## Salt Lake County Council

Committee of the Whole

~Minutes~

Tuesday, June 4, 2013

11:00:30 AM

Committee Members

Present: Randy Horiuchi

 Richard Snelgrove

 Jim Bradley

 Arlyn Bradshaw

 Michael Jensen

 David Wilde

 Sam Granato

 Max Burdick

 Steven DeBry, Chair

Citizen Public Input (11:00:30 AM)

 **Mr. Steve Van Maren** spoke under “Citizen Public Input” regarding the schedule change for Committee of the Whole meetings. The meetings would still run overtime in the afternoon, and the timing of the Board of Equalization meeting should also be considered. He suggested video streaming all meetings on the internet.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated he looked into that possibility, but the cost was prohibitive.

 **Mr. Bart Barker**, Council Aide, stated the start-up costs for streaming video would be about $25,000 plus ongoing operating expenses. There was a possibility of doing audio only, but video was too expensive.

 **Mr. Van Maren** stated if the Council went with an audio edition of its meetings, upgraded microphones would be required. The current system cannot be heard even within the Committee of the Whole meeting room.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Litigation Settlement (11:05:38 AM)

 **Council Member Wilde, seconded by Council Member Horiuchi, moved to close the Committee of the Whole meeting to discuss a litigation settlement. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and Burdick were absent for the vote.**

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 The Council reopened the meeting by motion during the closed session.

 **Council Member Wilde, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to give authorization, support, and approval to legal counsel consistent with discussion in the closed session as articulated by the Mayor and Council. The motion passed unanimously. Council Member Burdick was absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Economic Outlook Presentation (11:36:48 AM)

 **Mr. Doug Macdonald**, Economic Consultant, Econowest Associates, delivered a PowerPoint presentation (incorporated by reference into the minutes). He reviewed growth in the U.S. economy and Utah, Gross Domestic Product, employment statistics, Salt Lake area employment trajectory, Utah wages, U.S. retail sales, residential construction, and car sales. Indications are the Salt Lake economy is on a good track, and will continue to grow. However, any crisis in Washington or Europe may hinder growth.

 **Council Member Horiuchi** asked how quickly the County would see positive revenue from internet sales tax, and how long interest rates would remain low.

 **Mr. Macdonald** stated internet tax projections were not in the forecast model. The legislation has not passed the U.S. House of Representatives yet. Interest rates are expected to remain low until 2016.

 **Council Member DeBry** asked if housing was the best indicator of how the economy was doing.

 **Mr**. **Macdonald** stated housing is one of the better indicators, but not the only one.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** asked about the effect of inflation on the County budget.

 **Mr. Macdonald** stated consultants in that field do not see much inflation in the future.

 **Council Member Wilde** asked if the Affordable Care Act will have an impact on budget projections next year.

 **Mr. Macdonald** stated health care costs are factored into the projections as far as health care costs growing faster than other jobs. However, part of that is due to an aging population. More resources are being put into medical care, but life expectancies are also being extended.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Model for Funding Early Childhood Education (1:39:23 PM)

 **Mayor Ben McAdams** presented a model for funding early childhood education (preschool). He stated a bill (S.B. 71) was proposed at the 2013 legislative session, which dealt with this model. The bill did not pass. The bill would have created an innovative approach to government participation in financing preschool. Essentially, private investors would provide upfront capital to pay for preschool and would be paid back through government funding based upon intervention achieving benchmarks. He is seeking direction from the Council as to its interest in implementing a pilot project using this model before preparing success benchmarks, seeking private sector partnerships, and developing a financing agreement. Currently, Salt Lake County’s role in providing for the children in its communities is limited and targeted to include safe and constructive after school programs, provide behavioral health services for youth, partner with organizations such as Head Start to provide preschool, and the Christmas Box House. The Mayor’s Office recognizes the primary role that the State of Utah plays in education and this proposal would not duplicate or supplant that role in anyway.

