MINUTES OF THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION HELD TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2023, AT 4:00 P.M. IN THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL WORK ROOM LOCATED AT 2277 EAST BENGAL BOULEVARD, COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, UTAH

Members Present: Mayor Mike Weichers, Council Member Scott Bracken, Council Member Shawn E. Newell, Council Member Matt Holton, Council Member Ellen Birrell

Staff Present: City Manager, Tim Tingey; City Attorney, Shane Topham; Records, Culture, and Human Resources Director, Paula Melgar; Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson; Police Chief, Robby Russo; Administrative and Financial Services Director, Scott Jurges; Systems Administrator, Alex Earl; Public Works Director/City Engineer, Matt Shipp

1. WELCOME – *Mayor Weichers.*

Mayor Mike Weichers called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. and welcomed those present.

2. REVIEW OF BUSINESS MEETING AGENDA – *Mayor Mike Weichers.*

The Business Meeting Agenda items were reviewed and discussed.

The first action item was consideration of Resolution 2023-44 Approving and Ratifying a Bid and Awarding a Construction Contract for the Storm Drain Access Restoration Project. Public Works Director/City Engineer, Matt Shipp, reported that the contract is to raze manholes. There were issues last fiscal year obtaining bids. They were ultimately able to get a legitimate bid from a contractor to raze approximately 250 manholes. Once complete they will begin installing cameras, cleaning pipes, and analyzing what is there. The project is from last fiscal year with the funding having been carried over. It was clarified that the contract is only for the cost of razing the manholes. The work was expected to be completed in a few months.

The second action item involved consideration of Resolution 2023-45 Awarding a Retired Police Officer his Badge and Approving his Purchase of a Police Firearm. It was reported that Officer Potter will be retiring. Police Chief, Robby Russo indicated that Officer Potter worked with him at the Sheriff's Office and was assigned to this precinct for his first five years as a Rookie. He has spent the last 15 years serving in Cottonwood Heights. He has hit his 20-year mark and after one year he may come back into service. As is customary, it was recommended that his badge be awarded to him in recognition of his dedicated service. It will be done at a farewell in September. Mayor Weichers reported that Officer Potter has served as a School Resource Officer for many years and is beloved by many youth in the City. He was sad to see him go.

The Council will receive public comment on the post-Sensitive Lands Evaluation Development Standards ("SLEDS") ordinance update. Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson, stated that this will be the second continued public hearing for the draft

ordinance that has been published. The Council received recommended changes from one resident and another in the last hour that would be forwarded to the City Council. The first round of comments did not seem substantive and most can be accommodated.

Mr. Johnson reported that a comment was made at the last meeting asking that the Design Review Committee ("DRC") take minutes for each meeting and create an Executive Summary of the minutes, which staff did not support. The thought was that if minutes are taken they will encapsulate what was discussed at the meeting. Preparing an Executive Summary seemed unnecessary. Mr. Johnson stated that currently there is an internal system for taking notes and documenting meetings. Sensitive Lands DRC meetings usually result in a Pre-Scoping or a Land Disturbance Permit, which is already documented and includes numerous conditions. Documents that are part of the public record are reviewed by the City Engineer or GeoStrata Engineering. There is also a recording or a written summary of the meeting. The proposed ordinance specifies that the DRC shall take summary notes, minutes, or recordings of each meeting. The ordinance does not include verbiage specifying that minutes must be posted within a certain period of time. It was noted that the record is always available.

Council Member Birrell felt it was important for the public to be able to access minutes and have a specified time frame to avoid having to file a Government Records and Management Act ("GRAMA") request to access the information. She suggested that language be added to specify that minutes will be posted within a reasonable time to make things more transparent. City Manager, Tim Tingey, stated that preparing minutes for all internal City meetings will significantly impact efficiency and effectiveness. Those interested in obtaining information can do so by filing a GRAMA request. He was concerned about micromanaging the City's processes beyond that.

Records, Culture, and Human Resources Director, Paula Melgar, stated that she is sworn to provide the documents that are requested. Having a request allows them to follow a paper trail and protects the City against lawsuits. Mr. Johnson stated that if it takes less than 15 minutes to provide the requested information there is no fee. Council Member Bracken had concerns with people wanting to anonymously submit GRAMA requests and asked if there was a provision regarding a Private Record Request. Ms. Melgar stated that if she is asked to provide a public record for 2023 she can provide it and will not be able to divulge any public information on the person making the request.

Council Member Birrell did not understand the additional staff time necessary to make the information public. Council Member Newell commented that it seems that all of the parameters for receiving public information exist. He did not see the need to add another step or process and burden staff. He had not heard concerns from his constituents about issues obtaining information. He stated that the system is very transparent. In terms of receiving a name, he stressed the importance of having backup records showing who made and fulfilled the request.

Mr. Johnson stated that there will likely be an updated draft with cleanup language incorporated. He clarified that a public comment was made at the last meeting that there is still language that prevents tree replacement, which was not read properly. After the meeting, staff spoke to the resident and cleared up the issue.

3. STAFF REPORTS

a. Cottonwood Heights Business Association/ South Valley Chamber Discussion – *City Manager Tim Tingey and Community and Economic Development Director Mike Johnson.*

Mr. Johnson reported that they were approached by the South Valley Chamber of Commerce who asked the City to join as a municipal member. Several meetings were held and the matter was brought preliminarily before the Cottonwood Heights Business Association ("CHBA"). The intent was to get Council input. The South Valley Chamber adds to what the CHBA is doing to provide a larger business network. Mayor Weichers reported that currently, the South Valley Chamber includes the cities of Sandy, Draper, South Jordan, and Riverton.

Mr. Tingey was supportive of chambers and associations and believed that for individuals and businesses to build relationships is incredibly valuable. Holistically he was in favor of the City's participation. Mr. Johnson clarified that it is not part of the current budget and the City joining does not automatically enroll City businesses. They can join currently but may get a discounted rate if the City is a member. It was noted that packages start at $500 per business. Currently, without ribbon cutting services, it is a three-year contract proposal starting at $20,000 for the first year, $25,000 for the second year, and $30,000 for the third year.

