**Salt Lake County Council**

Committee of the Whole

& Budget Workshop

~Minutes~

Tuesday, November 10, 2015

10:12:43 AM

Committee Members

Present: Jennifer Wilson

 Jim Bradley

 Arlyn Bradshaw

 Michael Jensen

 Aimee Newton

 Max Burdick[[1]](#footnote-1)

 Steven DeBry

 Richard Snelgrove, Chair

Excused: Sam Granato

Citizen Public Input (10:12:50 AM)

 No one appeared for Citizen Public Input.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

BUDGET WORKSHOP

Department Budgets

*Human Services Department*  (10:17:48 AM)

 **Ms. Karen Crompton**, Director, Human Services Department, delivered a PowerPoint presentation highlighting the operating budgets for each of the divisions of the Human Services Department, and the new requests, including capital requests. She also reviewed the expected outcomes for 2016 and the 2015 accomplishments. (PowerPoint presentation is on file in the Council Clerk’s Office.)

 **2015 Budget 2016 Proposed Change**

**Aging and Adult Services**

 **­– Org. 23000000** **$ 19,282,489 $ 19,725,425 2.30 percent**

**Behavioral Health Services**

 **– Org. 22500000 $109,195,730 $111,550,669 2.16 percent**

**Criminal Justice Services**

 **– ­Org. 24000000 $ 11,244,483 $ 11,478,633 2.08 percent**

**USU Extension Services**

 **– Org. 23500000 $ 743,021 $ 743,021 0.00 percent**

**Health Department**

 **– Org. 21500000 $ 38,478,015 $ 41,481,550 7.81 percent**

 **– Org. 21509900 $ 280,792 $ 300,288 6.94 percent**

**Indigent Legal Services**

 **– Org. 29000000 $ 17,471,803 $ 18,744,135 7.28 percent**

**Library Services**

 **– Org. 25000000 $ 38,652,716 $ 38,902,836 0.65 percent**

 **– Org. 25009900 $ 1,821,568 $ 4,033,593 121.44 percent**

**Youth Services**

 **– Org. 21000000 $ 11,418,722 $12,374,183 8.37 percent**

 **Council Member Newton** stated the Youth Services Division funds an after-school program and so does the Office of Regional Development. The County should look at funding these holistically, and have the funds funnel under one source to weigh where they are making an impact.

 **Ms. Crompton** stated the Human Services’ education coordinator has been gathering information about all the funding sources that support education in some way in Salt Lake County. They will be looking at where dollars are spent, how much that is, and how education can be done better. The Human Services Division hoped to find a way to help facilitate others to be the direct grant recipients, and work with them longer term.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated he appreciated the Human Services Division looking into other transportation for wellness for greater efficiency and lower costs.

 **Ms. Crompton** stated the Human Services Division is looking at alternatives for transporting seniors and refugee participants, and parking fleet cars in remote sites to save money.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated the $2 million to purchase land in Kearns for a library is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to get things right for Kearns. Kearns has never really had a town center. He suggested thinking more globally to develop a community gathering place, such as co-locating with the Unified Police Department near the Olympic Oval or library.

 **Ms. Crompton** stated that is what the residents of Kearns would like, but that is not in this budget. The Office of Township Services is identifying available land and hoped to purchase land for the library early next year.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Amendment to Comcast Cable Television Franchise Ordinance (10:13:33 AM)

 **Mr. Patrick Leary**, Township Executive, Office of Township Services, introduced an ordinance amending the Comcast Cable Television Franchise agreement. This is to mirror the franchise agreement the County did with Century Link earlier this year.

**Council Member Newton** asked if there was any impact to the County.

 **Mr. Adam Miller**, Deputy District Attorney, stated there is no material impact; a lot of the ordinance is technical.

 **Council Member Bradley** stated the franchise agreement says the County will be responsible for the costs of having a line buried if it is for aesthetic purposes.

 **Mr. Kirk Nord**, representative, Comcast, stated the County would be responsible for the costs of relocating or burying a line for aesthetic purposes only. If the request is due to a public safety issue or for any other kind of legal ramification, Comcast would be responsible for the costs.

 **Council Member Wilson, seconded by Council Member Newton, moved to approve the ordinance and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Cultural Core Master Plan – Funding Request (10:48:25 AM)

 **Ms. Holly Yocom**, Associate Director, Community Services Department, reviewed a proposal to fund a request-for-proposal (RFP) in order to create the Cultural Core Master Plan. Funding for the RFP would not exceed $200,000, up to $100,000 of which will come from the County. Salt Lake City will be asked to contribute up to $100,000.

