### WORK MEETING AGENDA OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF LAYTON, UTAH

PUBLIC NOTICE is hereby given that the City Council of Layton, Utah, will hold a regular public meeting in the Council Conference Room in the City Center Building, 437 North Wasatch Drive, Layton, Utah, commencing at **5:30 PM on October 6, 2016.** 

Iter	n:
1.	Discussion - Cemetery
2.	Option Agreement between Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District and Layton City - Approximately 3900 North Fairfield Road - Resolution 16-66
3.	Preliminary Plat – SunRiver Development Planned Residential Unit Development (PRUD) – 2181 North Hill Field Road
4.	Update - Greyhawk Park Tower
5.	Update - New Junior High Gymnasium
6.	Update - West Davis Corridor
7.	Update - Storm Impact
8.	Mayor's Report
In tl	ne event of an absence of a full quorum, agenda items will be continued to the next regularly scheduled meeting.
shal	s meeting may involve the use of electronic communications for some of the members of the public body. The anchor location for the meeting I be the Layton City Council Chambers, 437 North Wasatch Drive, Layton City. Members at remote locations may be connected to the meeting phonically.
	ice is hereby given that by motion of the Layton City Council, pursuant to Title 52, Chapter 4 of the Utah Code, the City Council may vote to a closed meeting for any of the purposes identified in that Chapter.
Dat	e: By: Thieda Wellman, City Recorder
	Thieda Wellman, City Recorder

LAYTON CITY does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the employment or the provision of services. If you are planning to attend this public meeting and, due to a disability, need assistance in understanding or participating in the meeting, please notify Layton City eight or more hours in advance of the meeting. Please contact Kiley Day at 437 North Wasatch Drive, Layton, Utah 84041, 801.336.3825 or 801.336.3820.

Item Number: 1.
Subject:
Discussion - Cemetery
Background:
Mr. Craig McMillan has been asked to discuss with the Council the history of developing a cemetery in
Layton and the costs and regulations involved with operating a cemetery in the State of Utah.
Alternatives:
N/A
Recommendation:
N/A

Item Number: 2.

#### **Subject:**

Option Agreement between Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District and Layton City - Approximately 3900 North Fairfield Road - Resolution 16-66

### **Background:**

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District (District) owns twenty-five acres of property located at approximately 3900 North Fairfield Road, Layton, Utah. The City desires to have the option to procure said property in the future. The District and the City have agreed to the terms and conditions as set forth in the Option Agreement.

#### **Alternatives:**

Alternatives are to 1) Adopt Resolution 16-66 approving the Option Agreement between Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District and Layton City; 2) Adopt Resolution 16-66 with any amendments the Council deems appropriate; or 3) Not adopt Resolution 16-66 and remand to Staff with directions.

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends the Council adopt Resolution 16-66 approving the Option Agreement between Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District and Layton City and authorize the Mayor to sign the necessary documents.

Item Number: 3.

### **Subject:**

Preliminary Plat – SunRiver Development Planned Residential Unit Development (PRUD) – 2181 North Hill Field Road

### **Background:**

The applicant, SunRiver/EVN represented by Aaron Mueller, is requesting preliminary plat approval for property that contains 6.06 acres located on the west side of North Hill Field Road at approximately 2181 North. The proposed development is directly west of Northridge High School. The proposed development has single family residential to the west, commercial development to the north and multi-family/commercial development to the south.

On July 21, 2016, the City Council approved the rezone from Agriculture to R-M1 PRUD for the applicant/builder, SunRiver/EVN.

The preliminary plat is proposing 87 attached townhome units, which creates a density of 14.36 units per acre. This would require the developer to achieve a 5 percent density bonus based on the design options outlined in the PRUD ordinance. The applicant did meet with the Design Review Committee (DRC), which was favorable towards the development with the recommendations outlined in the staff report.

### **Alternatives:**

Alternatives are to 1) Grant preliminary plat approval to SunRiver Development PRUD subject to meeting all Staff requirements as outlined in Staff memorandums; or 2) Deny granting preliminary plat approval to SunRiver Development PRUD.

### **Recommendation:**

On September 13, 2016, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended the Council grant preliminary plat approval to SunRiver Development PRUD subject to meeting all Staff requirements as outlined in Staff memorandums.

Staff supports the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

Item Number: 4.
Subject: Update - Greyhawk Park Tower
Background: N/A
Alternatives: N/A
<b>Recommendation:</b> N/A

Item Number: 5.
Subject: Update - New Junior High Gymnasium
Background: N/A
Alternatives: N/A
Recommendation: N/A

Item Number: 6.
Subject: Update - West Davis Corridor
Opuate - West Davis Corridor
Background:
N/A
Alternatives:
N/A
Recommendation:
N/A

Item Number: 7.
Subject:
Update - Storm Impact
Opune Storm impuet
Background:
N/A
Alternatives:
N/A
D
Recommendation:
N/A

Item Number: 8.	
Subject: Mayor's Report	
Background: N/A	
Alternatives: N/A	
<b>Recommendation:</b> N/A	