Mr. Tingey reported that if the Council would like to proceed, staff will bring back a Business Services Agreement that will be incorporated into a Budget Amendment. Council Member Birrell asked if City business owners have been surveyed as to whether this is something they desire. Mr. Johnson stated that an email was sent out but there has not been much response. He expected to see interest from medium to large City businesses. Council Member Birrell asked what the advantage would be for the City and local business for the City to join. Mr. Tingey explained that it would give staff and potentially a Council Member a seat at the table to help shape policies and decisions the Chamber is making in a way that can benefit City businesses. Staff also sent a few small businesses to business startup training programs that are sponsored by the South Valley Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Tingey commented that chambers were very active when the non-compete legislation was running. Companies in the state were locking down employees so that they could not go work elsewhere. That was something they were very active with that made a big difference. In his opinion, it is less relevant with respect to what the City specifically gains other than networking, and is about showing businesses that it is a priority.

Mr. Tingey reported that the Contract Agreement addresses the services they provide. They would act as a liaison between the City and the business community, promote and support businesses, act as a repository of business resources, provide networking opportunities, and monitor state legislative issues. They also assist with the Business Retention Program.

Council Member Bracken suggested that instead of the City signing up, that the money be used to subsidize City business memberships. Council Member Birrell supported that idea and supported helping small businesses.

Mayor Weichers had studied the issue and met on multiple occasions with representatives from the South Valley Chamber of Commerce. He felt that it shows a commitment to businesses in the City to belong. He considered it to be a bigger commitment to businesses in Cottonwood Heights. He felt that it communicated to the business community that the City is interested in helping with networking and that they would pay less than if the City was not a member.

Council Member Newell reported that he is an active member of the Chamber of Commerce. One of the benefits is that economic development allows them to attract business opportunities.

Mr. Tingey stated that staff will meet with the CHBA the following week and get feedback. He did not consider the City joining the Chamber as taking over what the CHBA does as they are focused on small business outreach. The consensus of the Council was to bring the matter back after the CHBA Meeting.

b. Design Options for Highland/Bengal Boulevard- Road Safety Improvements – *Public Works Director/ City Engineer Matt Shipp.*

Brandon Cloward was present from HW Lochner, the Consultant who prepared the City's roundabout design. Mr. Cloward was the Lead Engineer on that project. Mr. Shipp stated that the City has a good working relationship with Lochner and invited them back for this project.

Mr. Shipp reported that there are three projects involved in the project. Two are federal aid projects and one is County funded. Mr. Cloward and his team were asked to work on the preliminary engineering for all three projects to ensure that they are cohesive. They are currently doing a 30 to 35 percent design. The required Environmental Study for the federal project has been completed and signed off on. There are two federal aid projects. The original involved the intersection of Highland Drive and Bengal Boulevard, which was intended to improve the efficiency of the intersection and the safety of pedestrian and bicycle safety. The other project involved adding a multi-use trail on the north side of Bengal Boulevard through the intersection.

Some of the goals of the project included the following:

- Improve the efficiency of the intersection at Highland Drive and Bengal Boulevard;
- Improve the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists through the intersection;
- Improve safety for bicyclists along Bengal Boulevard;
- Connect the path from Bengal Hills Cove to the federal project; and
- Provide traffic calming on Bengal Boulevard through the corridor.

In response to a question raised by Council Member Birrell, Mr. Shipp explained that the intent is to construct a multi-use trail along the north side and improve the bicycle lanes on Bengal Boulevard. It was noted that the bike lane will be in the roadway. The preliminary layout was presented.

Council Member Birrell commented on behalf of cyclists and stated that many who use Bengal Boulevard want to turn left and go south on Highland, which can be extremely dangerous.

Mr. Shipp reviewed the various sections. On Highland Drive on Wednesdays, there is a line of garbage cans on the sidewalk and road. The area shown in pink on the rendering is owned by the City. It was proposed that they build a turnout lane to improve safety for residents coming onto Highland Drive. A multi-use trail will also be constructed that serves as a gateway to City Hall. Mail carriers can also use the turnout lane area. Mr. Shipp stated that the Utah Transit Authority ("UTA") is reluctant to pull off of the road but they may be able to work with them to relocate the bus stop. It was noted that the bike lane will add a nice aesthetic.

Mr. Shipp next addressed the intersection of Highland Drive and Bengal Boulevard. One of the goals was to improve efficiency with one issue being traffic that backs up the hill during peak times. The original proposal was to add a double left turn lane onto Highland Drive to allow traffic to make the double left. The onramp onto Highland Drive will remain going north and a turn was added to allow vehicles to pull out. Turning left on Highland Drive was an issue they could continue to explore.

Mr. Cloward reported that they coordinated with UTA and recommended that a problematic bus stop be moved to the far side of the intersection. They were supportive of the proposed change and requested that it be elongated to allow them to install a shelter. Other changes to improve traffic circulation were discussed. Mr. Cloward explained that one of the goals was to reduce the overall design speed to 30 MPH. The two lanes going up Bengal Boulevard followed the new design speed adopted by the City Council last year. As a result, they were able to change the lane configuration aesthetic. It was noted that the lanes will be 11 feet wide. Traffic calming and circulation issues were discussed.

Council Member Birrell pointed out that Mr. Shipp represents Cottonwood Heights on the SS4A Committee for the Wasatch Front Regional Council ("WFRC") and asked if SS4A is a safe system. She hoped they could get away from radii that are built for fire trucks. Mr. Shipp explained that it is a design consideration and a schematic drawing. They do, however, have to take turning radii into consideration. It has to be large enough that they can turn larger vehicles around the corner.

Mr. Cloward commented that because of the addition of the multi-use trail, the existing sidewalk on the north side of the road will be removed. The Butler Hills intersection was identified and has the same concept. It is intended to accommodate students. They will eliminate the 40 feet and install a different type of crosswalk. Feedback on the general concept was sought.

Mayor Weichers felt that the proposed design accomplishes the goal of traffic calming. He liked the aesthetic of medians and vegetation. He expressed his support.

Council Member Newell commented on the indentations and asked if there will be a parking deterrent there. Mr. Shipp stated that they were built because of the nearby homes coming onto Bengal Boulevard which provides a buffer.

Council Member Bracken suggested that it be presented to the school district as there could potentially be concerns with removing turn lanes to pick up students. Mr. Shipp explained that because it is in a school zone, more can be done in terms of parking. The issue could be examined in greater detail as they move forward through the process.

Council Member Birrell wanted to encourage residents in the area to come across. She recognized that people who live on the north side of Bengal Boulevard will support what is proposed while those on the south side may not be as supportive. She did not understand the design facilitates for pedestrians or cyclists who are coming to Highland Drive to travel south. Council Member Bracken stated that the most common direction pedestrians travel is down the sidewalk on the south side and straight across. Making it a split phase will likely increase student safety.