 **Council Member Newton** stated she wanted to get through the budget process and look at cultural core overall before committing to an RFP. The County has already allocated $500,000 to cultural core. She asked if the $100,000 would come from the funding that has already been allocated.

 **Ms. Yocom** stated yes. In 2014 and 2015, the County allocated $500,000 total for the Cultural Core Master Plan. Salt Lake City allocated $1 million.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated Salt Lake County paid a lot of time and money into the Salt Palace to make sure that facility stayed competitive, and invested significantly in the Capitol Theatre and the Utah Performing Arts Center. What the County has not yet done is cooperate with Salt Lake City to determine where and how to leverage those dollars better. This will enable the two entities to expand revenues and find holes within the system that need to be filled. Now is the time to create a Cultural Core Master Plan due to the County’s investments. Delaying would be to the County’s detriment.

 **Council Member Bradshaw** stated the County has entered into an interlocal agreement with Salt Lake City to do this, and has been escrowing funds for it. It has made a commitment to the city, and would look like a bad partner if it backed out now.

 **Council Member Wilson, seconded by Council Member Bradshaw, moved to approve the allocation for the RFP for the Cultural Core Master Plan.**

 **Council Member Newton** stated since the funding for the RFP is coming from already existing funds, not new funds, she was comfortable supporting this.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated culture in the community is important and the community is richer because of the arts and athletics. However, the timing for this is unwise. The County would be spending $100,000 today not knowing if there is support for the cultural core. This is not a case of prudent use of taxpayer dollars. There are many requests in the budget, and other pressing needs rank higher than this. Therefore, he would be voting no on this request.

 **Council Member Wilson, seconded by Council Member Bradshaw, moved to approve the allocation for the RFP for the Cultural Core Master Plan. The motion passed 5 to 1, with Council Member Snelgrove voting in opposition. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

 **Mr. David Gee**, Cultural Core Budget Committee Member, urged the Council to look at the Cultural Core Master Plan once it is done. That way, it can see what is planned before launching into any new commitments.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Review of New Hires (10:58:33 AM)

 **Mr. Brad Kendrick**, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the following proposed hires:

Agency Position

*Information Services Division* Mainframe Systems Analyst 34/36/38

*Mayor’s Finance* Director of Accounting and Operations 40

*Sheriff’s Office* Jail Clerk 15

*Youth Services Division* Family Therapist 26/28

 Food and Nutrition Coordinator 19 (part-time)

 2 Youth Worker 21 (part-time)

*Salt Lake County Health Department*  Community Health Service 33

 Infectious Diseases Bureau Manager 35

 Public Health Nutritionist 23

 Tobacco Prevention Program Manager 29

 Community Development Manager 31

 Injury Prevention Program Manager 29

 Office Specialist 15

*Office of Township Services* Associate Director, Township Engineering 40

 Structural Engineer 37

*Animal Services Division* Animal Control Officer 21

*Public Works Department* District Worker – Road Maintenance 15

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Interim Budget Adjustment (10:58:54 AM)

 **Mr. Brad Kendrick**, Budget and Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the following interim budget adjustment request, which has been placed on the Council agenda for formal consideration:

*Aging and Adult Services Division*

 Requesting an interim budget adjustment to reclassify the Business Analyst 33 position to an Assistant Manager 29 position for the Meals on Wheels program, effective November 1, 2015.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Wilson, moved to approve the request and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Resolution (10:59:33 AM)

 **Council Member Bradley**, Chair, Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area (SLVLESA)requested approval of a resolution acknowledging receipt and expressing approval of the 2016 tentative budget of SLVLESA and the Law Enforcement Service Plan for the areas within SLVLESA. Each member of the Unified Police Department (UPD) district is required to get Council approval from each participating city.

 **Ms. Kerri Nakamura**, Policy Innovation Lab, University of Utah, stated Sheriff Jim Winder contracted with the University of Utah Policy Innovation Lab to do a 5-year planning process with SLVLESA. All municipal councils will be involved in that process. Council Members will be asked in January to spend time thinking through the priorities for SLVLESA.

 **Mr. Andrew Keddington**, Administrator, SLVLESA, stated the total budget for the UPD contract went up about 3.7 percent, primarily due to inflationary compensation increases. SLVLESA will not raise property taxes this year, and was able to avoid that because of a fund balance contribution. It may look into a possible tax increase in 2017 or 2018. Service levels within the district are considered flat.

