

# SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

Wednesday, November 30, 2016 Amended November 28, 2016 @ 5:45 p.m. Approved December 14, 2016

The following are the minutes of the City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on **Wednesday, November 30, 2016 at 5:00 p.m.** in the Herriman City Community Center Council Chambers, 13011 South Pioneer Street (6000 West), Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the Community Center, on the City's website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

**Presiding:** Mayor Carmen Freeman

<u>Council Members Present:</u> Jared Henderson, Nicole Martin, Craig B. Tischner and Coralee Wessman-Moser

<u>Staff Present:</u> City Manager Brett geo. Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight, Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Deputy Recorder Cindy Quick, City Attorney John Brems, City Planner Bryn McCarty

## 5:00 PM - General Meeting:

#### 1. Closed Session

1.1. The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

### 1. 5:02:35 PM Discussion and Action Items

Mayor Carmen Freeman called the meeting to order, welcomed those in attendance and thanked them for coming.

1.1. Discussion and consideration of an ordinance approving the Master Development Agreement for the Anthem Master Planned Community – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight provided an introduction regarding the Master Development Agreement. He explained that the Anthem Master Planned Community requires a development agreement. Council Member Jared Henderson added that even if the developer built the current PUD it would still require a development agreement and that no matter what is built in the development, it would still require a development agreement. Assistant City Manager Haight continued that the council asked the developer to hold neighborhood meetings and provide information for the residents about the agreement. The developer held meetings on November 14<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup>. The developer received many comments regarding the project. As a result of the comments received during those meetings, the

developer has taken input and now plans to develop only 179 units. Those units were already entitled to the property. He will need time to create a development agreement to bring back to the council for approval.

Doug Young (developer) explained that he had a chance 10 years ago for a development approval. He reported that he hadn't done a neighborhood meeting for about 10 years and the meetings were very revealing. He was able to understand the needs and wants of the community. He realized how important it was to preserve the originality of Herriman. Before the neighborhood meetings, he was wanting to do some density transfers, however, residents do not want small lots, they want to see larger lots. He reported that having developed Heritage Place Estates he had a pretty good idea of what that might look like. After the input received at the neighborhood meetings he felt that they should bring back 179 lots which was already approved. He also plans to do some design work around Heritage Place Estates to bring back to the residents and then back to the council after input is received. He thought that there was going to be more of consensus to some of the ideas presented at the neighborhood meetings so that he could go forward with the meeting. However, that was not the case and he apologized to the council and residents. He felt that they would be happy with a new design and plan. The plan will be large lots on 12600 S with single family detached homes.

Council Member Nicole Martin explained a new process the city was requiring that they patterned after Sandy City to require developers to go to residents earlier and more frequently during the process. She felt that it was a positive direction and was pleased that the process was working. She appreciated that the developer listened to residents during those outreach meetings. When a developer is required to go to residents earlier and more frequently, at the end of the day you get a proposal that is better. She felt that the developer had listened to what was expressed in the meetings and was willing to come back with an adjusted proposal. It was a positive reflection of a transparent process that was working well.

Council Member Jared Henderson had a question regarding the process. He said he still doesn't completely understand it. The question that he has heard from residents is 'why would the city consider this?' His response was that the city has to consider the proposal. A property owner has the right to request something for their property. The property owner will have to take it through a public process. He added that the city doesn't own the property, the city doesn't choose the developer, the property owner does. The owner would submit an application and then go through a public meeting process and public is notified. The city council weighs in and the public can be involved. He questioned what Mr. Young was actually proposing and disclosed that the item was placed on the agenda before the neighborhood meetings took place. Mr. Young's response was that he would like to rework the design on the 60 acres and rework the design that would eventually go on the 300 acres north of the cemetery and bring it all back to the residents during a neighborhood meeting. He thought it may take several meetings to show what the plan was. When he felt that he had a plan that would be acceptable he would bring it back to the planning commission and council. He proposed that the council continue the items on the agenda. Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser asked Mr. Young if there was a difference between denying the items rather than continuing them, because regardless of what motion was made, Mr. Young would bring the items back. Mr. Young responded that there was not a lot of difference. Council Member Martin felt that it was just semantics. She explained that the council was interested in continuing discussions with the developer and as a result of him listening to residents in neighborhood meetings, he wants to bring the agreement back to the residents and then back to council. Council Member Henderson disagreed. He felt that if it was continued the public would still worry about the document. A brief discussion amongst council members took place. Council Member Henderson asked Mr. Young for his opinion and his response was that he was fine either way.

