

Voice Vote:

Beverly	Aye
Kindred	Aye
Mila	Aye
Pender	Aye
Rapp	Aye
Siwik	Aye
Snow	Aye

NO ACTION COMMENTS

1. **SCHEDULING.** The Deputy City Recorder informed those at the meeting of upcoming events, meetings, activities, etc.
2. **CITIZEN COMMENTS/QUESTIONS. Dr. Bob Skloss, 2160 South West Temple.** He is helping the owners of Shades of Pale. They have had their truck broken into. Pat's Barbeque was broken into a couple of days later. Some businesses have met together to figure out what they can do. They had Sgt. Matt Oehler attend. He was brilliant and suggested they clean up their businesses. Make it look like you are concerned. Put up lights and have cameras. A number of them have done a lot of things. He asked the City what they are doing to help. There is no lighting on the street. Are they patrolling frequently? He asked that the City doesn't wait for development. They need something now as they try to beautify their businesses.

Council Member Beverly advised Dr. Skloss that the Council is aware of the police issues, the shortage and retention and they are very committed. They have increased wages and restored steps to the police officers because they are trying to recruit and retain the best officers. She was not aware of the problems there so this is very helpful. They will continue to have the conversation. They are cheering for all of the businesses in that area.

Alexandra Ortiz, Shades of Pale. She thanked the police for the job they are doing. She had to call the police twice for her neighbors who are getting broken into. She asked that the police please step up the patrols. She is concerned for her personal safety. She would like to come back to the next two meetings and find out what the City is doing and what they can do to bring people and businesses to the area.

Garrett L. Pack, 223 E. Winslow Avenue. He is a long haul truck driver. He is extremely favorable of Walmart coming into the City. This City would do awesome with Walmart. The businesses around them will thrive. It would be a positive.

Michael Ampula, Salt Lake City Bicycle Collective, 2312 West Temple. In the last six months, three of their four facilities have been broken into. Their truck was damaged and bicycles stolen. They are a non-profit trying to give back to the community. Instead of spending his time teaching folks to be self-

sufficient on a bicycle, or fixing bicycles, he is spending time remediating the damage from somebody who is there to steal things that they are trying to give back to the community. He encouraged the Council to help them help the community figure out some way to mitigate some of the crime problems. Anything they can do to help they are happy to do.

Mayor Wood advised the Council that Lieutenant Jim Anderson is here tonight to address the issue.

Dustin Swan, Parker Cook Design, 177 West 2100 South. They are also here about the burglary issues. They have seen an increase in the past couple of months. The motorcycle shop next door to him has been broken into as well. He has been open six months and broken into the same amount of times. Mr. Swan has been broken into a couple of times and they are in a very well-lit area right off the Trax stop. They haven't been able to get the police to show up in a pertinent manner they are so swamped. They have been watching and found out the names of people and reported them to the police to later find out those people are still out on the street committing the same robberies. A lot of the businesses now have spent their time fortifying their own places and taking time out of their day. He thinks they could come to a better solution.

Darrin Porter, Performance Audio, 2456 South West Temple. They have had a lot of things happen at their business. They had a break in on November 15, 2015 they had surveillance footage and a license plate number. About thirty days later he was able to get in touch with the detective working the case and they are still working on it. They have never heard anything back about it. They have clear pictures and clear license plate information. He suggested some other representative of the City come to gather that information so the police would have more time to do jobs that are more important than gather information. He appreciates the police. He realizes they are very busy. They have fortified their building with a better security system. They are doing what they can. They appreciate the City but they want them to know there are a lot of problems in that area.

Codie Masse, 3537 South 500 East. She thanked the Mayor for the recent veto of the Master Plan ordinance change and the Council Members who supported her in that. She appreciates how much time and effort they put in their jobs as council members.

Kevin Louder, 589 Garden Avenue. He was looking at the website. The minutes are about a month behind. It is hard for citizens to find out what is going on in the minutes. He couldn't stay until the end of the last meeting and he doesn't know if they approved the 32 unit townhome. It would be very helpful to know today what happened last week. There is really not that much space at the Granite High. He asked where the people who play soccer are going to go when the townhomes get built.

Jim Davis, 36 West 2700 South. He is committed to this community. He was pleased to see the process that they went through about the reuse of Granite High School. When he was mayor they had a classic form of mayor/council government and over a serious discussion like was just had they changed the form of government, by election, to the mayor/council form of government. He thinks they have seen that democratic process work. He commended Mayor Wood and all of the Council for following the procedure, listening to the people, and they made a decision. It is when times are tough that democracy is challenged the most and they have made him proud. He has seen new things happen in the City when they don't have any land to make them happen on. Almost everything they will be involved with, someone is going to have to bring property together in order for them to develop new projects. His opinion is the Granite High property is a sacred place in this community because of its open space. The buildings are not important but the land is. The land is important as the living room of this community. It's a place for people to enjoy, to grow their families and to build the future for themselves and their families. The most important thing that happens in the way of development is to put the public space in first and then the families come, the businesses come and the activities come.

The Council then moved to Public Hearings on the agenda.

PUBLIC HEARING – 7:30 p.m. (Or as soon thereafter as possible)

The public hearing was scheduled to begin at 7:30 p.m. but started at 7:37 p.m.

To consider adopting a Parks & Recreation Impact Fee and to adopt Chapter 15.14.240, Parks & Recreation Impact Fee – Service Area, IFFP and IFA and Chapter 15.14, 250, Parks & Recreation Impact Fee – Calculation, of the South Salt Lake Municipal Code. Urban Design Director, Sharen Hauri, reminded the Council that these impact fees would help fund capital improvements at parks anywhere in the City based on the impact fees collected by new development for residential only.

Fred Philpot, with Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham, reviewed a presentation with the Council. A copy is attached and incorporated by this reference. Need a copy of the presentation from Sharen.

The public hearing was opened at 7:45 p.m.

Kevin Louder, 589 Garden Avenue. He asked what the fees were going to be used for.

Urban Design Director, Sharen Hauri, explained that the philosophy behind impact fees is people pay for their own growth. If you move into a new community and you are buying a new home on a new road with a new sewer line and new park, it should not be the responsibility of the existing residents, who have those things and paid for them previously, to pay for the new growth.

Council Chair Snow added that the City is required to put these impact fees into new green space, new recreation centers, or improvement of existing green and recreation centers.

Mr. Louder asked if this applied to businesses.

Council Member Beverly answered no, it applies to residential growth only.

Ms. Hauri clarified that parks impact fees apply to residential only, but there are other impact fees that apply to everyone.

The public hearing was closed at 7:48 p.m.

Council Member Kindred asked if there was a time period in which they have to spend the fees collected.