The County’s participation would involve an existing preschool program-design operated within the Granite School District. This preschool has been operating on a limited basis for the past five years, where it has staffed 15 classrooms with a total of 300 children. The School District administered a standard preschool test to the three-/and four-year-olds children prior to them beginning preschool, and then when that group reachedthird grade they were given the standard level test for their grade level. The results indicated the impact that preschool had on these children was very impressive. The achievement gap between the non-economically disadvantaged and the economically disadvantaged was reduced from 22 to 24 percentage points down to 4 percentage points.

Countless studies have documented that a high-quality preschool program is a reliable predictor of future educational success. Among low income children that attend preschool, 20 to 30 percent of them are more likely to graduate from high school, and they show a 157 percent increase in enrollment in college. They are also twice as likely to be employed in a high skilled job, 30 to 50 percent less likely to use drugs, and 30 to 50 percent less likely to be arrested for violent crimes. Studies have also shown there is a seven-to-one return on the investment. For every $1.00 invested, $7.00 would not need to be spent on special education, crime, or public assistance.

If Salt Lake County participates in this pilot project, it would need to commit $350,000 over the next four years, beginning in 2015, to pay back the bond secured by the private sector investors based on meeting certain performance matrix related to cost savings. United Way would help to facilitate this arrangement.

 **Mr. Bill Crim**, Senior Vice President, Community Impact and Public Policy, United Way of Salt Lake, stated United Way is willing to work with the County to establish private-public partnerships to fund preschools, which are data driven. The proposed program has been documented to save the State money. The State saves money when children do not end up in remedial programs.

 **Representative Greg Hughes** stated he was the house sponsor for S.B. 71, which did not make it through the Senate. If there are private entities looking for a modest return on investment, then they could invest in these children. The savings the County would see could be used to repay the private investor. This pilot project would be used to show the State that money can be saved, and it would become a catalyst to implementing this program on a much larger scale.

 **Council Member DeBry** stated he had the following concerns with this proposal:

1. It is not the County’s area to fund education, it could be a paradigm switch for the County to start doing so.
2. The money to fund this proposal would come from the General Fund. County residents already pay a significant portion of their property taxes towards education along with income tax. He asked why residents should be taxed again for educational purposes.
3. If the County spent $350,000 from the General Fund to fund preschools in Granite School District, what would stop Murray, Jordan, Salt Lake, and Canyons School Districts from requesting similar amounts? It would be unfair to fund Granite School District and not all the school districts.
4. If the taxpayers’ money is given to United Way and then to an investor, how much would the investor actually get, and what would United Way’s share be?
5. If the County decided to participate, it would make sense for the funds to come out of the Municipal Services Fund because the funds would be used to strengthen the preschool program in the unincorporated County.
6. It is the personal responsibility of the parents to make sure their children are ready for school. He was not sure it was the appropriate thing for the County to do with taxpayers’ money.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated the County already funds Head Start preschool. This proposal has five years of data showing that it works. The County would not be getting into the preschool business; it would only be supporting it. This would enable Granite School District to continue doing what it is already doing.

 **Council Member Horiuchi** stated it has been his experience that Granite School District is very difficult to deal with. If the County did decide to do this, he would hope Granite School District would be cooperative.

 **Council Member Granato** stated he toured the current preschool in Magna that is up and running. He was very impressed; it is a great program. This sort of private-public partnership needs to start somewhere, why not here? Children are the County’s most valuable resource. It will save millions of dollars at the end of the road.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated his office will be spending a lot of time and effort in negotiating this agreement. He wanted to know if the Council, in concept, would support this proposal.

 **Council Member Granato, seconded by Council Member Bradley, moved to direct the Mayor to move forward with this proposal.**

 **Council Member Wilde** stated the State has already said no regarding this proposal. He asked if the County should be concerned about repercussions from the State if it moves forward with it.