 **Ms. Nakamura** stated this budget is a little bit of a decrease because SLVLESA is using the new growth revenue to just tread water. Inflationary increases are funded out of the fund balance, but that cannot be done forever. That will be part of the five-year planning process.

 **Council Member Newton** asked why SLVLESA was proposing a 2 percent increase for inflationary compensation. According to the Social Security Administration, there is no cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) this year.

 **Mr. Scott Jurges**, Chief Financial Officer, Unified Police Department, and Treasurer, Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area, stated earlier in the year, SLVLESA did a comparison of police salaries along the Wasatch Front and discovered several other departments’ salaries were going up significantly. SLVLESA police salaries have fallen behind. This proposal is to increase salaries so they are not so far behind, and to bring them in line with market in next year’s budget. Due to lower salaries and because of the perception of police lately, it has been difficult to recruit. Right now, SLVESA is down about 20 FTEs.

 **Council Member Newton** stated so it is a market adjustment more than a cost-of-living adjustment.

 **Ms. Nakamura** stated SLVLESA’s board made the policy decision to keep salaries at an average range.

 **Council Member Newton** stated SLVLESA needs to be competitive with market, so this market adjustment makes sense.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** asked how open allocations were typically filled.

 **Mr. Jurges** stated the UPD is going to hire about 16 people from other police agencies in upcoming weeks. It is also looking at individuals who are law enforcement certified, but not currently working in law enforcement. Then, it is looking to sponsor individuals who have not yet been through Post training, but are in the process. However, it will take about eight months to get them to where they can benefit the UPD.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Newton, moved to approve the resolution and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

BUDGET WORKSHOP

Department Budgets – continued

*Community Services Department* (1:24:45 PM)

 **Ms. Erin Litvack**, Director, Community Services Department, delivered a PowerPoint presentation reviewing the deferred capital projects’ funding sources, operational funding sources, a funding snapshot, and the General and TRCC Funds. She also presented the proposed budget for each of the divisions as follows: (PowerPoint presentation is on file in the Council Clerk’s Office.)

 **2015 Budget 2016 Proposed Change**

**Clark Planetarium**

 **– Org. 35100000 $ 6,603,605 $ 6,537,812 - 1.00 percent**

 **– Org. 35109900 $ 3,025,681 $ 2,467,849 -18.44 percent**

**Zoo, Arts, & Parks**

 **– Org. 35910000 $11,061,972 $11,622,251 5.36 percent**

 **– Org. 35920000 $ 2,092,987 $ 2,146,236 2.54 percent**

 **– Org. 35930000 $ 2,744,274 $ 2,891,457 5.36 percent**

 **– Org. 35940000 $ 698,480 $ 701,278 0.40 percent**

 **– Org. 35950000 $ 1,484,065 $ 1,463,050 - 1.42 percent**

**Parks**

 **– Org. 36300000 $14,379,058 $15,078,569 4.86 percent**

**Recreation**

 **– Org. 36400000 $32,171,896 $33,507,080 4.15 percent**

**Millcreek Canyon**

 **– Org. 36200000 $ 475,535 $ 500,000 0.00 percent**

**Golf Enterprise**

 **– Org. 38200000 $ 7,511,949 $ 7,523,157 0.15 percent**

**Open Space**

 **– Org. 10800000 $ 374,995 $ 647,201 72.59 percent**

**Equestrian Park**

 **– Org. 35600000 $ 722,661 $ 369,712 -48.84 percent**

 **– Org. 35609900 $ 672 $ 104,675 15,476.19 percent**

**Center for the Arts**

 **– Org. 35000000 $ 6,557,591 $ 7,106,848 8.38 percent**

 **– Org. 35009900 $ 4,494,375 $ 2,268.204 -49.53 percent**

 **– Org. 34000000 $0 $ 1,395,935**

**Salt Palace**

 **– Org. 35500000 $22,595,639 $16,232,458 -28.16 percent**

 **– Org. 35509900 $ 1,664,599 $ 1,747,674 4.99 percent**

**South Towne**

 **– Org. 35520000 $ 4,207,537 $ 4,165,165 - 1.01 percent**

 **– Org. 35529900 $ 722,661 $ 369,712 -48.84 percent**

**Visitor Promotion**

 **– Org. 36000000 $ 8,656,248 $ 9,661,995 11.62 percent**

 **– Org. 36010000 $ 3,978,444 $ 3,923,444 - 1.38 percent**

*TRCC Recommendations*

 **Ms. Litvack** stated the following requests were recommended for one-time TRCC funding:

* 36th National Veterans Wheelchair Games $ 80,000
* U of U Basketball Training Facility $1,000,000 (last year)
* Cottonwood Heights Ice Center (roof repair) $ 125,000
* Holladay City Park Development $ 250,000
* Midvale City Park Development $ 665,479
* Utah Trails $ 100,000
* West Valley Utah Cultural Celebration Center $ 200,000