Mr. Shipp confirmed that they will finalize the 30% design and bring back the final design contract, which will most likely be with HW Lochner.

4. REVIEW OF CALENDARS AND UPCOMING EVENTS.

a. August 26 – 9:30 a.m. to noon – Bark in the Park at Mountview Park.

b. August 31 – 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. – Community Block Party at City Hall – (Tentative time).

c. October 27 – 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. – Monster Mash at Cottonwood Heights Recreation Center (7500 South 2700 East)

5. POSSIBLE CLOSED MEETING TO DISCUSS LITIGATION, PROPERTY ACQUISITION, AND/OR THE CHARACTER AND PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL.

Mayor Weichers reported that a litigation matter needed to be discussed in a Closed Meeting.

MOTION: Council Member Newell moved to OPEN a CLOSED MEETING. The motion was seconded by Council Member Holton. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council was in Closed Session from 5:02 p.m. to 5:23 p.m.

6. ADJOURN CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION.

MOTION: Council Member Bracken moved to ADJOURN the City Council Work Session. The motion was seconded by Council Member Birrell. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The Work Session adjourned at 5:23 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL AGENCY ("CDRA") MEETING HELD TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2023, AT 6:00 P.M. IN THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS LOCATED AT 2277 EAST BENGAL BOULEVARD, COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, UTAH

Members Present: Mayor Mike Weichers, Council Member Scott Bracken, Council Member Shawn E. Newell, Council Member Matt Holton, Council Member Ellen Birrell

Staff Present: City Manager, Tim Tingey; City Attorney, Shane Topham; Records, Culture, and Human Resources Director, Paula Melgar; Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson; Police Chief, Robby Russo; Administrative and Financial Services Director, Scott Jurges; Manager, Matt Ervin; Public Works Director/City Engineer, Matt Shipp

1.0 WELCOME – *Board Chair Mike Weichers.*

Board Chair Weichers called the meeting to order at 5:27 p.m. and welcomed those present.

2.0 HILLSIDE PLAZA CONSULTANT DISCUSSION – *City Manager, Tim Tingey and Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson.*

Mayor Weichers introduced the City's Consultant, MGB+A, and their team consisting of the following:

- Greg Boudrero from MGB+A;
- Lindsey Ferrari from Wilkinson Ferrari & Co.;
- Christopher Lund from NWL Architecture
- Jeff Beck from Beck and Partners; and
- Allen Clemons from Sequel Development.

Mr. Boudrero stated that they are excited to create something exciting at Hillside Plaza. Feedback from the Council was welcomed to better understand how the City would like to use the Stakeholder Group and Steering Committee being created.

Ms. Ferrari expressed support for stakeholder groups and thought it was helpful to bring them in at the beginning of the process. She suggested the project be envisioned in phases and determine when the planning team will need input from the public. They identified the following three major milestones:

- Meet with the Steering Committee;
- Meet with the general public; and
- Look at concepts for the property.

The feedback received will then go back to the planning team who will come up with the final third concept. That same process will be repeated throughout the project. She supported 12 members recognizing that 25% do not show up at any given time. That number is needed to get a good working group. Ms. Ferrari liked the fact that two Steering Committee Members were chosen from each district.

Council Member Birrell asked if the group will need to be divided into sub-committees. She was concerned about having only 12 given the high absentee level. Ms. Ferrari stated that the level of participation depends on how engaged the group is. The Council should also determine if it is to be an advising committee or a working group. If the intent is to divide into smaller sub-committees, they need to find people who are willing to make a time commitment. Others could be involved with sub-committees depending on their interest. Council Member Newell commented that a group of 12 will create a network that allows for conversations and provides greater control.

Mayor Weichers' impression was that the 12 individuals selected must be very engaged. One resident scheduled a meeting to discuss the matter and 300 people showed up. They met in the City's Community Room. People are very excited and he expected the 12 selected to be involved and passionate.

Council Member Birrell asked for feedback on the level of commitment. Ms. Ferrari expected there to be one meeting per month in addition to other public events. Friends and family members will also be encouraged to participate in meetings. In terms of time, organizing sub-committees and creating another layer of meetings will require significantly more time. Council Member Newell likened it to a Steering Committee versus an Advisory Committee.

Mayor Weichers commented that although the City Council will make the final decision, he would want to receive detailed input. Council Member Bracken hoped to see a large group from the public attend the Open House.

Mr. Boudrero's fear of having smaller working groups was with one group becoming very vocal about one topic and trying to steer the entire project in a specific direction. A balance will need to be found for it to be cohesive.

Council Member Birrell stated that everyone can learn about successful placemaking. She was aware of someone who recently secured a $70,000 contract with the City of Hamilton to develop its placemaking. Cottonwood Heights has an Arts Council that could potentially be asked to envision a placemaking strategy for Cottonwood Heights. Because the City Center will be the anchor, it will be the inspiration for a number of things such as active transportation and placemaking. She asked that the team consult with the City Council to help them understand how cities develop placemaking.

Ms. Ferrari suggested that tonight they determine what is important about this process. The team can then design something that will work. Mr. Boudrero asked for feedback as they form the Steering or Advisory Committee. He offered to prepare a Scope of Work and talking points to

help committee candidates determine if they are willing to make the commitment. The importance of committee members being willing to make concessions was stressed.

Mayor Weichers commented on the importance of the committee appointing a Chair. Ms. Ferrari wanted to make sure they understand that they are looking for broad input from the City and want to hear from those who may not attend meetings. From home, they could complete a survey and identify what they feel is important. She considered that to be more realistic feedback and should be weighed heavily.

Council Member Bracken commented that in terms of streetscape, the Fort Union Boulevard Master Plan addresses that area specifically. He thought that was a good place to start as a lot of citizen input was received. He also wanted to hear ideas to generate input. Mr. Boudrero suggested there be a Design Board where someone who comes late to the process can see that they are compromising to get what is best and that there is a logical path.

Ms. Ferrari commented that another option was to have a mini-survey conducted periodically to get feedback on specific placemaking. She stated that Survey Monkey would be the best way to accomplish this and is an opt-in survey. By looking at the demographics, they can determine how to make it feel more representative.