 **Representative Hughes** stated sometimes bigger concepts take more than one session to pass. Issues that prevented this bill from passing were the preview concept, as well as quantifying the actual dollars saved. Before a State level program is started, it helps to have a smaller political jurisdiction, such as Salt Lake County, showing how the program works and dollars saved. This will add a benefit to and strengthen the bill in a future legislative session. There was opposition to this bill. Some legislators felt it would get the government involved in a child’s life too early.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated there are many times when local governments serve as the laboratory where good ideas prove out, and then get adopted at a broader level. He would like Salt Lake County to be the laboratory for this idea. The County would be taking an approach where it is not helping just a few hundred children, it is more about proving out a concept that is doable on a much broader basis. The County would be saving money on gang prevention, drug rehab, and youth crime prevention, which is why it would be using General Fund monies.

 **Mr. Crim** stated the investor takes all the risk because he would not receive his investment back if certain negotiated criteria were not met.

 **Council Member Burdick** stated the $350,000 the County puts up front would also be at risk.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated the $350,000 would not be put up front. The investor would only get the money if the children performed to a certain level. The plan is to bring to the Council a contract for approval with United Way, which says if the program pays out as promised, the County will repay United Way $350,000. If the program fails, then the County would keep the money.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated the nature of government is that once a program gets started it continues to grow. He asked if there was a way to accomplish this without a new government program, such as cutting the middle man, United Way, out and the investor donating directly to school districts.

 **Mr. Crim** stated it would be perfect if investors would donate every dime to support this program; however, the private sector could never sustain the need required for this ongoing program at the scale that is essential.

 **Representative Hughes** stated if investors wanted to invest directly in school districts instead of going through United Way, it would not happen because school foundations are not set up to let investors see a return on their investments. It would be more of a charitable donation.

 **Council Member Bradshaw** stated Salt Lake County Government has always tried to be innovative, looking at ways to improve the lives of citizens in areas where it can. The Council needs to look at this as community improvement, and start somewhere.

 **Council Member Jensen** stated he has the same concerns as his colleagues, but is willing to move ahead with the idea. He would like to see the data and review the information before a final decision is made. Salt Lake County has done some things that not every County has, such as a Small Business Revolving Loan Fund that has encouraged businesses in the County. The Council needs to have the vision to look at this proposal long term. If this frees up money, the Council would be able to put that resource in other needed services.

 **Council Member Bradley** stated the Council is being asked to support an existing program; it is not the County constructing a new effort. Hopefully, by the County showing its support, it will validate the preschool effort and would be of use to take to the State Legislature showing that it clearly does work.

 **Council Member Burdick** asked if there have been any discussions with representatives from the Head Start program regarding this. Representatives from Head Start need to be invited to the table; he did not want any duplicated services.

 **Council Member Granato, seconded by Council Member Bradley, moved to direct the Mayor to move forward with this proposal. Council Member Burdick amended the motion to include representatives from Head Start in the discussions. Council Member Granato accepted the amendment.**

 **Mayor McAdams** stated if the motion passes, his administration would go out and look for private sector partnerships working with United Way to develop a contract, look into Municipal Services Fund versus General Fund, include representatives from Head Start in the discussions, and come back to the Council with an agreement for approval.

 **Council Member Granato, seconded by Council Member Bradley, moved to direct the Mayor to move forward with this proposal. Council Member Burdick amended the motion to include representatives from Head Start in the discussions. Council Member Granato accepted the amendment. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Board Appointments (2:41:08 PM)

*Millcreek Township Planning Commission*

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the appointment of *Ann Ober* as a member of the Millcreek Township Planning Commission. Her term will begin June 4, 2013, and will end December 31, 2014.

 After an interview process with Ms. Ober, the Council made the following motion:

 **Council Member Bradley, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to approve the appointment and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Wilde and Snelgrove were absent for the vote.**

−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−

*Magna Township Planning Commission*

Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the appointment of *Carl Duckworth* as a member of the Magna Township Planning Commission. His term will begin June 4, 2013, and will end November 30, 2015.