Mayor Freeman asked about the remainder of the items and whether or not he'd like to continue the rest of the items on the agenda. Mr. Young's response was that he'd like it to be discussed all at the same time. Council Member Martin felt like item 1.2, the rezone was okay to move forward with because he had every intent of bringing commercial to the area, which was not in question. She felt it would be a positive step to rezone the area to commercial and then rework the remainder of the plans. Mr. Young preferred to bring it all back at once. Mayor Freeman asked for the opinion of Mr. Gust. Who responded that it depended on how long it was continued, he would like it brought back on December 14, 2016. A brief discussion regarding options took place. Assistant City Manager Haight suggested that item 1.2 should be continued. Mr. Young felt like it made sense to move forward with the rezone to commercial.

Mayor Freeman addressed the residents before opening the meeting up for public comment. He noted that he was born and raised in Herriman had seen a lot of change. He believed that we have to work together at how we look at growth for us to survive as a city. Commercial infrastructure requires house tops. He reported that 40% of the city budget is based off of new house permits and that's not sustainable. He thought that it would be wonderful to have only half acre and quarter acre lots but felt that low density would come at a cost. He explained that the city needs a good mix of both high and low density when working towards commercial growth. He explained that half acre, third acre and acre lots are not sustainable. He reiterated that the proposed 343 units was off the table for the 60 acre parcel. The developer was now looking at 179 units.

### 5:28:13 PM Public Comment

Mayor Carmen Freeman opened the meeting up for public comment. He reminded residents about the public comment policy and procedure and asked for civility and decorum.

<u>Lisa Brown</u> thanked the community for their support and for being at the meeting. She explained that Mr. Young had held neighborhood meetings in 2013 (not over ten years ago) and that a lot of public feedback was provided during those meetings. She was glad for the change but was worried about why he's changing now. She reported that with the proposal of building a church in between the schools that density numbers are subtracted and she suggested that the number of units approved should then be closer to 150 instead of 179. She wanted the council to make a decision of denial during the meeting and have the developer start the process over with a new agreement.

Brandon Pack reported creating a Facebook page to provide information and increase resident involvement. He thanked Mr. Young for listening to the residents and making a change to his proposal. He reported being a proponent for commercial growth and shared an emotive story about a friend's repeated attempt of suicide. At his friend's last attempt he told him to stop putting his family and friends through the pain; to

end it or figure it out so they could all move on. He then asked Mayor Freeman to deny the agreement, stop it, there was no sense in revising it over and over again. He sensed that the fiscal issues in Herriman are due to a failure to plan and be fiscally responsible. He believed that they should have waited until the commercial was built before building a large city building. He asked council not to put that burden on residents. He noted that the burden residents' bear was with traffic, overloaded schools, delays for first responders, police and fire fighters. He understands that residential and commercial has to happen, but felt that the growth should occur in a moderate fashion.

Janint Young shared information about the schools being overloaded but understood that it would take several years for more schools to be built. She detailed many more developments coming. She was glad the developer will keep 179 units instead of 343 units. She loves living in Herriman but felt that she couldn't keep up with the growth.

<u>Sam Brown</u> thanked the City Council and the Mayor for considering what was best for Herriman. He noted that the residents came to the meeting hoping to make a difference and wanted the development agreement to be voted down. He was against an increase in the area. He had heard the comments at tonight's meeting that high density would help Herriman survive but reported that Highland insisted on larger lots and Mr. Brown felt that Highland City was a preferred area to live. He mentioned that Magna has high density and isn't a city as sought after as Highland. He would prefer to live in an area like Highland but loves Herriman and wants to stay. He advised that council that there should be no increase other than what already had been agreed to.