Mr. Johnson commented that projects he has worked on for the City in the past involve a Staff Project Team that provides technical data, shape files, and background information that is used to create a deliverable that is presented to the Advisory Committee for feedback. The Council interaction was described. The expectation was that separate meetings will be held. Periodically, the team will present what they have been working on to the City Council. Mayor Weichers suggested that they plan to present at the second meeting of each month.

It was reported that the City Attorney recommended the Committee be referred to as an Advisory Committee rather than a Steering Committee. Ms. Ferrari commented that the purpose of the group is to have them go into their communities and bring information back and be educated on the issues being discussed. Procedural issues were discussed. Mr. Johnson commented that from a staff perspective, this is a very multi-disciplinary team.

The team members were introduced as follows:

- Chris Lund, Principal – Architecture;
- Jeff Beck, Real Estate Development;
- Allen Clemmons, Real Estate Development;
- Lindsey Ferrari, Wilkinson & Ferrari; and
- Hillary Robinson, Wilkinson & Ferrari.

It was noted that the team has worked together closely for many years on numerous projects. Ways to build the community were discussed.

Mr. Tingey stated that at the next meeting, it would be beneficial to begin finalizing the Advisory Committee. It was expected that potential names of individuals to serve on the Advisory Committee would be provided within one week.

3.0 HILLSIDE PLAZA LEASING DISCUSSION – *City Manager, Tim Tingey and Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson.*

Mayor Weichers reported that the above matter involves whether the City has an interest in accepting a request to lease at Hillside Plaza.

Mr. Johnson reported that the previous week Council Members Birrell and Newell visited the vacant spaces in the Central Plaza area. Some were structurally intact if not dated. Many spaces have remained unoccupied since before the City's incorporation and have never been leased out. Some spaces are restaurants or lower impact uses such as office spaces that are in varying conditions. When due diligence was done for the Plaza, it was not performed to the level it would have been if the intention was to leave the strip mall and continue leasing space. He was not aware of the condition of the utilities, water, and sewer, in those spaces. The roof condition was also unknown and needed to be assessed. The intention was to get through the Master Plan process and begin redevelopment as soon as possible. Entertaining a lease on the site would likely be on a year-to-year basis. The City has been contacted by people who are interested in the large spaces but they primarily want space that is ready to occupy. The large spaces, in particular, are not currently in leasable condition. When the City purchased the property, an analysis was not done as to whether consideration should be given to leasing the space. Because there has been interest, staff thought the issue was worth bringing to the Community Development and Renewal Agency ("CDRA"). The next step would be to engage a property broker to determine what types of businesses would be interested in relocating to the site for one year.

Even if the structure of the buildings is good there will still be remodeling and renovation costs. Potential occupants may not want to sign a year-to-year lease with the investment required. It would also be necessary to look at the tax implications since it is owned by a public entity. Any parcel that is occupied by a private use does not pay property taxes. If tenants begin to occupy spaces that are currently non-taxed, the entire parcel will become taxable. It will be necessary to weigh the costs and benefits of what the tax payment will be versus rent. There had been talk of sharing uses in a portion of the parking lot for a Park and Ride ski traffic. Potential uses of the parking lot as well as maintenance costs will need to be considered.

If the CDRA is serious about leasing, they should meet with a contractor and assess the current condition of the leased spaces. Maintenance and repairs will also need to be negotiated to bring them to a leasable state. Mr. Johnson expected there to be challenges associated with attracting the type of businesses they want in the short term. Mayor Weichers commented on the parking lot and liked the idea of generating income. It could also be used for parking for resort employees.

Council Member Holton questioned whether there should be an investment in something temporary and did not consider it to be a wise financial decision. If, however, there are ways to use it without incurring additional costs and it is an increase for the City financially, he would not be opposed.

Council Member Birrell commented that when they walked the property, she did not see signs that would indicate major water problems exist. Council Member Newell noted that there were signs of leakage. With the two larger buildings that was one of the issues. He was concerned about entering into Lease Agreements because the maintenance costs and the burden it puts on staff are of concern. He did not see how it would work. With regard to the parking lot, he had security concerns.

Council Member Bracken commented that he has negotiatedleases for City space and understood the burden that creates. In terms of the parking lot, he expected to be restricted to the middle area. The east side is where businesses currently exist. He reported that DPI was actually charging people to park there last year. Mayor Weichers reported that the resorts allowed their employees to park there and travel together in a van up the canyon.

Council Member Birrell referred to the area to the west of the old Reams Store where there is a clean and tidy area with tables and landscaping. If the intent is to mitigate problems in the winter during peak times, parking could be made available for the ski resorts. Cars stack on the roadways and could potentially be steered to the area where food could be served. Her concern was that the providers are across Fort Union Boulevard, which includes four lanes of fast-moving traffic. If people park there while waiting for Big or Little Cottonwood Canyon to open, they could potentially get coffee or pastries.

Mayor Weichers liked the thought process but was not sure it would be feasible. Council Member Birrell stated that it would be through a private provider and would not be something she would expect the City to take on. Council Member Bracken commented that potentially someone could buy a donut or coffee, get in a van, and be taken to the resort. Council Member Holton was not opposed to a coffee or food truck in the parking lot. He was not in favor, however, of doing anything in the actual structures.

Mr. Shipp commented that often when a new use is proposed in a building, there are Building Code requirements that come into play. Any new tenant would have to adhere to the current standards.

4.0 CANYON CENTRE PARK DEVELOPMENT – *City Manager, Tim Tingey and Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson.*

Mr. Johnson reported that the developer of the Canyon Centre, Chris McCandless, intends to proceed with an Amendment to his Development Agreement to extend the construction deadline for the office building and change the use of the office building to mixed office or retail with condominium units. In exchange for an extension of the deadline, Mr. McCandless will forego some of his collections of the tax increment until the buildings are completed. The park parcel will also be deeded to the City earlier than agreed upon in the agreement. The City could begin designing the future park in the meantime. The only caveat is that Mr. McCandless would retain the usage of the parcel for construction access. The question was whether there was interest in utilizing some of the increment that has been received to begin the design work for the public park.

