



AGENDA FOR THE
SPRINGVILLE COMMUNITY BOARD
110 South Main Street
Multi-Purpose Room
Thursday, September 14, 2023, 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes from the August 10, 2023 meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The public is invited to make comments or bring issues before the board for discussion.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. presentations on the Reframing Downtown and 1600 South Master Plans

ADJOURNMENT BY CONSENSUS

THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH A MINIMUM OF 24-HOURS NOTICE

This meeting was noticed in compliance with Utah Code 52-4-202 on September 7, 2023. Agendas and minutes are accessible through the Springville City website at www.springville.org/agendas-minutes. Springville Community Board meeting agendas are available through the Utah Public Meeting Notice website at www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html. Email subscriptions to Utah Public Meeting Notices are available through their website; In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Administration Department at (801) 491-7833 at least three business days prior to the meeting.

Springville City Community Board Meeting - August 10, 2023

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 10, 2023, AT 7:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET,
SPRINGVILLE, UTAH, IN THE MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM.

Board Members and Staff in Attendance: Bryan BJ Smith, Carla Wiese, Cason Acor, Dave Cook, Deborah Hall, Jennifer Grigg, Josh Yost, Kelly Norman, Liz Crandall, Mariah Hurst, Scott Duncan, and Todd Fischio.

Excused: Alex Dahl, Byran Korth, Chelsea Rosander, Mayor Matt Packard, Mike Florence, and Patrick Monney.

Call to Order 7:06 p.m.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes from the meeting on July 13, 2023.

Deborah moved to approve the minutes and Cason seconded the motion. All voted aye.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was none.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Discuss wording the next general plan to avoid politically charged phrasing.

After the scoring, the board discussed phrasing changes. Certain terms like *green*, *equity*, and *carbon footprint* are politically charged. BJ said each person looks at a word with their own lens and asked if we add more priorities are we cheapening the highest priorities; by claiming each section needs an action item and by adding more sections are we diluting the pinnacles of our general plan? Councilmember Crandall said the premise of the current general plan is good. Josh said the drafting process will include prioritizing, implementation, and scheduling possibly with appendices and an interactive web experience—the current format is formidable. Dave said the problem with master plans in general is people only read the first two sentences and if it is too complicated, no one will look at it. Mariah suggested prioritizing projects like a *1000-Day Plan*. Cason added there should be a structure to prioritize the most acute needs. BJ suggested listing the objectives in each section by priority. Cason said he has read general plans from most of the communities along the Wasatch Front and reading general plans is the least favorite part of his job and the information needs to be presented in an organized way.

Kelly said it is human nature to not read long documents. Cason said it is a policy-informing document. Dave said the general plan is technical for professionals. Councilmember Crandall asked if the public could search the general plan when they have a question. Kelly asked if cities enforce the general plan. Cason said his clients need to know the policy of each municipality. Scott said this is like the first discussions (17 months ago) on the mission of this board. He suggested keeping this plan simple like a vision and mission statement for the city; almost like a slogan. Mariah said it should be navigable for the general public and detailed for the professionals. BJ suggested the new general plan should be web-based and presentation and navigation should be a priority. Josh said it does not need to be a book on a shelf. BJ said reasonable public access to the general plan would keep leadership more accountable. Deborah suggested an introductory video presentation on the vision and mission of Springville City. Josh said optimally, staff would reference the general plan at every city council meeting when making

proposals for change. Mariah agreed and said the general plan should be required reading every time the Council decides or changes policy.

As far as politically charged wording, BJ said the source material should be our general plan NOT this rubric. The layout of the new general plan should follow the current general plan while adding some new sections from the rubric. Mariah said the board should be clear on our intent rather than vernacular. Councilmember Crandall agreed and said we do not need to reinvent our general plan. Kelly said Springville does not have any big polluters. Cason said Stouffers uses lots of water. Councilmember Crandall said other entities already regulate the businesses. Green business promotion was again discussed. Mariah said there are ways of promoting without mandating and compared promoting green business to being good stewards of our land. BJ said the plan was already robust, even long, so the next plan will be even longer.

2. Continue scoring and prioritizing areas for the next plan.

Mariah moved past item one and opened the scoring Google sheet to continue the discussion on any section that scored a zero on the rubric and if it should be included in or excluded from the new general plan. The rubric served to lead the board through sections that should be added and improved on while including most of the sections, wording, and concepts in the existing general plan in the new general plan. Mariah suggested adding action plans to all sections. She said increased popularity of motorized bikes will increase buy-in for the Active Transportation Plan. Councilmember Crandall agreed with leaving in the protection of open space. Deborah said the rubric mentions being good stewards of our land and suggested that these mandates are sustainable. BJ said carbon footprint is real (<https://unfccc.int/blog/a-beginner-s-guide-to-climate-neutrality>) and Deborah agreed. Mariah said the new general plan should include adapting to changes in the environment and moved on to air quality, renewable energy use, and conserving water supply. Councilmember Crandall noted that Springville City is already working on these goals. Dave agreed with prioritizing building a tax base and Josh explained how land use specifications can accomplish that. Councilmember Crandall questioned if promoting green businesses and jobs gives up control over city policy to another government entity that can withhold funding or overreach to control development. The board completed the rubric. Councilmember Crandall asked about the process after the contractor is hired. Josh answered we are providing guidance and will review the product piece by piece.

3. Update on the Request for Proposals.

Josh explained that the board will review the new general plan as it is being created by a contractor and the RFQ (Request for Qualifications instead of Request for Proposals to shortlist possible firms) is not ready yet. Cason clarified that after the RFQ staff will define the scope of work for the contractor. Josh agreed and said there would be flexibility in procurement to include subcontractors as well. Mariah asked what the board needs to do to move forward.