Mike O'Rourke wanted proper procedure to be followed. He had a concern with item 1.2 that the property was part of the plot of land that the developer wants higher density. He would like to avoid a density increase. He recommended approval of item 1.3 and agreed that commercial was needed to bring in tax revenue. He appreciated the comments from the Mayor, the challenges of commercial balanced with high density and balancing the needs for schools. He voiced appreciation for the Mayor and Council and the challenges of their positions. He read through the city plan and suggested that the council carefully consider it. He requested that they provide the time and focus necessary to fully anticipate changes and not make hasty decisions. He asked the city council to refer to the general plan often when needing to resolve conflicts.

<u>Rudy Meier</u> thanked the city council members for their quick responses to emails sent. He believed increasing density had a direct correlation to Herriman's school systems. When people move in and out of an area it puts stress on school teachers and counsellors. His wife is a second grade teacher and has seen the stress first hand. He reported that Silver Crest Elementary was ranked 338 out of 527 schools in Utah and surmised that was in direct correlation to high density housing. He is opposed to high density housing. He felt commercial was needed but should be managed responsibly.

<u>Steve Garrett</u> thanked residents for coming to the meeting to voice their opinions. He posed the question, how much high density is enough. He was not aware of any other city trying to put in such high density so quickly. He wanted the city to hold off on the density because Riverton was building commercial due to the

high density Herriman City was putting in place. He didn't feel that bonding for a New Herriman City Hall was helping with his concerns. He wanted the council to vote on the development agreement.

<u>Jennifer Gibbons</u> echoed the statements already made and wanted the council to vote on the development agreement.

Haley Hill hoped that when the issue comes back to city council that they would remember all of the residents at the meeting and how much they care about the high density issue. She urged residents to stay involved. She felt that the developer had continued to push off the development agreement. She was frustrated that after the first neighborhood meeting took place Mr. Young hadn't made changes to his second presentation and that there wasn't any change from the second meeting until the meeting this evening. She assumed he was changing now, only because of their involvement. She reported that there was an agreement made two years ago for 179 homes and putting in a park or church could potentially change that plan. She wanted the council to vote and be sure the density is tied to the overall project and hold the developer to the original agreement.

John Gust lived in Magna for 74 years and enjoys the community. He developed Arbor Park Shopping Center in Magna, the District in South Jordan, and Draper Peaks in Draper City. He felt those developments were high quality. He understands the importance and desire of single family. He felt that density was needed in order to sustain the 5,000 square feet of retail in the Anthem Development. He announced that over the next 12 years 48,000 children would turn 20 years old, starting with this year; those 20 year olds will need places to live. He remarked that to sustain city services density was needed. He mentioned that if density is well done, like Day Break, it can be a beautiful community. He has worked with Mr. Young to put together a good plan to sustain the retail development. He further explained that the city is in competition with the Cal Center in Riverton and the development on 10600 South in South Jordan. He wanted to stick up for Mr. Young and make them aware that he is listening to the residents and the council and only wants density for the success of the planned commercial center.

Robin Glassey thanked Mr. Young for the neighborhood meetings and discovered that Mr. Young developed Heritage Place Estates which she loves. She had concerns of traffic and accidents especially because her son is driving and she would have other children driving soon as well. Density was a real concern for her as a parent and understood the need for balance. She couldn't think of a high density building that still looks nice and was concerned for the look of Herriman in the future. She talked about the effects of high density and the impact on health, air quality, anxiety and depression of children due to no green space to play in. She hoped for more neighborhoods like Heritage Place Estates.

<u>Dave Hadley</u> knows Mr. Young and almost bought property from him. He talked about a neighborhood that Mr. Young developed and friends that live there and enjoy the area. At the last meeting he talked with Mr. Young about that neighborhood. He understood that it boils down to the cost and profit for the developer for the property he is developing, however, he wasn't here to put money in Mr. Young's pocket. He wants a community that looks good and was looking forward to the new proposal. He reported that Herriman City tax rates were higher than Alpine and Highland City. He would support Mr. Young as long as he's inline.