Council Member Birrell commented that a portion of the property is very steep. She was concerned that extensive excavation will be required. She suggested that this be taken into account in the design. Mr. Johnson referred to a large area at the intersection of Wasatch Boulevard and the Canyon Centre. There is a retaining wall and the area is flat enough that it does not need to be retained. He acknowledged, however, that it is very steep. He reported that the portion shown in yellow is 1.11 acres in size

Council Member Birrell reported that area residents have had problems with trash cans and trying to control parking. That is the area where Mr. McCandless wants his trucks to enter. Originally, if he had been unable to keep his timeline, the trucks would enter across from the Weekley Townhomes. Mr. Johnson stated that that will have to be negotiated. Mr. McCandless is working with the Board as part of the Development Agreement. Ultimately, however, the City will have the 1.11-acre parcel. Park design can get underway but the park will not be constructed until Mr. McCandless no longer needs the access. Mr. Johnson agreed that there are issues that need to be addressed. Mr. Tingey reminded the Council that they are still a few years away from the tax increment being collected. That time allows them to get a head start on designing the park and preparing for future development. The cost of the design work was not expected to be exorbitant but will come out of the CDRA budget.

Council Member Birrell stated that the residents she has spoken to are excited for the project to move forward. The decision of the Council was to move forward with the design work.

5.0 APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of this meeting will be approved through the following process: The Agency's secretary promptly will circulate a draft copy of the minutes to the Board members, who then will have three business days to provide any proposed corrections to the secretary. The secretary will then circulate a revised draft of the minutes to the Board members, and the same review process will continue until such time as no corrections to the draft minutes are received within the three business days review period, whereupon that draft of the minutes will be deemed approved and will become the final minutes of the meeting.

6.0 ADJOURN

MOTION: Board Member Holton moved to ADJOURN the CDRA Meeting. The motion was seconded by Board Member Newell. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Board.

The CDRA Meeting adjourned at 6:29 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS MEETING HELD TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2023, AT 7:00 P.M. IN THE COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS LOCATED AT 2277 EAST BENGAL BOULEVARD, COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, UTAH

Members Present: Mayor Mike Weichers, Council Member Scott Bracken, Council Member Shawn E. Newell, Council Member Matt Holton, Council Member Ellen Birrell

Staff Present: City Manager, Tim Tingey; City Attorney, Shane Topham; Records, Culture, and Human Resources Director, Paula Melgar; Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson; Police Chief, Robby Russo; Administrative and Financial Services Director, Scott Jurges; IT Manager, Matt Ervin; UFA Assistant Chief, Riley Pilgrim; Public Works Director/City Engineer, Matt Shipp

1.0 WELCOME

Mayor Weichers called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and welcomed those present.

2.0 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge was led by Public Works Director/City Engineer, Matt Shipp.

3.0 CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS

3.1 Committee Reports by Council Member Matt Holton.

Council Member Holton reported that he attended a meeting with the South Salt Lake Valley Mosquito Abatement District ("SSLVMAB") and noted that there are a lot of diseases carried by mosquitos. He commented that the Mosquito Abatement District does important work. Council Member Holton explained that the five-year average for traps that SSLVMAB places was 32,684. This year through July, approximately 71,081 were placed. There were more than double the number of traps placed this year as a result of the wet winter and standing water. He shared information about mosquito abatement methods. People at SSLVMAB visited Montana to share methods that have been successful locally.

3.2 Committee Reports by Council Member Scott Bracken.

Council Member Bracken discussed the event held by the Autism Council of Utah. He commented that it was wonderful to see so many people come together. It was a very successful event and he appreciated the first responders who participated. There was an opportunity for families to register loved ones in a system to assist responding officers, Emergency Medical Services ("EMS"), and all others involved. Council Member Bracken also appreciated that the Police Department appeared at various summer events.

The Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District postponed its July meeting. Council Member Bracken shared information about the Rec Center and stated that it is essential that there be support between different groups. It was noted that the Bark in the Park event was upcoming. The Monster Mash event was to take place just before Halloween.

A Meet the Candidates event was held recently. Council Member Bracken reported that five candidates were running in Districts 1 and 2. There was a good turnout and excellent conversations. He stated that it is always good to have citizens participate and ask questions. Council Member Bracken stated that the Youth Council spent approximately 180 hours of volunteer time at Butlerville Days. There would be an end-of-summer social later in the week.

3.3 Committee Reports by Council Member Shawn Newell.

Council Member Newell reported that everything went well with Butlerville Days. Over the weekend, an event was hosted by the Autism Council of Utah. The event allowed families to spend some time with the Unified Fire Authority ("UFA"), Cottonwood Heights Police Department, members of the City Council, and City Staff. It was a wonderful event and great to see so many residents participate. He hoped the event would continue to grow. It was important for residents to know that the City cares about all residents.

Council Member Newell reported that he recently attended an Ice Cream Social in his district. It was another opportunity for engagement. He enjoys opportunities where he can speak to residents and better understand what is desired for the City. It is important to have engagement. Council Member Newell stated that he served on the City's Arts Council and there was interest in receiving submissions from local artists who want to display their artwork at City Hall. He asked those interested to reach out to the City or the Arts Council.

3.4 Committee Reports by Council Member Ellen Birrell.

Council Member Birrell reported that there was a Town Hall Meeting the previous week. There were approximately 35 attendees and a lot of excellent input was received. Mayor Weichers shared information at that time about winter mitigation for the eastern side of the City. Representative Gay Lynn Bennion spoke about short-term rentals. She was focused on Legislation in that area and was also interested in riparian corridor preservation. There was a poll taken at the Town Hall meeting on approximately 12 different subjects. In District 4, the water rights were currently being adjudicated by the State Engineer's Office. She discovered that in 1940, the State of Utah decided that water rights needed to be adjudicated. That process has been taking place ever since. It was coming to a termination at the end of the calendar year. Residents with creeks that flow through their yards are very interested in learning more. Other areas of interest for residents at the meeting included trail access and safety on the streets for all users.

Council Member Birrell received a phone call from a constituent who had been traveling. She came home after three weeks away to find that a pipe had burst in her yard. In the time she was gone, many gallons of water gushed out and none of her neighbors noticed. Salt Lake Public Utilities sent her a bill for $4,800. As a result, she encouraged residents to participate in the program offered by Salt Lake Public Utilities. It is possible to have an alarm system if there is

exceptional water use. If there is excessive water flow in one day, residents can ask that the water be shut off. She would speak to the City Manager about sharing information on the program with residents to avoid unforeseen issues in the future.

The Historic Committee met recently and Council Member Birrell served as a Liaison. At the last meeting, there were two guests from Brighton High School who spoke. She commended the Committee for looking to collaborate more with high school teachers and staff.

