

1 Minutes of the Centerville City Council meeting held Tuesday, September 20, 2016 at 7:00
2 p.m. at Centerville City Hall, 250 North Main Street, Centerville, Utah.
3

4 **MEMBERS PRESENT**

5
6 Mayor Paul A. Cutler

7
8 Council Members Tamilyn Fillmore
9 William Ince
10 Stephanie Ivie
11 George McEwan
12 Robyn Mecham

13
14 **STAFF PRESENT**

15 Steve Thacker, City Manager
16 Lisa Romney, City Attorney
17 Jacob Smith, Assistant to the City Manager
18 Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Director
19 Randy Randall, Public Works Director
20 Cory Snyder, Community Development Director
21 Katie Rust, Recording Secretary

22 **STAFF ABSENT**

23 Blaine Lutz, Finance Director/Assistant City Manager

24 **VISITORS**

25 Interested citizens (see attached sign-in sheet)

26 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

27
28 **PRAYER OR THOUGHT** Jacob Smith, Assistant to the City Manager

29
30 **AWARD TO CENTERVILLE CITY**

31
32 Mayor Cutler recognized Randy Randall, Public Works Director, and Centerville City for
33 receiving the Energy Efficiency Award at the National Rural Water Association's annual Tribute
34 to Excellence awards ceremony in Orlando, Florida on September 12th.
35

36 **OPEN SESSION**

37
38 Nancy Lawrence – Ms. Lawrence expressed appreciation for each of the City Council
39 members. She said she is concerned about the portion of the General Plan that would prohibit
40 equestrian use of intercity pathways, and stated she is not sure why the Council would prohibit
41 equestrian use on bike trails. Ms. Lawrence commented that as a motorist she feels a certain
42 amount of stress when she is driving next to a cyclist, but the fact that she feels the stress does
43 not mean the cyclist should not be allowed to use the roadway. She suggested it should be
44 reasonable for drivers, cyclists, and horse riders to be responsible and look out for each other.
45 Prohibiting equestrian use of public bike trails would be in conflict with the intent of public
46 access areas.
47

48 Steve Allen – Mr. Allen stated he was informed by the Police Department that urban
49 deer trapping can begin October 1st. He said he believes the urban deer are getting more
50 aggressive this year, and emphasized that the entire community should be made aware of the
51 options provided by the Urban Deer Control Program. Steve Thacker, City Manager, responded
52 that the trapping program is authorized to begin August 1st, but would not be effective until other
53 food sources are gone. Some success has been reported with the archery option.
54

55 Holly Peterson – Ms. Peterson reported a sticker weed problem on the west side of Main
56 Street north of Centerville Junior High. She said the weeds cover most of the sidewalk and are

1 dangerous to pedestrians and bikers, particularly the children walking to and from school. Ms.
2 Peterson said she called the City offices with a complaint a couple weeks ago and was advised
3 to call back if they weren't taken care of. She expressed frustration with the disrespectful
4 response she said she received from Cory Snyder when she called back to follow up. She said
5 she was told that Main Street is not controlled by Centerville and is not his problem. She asked
6 why the City would discuss spending taxpayer dollars to beautify Main Street if the City is not
7 responsible and able to act when problems arise. Ms. Peterson said the City should start on
8 Main Street by making it safe for children and friendly for residents.

9
10 Mayor Cutler apologized to Ms. Peterson for the response she received from the staff
11 member, and said the City will work with the property owner to get rid of the sticker weeds.

12
13 Debbi Allred – Ms. Allred said she enjoys riding horses on City streets, and she is
14 concerned with movement toward prohibiting equine use of any public trail or roadway.

15
16 Ron Randall – Mr. Randall said he protests prohibiting any equine use in the city. He
17 said he feels there is room for all users on trails and roadways if laws are obeyed and common
18 sense is used.