Mr. Philpot said there is a six year spending horizon. If you don't spend the impact fees within six years you have to reimburse them. It is a rolling window. From the date at which they were collected you have six years to spend those funds. It can be spent or encumbered. Encumbered has a specific definition. It either has to go to a purchase order or towards a debt service payment.

Council Member Pender asked if any other cities have something to where they would apply the impact fee to apartments but not residential.

Mr. Philpot said he has not seen a community assess it to a subset of the residential development.

Council Member Pender asked if they could just adopt an impact fee for developers wanting to build apartments and not homes.

Jody Burnett, an attorney with William & Hunt, advised that the rationale is it costs X amount of dollars per resident to provide parks. You can't charge single families and not multi-families or vice-versa or you are not treating it equally and it just isn't going to work. That is not legal. For purposes of the impact fee calculation, the only differential is average persons per dwelling unit but still, the whole calculation is premised on per resident per capita expenditure so you couldn't differentiate between them. The reason you don't assess impact fees for commercial is the commercial development per se is not generating the demand for parks. Residential generates the demand for parks.

Council Chair Snow suggested because it is per resident, any kind of multi-family unit that goes in is going to be paying a higher impact fee than a single family dwelling because they are putting in more residents.

Mr. Burnett agreed in the situation of a four-plex or eight-plex as a whole but on a per dwelling basis, they are paying less because they have an average number of residents per dwelling unit. You have to look at it that way. You can't say a

hundred unit multi-family project is paying more than the single family because they are not on a per dwelling basis. They are actually still paying less per dwelling. The whole calculation is per dwelling unit, not per project.

Mr. Philpot explained that the calculation shows the fee per capita per resident. Then they break it out based on the average household size of the unit type, whether that is single-family or multi-family. Typically the multi-family has a smaller population per unit than the single family dwelling. So, on a per unit basis they pay typically less per unit. But, in most cases, multi-family dwellings are built in larger quantities under single projects so their overall impact fee is much high for the project itself than a typical single family dwelling. So, they may pay \$30,000 in impact fees for parks whereas your single family is just going to pay the \$1,900. That is really the difference. They calculate it on a per capital basis which goes back to the demand that Mr. Burnett is referencing.

Council Member Beverly feels that removing the recreation piece is probably a wise decision because of their financial situation but at some point in the future she wondered if they could go back and add that in if their finances improve and they want to look at building recreation facilities.

Mr. Philpot said they recommend impact fees get reviewed and updated as necessary on a regular basis so as assumptions change, that would trigger an update, and you would revise the impact fee to reflect the intent of the City to maintain a certain level of service.

Council Chair Snow asked if they can use the money to improve existing recreation sites.

Mr. Philpot explained the expenditure of the impact fees has to be for growth related system improvements. What it can't be used for is tearing down a bathroom and rebuilding it with impact fees because you are replacing capacity you already had and you cannot use impact fees to build that. If you had park space and restroom facilities and you wanted to expand that with additional restroom facilities that would be expansion of the system and could be impact fee eligible. You have to be careful that you are expending the impact fees collected on growth related expansionary projects and not repair and replacement. The definition is it has to be system improvements that have a useful life of ten or more years. The concept of expanding your system is what the impact fees is intended to fund.

Council Member Pender asked for information on what Taylorville and Holladay assess for impact fees.

Council Member Siwik asked for an apples to apples comparison of all impact fees.

Deputy City Attorney, Hannah Vickery, advised that an apples to apples comparison is going to be fairly difficult because of the way impact fees are

measured. For instance for sewer it is based on the upgrade in the waterline size whereas here you have a household. So, it is going to be hard because you can't even add those two together.

Mr. Philpot said the apples to apples is really back to the level of service discussion which is different in every community.

Council Member Siwik asked for the total impact fees of the cities listed in the presentation.

City Engineer, Dennis Pay, reminded the Council that once the impact fees are approved there is a ninety day waiting period before they can collect. They do have some significant development that is coming on.

Council Member Siwik moved to place this item on Unfinished Business of the April 13, 2016 City Council meeting with the addition of adding the parks impacts fees assessed in Taylorsville, Holladay, Cottonwood Heights and Salt Lake County.

MOTION Shane Siwik

SECOND Kevin Rapp

Voice Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

PUBLIC HEARING – 7:45 p.m. (Or as soon thereafter as possible)

The public hearing was scheduled to begin at 7:45 p.m. but started at 8:05 p.m.

To receive public comment on a consideration by the South Salt Lake City Council to vacate a portion of two alleyways. The portion of the first alleyway proposed to be vacated runs between Commonwealth Avenue and the intersecting East/West alleyway to the north. The portion of the second alleyway proposed to be vacated is approximately 50 feet of the eastern most portion of the alley running East/West. Community and Economic Development Director, Mike Florence, reviewed a presentation with the Council. A copy is attached incorporated by this reference. A few years ago the residents on Commonwealth Avenue worked with the engineering department and put in the traffic calming measure on Commonwealth Avenue that prevents traffic from State Street heading east on to Commonwealth but allows traffic to go west on Commonwealth. The residents maintain landscaped area for the City. Mr. Florence handed out a plan to the Council. A copy is attached and incorporated by this reference. Mr. Florence reviewed how traffic would flow through the area and

an additional six parking stalls that would be created. The General Plan supports redevelopment of property and staff feels this does give some good cause to vacate because it is helping the business owner, adds additional parking, protects Commonwealth Avenue from additional traffic and meets the intent of the General Plan goals. As far as materially injuring property owners, the property owners are in favor of this. They feel it is a good thing. They don't feel they will be harmed because they will still have access to the alley that runs east-west and accesses the rear of their properties.

Council Member Siwik asked if the alleyway is paved.

Mr. Florence said Mr. Doctorman has already paved over it when he reconstructed his parking lot so it has been improved very nicely.

Council Member Kindred asked if the alleyway is publicly City maintained.

Mr. Florence said it is.

Council Member Pender asked if the City could potentially charge for it.

Ms. Vickery explained that the street vacation would be done by ordinance simultaneously entering into an easement and vacation of alley agreement. The bargain for exchange in that agreement is that the City would get an easement to the waterline that is on Mr. Doctorman's property that they currently do not have. So, in exchange for the street vacation the City would be getting an easement to the waterline. Mr. Doctorman is also going to grant an easement to the property owners, their licensees, invitees, their guests and any mail services. Public safety services would still have an easement through his parking lot to access that alley. So there is a bargain for exchange. In general, whether the City can access this or do a 1082 study, the first question is how do they obtain the property? Depending on what their property right is, they might not even have the option to charge for it. If they did have the right to charge for it the next question is, is it a significant parcel of property? In this case you have an alleyway in a parking lot. Could they sell that to someone else? Probably not so its value will probably be very low. Then you have the challenge of does the cost of assessing the value of that piece of property outweigh what the City could potentially get for it? Also, they have to consider the City's benefits here. Mr. Doctorman has been plowing and otherwise maintaining the City's alleyway and in theory, that is the City's responsibility. With the transfer of property the City would also be transferring those types of responsibilities.