Funding for the Days of ’47 Rodeo was inadvertently left off the list. It should be included for TRCC funding in the amount of $75,000. This contribution was intended to be an ongoing and started last year.

Included in the Zoo, Arts, and Parks (ZAP) budget is a $5,000 initiative to garner support for the ZAP program. This initiative will gather volunteers from the different arts and cultural organizations that are supported through this fund. They will be asked to work with the County on increasing public awareness of ZAP. Everything from blog to twitter feeds will be used to educate the community about the benefits of ZAP and the benefits the community receives from the program.

 **Council Member Wilson** asked why this initiative was necessary given it passed with such strong community support.

 **Ms. Litvack** stated there is another bond election coming soon, so the benefits of ZAP need to be in everyone’s mind. There is also a growing demand from the public to understand what tax dollars are doing for them. This is an opportunity to highlight not only what ZAP does for the citizens of Salt Lake County, but what the cultural organizations do for the County.

 **Council Member Newton** asked if the Community Services Department had considered hiring a private contractor to take care of the maintenance of County parks instead of using FTEs and spending money to purchase equipment.

 **Ms. Litvack** stated no; the Parks and Recreation Division does an efficient job. The County is still subsidizing the Equestrian Park at a cost close to $1 million a year. There are four options that are being considered to help with this problem: 1) leave it as is; 2) reduce the park size, program focus, and service levels; 3) expand the use to become competitive as an equestrian facility in the western region; or 4) repurpose the equestrian park and transform it into a regional park with a field house component.

 **Council Member Newton** stated the discussion relating to the Equestrian Park needs to come before the Council for further discussion at a time other than during the budget. She suggested the Council look into this issue sometime in March of next year.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated the options relating to the Equestrian Center needs to be thoroughly vetted before the budget session next year. She asked the Community Services Department to expedite this issue.

 **Ms. Litvack** stated included in the Center for the Arts budget is $15,000 to photograph and create a website specific to the art collection held by Salt Lake County. This is a one-time cost to get the Salt Lake County art collection online.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated she is interested in the value of the art collection. She worried that by rolling out a website showing Salt Lake County’s art collection, that it would need to be more cognizant about security. Maybe the County could provide tours of its art collection.

 **Ms. Litvack** stated an assessment was done a number of years ago, but it warrants another look. Tours are available upon request.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated at a later date, she would like to discuss funding for trails. Currently, $100,000 is budgeted from the TRT Fund for this purpose. She was not sure that amount or that fund was sustainable. The Council needs to determine how it wants to continue funding trails in the long term.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Administrative Services (2:18:22 PM)

 **Ms. Sarah Brenna**, Director, Administrative Services Department, delivered a PowerPoint presentation regarding the Administrative Services’ 2016 proposed budget. She reviewed revenue sources, as well as budgets and new requests for Contracts & Procurement, Fleet Management, Human Resources, Information Services, Facilities Services, the Metro 311 System, and the elimination of Volunteer Services. (PowerPoint presentation is on file in the Council Clerk’s Office.)

 **2015 Budget 2015 Proposed Change**

**Contracts & Procurement**

* Org. 610000 $ 1,081,475 $ 1,119,983 3.56 percent

**Facilities Management**

* Org. 631000 $ 637,101 $ 703,763 10.46 percent
* Org. 630000 $11,724,563 $11,674,289 -0.43 percent

**Government Center Ops.**

* Org. 690000 $ 4,425,664 $ 4,517,810 2.08 percent

**Printing**

* Org. 620000 $ 43,165 $ 43,165 0 percent

**Fleet Management**

* Org. 680000 $23,188,250 $20,524,683 -11.49 percent

**Human Resources**

* Org. 615000 $ 3,360,816 $ 3,296,253 -1.92 percent

**Information Services**

* Org. 605000 $15,741,242 $17,213,609 9.35 percent
* Org. 635000 $ 4,583,388 $ 4,483,304 -2.18 percent

 **Council Member Snelgrove** asked if the increase in purchase card rebates was due to increased usage or the search for a bank with better rebate rates.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated both.