 After an interview process with Mr. Duckworth, who participated electronically, the Council made the following motion:

 **Council Member Bradley, seconded by Council Member Horiuchi, moved to approve the appointment and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Member Granato was absent for the vote.**

−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−

*Board of Health*

Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the appointment of *Judy Cullen* as a member of the Board of Health. Her term will begin June 4, 2013, and end June 30, 2016.

 After an interview process with Ms. Cullen, the Council made the following motion.

 **Council Member Horiuchi, seconded by Council Member Granato, moved to approve the appointment and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Healthy Lifestyles Incentive Program (2:47:35 PM)

 *During the November 27, 2012, Budget Workshop Session, the Council moved to keep the Health Lifestyles Program as is, but not provide any monetary incentive. The motion passed 7 to 2 with Council Members Bradley and Bradshaw voting in opposition.*

 **Council Member DeBry** stated the monetary incentive for the Healthy Lifestyles Program was removed and staff was instructed to look at other ways to compensate the employee when benchmarks were reached. He just learned the monetary incentive has been reinstituted. He wanted to make it clear that once the Council makes a decision, that decision cannot be changed unless it comes back before the Council for approval.

 **Ms. Nichole Dunn**, Deputy Mayor, stated she approved the Healthy Lifestyle program to go ahead with the monetary incentive. It was a misunderstanding on the intent of the Council. She thought the motion made during the November 27, 2012, meeting was to cut the funding, but to keep the program. Employees of the Healthy Lifestyles Program figured out a way to provide the monetary incentive within its current budget, so she approved the incentive. After looking at the minutes, she realized the mistake and that is why this has been brought before the Council today. Employees of Healthy Lifestyles looked into other incentives that could be provided, such as time off, and realized it would be more expensive to offer one- hour leave than to offer the monetary incentive. Also, there are employees who signed up for the program, completed the work, and were expecting to get the incentive, but did not.

 **Council Member Horiuchi** stated he has heard from a lot of employees, who stated without the incentive in the Healthy Lifestyle Program it did not make a lot of sense to participate. The Council misunderstood how important the monetary incentive is.

 **Ms. Dunn** stated this budget was cut to the point the Council instructed. The monetary incentive is how they are proposing to use the money that was budgeted for the program.

 **Mr. Gary Edward**, Director, Salt Lake County Health Department, stated the County conducted a study four or five years ago where it was determined that for every $1 the County spent on this program, it saved the County $3.80. The study covered a four-year period, which amounted to approximately $3.4 million savings to the County. After learning of the cuts in this year’s budget, his Department tried to figure out a way that would keep the program functioning in a way that would save the County money, and have a good return on investment. Best practices for employee wellness programs were looked into, and the first thing listed on programs was incentives and rebates to employees. For employees to be successful, there has to be these types of incentives. The first three months of this year, there was a 20 percent plus reduction in individuals participating in the program. Then there was the issue of employees who completed their 2012 goals believing they would receive a rebate, and frustrated when they did not. Rebates and incentives not only generate interest in the program, but encourage participation. Providing time off was looked into and it was determined that for an employee to receive two hours of administrative leave, it would cost the County $240,000 a year; the rebate amounts to $160,000 a year.

 **Council Member Wilde** asked what the biggest rebate an employee could receive was, and how that differed from previous years.

 **Mr. Edwards** stated $120.00 was the largest amount an employee could receive this year. In the past, depending on what criteria was met, it could be upwards of $400.

 **Council Member Burdick** asked if an employee met criteria in 2012, if they got their rebate.

 **Mr. Edwards** stated if an employee signed up for the program in January 2012 and worked all year expecting to receive a rebate in 2013, they were disappointed. The Health Department was not able to issue any rebates after 2012.

 **Council Member Burdick** stated he was not sure that was understood; his vote might have been different if he would have known.