Council Member Pender asked what happens if other properties have City lines on them. How does it work? If they needed to get into it how would they handle that?

Ms. Vickery said most of the time they would have an easement. She can't answer why the City didn't get a recorded easement here. Otherwise they would have to get permission from the property owner or they would be looking at a trespass.

Council Member Siwik asked the total square footage of all the land combined.

Mr. Florence said he didn't know.

Council Member Rapp said if they had a waterline break on there he didn't think it would be hard to get on the property and take care of it.

Ms. Vickery agreed because they have an exigent circumstance, which is an exception to the warrant requirements, and they would be able to go on the property and take care of that. The City would be responsible at that point to return the property to its operating condition and mitigate that trespass.

Council Member Snow asked what the two parcels on the east of the alleyway are used for.

Mr. Florence said they are paved and used for parking.

The applicant, Gary Doctorman, reviewed his project with the Council. Big Brothers and Big Sisters of Utah are the current tenants on the property. Prevent Child Abuse of Utah are moving into the office portion of the building right now. Mr. Doctorman has repaved the alley with the permission of the City and maintains it. When they bought the property, cars could enter the alley at 2100 South and drive all the way down to Commonwealth Avenue. He and the residents want to shut off the alley so the delivery trucks and cars can't get a straight shot down to Commonwealth. To replace the lost easement he has given the City and residents the right, which they didn't have before, to come in from State Street or to come in from Commonwealth and come through his driveways and come to the Commonwealth alley. In short, the City gets its easement without paying Mr. Doctorman, the City is relieved of its duty to maintain the easement, the residents can traverse his driveways to get to the alley, the residents will benefit by closing off the north-south alley and he gets a win as well by creating six more parking spaces.

Council Member Pender asked if they do this and a new property owner comes, can they change it for the residents.

Ms. Vickery said they could not because it is a perpetual easement that runs with the land. It will be recorded on all the properties that benefit from the easement.

Council Member Pender asked if there would be a system in place where the City could still accomplish something that is being brought up without giving the property to the applicant.

Ms. Vickery advised that this is a legislative act so it is their call. This is what staff has negotiated in advance. The Council can propose alternatives and modify the agreement and offer it to Mr. Doctorman for his acceptance if he wants to accept it.

Council Member Pender asked if the City could essentially do what the applicant is asking without giving the property to him.

Ms. Vickery said they could not because he is asking the City to vacate the alleyway so he can relocate parking. If the City owns the alleyway they won't allow parking in it.

Council Member Kindred asked if they get the easement is there a monetary value that can be associated with the easement if the City just wanted to outright buy an easement.

Ms. Vickery said they could explore that negotiation. She would have to do some research on it.

Mr. Doctorman advised that if they go that route then he has got to charge the City for the easement he is granting.

Ms. Vickery advised the Council that staff is looking at the alleys in the City as a whole, and they do know that a lot of them are more of a burden than a benefit so in the future the City may be the one requesting the vacation of an alleyway.

Council Member Siwik asked how many residents will have the right of way up to Commonwealth Avenue.

Mr. Florence said quite a few.

Council Member Siwik asked if the City vacates the alleyway will Mr. Doctorman gather all the lots into one contiguous lot.

Mr. Doctorman said yes, they would actually be gathered into two.

Council Chair Snow doesn't know if trading an easement for the alleys is equivalent so maybe they should explore it.

Ms. Vickery advised that another thing they should consider right now is the cost of litigation. The City is currently trespassing on his property with that water main.

City Engineer, Dennis Pay, spoke to acquiring property vs. an easement. There is a little bit of difference in the cost but typically it comes out to be about the same. That is his experience.

Council Member Siwik said this situation is different than the Burton and Truman Avenue vacation. When he was on the Council previously they did these all the time. This is a piece of property that is virtually landlocked. Nobody but Mr. Doctorman would buy it. If they don't vacate it to him who is going to buy it? So what value is it to the City anyway? Alleys carry a liability to the City. If you

can't tie a monetary benefit to the City you can tie an indirect benefit to it that would equal the transaction.

Ms. Vickery advised that the City would need to acquire an easement by some means, whether it is through this negotiation or some other means.

Council Chair Snow agreed with Council Member Siwik.

Council Member Rapp added that Mr. Doctorman is also allowing the neighbors to continue to use the alleyways and not cutting off all access. He feels it is an equitable trade.

Council Member Mila agreed with Council Member Rapp.

The public hearing was opened at 8:46 p.m.

Richard Lane, 137 East Commonwealth Avenue. He thanked the City for putting in the half closure. He thanked Mr. Doctorman for the great improvements he has made and including the residents in his plans.

Council Member Pender asked Mr. Lane if he has heard any complaints about this from the neighbors.

Mr. Lane said he thinks everyone is in favor of it.

Aaron Parsons, 141 East Commonwealth Avenue. He also thinks it is a good plan. He asked if the "pork chop" in the parking lot could be turned the other direction. He feels the way it is planned currently suggests to the drivers to turn left onto Commonwealth.

Scott Romney, SR Investment Realty. Explained that they are trying to get the traffic to where all the Commonwealth people can still get through.

There was discussion at the projector screen as to how to best get people to go right on Commonwealth to State Street.

Kevin Louder, 589 Garden Avenue. He asked if they could put a wall at the alleyway so people won't be trying to negotiate through the parking lot.

Andrea Martin, 137 East Commonwealth Avenue. She asked about snow plowing. Does the City have access to go through the private part of the alley to take care of things?

Mr. Florence advised that it is included in the agreement that not only would the residents have easement through the parking lot to access the alley but the City would also.

The public hearing was closed at 8:57 p.m.

Council Chair Snow asked if the alley running east and west continues or is a dead end.

Mr. Florence said it goes all the way to 200 East.

Council Chair Snow concluded that the City would still own everything east of the vacated portion to 200 East and would have to maintain the alley from there and Mr. Doctorman would maintain the portion vacated to him.

Mr. Florence agreed and said Mr. Doctorman would not want to block the entire alley because he owns the property east of the alley all the way from State Street to Commonwealth and wouldn't want to block parking for the tenants and wants the access.

Council Member Rapp said that residents would want that access as well.

Council Member Siwik asked what the width of the alley going to 200 East and is it paved.

Mr. Florence said it is paved and is fifteen feet wide.

Council Member Siwik asked if it was on the list to vacate.

Mr. Florence said he didn't think it was because there are a number of residents with garages that face the alley and use it as access to them.

Council Member Siwik moved to place this on Unfinished Business at the next City Council meeting.