 **Mr. Jason Yocom**, Director, Contracts & Procurement Division, stated the County uses the State contract for the purchase card so Contract and Procurement is confident the County is getting the best rate available. The County now has 537 cards throughout the County, which accounts for the increased usage.

 **Council Member Jensen** asked if the savings from the proposed decrease in tuition reimbursement was based on historic numbers.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated the estimated savings is $147,000. That figure is based on a study of how many people were enrolled in the system and how many used more or less than $3,000.

 **Council Member DeBry** asked how often the proposed consulting company would do a security assessment of Information Services (I.S.) for the County.

 **Ms. Beth Overhuls**, Chief Information Officer, stated the assessment would be done once per year. The company would identify vulnerabilities and gaps in the system’s security so the County could mitigate them. That would be done by a local company, and would be vetted through the usual process.

 **Council Member Wilson** asked about the discontinuation of BRASS.

 **Mr. Darrin Casper**, Chief Financial Officer, Mayor’s Office, stated originally the County did not renew the license agreement for BRASS, but it is now necessary to continue using the system for another year. It was going to be replaced with PeopleSoft, but the budgeting module, which was Hyperion, is not implementable next year.

 **Council Member Wilson** asked if this meant Information Services was looking for a new solution, or if it was going to make Hyperion work.

 **Mr. Casper** stated the Information Services Division is open to fresh solutions; but amount of money budgeted for Hyperion was much less than the expected cost of the implementation. Therefore, the only alternative for next year is to use BRASS, and that requires a license agreement.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated it was important to find a company to host the PeopleSoft software in order to stabilize the current system.

 **Council Member Jensen** asked if the County was able to maintain the assets from the existing work done for PeopleSoft.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated yes. The County has the finance, accounting, human resources, and payroll systems up and running.

 **Ms. Overhuls** stated PeopleSoft comes with a number of patches and upgrades that need to be made. Right now 440 hours of patches need to be done before the year ends, and the County has less than 150 hours of resources available to do that. Therefore, it must go out to consulting services for help. This will also be an ongoing need, and hiring a hosting service will be necessary. This would be their area of expertise and they would man the PeopleSoft system.

 **Council Member Newton** stated because I.S. personnel needs are not being met they have to go out for a lot of consulting. That has to be a huge impact to their operations budget.

 **Ms. Overhuls** stated they are using personnel under expend to pay for the consultants.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated projects take longer, the staff is working hard, and everyone is very burned out. It is also very difficult to hire people with the required skill set.

 **Ms. Overhuls** stated the latest opening I.S. had was open for 50 days with no viable candidates. She will be back next week asking for a budget adjustment to hire a consultant to do the PeopleSoft patches before the end of the year. She continued the PowerPoint presentation regarding other critical requests from I.S.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated these other critical requests were not included in the Mayor’s recommended budget, but the Council has asked to see them.

 **Mayor Ben McAdams** stated these are critical projects for which the County does not currently have funding. He proposed a flat budget so these needs would have to be delayed.

 **Council Member Wilson** asked about the Socrata software and possible fee increases.

 **Ms. Overhuls** stated the State has indicated that the County could store data on its Socrata system through 2016 for free. After that, adding new data will incur a cost, but the State is unable to say what that cost will be.

 **Ms. Megan Hillyard**, Associate Director, Administrative Services Department, continued the PowerPoint presentation and updated the Council on the Metro 311 System.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated this funding is coming from the Regional Development Fund. This fund was created three years ago by closing RDA loopholes. At that time, it was agreed that the money would be spent on regional issues.

 **Council Member Newton** asked if other projects could be funded by the Regional Development Fund in order to free up General Fund money.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated that would be done with the budget, but the direction for the Regional Development Fund projects are that they be time limited.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated he is yet to be sold on the 311 project. This is using 1990’s technology to address a 2016 issue. Now it has evolved beyond 311 and has an app component. He asked how the County could ever compete with Google or Yahoo for information. He would be in favor of the role Adobe takes by offering a prize to college students who come up with the best app.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated she was on the same page as Council Member Snelgrove on this matter.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated there are about 75 metro areas around the U.S. that use 311 and they are very highly rated. Provo is one of them, and there is no service more valued by the citizens of Provo than its 311 service.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated having heard the I.S. needs and knowing there are major ticket items in this budget, this would not raise to the highest level of importance in this budget year.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated the goal is not to compete with Google or Yahoo. The County receives 1.8 million calls annually and around 60 percent are transferred to other places. This service will involve the other 16 cities in the County. It will start with a data driven platform, not a call center. This will save time and headaches in the future.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated County employees would not be sending people to the 311 site so it is a matter of everyone needing to know how to use the system.