19
20 Spencer Summerhays – Referring to the possibility that the Council may repeal the
21 existing SMSC Public Space Plan, Mr. Summerhays said he hopes the Council will first consider
22 what the ordinance would revert to. He said he hopes the Council has a vision based on a long-
23 term approach, not exceptions. He repeated his suggestion for north/south and east/west
24 symmetry on Main Street, with a right-of-way standard of four-foot park strip and four-foot
25 sidewalk. He suggested vertical elements, alternating between trees and decorative light poles.
26 He expressed confidence in the viability of appropriate drought tolerant plants. He repeated his
27 suggestion for a two-pronged payment approach, and his recommendation to add crosswalk
28 flashers at crosswalks on Main Street, adding that crosswalk flashers would also be beneficial at
29 400 West and Porter Lane. He said his approach would not require land-owners to give up any
30 land.

31
32 Holly Ince – Ms. Ince agreed with Nancy Lawrence's comments. She said she has
33 watched Salt Lake City transform streets for bikers. She said in one instance she saw seven
34 bikers pass her as she waited on the street – four in the designated bike lane, and three using
35 the sidewalk going against traffic. She asked why the city should worry about spending time
36 and money to separate bikers from cars if bikers will do what they want anyway. Ms. Ince said
37 she likes the cyclist motto "Share the Road." She commented that whether her car is parked or
38 moving, if a bike hits her car it is her insurance that pays for it. She suggested perhaps bikers
39 should be required to have insurance if they are allowed to use the roads.

40
41 Shannon Elite – Ms. Elite stated as an equestrian she enjoys use of the City streets and
42 trails on the hillside above Centerville three to five times per week. She said she is often
43 greeted warmly by citizens and has never had a negative experience with any citizens
44 complaining. She stated she takes the responsibility to remove waste seriously.

45
46 Marcella Leos – Ms. Leos stated she loves riding horses on City roads and would not
47 want that experience taken away.

48
49 Libby Cerar – Ms. Cerar expressed appreciation for the equestrian nature of the
50 conversation. As a cyclist, she said she agrees that sharing the road is a reasonable request.
51 As an equestrian, citizens have commented to her that horses on the City streets are a beautiful
52 sight. Ms. Cerar said she appreciates the freedom to be able to move around as she pleases.
53 She said she tries to be responsible with waste clean-up.

1 Ravi Cerar – Mr. Cerar commented that, as a cyclist, he is frequently on Main Street in
2 the morning, and has never seen a horse on Main Street when he has been out. He said he
3 would hate to see the ability taken away when there is not a high quantity of horses on the
4 roads.

5
6 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS – BICYCLE & NON-MOTORIZED VEHICLE
7 PATHWAYS AND TRAILS MASTER PLAN MAP

8
9 City Manager Thacker presented suggested revisions to the proposed Centerville Trails
10 and Bikeways Map and the Centerville Trails and Bikeways Master Plan. He explained that the
11 intent had not been to prohibit equestrian use on roadways and trails. The revisions would
12 more clearly identify the few community pathways on which equestrian use is prohibited
13 because the pathways were not designed and built for equestrian use. He suggested a text
14 amendment to clarify equestrian use in the General Plan. Councilwoman Fillmore suggested
15 the Trails Committee check the Farmington equestrian trail access at Freedom Hills Park. She
16 suggested it would be beneficial to have equestrian representation on the Trails Committee.
17 Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Director, described the history and evolution of the Parks and
18 Recreation Committee and Trails Committee.

19
20 Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to table this issue to the next Council meeting.
21 Councilman Ince seconded the motion. Mark Dimond, Trails Committee Chair, commented that
22 the term “community pathway” does not sit well with him, since equestrians are part of the
23 community. Mayor Cutler suggested the Trails Committee make recommendations for the term
24 used on the map. The motion to table passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

25
26 AGREEMENTS REGARDING CONSTRUCTION OF WATER MAIN UNDER
27 RAILROAD TRACKS

28
29 Staff explained the proposed Agreements with Utah Transit Authority (UTA) and Utah
30 Pacific Railroad (UPR) for a water main project under I-15. Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion**
31 to approve Agreements with UTA and UPR allowing the City to install a water main under their
32 tracks (and I-15) at Chase Lane to improve culinary water service to the area west of I-15.
33 Councilwoman Fillmore seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