 **Ms. Dunn** stated the Council needed to clarify if it is about the total amount of money that it wants to spend on the program, or specifically that the Council does not want cash incentives.

 **Council Member DeBry** stated the Council just raised taxes 16.2 percent last year because there were no more areas that could be cut. Then all of a sudden, there is money to do this or do to that. This just does not sit well with him.

 **Council Member Wilde** stated if the Council had been told last year that there was an additional $150,000 that could have been saved, it might have made a difference. This is a bit troublesome. The Council tries everywhere it can to keep the budget under control, and then it is later told it actually has money.

 **Mayor Ben McAdams** stated by investing money in the program, the County actually saves money. At the time of the budget, the Mayor’s Office did not know that there would be the opportunity to absorb the cuts and still keep the program.

 **Council Member Bradshaw** stated when the Council made the cut to this budget it was at the very end of the budget workshop. Administration did not have time to react to the cut and discuss any alternatives. The Council needs to be more proactive in letting departments know what is being considered.

 **Council Member Wilde** stated he did not know how much clearer the Council could be when it said it needed a lean budget, and to cut everything possible.

 **Council Member DeBry** stated when he made the motion he was thinking outside the box on how the County could keep the program, save money, and still provide an incentive.

 **Council Member Wilde, seconded by Council Member Horiuchi, moved to go ahead with the proposal. The motion passed 8 to 1 with Council Member Snelgrove voting in opposition.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Sexual Harassment Prevention Training

 This item was not discussed.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Urban Farming Annual Report (3:09:32 PM)

 **Ms. Julie Peck-Dabling**, Open Space & Urban Farming Program Director, Mayor’s Office, presented an update on Urban Farming and various programs including:

* Commercial Farming
* Parks for Produce
* Jail Horticulture
* Refugee Gardening
* Biofuel Program
* Environmental Health
* Farm 2 School

Commercial farmers utilize approximately 15 acres of land and collectively produced over 100,000 pounds of food. The Parks for Produce Program is coordinated with Wasatch Community Gardens’ staff. There are three gardens; Magna, South Salt Lake, and Millcreek. Thirty percent of the population using each garden fall below the federal poverty level and rely on the gardens to feed their families. Over 1,500 hours have been invested in community gardens and County parks.

 **Mr. Peter Kuennemann**, Environmental Health Specialist, Salt Lake County Health Department, stated the Environmental Health Sustainability Garden gives children the opportunity to utilize the garden and to incorporate it into their lessons. Parkside Elementary, in Murray, takes advantage of this garden and provides food for families.

 **Ms. Ze Xiao**, Refugee Liaison, Community Resources & Development Office, stated there are 104 families gardening, and 30 porch gardens have been facilitated at local apartments. One garden is producing for over 100 families under the federal poverty guidelines. For the last two years, the goal has been to grow ethnically cultural-based foods and then sell the produce. Profits have quadrupled; and all of the income earned goes back to the participants. It teaches gardeners self-sufficiency - - to work and earn money for their families.

 **Ms. Katie Wagner**, Horticulture Faculty, USU Extension Services, stated jail inmates participate in the Jail Horticulture Program. Inmates who are given the opportunity to participate also get to sell produce at the Downtown Farmers Market. Participating inmates have commented they have feelings of increased self-confidence, work ethic, interest in gardening, and community connection. The program has changed the mentality of the inmates and prepares them for a future outside of jail. She also teaches “Excellence in Gardening,” which is a ten-hour course and is taught quarterly. The curriculum is a simplified master gardener course. Prisoners obtain a certificate when the course is completed.

 **Ms. Jackie Stevenson**, Intern for Julie Peck-Dabling, stated city planners from all of the cities were surveyed and asked about regulations, such as: hoop houses vs. green houses, bee keeping, fowl keeping, where on the property gardening is allowed, and the necessity of a business license to sell excess produce. All of the city planners responded; responses were tabulated and included in a pamphlet and distributed to each city and the public.