MOTION Shane Siwik
SECOND Debbie Snow

Voice Vote:

Beverly	Aye
Kindred	Aye
Mila	Aye
Pender	Aye
Rapp	Aye
Siwik	Aye
Snow	Aye

The Council returned to No Action Comments on the agenda.

- 3. MAYOR COMMENTS.** Mayor Wood introduced Lieutenant Jim Anderson to address the crime issues spoken about in Citizen's Comments this evening.

Lt. Anderson reported that in the last three months there have been thirty-nine to forty calls for property crimes in the area between 2100 South to Truman Avenue and 300 West to State Street. Six were felonies where there is entry

into a business. Many of the thefts, vandalisms, vehicle burglaries are misdemeanor crimes unless they hit a certain dollar amount. Lt. Anderson said this is County wide. The Salt Lake County Jail is going through difficulties with housing. For Class C and B misdemeanors they have to site them and release them on scene. Class B misdemeanors are damage up to \$1,500. Probation officers are being asked to work with their probationers when they are in violation and not take them right back to jail. It is a bigger web of issues. The attitude on the street right now is, "What are you going to do, site me and release me?" The Police are looking at other proactive steps. They are very aware of the problem. They had a group meeting with the business owners yesterday to form some teamwork and work on the issues together. CPTED (Crime Prevention through Environmental Design) really educates on how they can become partners in deterring, preventing and catching criminals. A part of that is having good surveillance cameras. A basic theft stating something was stolen with no idea who it was and no videos and no witnesses is a very difficult to solve because there are no leads to follow. When the business owners have good footage and they can get good facial recognition; that information is sent out on bulletins county wide and very, very often they are getting identifiers of who those people are because a lot of them are repeat offenders. The police department is very committed to helping the business owners solve their issues. They will continue to work with them. If they participate in CPTED there will be a lot of good things that happen. They have found in a lot of business areas if it looks well kept, well lit, there are cameras in view and things are well fenced off the opportunity is lessened and a lot of people will steer away from that.

Council Member Beverly suggested having the police back in a month for an update on this situation.

Council Chair Snow agreed.

Council Member Pender advised that the detectives are probably carrying anywhere from thirty to fifty cases.

Lt. Anderson said it is sixty to eighty cases.

Council Member Pender advised the business owners that have questions to make sure they get a business card and follow up with them. They will be more than happy to give them a status update. He asked if there is a business watch.

Lt. Anderson answered yes. And it is happening in this area.

4. **CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS.** City Attorney, Lyn Creswell, has asked Deputy City Attorney Hannah Vickery to be the full-time resource to the City Council. He feels the Council needs a dedicated attorney specifically assigned to them.

5. **CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS.** Council Member Rapp said it was a positive thing to see all the people participate in the caucuses last evening. The attacks in Brussels were very disturbing and his heart goes out to those who were affected by that.

Council Member Mila echoed what Council Member Rapp said.

Council Member Siwik acknowledged Council Member Rapp's son for obtaining his Eagle in the scouting program at fifteen years old.

Council Member Pender echoed what the others have said. A city resident's brother, working as a police officer in Boston lost his life in a tragic accident while he was dealing with an individual off the side of the road. He asked for the numbers for the bond election over a week ago and just received them last night. They were a lot higher than he believed they would be with the facility rental and the improvements the City did. As a City the residents have spent over \$2 million dollars on Granite High School for advertising, for the bonds, for maintenance, for rentals and those types of things. He asked City Recorder, Craig Burton, if he had informed anyone else of his request.

Mr. Burton said he forwarded it to the Finance Director. They discussed it and determined they needed to run it through the Mayor's Office.

Council Member Beverly said in the past the Council was advised to go through Chief of Staff, Charee Peck, so she always sends all of her requests to her. She has had good success with that. She is not sure if that is still the case.

Mayor Wood advised that they have asked numerous times that this be the practice so she can hold staff accountable and so they can check on it.

Council Member Beverly added that Ms. Peck has been very quick to respond.

Council Member Kindred echoed what the other Council Members have said. He thanked Mr. Hari Koirala for doing the Moment of Serious Reflection and Pledge of Allegiance this evening. He thanked the City employees and department heads who stayed for the state of the City address last week and set up their displays. It was helpful for the community members. He recognized that Deputy Community and Economic Development Director, Frank Lilly's mother passed away recently. Mr. Lilly is in his thoughts and he thanked him for all he does for the City.

Council Member Beverly echoed what the other Council Members said.

6. **INFORMATION – SSL Chamber of Commerce Report.** President and CEO of the SSL Chamber of Commerce, Gary Birdsall, reviewed a handout on the Chamber's activities with the Council. A copy is attached and incorporated by this reference.

The Council took a five minute break.

ACTION ITEMS

UNFINISHED COUNCIL BUSINESS

The Council then moved to Item number two on Unfinished Business.

- 2. Amendment of the South Salt Lake Zoning Map from Commercial Corridor, North District, Commercial General, Light Industrial, and transit Oriented Development Overlay to Downtown and adoption of the Downtown South salt Lake Land Use Ordinance and Design Standards. The zoning encompasses the area from 2100 South to I-80 and from State Street to I-15.** Mr. Lilly advised the Council that they sent a summary of the changes made to the ordinance since their last meeting and reviewed those changes with the Council. A copy is attached and incorporated by this reference.

Council Member Siwik said with everything going on concerning Granite High, he has not had adequate time to review the ordinance and would like to see it moved to Unfinished Business. This is going to be the future of the City and he would like to go through it more in depth than what he has had a chance to.

Council Member Rapp said he feels the same way.

Mr. Lilly advised that staff would like to have this adopted at the next Council meeting and asked that Council Members forward any major questions to them by next week to allow them time to incorporate any changes they have.

Council Member Siwik asked if there is anything they can do to address interior parking on big developments.

Mr. Lilly said that was the intent of the correction on page 70 which talked about off street visitor parking for townhomes.

Council Member Kindred moved to place this item on Unfinished Business of the April 13, 2016 Council meeting.

MOTION	Mark Kindred
SECOND	Debbie Snow

Voice Vote:

Beverly	Aye
Kindred	Aye
Mila	Aye
Pender	Aye
Rapp	Aye
Siwik	Aye
Snow	Aye

The Council returned to item 1 on Unfinished Council Business.

1. **A resolution authorizing forgiveness of the July 2009 interfund loan from the City's Capital Improvements Fund to the City's Ambulance Service Fund and elimination of the 2009 promissory note.** Finance Director, Kyle Kershaw, clarified that \$500,000 to \$600,000 of the original loan was to acquire equipment and the rest of the loan was for operating losses for the first three years. Then they started running up the negative fund balance and they just stopped adding to the loan balance because they had reached the maximum that was authorized. Then they stopped accruing it and wrote it off as a transfer from the Capital Fund. All this is reflected in the document.