34
35 PUBLIC HEARING – ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS – SOUTH MAIN STREET
36 CORRIDOR (SMSC) OVERLAY – SUBSECTION 12.48-180(b) – SCOPE CORRECTION

37
38 When the City adopted the comprehensive updates to the Zoning Code pursuant to
39 Ordinance No. 2016-20 (adopted June 21, 2016), an inadvertent correction to the term
40 Residential “Boulevard” Subdistrict changed the intended applicability of the SMSC Public
41 Space Plan. Adding the term “Boulevard” to the Residential Subdistricts reference
42 unintentionally made the SMSC Public Space Plan applicable to the North Gateway Mixed-Use
43 Subdistrict and the Pages Lane Mixed-Use Subdistrict. The proposed amendment to
44 Subsection 12.48.180(b) is to specifically list the Subdistricts subject to the SMSC Public Space
45 Plan – i.e. the Traditional Main Street and City Center Subdistricts.

46
47 At 8:20 p.m. Mayor Cutler opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing
48 that no one wished to comment.

49
50 Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to approve Ordinance No. 2016-26 amending
51 Subsection 12.48.180(b) of the SMSC Public Space Plan to correct the list of Subdistricts
52 subject to the SMSC Public Space Plan. Councilwoman Meham seconded the motion.
53 Councilwoman Ivie referred to Mr. Summerhays’ comment recommending north/south and

1 east/west symmetry for Main Street, and commented that perhaps the Council should rethink
2 the definition of Main Street. Councilman McEwan responded that the proposed amendment
3 simply corrects an inadvertent change. He agreed that the Council can certainly consider the
4 suggestion going forward. The motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

5
6 **ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS – SOUTH MAIN STREET CORRODIOR (SMSC)**
7 **OVERLAY – CHAPTER 12-48 – PUBLIC SPACE PLAN AMENDMENTS**

8
9 Ms. Romney explained that three proposed ordinances have been prepared, Ordinance
10 No. 2016-27A, B, and C, to provide flexibility to the Council. Ordinance No. 2016-27A
11 represents the City Council's original directive to staff on January 19, 2016 removing benches,
12 planters, and drought tolerant grasses, and changing the position of the lighting. Ordinance No.
13 2016-27B represents the Planning Commission's July 13, 2016 recommendation. Ordinance
14 No. 2016-27C represents discussion from some Council members about possibly repealing all
15 of the SMSC Public Space Plan provisions. The South Main Street Plan adopted in 2008 set
16 the framework for building on Main Street. The Public Space Plan was adopted in November of
17 2015. If the Public Space Plan is repealed in total, standard UDOT specs would apply.
18 Councilwoman Fillmore commented that the point of having a Public Space Plan in place is to
19 strengthen any conversations with UDOT regarding what Centerville wants on Main Street.

20
21 The Council discussed the proposed ordinances. Councilman McEwan said he thinks it
22 makes sense to repeal and revert to an existing set of standards. Mayor Cutler commented
23 there is not a clear consensus in the community. He recommended the Council modify rather
24 than completely replace the Public Space Plan. Councilwoman Fillmore suggested that if a
25 majority of the Council feels comfortable repealing the Plan, it would be simple to replace with a
26 couple of basic desires – bike lanes and symmetry (width of sidewalk and park strip). She said
27 she feels it is important to have the basics in place considering the UDOT overlay planned for
28 2018. Councilwoman Mecham said her concern is that, as originally passed, the property
29 owners/developers would pay for the improvements. The Planning Commission now
30 recommends the City pay for the improvements, but she pointed out that the City cannot afford
31 them. Councilwoman Mecham said she heard citizens say they want continuity. She heard
32 comments that safety is an issue, but there has never been a pedestrian hit on Main Street.
33 She said she is not sure slowing traffic would be a good idea.