 **Ms. Peck-Dabling** stated Farm 2 School is a new program being implemented. Students are growing their own salad in containers where they have planted the seeds and kept up the care. Food Leadership Summit is a program with Westminster College wherein students who are interested in local foods from various capacities are being directed by farmers and policy makers.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** asked if the bio-fuel is being refined, and if the program refines its own biofuel or if it is sold to the refinery.

 **Ms. Peck-Dabling** stated the bio-fuel is being stored until there is enough to refine. It is hoped this year the bio-fuel can be refined.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** asked if there is a trend towards elementary schools having gardens and including gardening curriculum, and if there are more schools participating than were presented.

 **Mr. Giles Larsen**, Parks for Produce Coordinator, Wasatch Community Gardens, stated Wasatch Community Gardens is working with local schools to involve them in the program.

 **Ms. Peck-Dabling** stated approximately six gardens are located on school property. The challenge for the schools is what to do with the garden in the summer months. Parent volunteers would need to help coordinate the care of the garden. Schools will have the option to have a portable grow box that can be moved to different classrooms to grow produce. Nearly all elementary schools in Denver, Colorado have gardens on their grounds.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

County Council Meeting Public Accessibility (3:28:32 PM)

 **Council Member Granato** stated to provide more consistency for constituents, he would propose that the Committee of the Whole (COW) meetings have a time-certain start time of 9:30 a.m. every Tuesday, with the exception of the third Tuesday of the month when there is a Unified Fire Authority (UFA) meeting. Then the start time would be deferred to the Chair.

 **Council Member Jensen** stated the length of time of UFA meetings varies each month depending on what needs to be discussed.

 **Council Member Bradley** stated a time certain of 9:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. each Tuesday, will provide two chances for citizens to address the Council, it would be consistent. The unpredictability of the start time gets to be a nuisance.

 **Council Member DeBry** stated if the Council and County Government can be more efficient, effective, and friendlier, then it is a positive step forward. To see how the meetings will run with a time certain, there should be a trial period with a final decision made in the fall.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated the Council has been well served by having the time set based on the agenda at the discretion of the Chair. In terms of public accessibility, there is a greater opportunity for public participation when the meetings are in the afternoon, when citizens can take half-a-day off work. Citizens are more likely to address the Council if the meetings run concurrent.

 **Council Member Bradley** stated citizens are addressing the same body regardless of which meeting they attend.

 **Council Member Horiuchi** stated since his illness, he is more fatigued in the afternoon and would appreciate a morning start time. Meetings could start as early as 8:30 a.m.

 **Council Member Burdick** stated the management of time has been used well. If the COW start time is 9:30 a.m., the Council may find it hard to effectively use the time in between the COW and Council meeting.

 **Council Member Bradley** stated in terms of getting through the people’s business, the Council is better off starting earlier. At times, some items on the agenda are being rushed, this will enabled all items to be discussed. The current format does not allow enough time to effectively discuss each item.

 **Council Member DeBry** stated the Chair would still have the discretion to change the time.

 **Council Member Jensen** stated he would be concerned with a larger block of time, as it may cause the Council to discuss items that are not on the agenda just to fill time.

 **Council Member Granato, seconded by Council Member Horiuchi, moved to accept a time certain of 9:30 a.m. for the COW meeting and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. Council Member Wilde amended the motion that the Committee of the Whole meeting would start at 9:00 a.m. for a trial period of June, July, and August and then have it come back to relook at it. Council Member Granato accepted the amendment. The motion passed 7 to 2 with Council Members Burdick and Snelgrove voting in opposition.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Real Estate Offer in Millcreek (3:50:09 PM)

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to close the Committee of the Whole meeting to discuss a real estate issue. The motion passed unanimously.**

−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−

The Council reopened the meeting by motion during the closed session.

