written previously may not be sufficient to deny an application to sell alcohol in Alpine.

Ryan Robinson explained that our current ordinance prohibits the sale of beer, but there is no additional language regarding alcohol. An applicant would still need to meet state code and state law.

Jason Thelin wondered if we could just add the language without a big discussion.

Ryan Robinson said we would need to consider alcohol law and what we can and cannot deny. Some discussion would be needed.

Jessica Smuin asked about the status of cemetery plot sales.

Shane Sorensen said that the software integration update is not complete yet, so we are unable to sell plots in the new section at this point.

Kelli Law asked about improving the rodeo bleachers that are in rough condition.

Shane Sorensen said he will follow up with Cody Smith and review it.

Chrissy Hannemann reported that with Mayor Carla Merrill out of town, she went as the alternate to the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) meeting. They were discussing the funding of two major transportation improvements. The first project was to widen the main street of Santaquin City, which was originally estimated at \$9 million, but with the passage of time and the effect of inflation the cost jumped to \$20 million. They used value engineering to reduce it to \$18 million, but it was still a huge increase that had to be approved by MAG. The second project was for Eagle Mountain. They are building another school and it does not have an access road. MAG approved funding at \$14-15 million. Mayor Carla Merrill was appointed to participate in two committees: trails and UDOT. Chrissy Hanneman felt the meeting was very educational.

Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin had nothing additional to discuss.

Motion: Mayor Pro Tem Jason Thelin moved to adjourn to an Executive Session to discuss litigation and property acquisition, to be held in the conference room, and to adjourn at the end of the session. Kelli law seconded the motion. There were 5 yes votes, 0 no votes, and 0 excused as recorded below. The motion passed unanimously.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused</u>
Brent Rummeler		
Jessica Smuin		
Kelli Law		
Chrissy Hannemann		
Jason Thelin		

The meeting was adjourned at 7:29 pm.