Scott Alden wanted to see the city council follow through with plans that have already been put in place. He pointed out that crowds show up when the issue of density arises, it is a serious issue and affects all residents. He saw an email response from Mayor Freeman that stated that he would be willing to look at higher density if the city gets something in return. Mr. Alden felt that was a dangerous road for the future. He felt that plans for the neighborhoods and parks need to be set in place and have developers held to those plans. He liked the idea of a park for all abilities. He had concern of debt in the city from structures being built. He was concerned of comments he heard regarding high density being used as a buffer zone to commercial and was opposed to that idea. He was concerned with the less than quarter acre lots being proposed. He did not understand the need to put high density in place in order to get commercial. He had never met anyone that builds commercial, who felt that they had enough density.

Emily Johnson thanked Council Member Moser for responding to her email. She described that when she decided to purchase a home, she decided not to purchase in Herriman because of the problems and terrible planning of the city and felt that developers run the city. When she purchased a second home she did not want to move to Herriman either but an opportunity arose that she couldn't pass it up and decided to buy in Herriman only because she heard that the new mayor and council were more involved. However, she was concerned with the idea of high density. She felt the roof tops proposed, do not make a big enough influence on commercial but it makes a huge difference for the people that live amongst it. She felt that high density doesn't look good and brings property values down. She voiced concerns with traffic in the area and the safety of the children walking to school. She wanted to make the city more beautiful to draw people here. When people hear about Herriman City she wants it to be a place where people want to live.

Morgan Bangerter lives in Copper Creek and her husband is a home builder by trade. She had concerns that home builders are running out of places to build homes. She understood the need for high density but to her, if Walmart was coming here, there was enough roof tops to justify them coming. She reported building the "Up House" and described what a big thing that was for the city. When high density is allowed it makes it hard for a small home builder like her husband to compete. She wants to live west of the high school because she thought it was a great area close to schools and churches. She currently lives in what she called 'South Riverman' but wants to live in Herriman City one day. She requested that council consider how high density drives out smaller home builders.

Jared Johnson has built four homes in Herriman and has lived in high density, larger and smaller homes. He loves the area but could echo everything that had been said. He felt that the city has overstepped their bounds with high density. He suggested that the city consider holding a class on why they think high density is so important. He wondered how that affected the budget and would appreciate more education. He posed the question of how Highland was doing it. He grew up in Magna and West Valley and loves living in Herriman but wanted them to explain to his kids why the school had to change times due to all the high density going in and not being able to get in and out of the school safely. He again asked for a class to educate all the professionals who live in Herriman.

<u>Duane Blackburn</u> was concerned with commercial development. He was confused as to why there is high density housing on 13400 South instead of commercial. He wondered the same about 12600 South. He

wondered where the commercial will be placed. He confessed going to other commercial centers outside the city on the way home from work.

Evette White concerned with high density and hoped there would be a vote not to go forward with the development agreement. She suggested that when comparing single family homes versus high density that income should be considered as well. She was concerned with the issues of stairs for the aging community and wanted the city to look at high density, not only for young residents but for older residents as well. She liked Herriman how it was, not how it's changing. She was concerned with high density around the high school and the safety of students.

<u>Kristi Palmer</u> seconded the suggestion of education regarding city budget and how high density helps with that. She wondered how our property taxes would be higher than Highland and Alpine. She was attracted by the ability to live on a half-acre lot. She made upgrades to her home and figured she probably could sell her home based on those upgrades, however, she felt that she would actually have to sell it for a loss and was fine with that due to the high density. She wondered how many others were not even considering moving to Herriman because of the same issue.

Emily Woodruff seconded everything that had already been said. She read Herriman's Mission and Vision Statement and hoped the council members would remember those statements. She assumed people want to move to Herriman for that Herriman feel. She felt that high density increases problems and divulged that she lived in Daybreak and didn't like it. She was grateful to build a home in Anthem and was afraid she'd be reconsidering her options if some of the density proposed was approved.

<u>Peter Douglas</u> he would like more education on the financials behind all the high density. He'd like to know how high density displaces taxes and pays for roads and how it contributes to roads or fire? He quoted his tax figures. He would be glad to volunteer his time to help with the education.