3.5 Committee Reports by Mayor Mike Weichers.

Mayor Weichers reported that he serves on the UFA Board of Trustees. There are two stations within the City boundaries including Station 110 on Fort Union Boulevard and Station 116 on Wasatch Boulevard. Something that had been a priority for UFA over the last 18 months was to spend more time in the community. There was a desire to be more visible. Something that had changed in the last few weeks was that all UFA personnel are wearing the Cottonwood Heights logo and patch on their uniforms. This would make it possible to identify the firefighters who worked in the community. Mayor Weichers thought that this was a positive addition.

Mayor Weichers expressed appreciation for the engaged residents in Cottonwood Heights. In the last six weeks, he had attended three different Town Halls and there had been a lot of community involvement. The Meet the Candidates Night was also a successful event. A lot of people attended to learn who is running for office. There had been several opportunities to gather as a community. Cottonwood Heights is an amazing place with people who care. Residents truly want the City to be the best it can be, which he was grateful for.

4.0 CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mayor Weichers opened the Citizen Comment period.

Don Smart shared comments about pickleball in the community. He stated that there are a lot of pickleball courts in neighborhoods. His backyard connects to approximately six other homes, which means there is a potential for conflict. Issues associated with pickleball include noise and the fact that lights are needed to utilize the courts in the mornings and evenings. He understood that people want to do things on their property but with pickleball, it is not possible to contain the sound or lights. The use becomes a neighborhood issue. Mr. Smart asked the City Council to protect residents from sound and light nuisances. There is a Homeowners Association ("HOA") where he lives but the HOA wants to support what the City dictates.

Dan Eagen reported that he resides in the Willow Brook Farm Subdivision on the south side of the City. He also wanted to share comments about pickleball courts. There is a void in the City Ordinances about this issue. The placement of the facilities in private neighborhoods as opposed to public areas, such as parks and schools, deserves prompt and urgent attention from the City. Noise and light penetrating beyond lot boundaries and onto neighboring properties is a real concern. Those impacted are left to complain to neighbors or the neighborhood HOA. This impacts the overall sense of community. Currently, there are only the Salt Lake County noise rules to establish a baseline limitation. Even a casual reading of the rule indicated that it did not contemplate outdoor

private sports facilities or the repetitive nature of the sounds involved. Mr. Eagen believed there needs to be better and more specific guidance in place. There were examples that could be considered from other cities, such as Park City, which recently adopted an ordinance. It might be appropriate to have a minimum acreage requirement for the placement of a pickleball court on a private residential lot. He asked the Council to look into this.

Renee Cundick reported that her family decided to live in Cottonwood Heights because they loved the area. She was concerned about the new trend where pickleball courts are added to quiet, private, residential areas. Neighborhoods are being turned into recreational spaces. There are rotating leagues, lessons, and hourly rentals taking place. People travel in and out of neighborhoods for these purposes, which results in more noise, traffic, and other nuisances. Over the last year, her family has been directly impacted by this. She resides in an HOA and the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions ("CC&R") state that all game courts need to be located in a way that will avoid impacting adjacent properties with light and sound. It also states that no noxious or offensive activity should be carried out on the property. Ms. Cundick and several other neighbors reached out to Cottonwood Heights for direction on City Ordinances that would prevent the quiet neighborhood from turning into a noisy recreational area. She and her husband both have home offices and work from home. Light and sound generated from a Pickleball court in the residential neighborhood impacts their ability to work and rest.

Ms. Cundick reported that noise from Pickleball courts consistently reaches 70 decibels. However, the decibels greatly increase in enclosed spaces, echoing off of the close proximity of structures. That noise level does not take into account cheering or other noises made by players and spectators. 55 decibels is the level allowed in Salt Lake County in residential areas. She reiterated that Pickleball greatly exceeds that number. After consulting several fence and sound professionals, there is no fence that will block or muffle the associated sounds. The proximity of the homes causes the sound to echo off of the structures and amplify the noise. This is clearly a nuisance to all neighbors and residents surrounding the private courts. She commented that Cottonwood Heights needs to have clear ordinances in place to protect the existing neighborhoods.

Mark McDonald noted that the Park City Planning Commission recently approved a new Land Management Code Amendment related to outdoor pickleball courts in residential areas. Pickleball is exploding in popularity, so the Park City Recreation Department is currently working on a Request for Proposals ("RFP") to consider the scope and construction costs of new outdoor pickleball courts in the community. Due to the unique noise produced through pickleball play, Staff recommended that the Planning Commission implement new Land Use regulations for outdoor pickleball courts in residential areas as a way to address noise and other impacts. Mr. McDonald shared details of what was approved by the Park City Planning Commission. He was upset that some neighbors in Cottonwood Heights will negatively impact others with private courts. It was important to do something about this and implement sensible restrictions on the use.

Jen Cottam explained that she attended the meeting where Bengal Boulevard and Highland Drive were discussed. She liked the plans and was pleased with the direction. That being said, she wanted to share some perspective about the neighborhood that comes from the west to the east. As people try to make a left-hand turn coming down the hill, they do not necessarily see people coming straight. She had almost been hit in both her vehicle and on her bicycle. There was an opportunity

to install a flashing yellow light to remind drivers to yield to oncoming traffic. As for anyone going down the hill and straight into Park Ridge, there had been dangers there as well. There was discussion about the design of the area. Council Member Bracken mentioned the earlier discussion on that intersection and that the preliminary design has a split phase which necessitates the left turns going down the hill would be going at a different time than those going up the hill. Safety was a priority.

Dru Tidwell has lived in Cottonwood Heights for 18 years and believed it was a special place. For the Hillside Plaza, she was looking forward to sharing feedback with the City. She hoped to be included in the Steering Committee process. Ms. Tidwell asked that the City allow there to be multiple layers of input. A consultant group was hired to provide professional advice and a Steering Committee was being considered. However, she felt there should be additional ways for the City to receive input. There could be a robust and thoughtful dialogue about the area. Ms. Tidwell viewed it as an important future City center where people could feel included and at ease.

Bob Jacobs shared comments about the solution proposed for Little Cottonwood Canyon. He was concerned about the 2,500-car parking garage at the far end of the City. He thought it made more sense for the gravel pit to be a transportation hub with Utah Transit Authority ("UTA") bus routes, express buses, TRAX, and other forms of transportation. He believed there were better options to consider that would eliminate the traffic problems on Wasatch Boulevard in particular.