Council Member Pender said at the last Council meeting he ask for some numbers and didn't receive those until last night. In 2013 there was a \$343,000 operating loss. He asked if that was the loss from the ambulance or the total loss, including employees and equipment.

Mr. Kershaw advised that the operating loss is basically operating revenue which is the billing fees minus personnel, fuel, equipment and depreciation is the operating expenses. So the expenses were larger than the revenue by \$343,000 for that year.

Council Member Pender asked if the City has been putting aside for replacement costs.

Mr. Kershaw said staff's recommendations are that they save any revenue in excess of expenditures for equipment. The reason for forgiving the loan is when they break into the black they want to reserve the money rather than pay back the loan because you could pay back the loan but then in 2021 someone will be coming to some Council saying they need to buy new equipment and the only place to get a loan is from the Capital Fund back to the Ambulance Fund. The operating loss for the past three years has been decreasing. Staff is hoping by the end of this year they will actually break even or do a little better. Hopefully after that, they will have some revenue in excess of expenditures to start saving for equipment replacement.

Council Member Pender said if they wait the twelve years they get nothing out of the vehicles. He feels they would almost be better off at looking at replacing those. Right now they are worth \$74,000. If the wait the twelve

years they are only worth \$8,000 each.

Mr. Kershaw said the other way to look at it is the longer they have equipment that is reliable and effective, any use they can get out of them when they are fully depreciated is gravy and a bonus.

Council Member Pender said the maintenance costs are getting higher each year. They can stretch it out but one way or the other they are going to be paying for that. Between maintenance, fuel and replacement for ambulances they are looking at close to \$800,000 and \$1.5 million that they want to forgive. The concern he has is this is a huge expense the citizens are paying for. They are looking at \$2 million dollars with loses, startup costs and those types of things. He noticed in the minutes that Chief Foote was asked what Gold Cross was paying the City to provide the service and he said on average it was in the \$8,000 range. If Gold Cross were to provide the service our citizens don't have to pay for that, the City makes \$100,000 a year and doesn't have to pay for the replacement costs and the fuel. The loan is what it is but his concern is it's a lot of money. As he thinks of that, especially in the times and recent conversations they have had, two, three million dollars, there's some green space for them. He understands things are looking better, but they are not getting better because staff is coming asking the Council to forgive \$1.6 million dollars that originally was to be paid back and they can't even do that.

Mr. Kershaw said another way to look at it is there are OSHA regulations that there needs to be four people on a rig when they respond to a call. Before the ambulance was put into service, they only had three guys on a rig. So, in order to meet the OSHA requirements they would have had to hire twelve people to meet that requirement. That would cost the City \$800,000 or \$900,000 a year. By putting two personnel in an ambulance those two respond to a scene with the three people on an engine and you have met your OSHA requirements and it is costing you \$200,000 or \$300,000 a year with the expectation that it is going to break even. One of the discussions seven years ago was meeting the OSHA requirement and this was the cheapest, most economical way. If they eliminate the ambulance service tomorrow Fire Chief, Ron Morris, would probably be in front of you saying he needs \$700,000 or \$800,000 to hire twelve people to fill out the requirement to meet OSHA.

Council Member Pender asked if that wouldn't be cheaper to do than what they are currently doing with the ambulance.

Mr. Kershaw explained there would be no revenue coming in at all to offset the twelve firefighters they would have to hire, whereas currently, they are getting the vast majority of those costs paid for with revenue and the out of pocket expense is a fraction now of what it would be if they had to higher twelve firefighters. Seven years ago he was skeptical of the financing plan that was presented to the Council and voiced his opinion and the Council decided to go the direction it did. They have been tracking it for seven years. To go

into an ambulance service with the idea that you are going to make money is a false notion. What he has realized over the years is this ambulance service is helping pay to hire those twelve firefighters and to offset those costs as much as possible. At that point it is a pretty good deal with the hopes that over the next year or two they won't have any out of pocket expenditures to accommodate the OSHA requirement.

Chief Morris corrected that it is not an OSHA requirement; it is National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) requirement. NFPA 17.10 dictates staffing levels that are acceptable throughout the country. What the ambulance service allows them to do at that point is these are not just ambulance emergency medical technicians; these are cross trained firefighter paramedics. So, at Station 41, that allows them to have five firefighters at that station; three on the engine and two on the ambulance. If they do away with the ambulance he needs to somehow to put a fourth firefighter on that engine or their ISO rating, (Insurance Operators Rating) which is at 3 now, will drop to 4 or 5 which will increase the property taxes on residential and businesses within the City. What they are doing is getting most of their firefighter salary paid for by running the ambulance service. The reasons Chief Foote and the City got into the ambulance service was because Gold Cross was not willing, nor are they willing today, to put two dedicated ambulances in South Salt Lake. It does not make business sense for them to do that. The City pursued the ambulance business because they were not happy with the service that was being provided by the private provider at the time. They were waiting on the side of the road, in snow, in rain for an ambulance to come from Kearns sometimes. Sometimes one would come from 400 South 1300 East. There were .5 ambulances dedicated to our City at that time. So, it boils down to what kind of a service you want to have for the citizens. The City provides a service run by their people that care about the citizens and have a vested interest in it. They don't have folks running a farm club. Those guys are getting their resume's stacked so they can move on to a fire department, PA school, or whatever their end game is. They do not get the service from Gold Cross, or any other private provider, that they get from their own ambulance service. To say getting out of the ambulance business is an easy thing, we're out and we save that money, Mr. Kershaw is right, he needs somewhere between \$650,000 to \$700,000 yearly to staff the fire department if they are out of the ambulance business.

Council Member Pender asked if the City ever thought about contracting with UFA if they were to put two ambulances at our stations and man them as well.

Chief Morris said they talk about it all the time. If Mr. Jensen were here he would tell them, "Why would I do that? It's a losing proposition." He can't go to his board and say he'd like to contract ambulances and by the way, we're going to lose money." They just got legislation passed to bring the Medicaid reimbursement up to where they now are hopefully going to start making some money. It's the same reason Gold Cross would not dedicate ambulances to the City. There is no money to be made. Dr. Sturkie stated in his review of

the ambulance service two or three times, 9-1-1 ambulance services were never made to be a money maker or a breakeven proposition. It's a decision that a city makes that it is a service they want to provide to their citizens and they are willing to help finance to a certain extent. There were three giant winners in the Medicaid legislation that was past; South Salt Lake, Ogden and West Valley City. South Salt Lake is far and away the big winner in the Medicaid legislation and it is helping fund the ambulance.