34
35 Cory Snyder, Community Development Director, said he feels it is reasonable to require
36 development to make improvements with sufficient incentives. He said he does not believe that
37 balance has been established given the amendments that have taken place. Councilman
38 McEwan said he was bothered by both the lame-duck legislation and the concept of concurrent
39 engineering. He said the shared design elements have created a liability issue and an ongoing
40 maintenance issue. Councilman McEwan said there is no part of the current Plan that he wants
41 to keep in place. Councilwoman Ivie stated she feels symmetry would be difficult considering
42 the topography of the west side of Main Street. Responding to a question from Councilman
43 Ince, Mr. Snyder said the UDOT standard is for sidewalk against curb. He added that UDOT is
44 obliged to take a community's plans and standards into consideration, compare budget costs,
45 and discuss options with a community. Costs in addition to UDOT's budget would be
46 considered betterment costs and would be the responsibility of the community. Councilwoman
47 Fillmore added that a lot of the incentive and intent in the simplicity of UDOT's standards is to
48 make it easier in the future to widen a road, which is why she has supported putting the SMSC
49 Plan and Public Space Plan in place to protect the small town feel of Main Street.

50
51 Councilwoman Ivie pointed out that no development has occurred in the 10 months
52 since the standards were put in place. She said she thinks it will be a while before
53 development/redevelopment occurs. Councilman Ince said he leans toward Ordinance No.

1 2016-27C with modifications to provide symmetry, and possibly a five-foot sidewalk.
2 Councilman McEwan said he feels Ordinance No. 2016-27C is the right choice, and he has no
3 problem with adding language for a park strip and a sidewalk of some width for symmetry. Ms.
4 Romney commented that repealing and coming back later to put something in place will require
5 input from the Planning Commission. Councilman McEwan responded that he values the
6 Planning Commission's input, and he is not against involving the Planning Commission as long
7 as it does not take 10 months to move forward. Mr. Snyder commented that if the issue is sent
8 back to the Planning Commission, he does not think the Council should expect it to move
9 quickly. He suggested that putting a simplified version in place and letting it rest would be a
10 better process for collaboration. The Council agreed on a four-foot park strip standard for the
11 east side of Main Street.
12

13 Mr. Snyder stated he is still in favor of an offset, unsymmetrical plan. Symmetry would
14 be a challenge for several properties, and Mr. Snyder said he thinks it would be a long time
15 before symmetry is achieved. He stated there are no current UDOT plans for street
16 reconstruction. There will be overlays, but the City would have to rely on redevelopment to get
17 the symmetry. Mayor Cutler said they heard comments from citizens that a wider sidewalk
18 might help with snow removal. Councilman McEwan said he thinks visual symmetry (i.e. light
19 poles that look the same) would be much easier to achieve than structural symmetry (i.e.
20 hardscapes) with no incentives to make it happen now. Redevelopment including at least 30%
21 additional square feet or 50% of the premises value would trigger the Public Space Plan
22 standards. Councilwoman Fillmore said she cannot imagine that major redevelopment would
23 not try to match street grade. Councilman McEwan said he would not want to be short sighted
24 and think only of major redevelopment. He would like to keep redevelopment economically
25 viable for the business community.
26

27 Councilwoman Ivie said she thinks the bike lanes recommended by the Planning
28 Commission are a good thing. Mayor Cutler commented that elements such as decorative
29 street banners, funded by the City, would probably not need to be included in the Code. Mr.
30 Snyder responded it could be approached from a non-code capital improvement perspective.
31 Councilwoman Fillmore said the City would probably want at least one extra foot on the west
32 side. Mr. Snyder responded that at least a foot of easement on the other side of sidewalk is
33 probably already in place on the west side. Councilwoman Fillmore suggested the Ordinance
34 include a requirement for six-seven foot sidewalk on the west side, depending on the survey for
35 the property at time of redevelopment. Councilman McEwan said there are too many issues
36 undecided; bike lanes are the only amendment he would be in favor of adding to Ordinance No.
37 2016-27C. He suggested they send Ordinance No. 2016-27C back to the Planning Commission
38 to pick up whenever the Planning Commission feels it is appropriate. Council members
39 Mecham and Ivie said they would like to specify four-foot park strip for the east side.
40