Bart Place referenced an anti-drug campaign where a guy, in a small room, walks around and around and around and you hear him saying that he needs to work harder, to make more money, so that he can get more drugs; so that he can work harder, to make more money, etc. He felt like the comments of more roof tops during the meeting was the same thing. We need to more roof tops, so that we can pay the fees, so that we can make more money, so we can get more people in, which drives the need for more infrastructure, etc. He cautioned the council to be careful where infrastructure was being placed. He referenced four schools in a very small area and thought it was most likely timing and need, however, he wanted the density dispersed. He didn't think that roof tops were a high tax generator except for up front. He thought the big box stores already are coming to Herriman which made him feel like we have the density to support commercial development already. He didn't feel like there was such an urgency to go as fast as the developers want them to go.

<u>6:35:52 PM</u> Mayor Freeman closed public comment

Council Member Jared Henderson thanked everyone for coming and being involved in the process. He thanked Mr. Young for providing neighborhood meetings and Mr. Gust, as well. He informed residents that

the Mayor was indicating that density was necessary but wanted the residents to know that density was not the only issue that the council looks at. He disclosed that the location of the city is not good; being tucked in a corner without major transportation corridors is challenging. Part of the proposed development would incorporate a major transportation corridor. He expressed gratitude for the recreation opportunities and the development of REAL Salt Lake along Mountain View Corridor. He informed residents that multiple property owners are requesting that their properties are zoned commercial. When attending conventions commercial developers specified that they want to see density and traffic flow, which gives them the ability to have people drive past their location. He thanked everyone for their comments and particularly loved the comments asking for more education.

Council Member Nicole Martin thanked everyone for attending the meeting. She remarked that her position was challenging and reminded them that as a council they work for the residents and that the council functions well together. Herriman is a growth community and is at a turning point where decisions made are vitally important. It was important to her to find balance to maintain sustainability with a high quality of life. To keep the taxes low the city needs economic development and one of the many elements for economic growth is roof tops, also a day time population (the city is looking for retail and office space) and transportation access (the city is lucky to have Mountain View Corridor to help the city obtain a strong retail development). She reported that economic development really is a competitive sport and there is an urgency for it; when it's gone it's gone. The city needs to find a niche because when the opportunity is lost, it is forever lost. She is an advocate of high density and felt that clustering that high density along transportation corridors works best and it will not be overdone. She wanted residents to know that they are being listened to and that council was not concerned with lining the pockets of developers. The city does want to build a sustainable city where residents will stay because they love the community.

Mayor Carmen Freeman thanked residents for attending the meeting and told them that they are an example of how the system should work and that council should be responsive. He remarked that this is their city not the council's. In regards to educating and finances he reported that the city has over 270 lane miles of road to maintain. He explained that the city gets \$89 for every \$300,000 of property value. Taxes mostly go to fire, police and education. He wanted them to know that they understand economic development is a very important aspect of sustainability as a city. He lived in Herriman when there was only 300 people. He gets asked how he feels with all the growth and his response is that he loves the people coming here. He was hopeful that when people come here, they are loved and accepted. He wondered if he would still be accepted if some of them saw his home because he lives on a small lot. He encouraged residents to accept everyone and not base their acceptance on the lot size or income they have but just because they are all Herriman residents.

Council Member Craig B. Tischner echoed the statements made by council and gave a huge shout out to those who were attending.

Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser thanked the residents for attending the meeting, for their comments and their emails. She announced that all of the council's phone numbers are listed on the city website on the Elected Official's page and mentioned that sometimes talking to them is easier then emailing back and forth. She liked the comments made about a desire for educational forums and challenged them to

show up to those forums when the city puts them together. She reminded the residents about neighborhood meetings and how their participation makes a big difference. In regards to the school issue, she informed them that the city has no control over where the schools are placed. A school can be built anywhere, on any property. She again thanked those attending the meeting for being involved. She reiterated that the first item would be coming back to the council because the master development agreement was a legal requirement and would need to come back before the council for approval.

