



AGENDA FOR THE
SPRINGVILLE COMMUNITY BOARD
110 South Main Street
Multi-Purpose Room
Thursday, August 10, 2023, 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes from the July 13, 2023 meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The public is invited to make comments or bring issues before the board for discussion.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Discuss wording the next general plan to avoid politically charge phrasing.
2. Continue scoring and prioritizing areas for the next plan.
3. Update on the Request for Proposals.
4. Schedule the presentations on the many plans like Reframe Downtown Central, 1600 South, Active Transportation & Station Area. The board suggested two presentations per meeting.

ADJOURNMENT BY CONSENSUS

THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH A MINIMUM OF 24-HOURS NOTICE

This meeting was noticed in compliance with Utah Code 52-4-202 on August 9, 2023. Agendas and minutes are accessible through the Springville City website at www.springville.org/agendas-minutes. Springville Community Board meeting agendas are available through the Utah Public Meeting Notice website at www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html. Email subscriptions to Utah Public Meeting Notices are available through their website; In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the city will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Administration Department at (801) 491-7833 at least three business days prior to the meeting.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, JULY 13, 2023, AT 7:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET,
SPRINGVILLE, UTAH, IN THE MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM.

Board Members and Staff in Attendance: Cason Acor, Chelsey Rosander, Dave Cook, Deborah Hall, Jennifer Grigg, Josh Yost, Liz Crandall, Mariah Hurst, Mayor Matt Packard, Michael Florence, and Patrick Monney.

Excused: Alex Dahl, Bryan BJ Smith, Byran Korth, Carla Wiese, Kelly Norman, Scott Duncan, and Todd Fischo.

Mariah called the meeting to order at 7:08 p.m.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Deborah moved to approve minutes from the meeting on June 01, 2023, and Cason seconded the motion. All voted aye.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Today is Mayor Packard's birthday he said he loved spending it with the Community Board. Mariah congratulated Thomas Jones for being appointed to the Naval Academy. Cason reviewed the past meeting about what to let fall away and take forward to the new general plan and Mariah suggested specific wording other than *encouraged*. Dave said we need to respect the rights of individuals.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Partnerships established in the last meeting will summarize an assigned section in a presentation.

Chelsey started her presentation on section 6.1 *Responsible Regionalism* which she said she struggled with because it was discussed before she was called to this board. She said that as she perused the existing general plan, she applied the questions in the rubric. The existing general plan mentioned transportation and transit which she rated a 2. Mariah clarified that the board will get every element scored and then the board will decide what moves to the future general plan. Chelsey scored section 6.2 *Coordinate with Regional Housing* zero. The rest of her scores were entered into the Google doc by Cason in real-time. Mariah agreed with her scores. Dave mentioned the existing general plan is concerned with the future Front Runner station location in Springville, but not whether Spanish Fork likes it. Mayor Packard said connectivity with other communities through bike trails is currently a priority and the existing general plan did not prioritize cooperation with neighboring communities or the region.

The partnership of Dave and Liz scored section 5 which was added to the Google doc. Dave suggested the existing general plan is a guide, not an action plan. The groups took 15 minutes to study section 4 of the existing general plan and the scores were added to the Google doc. Michael presented section 4.1 *Provide a Range of Housing Types* and said the existing general plan lists a variety of housing types and referred to the moderate-income housing plan which was adopted last year. Michael said this section is robust and scored it a 3. Mariah clarified the state requires a measurable moderate-income plan. Josh said the legislature has strengthened expectations each year. Dave noted affordable houses exist in Springville and asked if the legislature accounts for that.

Deborah reported on section 4.2 *Plan for a Jobs-Housing Balance*. and did not find it in the existing general plan, so she scored that section a 0, adding that jobs and housing were discussed in the existing

general plan but not balancing the two. Cason agreed, stating the existing general plan mentions balancing land use between commercial and residential uses.

Dave presented section 4.3 *Plan for the Physical, Environmental, and Economic Improvement of At-Risk, Distressed, and Disadvantaged Neighborhoods*, and scored it 0. Mariah said this section of the rubric applies to equity and the existing general plan focuses on everyone not just the at-risk or disadvantaged populations. Cason agreed and said it focuses on the whole city, not neighborhoods. Chelsey observed that in 2010 there were not as many neighborhoods. Cason read the definition of *at-risk* and *disadvantaged* neighborhoods and said that the rubric sounds like it applies to very large cities. Dave said there is a high market value in the apartment neighborhoods in the west part of town. He scored it a 2. Deborah changed her grade for section 4.2 to a 1.

Mariah presented on section 4.4 *Improve Health and Safety for At-Risk Populations*. She said the existing plan mentions increasing accessibility for all but she scored it a 0 because equity was not mentioned. Chelsey scored *Provide Accessible Quality Public Services, Facilities, and Public Healthcare to Minority and Low-income Populations*, section 4.5 a 0. Liz presented on section 4.6 *Upgrade Infrastructure and Facilities and Substandard Areas*. She scored it a 0.