41 The Council continued to discuss sidewalk widths for the east and west side. Mr.
42 Snyder said he suspects UDOT sidewalk minimum widths would trump city recommendations.
43 He said it has been his experience that UDOT's goal is to limit their liability in these situations.
44 Councilwoman Fillmore said she does not think a four-foot sidewalk is wide enough for two
45 people to comfortably walk side-by-side. Councilwoman Mecham said she feels the four-foot
46 park strip is necessary on the east side. Councilman McEwan said he would not want to make
47 a unilateral decision without involving the property owners. Councilman McEwan said the only
48 thing he can see agreement on is bike lanes.
49

50 Councilwoman Ivie made a **motion** to accept Ordinance No. 2016-27C, and reenact
51 Subsection 12.48.180 to include four-foot park strip on the east side, and bike lanes as
52 recommended by the Planning Commission. Councilwoman Mecham seconded the motion.
53 Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion to amend** the motion to include five-foot sidewalks on

1 the east side and sidewalk to the right-of-way on the west side. The motion to amend failed for
2 lack of a second. Councilman Ince made a **motion to amend** the motion to include five-foot
3 sidewalks in addition to four-foot park strip on the east side in the Traditional Main Street and
4 City Center Subdistricts. Councilwoman Mecham seconded the motion to amend, which
5 passed by majority vote (3-2), with Council members Ince, Fillmore, and Mecham in favor, and
6 Council members Ivie and McEwan against. Councilman McEwan said he has heard comments
7 that citizens would like to see unified elements throughout the corridor. Councilwoman Ivie said
8 she does not like setting businesses up to have to go to the Board of Adjustments. Ms. Romney
9 confirmed that buildings on the National Historic Register, or contributing, are exempt from the
10 Public Space Plan requirements. Councilman McEwan recommended the following seven
11 findings:

- 12
13 1. It is unreasonable to place the entire financial burden on property owners. There
14 were no cost figures provided to the Council or the Planning Commission at the time
15 the Public Space Plan was recommended or enacted. Therefore, the adoption of the
16 Ordinance was made without significant factors being established in the public
17 hearings and deliberations of the Council. It is reasonable to assert had the cost of
18 compliance with the Public Space Plan, which is estimated at approximately \$26,000
19 per eighty (80) feet of frontage, may have mitigated the enthusiasm of both Planning
20 Commissioners and City Council members to unduly burden property owners with
21 the entire costs of these improvements. It is far more likely the City Council would
22 have deliberated on the merits of what the public monetary contributions should have
23 been to make the Public Space Plan a success. As the plan currently stands, there
24 are no incentives for property owners to accept these additional costs, and therefore
25 the plan will fail in its stated goals due to low adoption rates by those impacted.
- 26 2. The Public Space Plan that was adopted by the City Council is in conflict with the
27 cost burden assumptions made by the Planning Commission. The current Ordinance
28 clearly places the burden of all improvements on the property owner. However, the
29 Planning Commission Chair, Commissioner Hirschi, stated at the August 2, 2016,
30 City Council meeting, "...these improvements would be going into the public
31 easements, so we anticipated that they would be primarily paid for if not totally paid
32 for by the public." Since the statement was provided to the City Council as an
33 expressed viewpoint of the majority of the Planning Commission, it is appropriate the
34 Public Space Plan be repealed to allow the Planning Commission the opportunity to
35 create recommendations for cost sharing between public and private interests for the
36 betterment of the community as a whole.
- 37 3. Mandated improvements detailed in CZC 12.48.180 and CZC 12.48.190 inherently
38 increase the liability of both the City and the property owners without specifically
39 demarking which party has legal responsibility by inadvertently creating 'shared
40 design elements.' The theoretical case of a slip and fall on patterned concrete, which
41 extends from the City sidewalk into the property owner's setback, thereby creating a
42 shared design element, demonstrates this problem. Under the current space plan,
43 both parties, the City and property owner, can reasonably claim that the other party
44 has the burden of liability for the accident. Design elements which are inherently a
45 shared element should not be in a public space plan without clear delineations for all
46 liability issues. Neither the Public Space Plan 12.48.180 nor the Public Space Plan
47 Illustrations 12.48.190 provides any language or mechanism to address the
48 assessment of liability. Given the fundamental design intent of the Public Space
49 Plan, attempting to amend the plan to clear up shared design elements will change
50 the Plan enough to invalidate it in its current form. Therefore, it is appropriate to
51 repeal both the Public Space Plan 12.48.180 and the Public Space Plan Illustrations
52 12.48.190.