Council Member Tischner recommended for future items like this that they be held on a regular city council night and time. Council Member Martin explained that the reason for the meeting was to try and meet deadlines for commercial. The city didn't want to lose opportunities with some very important letters of intent. She felt that all present could agree with the importance of economic development and how the city has very experienced developer working with big name national retailers that could be a wonderful asset to our community in terms of sales tax revenue. Council Member Moser added that both November's and December's second monthly meeting fell on Holidays and in order to meet the developer needs a special meeting was scheduled.

Council Member Craig B. Tischner MOVED to not approve item 1.1 the Master Development Agreement for the Anthem Master Planned Community.

Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser SECONDED the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Council Member Jared Henderson Yes
Council Member Nicole Martin Yes
Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser Yes
Council Member Craig B. Tischner Yes
Mayor Carmen Freeman Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

1.2. Discussion and consideration of a proposed rezone located at 12600 South Anthem Park Boulevard from C-2 (Commercial) to R-2-10 (Medium Density Residential) (File# 19Z16) – Bryn McCarty, City Planner

City Planner Bryn McCarty reported that the property owned by Anthem was originally zoned commercial. The developer was proposing to rezone it residential to include it as part of their development. Planning Commission held a public hearing and recommended approval of the rezone with a zoning condition limiting it to one unit per acre. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight recommended that they continue the item so that it will be in line with the development agreement that will be coming back to comply.

Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser MOVED to continue item 1.2. Council Member Nicole Martin SECONDED the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

1.3. Discussion and consideration of a proposed rezone for Fort Herriman Crossing, LLC located at 5200 W Anthem Park Blvd from MU-2 (Mixed Use) to C-2 (Commercial) (File# 27Z16) – Bryn McCarty, City Planner

City Planner Bryn McCarty pointed out the location of the property and noted that it was adjacent to Mountain View Corridor. The general plan shows the area as commercial. Part of the property had already been rezoned and the proposal was for the remainder of that property to be rezoned to C-2 (commercial) the property was currently zoned MU-2 (mixed use). Planning commission held a public hearing and recommended approval.

Council Member Nicole Martin MOVED to approve item 1.3 and that no additional density can be moved from this parcel to other areas of the development.

Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser SECONDED the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Council Member Jared Henderson Yes
Council Member Nicole Martin Yes
Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser Yes
Council Member Craig B. Tischner Yes
Mayor Carmen Freeman Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

1.4. Discussion of Council's waiver or Community Development and Renewal Agency's payment of the Commercial Impact Fees with respect to Arbor Development's improvements – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight explained that Herriman is in a race with neighboring communities and described the development of Center Cal in Riverton and a commercial development in South Jordan on 10600 S that ties into Mountain View Corridor. In order to stay competitive, he felt that the city should incentivise the developer by reimbursing or waiving the impact fees for transportation. The developer would be required to complete the project within a five year period. If they don't, the transportation fees would come back to the city. Staff wanted to inform the council about the proposal and in two weeks an agreement would be brought back to the council for the developer. Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser requested that Assistant City Manager Haight outline the reimbursement process. Mr. Haight described that they may use the sales tax generated to reimburse the funds that would be paid by the developer on the infrastructure. Council Member Moser said the benefit to the proposal was that it will generate a reimbursement only if the development is profitable and the timing was completed in five years. Assistant City Manager Haight continued that if the council was comfortable, staff recommended moving forward with the incentive in order to be competitive with neighboring cities. Staff will bring the details at a future meeting for approval.

Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser asked that when the item was brought back to the council that the documentation was provided for the public to view.

Council Member Nicole Martin MOVED to have staff bring item 1.4 back to council for approval.

Council Member Craig B. Tischner SECONDED the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Council Member Jared Henderson Yes
Council Member Nicole Martin Yes
Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser Yes
Council Member Craig B. Tischner Yes
Mayor Carmen Freeman Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

## 2. Adjournment

Mayor Carmen Freeman thanked those in attendance and Council Member Jared Henderson encouraged them to stay involved.

Council Member Jared Henderson MOVED to adjourn the meeting and Council Member Coralee Wessman-Moser SECONDED the motion. The motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 7:00:53 PM

I, Cindy Quick, Deputy Recorder of Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on November 30, 2016. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Special Herriman City Council Meeting.

Cindy Quick, CMC Deputy Recorder