 **Ms. Hillyard** stated New Orleans started a 311 system after Hurricane Katrina with FEMA money. When the FEMA money ran out, 311 went down and citizens demanded that it go back up because they were so used to having one repository to go to. In addition, they will be able to capture all kinds of valuable information from these calls. Others have used this information to drive their business processes.

 **Council Member Snelgrove** stated the argument is not lost on him. However, his constituents are not calling him or complaining about not being able get County information. This is a solution in search of an imaginary problem.

 **Council Member Jensen** stated currently technology doubles every two years. However, in 2020, that will be every 72 hours. From that perspective, 311 will be outdated within a year or two. Big cities are going to apps. The private sector will fix this in a couple of years.

 **Mayor McAdams** stated the County’s approach is a mobile app, a website, social media, and interactive voice. There are still a lot of people who use telephones. The County is two years into the 311 process, along with its city partners. City representatives should be heard before abandoning the project.

 **Council Member Newton** stated she was concerned about the projects coming to the Council that only have startup costs, but no ongoing costs. That is frustrating to her and she did not want to start down that path during budget time, where the Council approves small amounts of money and finds out years later how much the ongoing cost is going to be. The more information agencies could give the Council about their projects, the better it would be. The Council needs to weight new projects against ongoing priorities.

 **Council Member Wilson** stated she feels better informed now. Salt Lake County works to be a visionary government and find solutions. Her biggest concern was changing technology. If she had to make a decision, it would be to delay a year.

 **Ms. Brenna** stated she came to the County five years ago and saw the outdated state of technology. Everyone wants to improve County technology and 311 is a stepping stone for that. Web integration and applications are part of the effort to avoid phone calls as much as possible. This approach is futuristic.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Capital Improvements (3:34:51 PM)

 **Mr. Tyson Kyhl**, Associate Director, Facilities Management Division, delivered a PowerPoint presentation defining terms relating to capital projects. He stated what has previously been referred to as capital improvement projects will now be referred to as capital renewal and replacement projects. (The PowerPoint presentation is on file in the Council Clerk’s Office.)

 **Mr. Rory Payne**, Director, Facilities Management Division, continued the PowerPoint presentation by submitting the 2016 capital project summary data, which showed the 148 new projects approved for funding and the135 rebudgeted projects. The total cost of the capital projects is $51,048,266. The costs do not include projects that have been bonded for or building type projects. The PowerPoint also showed the funding source for the capital projects as well as the General Fund projects recommended for funding.

 **Council Member Newton** asked if the County owned the Emergency Operations Center.

 **Ms. Sarah Brenna**, Director, Administrative Services Department, stated the County owns the building and rents part of it out to the Unified Fire Authority for its administrative offices.

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

***CONSENT AGENDA*** (11:11:50 AM)

Real Estate Matter

The Council reviewed the following real estate matter, which has been placed on the 4:00 p.m. Council agenda for formal consideration:

*Set Hearing for December 8, 2015*

 To consider conveying property located at 12866 South 1830 West (Parcel No. 27-34-180-009) to Riverton City to rectify a mistaken conveyance in 1997, and to consider an interlocal agreement, quitclaim deed, and perpetual easement, wherein Riverton City would grant Salt Lake County the use of the property for parking at the Riverton Library for no fee:

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Bradley, moved to approve the real estate matter and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

Resolution & Interlocal Agreement

The Council reviewed the following resolution, which has been placed on the 4:00 p.m. Council agenda for formal consideration:

*Resolution, Interlocal Agreement, and Perpetual Access Easement*

 *West Jordan City to* grant Salt Lake County a perpetual access easement at approximately 1183 Winchester Street for the purpose of constructing and maintaining the Winchester Boat Takeout along the Jordan River. West Jordan will grant this easement to the County for no fee.

 **Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Bradley, moved to approve the resolution and forward it to the 4:00 p.m. Council meeting for formal consideration. The motion passed unanimously. Council Members Jensen and DeBry were absent for the vote.**

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

 The meeting adjourned at 3:42:50 PM.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Chair, Committee of the Whole

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Deputy Clerk

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦ ♦♦♦

1. Participated electronically. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)