 **Council Member Jensen, seconded by Council Member Granato, moved to give direction to the Facilities Management Division to proceed with negotiations for real estate as discussed in the closed session. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Review of Proposed Hires (3:48:34 PM)

 The Council reviewed the following requests for hires, which have been placed on the Council agenda for formal consideration:

*Mayor’s Office*

 Requests to fill a Fiscal Coordinator 27 position in the Financial Administration Division.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Sheriff’s Office*

 Requests to fill a Classification Specialist 15/17 position, a Pharmacy Technician 16 position, and a Medical Records Manager 26/28 position.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Aging Services Division*

 Requests to fill a Nutrition/Transportation Supervisor 22 position.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Youth Services Division*

 Requests to fill a Therapeutic Recreational Specialist 26 position.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*District Attorney’s Office*

 Requests to fill a Paralegal position.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Library Services Division*

 Requests to fill the following positions:

FTE Position Location

 .75 Youth Services Librarian 24/26 Riverton Library

1.00 Construction/Maintenance Specialist 17 Facilities Department

1.00 Customer Service Specialist 15 Whitmore Library

 .50 Shelver 11 Draper Library

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Information Services Division*

 Requests to fill a Network Specialist position.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Treasurer’s Office*

 Requests to fill a Taxpayer Services Specialist position.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to approve the requests and forward them to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

***CONSENT AGENDA:*** (3:48:34 PM)

Board Appointments

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the appointment of **Michael Brooks** as an alternate member of the Magna Township Planning Commission. His term will begin June 4, 2013, and end November 30, 2015.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the reappointment of **Garrett Catten** as a member of the Millcreek Township Planning Commission to serve a three-year term. His term began January 1, 2013, and will end December 31, 2015.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the reappointment of **Dan Cripps** as a member of the Magna Township Planning Commission to serve a three-year term. His term began December 1, 2012, and will end November 30, 2015.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the reappointment of **Andrew McNeil** as a member of the Emigration Township Planning Commission to serve a three-year term. His term began January 1, 2012, and will end December 31, 2014.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 Mayor Ben McAdams submitted a letter requesting the Council’s advice and consent to the reappointment of **Robert Green** as a member of the Parks & Recreation Board to serve a three-year term. His term began January 1, 2013, and will end December 31, 2015.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to approve the appointments and forward them to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Resolution and Interlocal Agreement

 The Council reviewed the following resolutions and agreements. The resolutions authorizing execution of the agreements have been placed on the Council agenda for final approval and execution:

 *Salt Lake City* regarding the design and construction for the Riches Avenue and 1000 East Storm Drain System.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 *Salt Lake City* regarding construction of Phase 4, Hidden Hollow Segment, of Parley’s Trail.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 *Salt Lake City* regarding construction of Phase 4, The Draw Segment, of Parley’s Trail.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to approve the resolutions and agreements and to forward them to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously.**

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

 *Utah Transit Authority* regarding construction of Parley’s Trail from 500 East to State Street.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to approve the resolution and agreement and to forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Member Horiuchi abstained from the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Gifts to Salt Lake County

 The Council reviewed the following gifts to Salt Lake County. The Declaration of Gift forms have been placed on the Council agenda for final approval and execution:

*Meals on Wheels*

 *Sylvia K. Hoti-Fuller* has offered to donate $1,000 to be used towards the Aging Services’ Meals on Wheels Program.

− − − − − − − − − − − − − −

*Clark Planetarium*

 *Evans & Sutherland* has offered to donate $2,000 to be used for the Clark Planetarium 10-Year Anniversary Gala.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to accept the gifts and forward the Declaration of Gift forms to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Other Business

*Truth in Taxation*

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to set a Truth in Taxation Hearing for Thursday, August 8, 2013. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

*Acceptance of Minutes*

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, moved to accept the May 14, 2013, and May 21, 2013, Committee of the Whole minutes. The motion passed unanimously.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

The meeting was adjourned at 4:06:25 PM.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Chair, Committee of the Whole

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Deputy Clerk

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