4. Schedule the presentations on the many plans like Reframe Downtown Central, 1600 South, Active Transportation & Station Area. The board suggested two presentations per meeting.

Scott added his thoughts on the word *green* equating to a small-town environment. Councilmember Crandall said our current general plan is beautifully written. The board agreed and added openness and protecting agriculture are priorities. Josh defined active transportation as a means of getting around by human-powered movement, primarily walking and bicycling. Cason asked if it includes recreational uses. Josh said active transportation includes practical and recreational trips. Mariah referred to the redesign of 200 East in Provo, (<http://www.bikeprovo.org/say-hello-to-provos-first-neighborhood-bikeway/>) Scott asked about the possibility of equestrian trails as part of the Active Transportation Plan and Josh pointed out there are parallel recreational trails along the Jordan River for horses. He said active

transportation infrastructure solves mobility problems for cars as well as pedestrians and bicycles by relieving congestion, offering additional options for commuting, and improving air quality. When implemented, Springville's active transportation network and policies should include *making connections, achieving an all-ages-and-abilities network, promoting active living, increasing economic vitality, and improving air quality*. Referring to noise pollution, he said cities aren't loud, vehicles are loud. Scott added there is less enforcement of noise regulations. The new Springville Active Transportation Plan has a two-fold purpose—*re-evaluate existing street and trail corridors to identify opportunities for better bicycle and pedestrian connections, and establish plans and policies to shape growth and development to ensure the creation of a bike-able and walkable city*.

Josh listed the members of the Active Transportation Ad-Hoc Committee (ATC) and this board expressed concern for Councilmember Snelson, a member of the ATC, who was injured in a bicycle accident and is healing. That ATC met for 3 years, in 18 meetings to advise and create this plan. The contractor analyzed existing conditions and collected statistics on trip lengths origins and destinations, as well as bicycle/pedestrian crashes. The community was surveyed, and an interactive map was created online. Gathered information showed that many trips in Springville are short in time and distance and destination connection is non-existent in Springville. Buffered bike lanes are safest meaning a curb-height path separating cars and bicycles. BJ said his child is not safe riding a bike to school on Main Street. Josh said Main Street needs a separated bike lane that is protected. Scott said buffered bike lane safety is hard to enforce. I clarified that a curb-height bike lane is the only way children could travel Main Street because of the high volume of cars and speed. Josh showed an additional map of the few existing bikeways and trails in Springville. Community engagement through an online survey and a public input map gave insight into reasons for improving active transportation facilities and identified priorities, destinations, and barriers (railroad tracks) to active transportation.

After infrastructure recommendations were added to another interactive map the community was re-surveyed in January 2022 to establish which projects to prioritize with lackluster response probably because of its time of year. Josh said after using survey responses to prioritize projects with the ATC the recommended active transportation network was created. He said the plan recommends 25 miles of bicycle boulevards which are the biggest recommendation because they are quiet neighborhood streets with low volume and low speed that prioritize bicycles and pedestrians by introducing traffic calming measures that reduce speeds and manage traffic volumes. There is a big toolbox of traffic calming measures including signs, and bump-outs (a traffic calming measure which extends the width of the sidewalk and curb line at crosswalks and other locations) that will be determined by engineers and public involvement. Councilmember Crandall clarified a school district representative attended the meetings. Josh explained that UTA bus routes are included in the plan and data shows that improved active transportation facilities improved ridership. Cason honored his crossing guard, at Art City Elementary, Lori Chandler, who has worked for 32 years. Josh committed to sending a copy of this plan to the board members. Mariah asked for priority scoring details. Josh showed the top 50 projects ranked 1-50.

He continued by saying maintenance and operations of these facilities will be local funding. Capital funding will be from Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG), the State of Utah, and the federal government. There are new dedicated capital dollars in the state budget. Developers will add facilities to ordinary roads when they develop a new project. Most of these projects are not eligible for impact fees. Josh showed the proposed network of facilities includes more than 50 projects valued at \$35 million, in today's dollars, within the purview of each agency. He explained that 1200 West and 2600 West are regional arterial roads that are MAG-funded and the study was funded by UDOT. Cason said there is cohesion and cooperation between these regional entities. Scott agreed that UDOT would be on board with this plan. Deborah clarified that the PAR tax can be used for some mixed recreation facilities. Josh said there is not an additional tax burden on Springville residents and when this plan is adopted granting

agencies will be excited to provide funding. Mariah asked about other communities. Josh answered Spanish Fork and Provo are very active and regionally Santaquin will be connected to Salt Lake County except for the glaring hole in the trail system in Springville. Scott said Springville contractors have good connections and influence with UDOT. Josh listed the first four priority projects. The plan will be presented for adoption to the City Council on September 19, 2023.

MARIAH MOVED TO SHOW THE COUNCIL THAT THE COMMUNITY BOARD SUPPORTS THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN. SCOTT SECONDED AND THE BOARD AGREED BY CONSENSUS. NOT AGENDAED

Deborah suggested the Active Transportation Plan is exciting and should be included in the next general plan. BJ asked about the process of changing these recommendations. Josh said the Council can update the plan and the map at any time in the future. Councilmember Crandall noted there are different ways to execute the plan and parts of the plan are not set in stone. Mariah said citizens will have a voice. The next presentations will be Reframing Downtown and 1600 South.

ADJOURNED BY CONSENSUS AT 9:02 P.M.

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Community Board Meeting held on Thursday, August 10, 2023.

I, Jennifer Grigg, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting Deputy Recorder for Springville City, of Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true and accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Thursday, August 10, 2023.

DATE APPROVED: _____

Jennifer Grigg
Deputy Recorder