Council Chair Snow said that report and the original one were two she request that she has not received. Maybe there is not a lot of savings to be garnished on the personnel side but it sounds like they are now showing up with five men on scene so there may still be some margin that they gain by only sending four going forward. She thinks the savings they are looking at is maintenance and capital investments. If they are breaking even they are only breaking even on maintenance costs. They are not even close to breaking even on what they will have to continue to invest in it in the future. Personnel issues aside, they are not going to have enough money to replace the equipment and all the capital investments going forward. That is where she thinks this conversation has to be had.

Council Member Beverly asked if there is a time scheduled separate from this that they can talk about the ambulance related to the budget and what they want to do moving forward with it. She feels like they have two separate issues here and they need to make a decision on the loan.

Mr. Kershaw interjected that if it is not forgiving the loan they need to come up with a plan to preset to the State in three days.

Council Chair Snow said a week and a half ago she asked Mayor Wood to have Mr. Kershaw request a ninety day extension from the County Auditor. She asked if that has been done.

Mr. Kershaw answered sorry, he didn't know.

Council Member Beverly pointed out that four weeks ago the Council gave Mr. Kershaw directive to proceed with this action and Council Chair Snow ended her final words saying it makes sense for forgiving a loan within our own City.

Council Member Pender acknowledged they did but two week ago he asked for information that they have not been provided. He got it last night at 6:00 p.m. and he is not comfortable voting on a big thing like this, and even forgiving the loan at this point. He feels like if it was that big of an emergency that they needed to vote on this tonight, he would have had that information a couple of days after the last Council meeting.

Council Member Kinder said he doesn't even have what Council Member Pender is looking at. Nobody else has it.

Council Chair Snow said she didn't get what she asked for at all, period. There seems to be a communication breakdown because she had asked that Mr. Kershaw request a ninety day extension because in her discussion she acknowledged to Mayor Wood that they were going to have to have further conversation on this issue. She knew there were concerns out there amongst the Council Members so she said that should be conveyed to Mr. Kershaw. She doesn't want to feel pressured to vote on something tonight when they haven't had their concerns fairly addressed.

Council Member Beverly understands that. She saw it as two separate issues. This was resolving the loan and they were going to look further into it.

Council Member Kindred asked if it was too late to request the 90 day extension.

Mr. Kershaw answered that he didn't know. They are up in three days. If the Council doesn't act tonight there is not time for them to act again and if the State says "no" the letter said something about the threat of sales taxes. He can make a phone call tomorrow but he isn't sure what the results will be.

Council Member Siwik asked how vague of a plan can be put together that will satisfy the fact that Mr. Kershaw presented...

Mr. Kershaw advised the State wants a plan to reduce the negative fund balance. Their problem is on the audited financial statements for the past seven years they have had a negative fund balance in an enterprise fund. That is contrary to State statute. The reason why they haven't dealt with this before is because staff was under the impression that if they made some progress in reducing the amount, and what they did last year was the Council budgeted up to \$250,000 for the transfer, they only needed about \$200,000 to cover the operating loss but they did the entire \$250,000 and applied \$50,000 to reduce the loan. Staff thought that was adequate to comply with the State. They were making some effort to reduce that negative fund balance but then they wrote back and said it is not enough, they have to come up with a plan that will eliminate the negative fund balance.

Council Member Siwik said in staff's projections, they will be in the black within three or four years.

Mr. Kershaw said no, if the Council doesn't take any action on the loan and paid back \$100,000 a year it will take roughly fifteen years before it gets paid off.

Council Member Mila stated even if they had all the information they needed, and they decided they didn't want to be in the ambulance business anymore, they are still going to forgive the loan most likely. She agrees with Council Member Beverly, it is two separate issues.

Council Member Pender said when he asked for information two weeks ago and didn't get that response it told him it isn't really that big of an emergency. If it is that big of an emergency he figures it would be in Drop Box the next day, or the day after, by the first of the week it is going to be in there and it wasn't. He wants to be informed and he thinks it is important. The money they are forgiving is a loan to themselves but in reality it is taxpayers dollars. It is their money and he thinks they have to be responsible and part of that responsibility is he wants to know that information and he wanted to know it before last night at 6:00 p.m.

Mr. Kershaw apologized. The Council was wrapped up in a Granite situation and he didn't want this to get lost in Granite conversation so it was a deliberate decision that he made. He had it available late last week and could have given it to them but it appeared their attention was focused on the Monday meeting so he made it available early Tuesday morning. He doesn't know why he didn't get it until Tuesday night. If that was an error on his part, he apologized for it and won't make the error again. It wasn't to withhold it until the last minute but they were preoccupied the last week or so with another issue.

Council Chair Snow isn't hearing why she didn't get the reports she asked for.

Mr. Kershaw said he didn't know why.

Mayor Wood asked that every request the Council makes to any of her staff comes email to her and she will make sure that they are acted on immediately.

Council Chair Snow preferred a different method. Whoever is here or she needs to assign, as they orally make requests on the record in a Council meeting, somebody should be taking notes.

Mayor Wood agreed. She is referring to outside of Council meeting.

Council Chair Snow said these were requests made that were on the record in Council meetings. It gets discouraging the feeling of, "It doesn't matter if we don't get it to them, they'll be pressured and we'll just kind of force them into a vote on the night of." She can't feel good about that.

Mayor Wood feels what they are dealing with is an exhausted staff that is short staffed. Ms. Melgar has been out for surgery and the City Recorder has been running the office on his own. They are trying to juggle many different things and there are more requests from this Council. It is not their fault; staff is just trying to figure out how to deal with them internally. She will work with the City Recorder and have him report to the Chief of Staff, Charee Peck, first thing Thursday morning and they will get it to you. It is not a deliberate action. It's an exhausted staff trying to figure out how to support this Council. They are a new Council and staff is trying to figure out how to support your needs.

Council Member Pender apologized saying the Mayor has mentioned about talking to Ms. Peck with requests. Maybe in the future staff could send a request asking them to reach out to Ms. Peck. The Mayor is correct, she had brought that up and he wants to recognize that as well.

Mayor Wood said that is the reason Council Member Pender got the ambulance information because the email that came through the Mayor's Office said that he requested it. Staff can put it in the Councils Drop Box.

Council Member Kindred agreed there are two issues but also it feels like they are making a decision with incomplete information and he is wondering if there is a way to ask for the ninety day extension saying, "Here's our plan. We're probably going to forgive it but..."

Mr. Kershaw he can't say that because he can't represent the Council to the State Auditor saying, "I think the Council wants to do this, but I'm not sure." He will give them a call and see if they can get an extension. He just doesn't know what to do if they deny that. He can't guarantee anything.

Council Member Mila asked if they could call a special meeting to address those things.

Council Chair Snow said they could. She suggested Mr. Kershaw represent to the State Auditor that he has a Council that has debated this more than anticipated and ask for an extension.

Council Member Kindred asked if that was enough guidance.

Mr. Kershaw said he just needs to know crystal clear what this Council wants to do with this issue.