- 1 4. Shared design elements do not have a clear protocol as to which party, the City or
2 the property owner, is responsible for ongoing maintenance. As denoted in the prior
3 finding, the creation of shared design elements without appropriate legal
4 demarcation creates potential legal issues for both the City and property owner. In
5 the example of a shared design element of colored concrete, should a crack or other
6 failure occur, which party is responsible for the repair/replacement? This reasonable
7 scenario for a shared design feature is not defined as part of the establishing
8 ordinances for the Public Space Plan and as such, neither party is apt to claim it is
9 their responsibility to repair or replace the fault. The Public Space Plan should not
10 have been adopted with shared design features mandated without defining the
11 fundamental aspects of how such elements would be maintained by either the
12 property owner, the City, or jointly.
- 13 5. The Park Strip Sector as currently defined reduces public enjoyment by removing
14 key locations along the traditional Fourth of July Parade route where families can
15 safely assemble on Main Street. Under the Public Space Plan, a one hundred (100)
16 foot Park Strip Sector is divided into sixty-four(64) feet of planter boxes and street
17 lighting, and thirty-six(36) feet of curb to sidewalk concrete. Whereas today before
18 any property improvement, a potential one-hundred feet are available for residents to
19 enjoy the parade, post improvement only 36% of usable space will remain. The effort
20 to improve Main Street for the enjoyment of all residents in actuality potentially
21 displaces 64% of them at parade time. This significant reduction is available public
22 assembly space and is not in the best interests of the community.
- 23 6. The land topology of Main Street presents significant challenges for a unified
24 adoption of the current Public Space and therefore does not promote an equitable
25 distribution of redevelopment costs.
 - 26 a. It has been noted that the east side of Main Street and the west side of Main
27 Street are not at the same grade, and in fact vary significantly in gradation
28 throughout the entire South Main Street Corridor. Under the existing Public
29 Space plan, property owners on the west side will be required to make
30 greater investments to become compliant than east side owners. Because of
31 the greater cost component to become compliant, it is the opinion of the City
32 Council that west side property owners will find it cost prohibitive to comply
33 and therefore will opt not to renovate or completely redevelop their
34 properties. This notable disincentive towards property improvement defeats
35 the goal of having a unified look and feel to Main Street as adoption will not
36 be uniform from east to west.
 - 37 b. Road widths are not consistent throughout the corridor. The Public Space
38 Plan does not have any variances in design with regard to road widths and as
39 such does not take into account the cost burden to property owners to
40 become compliant with a uniform Street Park Strip Sector at four feet, and a
41 Pedestrian Path Sector at six feet. The analysis of provided exhibits prepared
42 by City staff, combined with the public assertions of the property owners,
43 clearly demonstrates the plan cannot succeed its current form because there
44 are no variances made for land topology specific to each zone in the corridor.
- 45 7. Snow removal by UDOT will damage or destroy design elements currently mandated
46 in the Park Strip Sector. The current plan as adopted has design elements such as
47 "salt tolerant grasses, shrubs, or other perennial plants" in the Park Strip Sector that
48 will not survive impacts of hard-pack snow and ice being thrown up on the curb by
49 commercial snow plows at speed.

50
51 The amended motion passed by majority vote (4-1), with Councilwoman Fillmore dissenting.

52
53 The Council took a break at 9:59 p.m., returning at 10:10 p.m.