There were no further comments. The Citizen Comment period was closed.

5.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

5.1 The City Council will Receive Public Comment on the Proposed Sensitive Lands Evaluation and Development Standards ("SLEDS") Ordinance – *Introduction by Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson*.

Community and Economic Development Director, Michael Johnson, shared information about the proposed Sensitive Lands Evaluation and Development Standards ("SLEDS") Ordinance. He explained that the SLEDS Ordinance already exists but updates had been made. The technical Staff review and update began in 2019. The primary purpose was to update all standards to the highest and best practices. There was also a desire to identify, study, and mitigate hazards in the City, particularly during new development activities. For many of the provisions in the Ordinance, development activity is the trigger for the regulations. The recommendations throughout the SLEDS Ordinance came from different experts. Formal discussions and public hearings began in early 2022 at the Planning Commission level and continued throughout the year. In August, the Planning Commission voted 4-to-3 to recommend approval to the City Council. From there, the City Council started to discuss the proposed SLEDS Ordinance language.

Numerous updates were made subsequent to the recommendation by the Planning Commission. Those updates were based on Planning Commission feedback as well as continued discussions with members of the public and Town Hall Meetings. Mr. Johnson reported that there was a well-

attended Town Hall Meeting in February where there were discussions about riparian protection standards. He reiterated that changes had been made since the Planning Commission vote.

Mr. Johnson reported that one of the changes following the Planning Commission vote included clarification on the sensitive lands review procedure. There was a slightly different review process initially proposed for sensitive lands scoping studies. When development was about to occur, a piece of property needed to be scoped to determine whether there was a fault line, what risk the steep slope provided, and whether there was groundwater on the property. There was language in the Ordinance to state how those areas need to be studied. Changes were made but feedback indicated that the previous version was preferred, so it was changed back.

Language was added to clarify that anywhere in the Ordinance that refers to the City or City Staff making a decision runs through the Development Review Committee ("DRC"). The DRC was defined in the Ordinance and is a Committee that includes various Staff Members and Department Heads. There was language added to state that the City Council will vote on the membership of that Committee at least once a year. Minutes will be taken for any DRC Meeting or a recording will be available afterward for City Staff. That documentation would be accessible to the public.

The Riparian Protection Standards were updated and modified. Mr. Johnson explained that the largest change came as the result of early public comments and the Town Hall Meeting held in February. The modifications split the regulations into two categories. The first category applied to residential properties, specifically low-density zones as well as the Residential Office Zone and the R-2 Zone. A lot of the previous standards and restrictions for development in those areas were now recommendations. There was another set of standards for commercial, high-density, and more intense development. Those were areas where more dense and intense land uses were anticipated. Essentially, there were advisory recommendations for residential areas with only a few restrictions, and standards for pending and future commercial redevelopment.

The Ordinance contained language related to slope disturbance. There was now a clearer definition of Wasatch Boulevard. Mr. Johnson reported that the majority of the amendments made since February were related to the Riparian Protection Area language. Public comments were received prior to the City Council Meeting and were forwarded to the City Council. Some of the comments would be incorporated into another Ordinance update, however, he did not feel the changes would significantly alter the intent of the Ordinance. Mayor Weichers informed those present that the Utah League of Cities and Towns ("ULCT") shared Legislative updates recently. Something that the Legislature was working on was to require cities to pass riparian-related ordinances. That was something that could potentially come from the State in the future.

Mayor Weichers opened the public comment period.

David Berry reported that he previously submitted comments in writing. He found a paragraph that he disagreed with pertaining to the definition of the creek banks. If the creek comes through the bank and erodes out 10 feet, the way the statute is written, the eroded-out section would become the new creek bank. He believed it needed to be based on the historical creek bank instead. Mr. Berry also pointed out that there were references to Paragraph 3A. However, 3A included an approval process, so that was not necessarily allowed. Something was either allowed or not

allowed. If there is an approval process, it is not allowed. Mr. Berry believed that the final draft should be circulated once more for additional feedback and clarifications.

Dale Harris thanked the City for their work and Mr. Berry for his efforts. If he had to vote for the riparian ordinance currently, he would not vote in favor. He referenced fires that occurred and a close call with his home. The ordinance had a tone that indicated that property owners are the hazard. There was language that addressed property owners restricting their movements, which decreased their ability to respond to serious and significant hazards like fire and flood. The biomass needed to be managed. It was a fuel source that had to be handled appropriately. Mr. Harris did not believe the Ordinance addressed flood or fire, which were two of the most significant hazards facing the community. He asked that those hazards be clearly addressed in the Ordinance language. Mr. Harris expressed concerns that the Ordinance would lead to more hazards.

Mark Johnson thanked Council Member Bracken for visiting his property several months ago to better understand the situation in the area. He also thanked everyone involved in the SLEDS Ordinance process. Mr. Johnson explained that if he wanted to update his house, he would need to go through an approval process. It seemed that some of the language placed the rights of the community over the rights of private property owners, which was of concern. He suggested that a clause be added to state that the homeowners' rights will not be reduced.

City Manager, Tim Tingey, reported that written public comments were submitted. Those comments were part of the official record. The comments were from Allan Packer, Mark Johnson, and Jennifer Follstad Shah. All of the comments pertained to the SLEDS Ordinance.

There were no further comments. The public comment period was closed.

6.0 STANDING QUARTERLY REPORTS

6.1 Monthly Financial Report – Finance and Administrative Services Director Scott Jurges.

Finance and Administrative Services Director, Scott Jurges, shared the Monthly Financial Report with the City Council. He explained that this is the preliminary year-end financial update for the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2023. A graph related to property tax was shown. Property taxes normally stay fairly stagnant but there had been a small bump in 2013, which represented catching up on delinquent taxes from the previous two years. In fiscal year 2019, there was a property tax increase that accounted for the jump shown on the graph. Taxes were fairly flat after that point. The average was approximately $50,000 net property tax increase. That came in the form of new taxes from either new structures or structure expansions in the City. The 2023 budget was $8,184,829 and $8,185,000 was collected. Mr. Jurges noted that $50,000 was added to the budget for next year. That amount would be $8,224,000.