Council Member Kindred asked if the Council could take to the State Auditor that the Council is seriously considering to forgive the loan but they need more consideration. He is trying to figure out a way around this tough situation they are in right now.

Mr. Kershaw said he would be happy to make that phone call tomorrow and convey that feeling from the Council.

Council Member Siwik asked Mr. Kershaw to explain what happens if they don't forgive the loan, other than the Auditor gets upset.

Mr. Kershaw said to throw the loan out right now and not consider that as an option. The State Auditor said specifically, "We noticed a negative fund balance amount in the Ambulance Services Fund. State law requires local government entities to include in subsequent budget amounts to reduce and/or eliminate negative fund balances. Please submit a correction action plan to our office via... detailing how the entity is ensuring this negative fund balance is

being reduced and/or eliminated.” Staff came to the Council with the easiest option. It is unlikely it will ever get repaid. It is the Council forgiving itself or this Council forgiving a prior one this loan. They are on both sides of the transaction. That is what staff thought was the easiest for the Council to do. If it is not acceptable another option is the Council could say they will increase the appropriation every year from the Capital Fund into the Ambulance Services Fund by an amount of \$100,000 more than what the operating loss will be. That \$100,000 will be applied to the negative fund balance and in about six or seven years the negative fund balance will be eliminated. But, they are committing themselves to an extra \$100,000 a year going over into the Ambulance Fund. He didn’t present that as a serious option because he thought the loan situation would be better but the Council can go down that road. The State just wants a plan to reduce it or eliminate it.

Council Member Siwik confirmed by forgiving the loan they are not creating a new hole that has to be filled by other dollars somewhere else.

Mr. Kershaw said they won’t. They will not notice it this at the budget level. It will be on the financial statements as a balance sheet account but when they are presented a budget it will have no impact on it at all.

Council Member Siwik said if they go with the other option they will see an impact from the Capital Fund, be it one year \$1.5, or fifteen years \$100,000. That is going to create a hole.

Mr. Kershaw agreed saying there will be \$100,000 less in the Capital Fund to do other projects with.

Council Member Siwik asked if there were any other options.

Mr. Kershaw advised the only other possibility is if the Ambulance Fund breaks wildly into the black in this coming year which is unlikely. Those are the three possibilities, two of them are reasonable, the one he is not sure. The State wants the negative fund balance gone.

Council Member Siwik asked if they want it gone or do they just want it addressed this year.

Mr. Kershaw answered that they want a “correction action plan” which means by submitting that the Council is committing either a single act, like the loan forgiveness, or they are going to be committing for five or six years on the gradual transfers over and paying it down.

Council Member Siwik asked if the average of ambulances out on the street could be whittled down so there is a little bit of savings that could be realized every year and trim the Ambulance Fund so the State could see with maybe a little bit of trimming it could be eliminated that way. Is that an option?

Chief Morris advised that on the backside of that, every time he doesn't have the second ambulance in service they lose transportation revenue because then they have UFA and Gold Cross coming in taking transports out of the City.

Council Member Mila asked, with all the new development coming in, how long it will be before they need the third ambulance.

Chief Morris explained that he gets a page every time they are empty and he gets that page way more often than he likes to see it. They are out of resources quite often in the City now.

Council Member Pender asked how many personnel they have right now for ambulances only. Do they have a certain number just for the ambulance?

Chief Morris said when they started the Ambulance Fund there were twelve positions.

Mr. Kershaw advised that twelve positions are budgeted in the Ambulance Service Fund.

Council Member Pender asked how many bodies would be needed to put a fourth person on a truck.

Chief Morris answered that to cover vacation and leave time he needs about 2.3 people to cover that. He has three shifts so he would need about twelve people. He runs an A, B, C shift so he needs to staff each of the shifts times two because there are two ambulances.

Council Member Mila asked if they went with option two so the loan doesn't completely go away, could they change their minds and forgive it later.

Mr. Kershaw felt sure they could. All the State is interested in is that it is gone. If it goes fast he imagines they would be more agreeable to that.

Council Member Rapp asked what the consequences are if the Council doesn't pass this or they don't give any answer.

Mr. Kershaw read the following from the Auditor's letter: "Failure to properly correct all the issues noted above within 60 days of this letter could result in state-allocated funds and property taxes being withheld."

Council Chair Snow said she is comfortable with requesting a 90 day extension. Her experience with government entities is they are not typically completely unreasonable if they said they need more time. She thinks it is reasonable and likely to succeed. On the other hand, she feels Council member Mila's statement has some merit, they could continue the discussion to later and if they decide to get out of the ambulance business and go another route they would still end up forgiving the loan. She is comfortable with either

of those routes at this point.

Council Member Beverly said she is not comfortable taking a chance that they could be impacted on property taxes or any revenue from the State or any kind of disciplinary action there. She suggested a straw poll of the Council.

Council Member Rapp felt they ought to forgive the loan but then plan on looking at whether or not the ambulance service should be continued. He thinks there are flaws with it. With the State itself saying that there is a problem with it, having a negative fund balance it obviously says something is wrong. But, he thinks they should not take a chance of losing their tax money. He is disappointed that they didn't get the information they requested but there is not much they can do about that now and risking their taxes would be far worse.

Council Member Mila agreed with Council Member Rapp saying she leaned towards forgiving the loan. She has done a lot of research into the ambulance business, not just in South Salt Lake, but other cities. She doesn't know that getting out of the business is the right thing to do. There is not any ambulance business out there rolling in the dough for the City. They are a service for the citizens. It's a conversation to have. She wants to make the right decision for everyone involved but as of now she would say forgive the loan.

Council Member Siwik said he asked the question about the trimmings and expenses within the ambulance department and as he has thought about it, it is probably the least of the three that he supports. He agreed with Council Chair Snow to request 90 days and look at it. He doesn't want to pull money out of capital to do it. Then it is gone. In the end, forgiveness might be the only option but it sends a horrible message to the community that they have to forgive \$1.5 million dollars. Right now he'd say go for the ninety days and then see what they do.

Council Member Pender agreed with what Council Member Mila brought up as well. He is disappointed. He doesn't know if he really agrees and he would like to do a little research into the numbers. It doesn't make sense that they have twelve personnel for the ambulance that in order to staff one more per truck they would still need twelve. That doesn't add up to him. He also agrees it is not worth taking the chance of what the effects can be. The reality is if Mr. Kershaw gets the answer "no" they will have to call a special meeting and rush right back in and essentially they are in the same spot as they are tonight. At this point he is in support of forgiving the loan however this is one of the things on top of his agenda. He agrees, it is a service that they provide however, he thinks they need to look at what cost. At what cost are they doing that? Do they need to look elsewhere as far as cutting some of those costs down by having a UFA or West Valley or somebody partner with them like they do with some of the other things they do in the City because it does cut costs down. He would at least like to have a conversation about that. He is concerned because they don't have anything for the capital. His opinion is he

tends to think those trucks need to be replaced sooner than twelve years. He sees a lot of vehicles in emergency services and they seem to be rotated a lot sooner. He doesn't think they should be driving those older vehicles. If they are depending on them, they are depending on them. If they are going to commit to it then he thinks they need to step up to the plate and support it if that is the direction they go but, he certainly wants to look at it. He would agree with forgiving the loan at this time.