1 A majority of the Council appeared to agree that further discussion of Main Street could
2 be one of the Council's goals for next year.

3
4 **GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS – WEST CENTERVILLE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN –**
5 **REMOVING INDUSTRIAL VERY-HIGH ZONING DISTRICT – SECTION 12-480-6**

6
7 Councilman McEwan made a **motion** to table this issue to the first meeting in January
8 2017. Councilwoman Mecham seconded the motion. Councilman Ince said he is ready to vote
9 now, and he thinks 2017 is too far out. Councilman Ince made a **motion to amend** the motion
10 to table to the first meeting in November 2016. Councilman McEwan seconded the motion to
11 amend, which passed by majority vote (4-1), with Councilwoman Fillmore dissenting. The
12 amended motion passed by majority vote (4-1), with Councilwoman Fillmore dissenting.

13
14 **MUNICIPAL CODE AMENDMENTS – TITLE 10, CHAPTER 3 – CONSTRUCTION**
15 **CODES – ORDINANCE NO. 2016-25**

16
17 The State of Utah has adopted newer editions of the International Construction Codes.
18 The City is required to adopt and enforce such State adopted Construction Codes. Councilman
19 McEwan made a **motion** to adopt Ordinance No. 2016-25, Centerville Municipal Code
20 Amendments to Title 10, Chapter 3, regarding Construction Codes. Councilman Ince seconded
21 the motion, which passed by majority vote (4-1), with Councilwoman Ivie dissenting.

22
23 **FINANCIAL REPORT**

24
25 Mr. Thacker presented a financial report for the first two months of FY 2017, and
26 answered questions from the Council. The Council discussed the possibility of setting specific
27 guidelines for putting together the invitation list for the annual volunteer dinner next year.

28
29 **MAYOR'S REPORT**

- 30
31
- 32 • Mayor Cutler informed the Council of a serious accident involving a cyclist that
33 occurred on the Frontage Road. Council members expressed a desire to be
34 informed of any significant emergency response incident that occurs in the
35 community.
 - 36 • UIA/UTOPIA Interim Financial Reports are included in the staff report on
37 NovusAgenda.
 - 38 • Mayor Cutler updated the Council on the Council Chambers sound issue, and the
39 City Hall lobby project.

40
41 **CITY COUNCIL LIAISON REPORT**

42 Councilman Ince updated the Council on Citizen Corps Council/Emergency
43 Management activities. He admitted he is struggling to understand his role as liaison to the
44 Davis Chamber of Commerce. Steve Thacker and Mayor Cutler responded that a Council
45 liaison is appointed because the City pays a membership fee. There is no set expectation.

46
47 **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

- 48
49
- 50 • City Manager Thacker presented suggestions for the sidewalk open house
51 scheduled for October 5th. The arborist invited to attend is not available that night.
The Council agreed that an arborist could be involved later in the process.

CENTERVILLE CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday, September 20 2016
7:00 p.m.

①

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS**
DeWayne Randall	285 N. W 0 E
Dave & Holly Petersen	44 W. 700 S
Steve & Tena Allen	133 E Rock Manor
Holly Ince	1148 N. 300 East
Ron Randall	1247 No. Main
Nancy Lawrence	179 E 1000 No.
Spencer Summerhays	427 S. 75 W.
Jackie Hardy	25 W Glover Ln Farmington
Jamie Cahoon	1247 N. Main
MARK DIMONIS	120 W 925 N CENTERVILLE
Kaden Shipley	690 N 400 E
Bruce Cox	364 W. 1375 N.
Kevin + Laura Samuelson	114 Bradley Dr
Dakota Alfred	436 S 300 E
Elizabeth Cerar	554 South 300 E
Ravi Cerar	554 S 300 E
Shannon Elite	240 E 600 S
Marcella Leos	24 " " "
Kevin Merrick	Chillo
Jennifer Turnblom	249 S. Main

** Your address will be used only in the event the City staff needs to contact you pertaining to an issue discussed in the City Council meeting.