Mr. Jurges shared a chart related to sales tax. He explained that sales tax is one of the more volatile taxes. From 2020 to 2021, it increased significantly. That amount continued to increase from 2021 to 2022. The June sales tax for the year had not yet been received but the City was currently

sitting approximately $347,000 ahead of 2022. Council Member Bracken wondered if it was anticipated that the 2022 number would be exceeded. Mr. Jurges believed it would be but stated that it was difficult to determine as there could be some fluctuations. He shared a chart related to the County Option Highway Transportation Sales Tax that was implemented in 2020. It was fairly volatile as well. Last year, $780,000 was received in taxes. Currently, the number is at $722,000, which was approximately $19,000 ahead of last year for this time. Final numbers were expected to come in shortly.

Information about the Transient Room Tax was shared. Mr. Jurges explained that this did not used to be a major source of revenue for the City. For many years, under $50,000 was received. However, another hotel was created, and that drastically increased the revenues. Last year, $144,700 was received, and this year, the amount was approximately $34,000 ahead of the previous year. He believed the finalized number would be approximately $175,000.

Mr. Jurges reviewed information related to Municipal Energy Tax. He reported that this tax came from gas, natural gas, or power that was sold in the City. When those entities changed their rate structures, it impacted the sales tax that was generated. There has been a bit of revenue increase from 2020 on. Some of that was the result of the rate adjustments that the energy companies had put in place. Last year, the City received just under $2.2 million. This year to date, the amount was a little over $2.5 million. That was $381,000 ahead of what was collected last year. Mr. Jurges pointed out that extremes in temperatures could impact the numbers as well.

Cable Franchise Tax information was shared. Mr. Jurges reported that the tax stayed fairly stable. The amount was currently $8,000 behind what was collected in 2022. If that held true to the end of the year, it would be somewhere in the $315,000 range, which he felt was a reasonable estimate. Those taxes came in on a quarterly basis. The budget for next year was $300,000.

Mr. Jurges discussed the Class C Roads Funds. He explained that those funds came from a cents per gallon fuel tax. It was based on the quantity of fuel that was sold and distributed. Some increases have been seen there over the last few years. The number was approximately $1.4 million to date for the year, which was $40,000 ahead of last year. The budget for next year was $1.4 million. He thought that was a good representation of where the overall collections would be.

The General Fund Expense trend graph was shared. Expenses were largely driven by salary costs, whether those costs were the result of salary increases or Cost-of-Living Adjustments ("COLA"). There had been larger COLA increases over the last several years. This year, the City was sitting at $20,214,000, which was approximately $1.3 million ahead of the prior year's spending. That being said, Mr. Jurges reminded Council Members that the numbers were not finalized. There would be a few more expenditures and revenues coming through. The numbers should be mostly finalized within the next two weeks or so. From there, some analysis would be done and the five-year plan would be updated accordingly. The auditors would come in at the end of September.

6.2 Quarterly Police Report – Support Services Manager/Administrative Assistant to the Chief Candie Terry.

Assistant to the Chief, Candie Terry, shared the Cottonwood Heights Police Department's July 2023 Statistical Report with the City Council. During the month of July, there were 1,520 calls for service and 275 on-view calls for officers. For the calls for service by district, District 1 had 611 calls, District 2 had 231, District 3 had 298, and District 4 had 207. The overall response times for July were shared. The average officer arrival time ranged between four and five minutes. There were a few calls for Priority 3 that took a little bit more time, so that number was shown at nine minutes. The UCR Crime Statistics were shared. There were nine assaults, four burglaries, 39 thefts, and four stolen autos. She reported that those numbers were down from last year. In July, there were 78 arrests and four juvenile arrests. As for traffic citations, there were 156. There were 113 warnings and seven DUIs. The citations issued by district were shared. There were 117 citations for District 1, 16 for District 2, 27 in District 3, and 25 in District 4. She reported that there were 31 property damage accidents last month as well as six injury accidents for June 2023.

The Animal Control and Code Enforcement statistics were shared. It was also reported that there was one attended death and two unattended deaths last month. A chart was shared that reviewed the last three months. There were no abnormalities shown in the numbers. Mayor Weichers asked what an on-view call was. It was explained that an on-view was a non-dispatched call.

There was a heat map shared that reviewed the locations of the property crimes in Cottonwood Heights for July. There were also heat maps for each of the individual districts. A heat map to illustrate the location of the citations issued within the City was shared. As for the Safety Message for the month, it related to the return to school. School would start next week for all of the schools in the area. It was suggested that residents watch out for students, stop for buses, and slowdown in school zones. Several photographs from the Autism Community BBQ were shared. Discussions were had about revenue from speeding tickets. Police Chief, Robby Russo, explained that it generated very little revenue to the City as most of the money went to the cost of the court.

7.0 ACTION ITEMS

7.1 Consideration of Resolution 2023-44 - Approving and Ratifying a Bid and Awarding a Construction Contract for the Storm Drain Access Restoration Project.

Mayor Weichers reported that Resolution 2023-44 was discussed during the Work Session.

MOTION: Council Member Newell moved to APPROVE Resolution 2023-44 – Approving and Ratifying a Bid and Awarding a Construction Contract for the Storm Drain Access Restoration Project. The motion was seconded by Council Member Holton. Vote on Motion: Council Member Holton-Aye; Council Member Bracken-Aye; Council Member Newell-Aye; Council Member Birrell-Aye; Mayor Weichers-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

7.2 Consideration of Resolution 2023-45 - Awarding a Retiring Police Officer His Badge and Approving His Purchase of a Police Firearm.

Mayor Weichers reported that Resolution 2023-45 was discussed during the Work Session.

MOTION: Council Member Bracken moved to APPROVE Resolution 2023-45 – Awarding a Retiring Police Officer His Badge and Approving His Purchase of a Police Firearm. The motion was seconded by Council Member Holton. Vote on Motion: Council Member Holton-Aye; Council Member Bracken-Aye; Council Member Newell-Aye; Council Member Birrell-Aye; Mayor Weichers-Aye. The motion passed unanimously.

8.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

8.1 Approval of the Minutes of the City Council Work Session, CDRA Meeting, and City Council Business Meeting of August 1, 2023.

MOTION: Council Member Holton moved to APPROVE the Consent Calendar. The motion was seconded by Council Member Newell. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

9.0 ADJOURN CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS MEETING.

MOTION: Council Member Bracken moved to ADJOURN. The motion was seconded by Council Member Holton. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Cottonwood Heights City Council Work Session, CDRA Meeting, and Business Meeting held Tuesday, August 15, 2023.

Teri Forbes

Teri Forbes
T Forbes Group
Minutes Secretary

Minutes Approved: September 5, 2023