Council Member Kindred agrees with Council Members Pender and Rapp. He doesn't want to take a gamble on this and have the State come back and say no and they are up a creek. That is not where they want to be. It's is unfortunate they are in this situation but he doesn't see another option. They are out of time and it is too bad they've gotten to this point but he thinks Council Member Rapp is exactly right, it is what it is. He is in favor of forgiving it, absent information, but that is where they are.

Council Chair Snow is fine going that direction and to go ahead and forgive it but, she is committed to having the larger conversation going forward, getting the information they've requested and so they will put it back on the agenda, particularly in the budget cycle they are coming to.

Council Member Beverly is also in favor of forgiving the loan. She just keeps thinking of this analogy with the Ambulance Fund, that this is a big marathon that turned into this terrible, ugly thing but she feels like they are nearing the end and they need to carefully look at it.

Council Member Siwik asked what good the 90 days going to do them. There is pretty much consensus to forgive it. If Mr. Kershaw can't get 90 days then what is the point? The State gives them ninety days and ninety days from now they come back and say they need to forgive it and they could have done it tonight.

Council Member Siwik moved to approve this resolution.

MOTION Shane Siwik

SECOND Portia Mila

Roll Call Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

The Council moved to item 3 on Unfinished Council Business.

3. **A resolution accepting withdrawal of petition to vacate public right of way by Les Olson and corresponding deed.** City Attorney, Lyn Creswell, advised that across the street from Les Olson is Mr. Stillman. Mr. Creswell talked to his attorney today who told him Mr. Stillman would not be here and does not have a position in opposition to this, but he may come back to the Council with his own street closure petition. The history was a request for a street closure by Les Olson at a time when they were intending to expand their warehouse. That expansion did not occur. In December 2007 the City Council took action that legal counsel now believes was incomplete. It did not follow the City ordinance or the State law. So, in the counsel's view, as a matter of law it is a pending, incomplete action. As a pending, incomplete action by the City, a petitioner has the opportunity to withdraw. Counsel met with the Les Olson grandchildren and their attorney and they requested a petition withdraw. What counsel is asking them tonight is to accept the withdraw petition and accept the rededication, or the deeding, of the property that they previously deed by plat to Les Olson.

Council Chair Snow moved to adopt this resolution.

MOTION Debbie Snow

SECOND Kevin Rapp

Roll Call Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

NEW COUNCIL BUSINESS

1. **A resolution approving and authorizing the execution of the interlocal cooperation agreement with Salt Lake County allowing for the use of State revenue to conduct feasibility study for an arts hub in Downtown South Salt Lake City.** Urban Design Director, Sharen Hauri, explained this is for \$20,000 to go towards and arts district/arts hub study. It is a very open ended study. Staff would like to hire a consultant to process a very open process of getting ideas out there and then pinning down a few projects they would like to do. They may or may not be City projects. They might be public projects by businesses or they might be things that are in the City's open spaces. Until they get through it they won't know what they are. This would get them through a four to five month process to do the study, put results out there and make an actual plan.

Council Member Rapp asked if there are matching funds.

Ms. Hauri said they did commit matching funds in last year's budget to do it.

Council Member Pender asked if the study will get them where they need to be or will they come back at a later time needing more money.

Ms. Hauri said this will take care of it.

Council Member Rapp moved to suspend the rules.

MOTION Kevin Rapp

SECOND Portia Mila

Voice Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

Council Member Rapp moved to approve this resolution.

MOTION Kevin Rapp

SECOND Ben Pender

Roll Call Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

Council Chair Snow moved to adjourn to Closed Meeting to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual pursuant to Utah Code Annotated, 1953 as amended, Sec. 52-4-204 and Sec. 52-4-205(1)(a).

MOTION Debbie Snow

SECOND Shane Siwik

Voice Vote:

Beverly Aye

Kindred Aye

Mila Aye

Pender Aye

Rapp Aye

Siwik Aye

Snow Aye

The Council adjourned to Closed Meeting at 10:54 p.m.

In accordance with U.C.A. Section 52-4-206, 1953, as amended by Chapter 180, Laws of Utah, 1987, as amended, a recording was made of the Closed Meeting held to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual. Such recordings are protected records under Title 63, Chapter 2, Government Records Access and Management Act.


Closed Meeting ended at 11:24 p.m. Council Members returned to Council Chambers.

Council Member Rapp moved to adjourn.

MOTION	Kevin Rapp
SECOND	Shane Siwik
<u>Voice Vote:</u>	
Beverly	Aye
Kindred	Aye
Mila	Aye
Pender	Aye
Rapp	Aye
Siwik	Aye
Snow	Aye

The meeting adjourned at 11:24 p.m.


Deborah A. Snow, Council Chair


Craig D. Burton, City Recorder

March 23, 2016

CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING
LIST OF ATTENDEES

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>REPRESENTING</u>
Hari Koirala	367 E Terra Sol Dr.	Myself.
Hari Subba	3691 S. 300 E	Myself.
Ryan Cram	2835 S Main St	Police
Jim Anderson	2835 S Main St	Police
Heather Porter	2456 S. West Temple	Performance Audio
Darrin Porter	2456 S. West Temple	Performance Audio
Alan Thiels	171 E Violas	SELF
Kevin Loder	589 Garden Ave	Self
Alexandra Ortiz	2160 S.W. Temple	Self alex@shadesofpale.com
DUSTIN SWAN	177 W 2100 S.	PARKER COOK DESIGN
MICHAEL AMPUTA	1231 LYMAN CT	SALT LAKE CITY BICYCLE COURIER
Chad Massell	3537 S 500 E	SELF
Travis Masson	3537 S 500 E	SELF
Garrett H. Poole	223 E. Winslow Ave	Self
Jim Davis	36 West 2700 South	Self
FRANCIS X LILLY	220 E MORRIS AVE	SSL CED
Mike Florence	" "	" "
Megan Townsend		Wasatch Front Regional Council
Dennis Ray	220 E. Morris Ave	SSL Eng.
Ron Morris	2600 So. Main	FIRE
Vilia Van Tonder	118 W 8710 S Sandy, UT	Chamber (Sandy Self)
GARY BIRDALL	220 E. MORRIS	SSL Chamber
Charles Lee	220 E Morris	Mayor's staff

March 23, 2016

CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING LIST OF ATTENDEES

[illegible]