Mayor Packard presented on section 4.7 *Plan for Workforce Diversity and Development* and scored it a 0. Dave added targeting neighborhoods is negative and Mayor Packard called it redlining. Dave said it is political and has negative consequences. Mariah recalled a violent crime map in the existing general plan and suggested the next plan should focus on safety, health, and transit. Mayor Packard said he cringes when a population is isolated but it is better to just say we want to address crime in general which cuts across rhetoric and is fairer. Dave agreed and said it is far better and the label of living on the east side should not mean anything. Mayor Packard said race does not influence whether a city wants everyone to be safe. Liz worked on section 4.8—*Protect Vulnerable Populations from Natural Hazards* and scored it a 0. Chelsey asked if the population that owns property near the mountains is vulnerable. Cason said a vulnerable population would be someone whose home or job is at risk based on geography. Dave noted how often our mountain has burned.

Mariah said section 4.9 *Promote Environmental Justice* deserved a 2 because the former general plan mentioned the June Sucker project. Mayor Packard said this project brought funding to Springville to preserve its habitat. Mariah said the Utah Lake Commission has done a fantastic job explaining the importance of the June Sucker and the health of Utah Lake. Mayor Packard said the June Sucker is a success story and it benefits the city in a very positive way. Mariah added it benefits Utah Lake as well. Dave said the recreational places like Sandy Beach along Utah Lake have been taken over by the druggies and the lake is knee-deep 400 yards out. Mariah encouraged the board to attend the meetings of the Utah Lake Commission. Mariah referred to *restorative justice* and using resources to make things right. Josh said Springville is lucky because it felt like a neighborhood up until the population reached 20,000 with no neighborhoods affected by large highways or a sewer plant. Cason defined this section as the relationship of the population with the environment like the disenfranchisement of poor people by building a sewer plant or a toxic waste dump in their neighborhood.

2. Sort/score sections by 0,1 and 2 and discuss what to do and decide if 3 belongs in the next general plan.

Deborah asked to discuss mindfulness for at-risk populations without crossing into politicalness. Cason agreed and said it comes down to need and his worry is a blanket level of public assistance does not rise to the level of assistance needed. Dave asked to define vulnerable populations. As one example, Cason said at-risk populations experience more foreclosures. Liz said there are already programs like *Meals on Wheels*. Dave added there is a food bank in town and the church feeds a lot of people. Liz asked if the general plan should also address these needs. Mariah said we need to remember what the

general plan is and we champion any population in Springville but at the general plan level. We can still acknowledge pockets of vulnerable populations. Chelsey asked for a definition of vulnerable populations and Cason added disenfranchised and at-risk populations. Mayor Packard said the future general plan can define safety for all people and the Public Safety department can address areas of town with higher crime. Cason agreed and said adding more police to certain neighborhoods to keep the crime rate below a certain level. Deborah said a *Title 1 School* can indicate vulnerable populations and the board can be mindful.

<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/titleiparta/index.html> <https://www.schools.utah.gov/file/6434ae4e-9836-4c39-aeaf-ce099802555b>.

She continued by saying that so many families in our community are one medical crisis away from a really difficult financial situation. The board discussed that the <https://sunsetfarmersmarkets.com> farmer's market is a great way to reach vulnerable populations with booths about services. Josh reminded the board a general plan controls the big picture levers like housing, land use, and transportation. He explained the idea of making land use more diverse throughout the city in the next general plan would provide access to mentoring and social support networks to people from different incomes. Chelsey said Logan, Utah has a free bus downtown. Cason noted the lack of public transportation in Springville. Deborah asked if there is an organization that brings social services together to coordinate effectiveness and crossover. Cason said we could add a city committee for social services to the general plan. Mariah said that as we develop the general plan, we can remember how it affects different populations instead of assuming all populations have the same experience as we do. We can utilize the surveys and have information ready to work forward on this general plan.

The board marked all the sections scoring 0 in the existing plan and decided to discuss several sections at the next meeting before prioritizing which section would continue to the next general plan. The board decided the next general plan should avoid politically charged phrasing. Josh agreed with Chelsey's suggestion to include planning for an increasingly arid climate. Mariah said the city should have plans in place for natural disasters. Cason said there are areas of the city where all the homes have lead paint and asbestos and said it should be addressed in the next general plan. Mariah suggested more discussion at the next meeting. More sections scoring 0 were discussed. Josh said the next general plan can include a mandate to work with other organizations like the chamber to support economic development. The board decided environmental justice should be addressed in the next general plan.

The board decided that the next meeting agenda items should include wording the next general plan to avoid politically charge phrasing, continuing to score the areas to prioritize for the next plan, and Josh updating the board on the Request for Proposals. Josh asked if the board would like to have presentations on the many plans like Reframe Downtown Central 1600 South Active Transportation Station Area. The board agreed and suggested two presentations per meeting.

3. Evaluate score results and where to hone and prioritize weaknesses as well as address the good sections—to be continued.

ADJOURNMENT BY CONSENSUS 9:07 P.M.

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Community Board Meeting held on Thursday, July 13, 2023.

I, Jennifer Grigg, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting Deputy Recorder for Springville City, of Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true and accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Thursday, July 13, 2023.

DATE APPROVED: _____

Jennifer Grigg
Deputy Recorder