

1 Minutes of the Centerville City Council meeting held Tuesday, March 17, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in
2 the Centerville City Hall Council Chambers, 250 North Main Street, Centerville, Utah.

3
4 **MEMBERS PRESENT**

5
6 Mayor Paul A. Cutler
7
8 Council Members Tamilyn Fillmore
9 Stephanie Ivie
10 Lawrence Wright

11
12 **MEMBERS ABSENT** Ken S. Averett
13 John T. Higginson

14
15 **STAFF PRESENT** Steve Thacker, City Manager
16 Blaine Lutz, Finance Director/Assistant City Manager
17 Lisa Romney, City Attorney
18 Neal Worsley, Centerville Police Chief
19 Cory Snyder, Community Development Director
20 Randy Randall, Public Works Director
21 Katie Rust, Recording Secretary

22
23 **VISITORS** Curtis Koch, County Clerk/Auditor
24 Bret Milburn, County Commissioner
25 Interested citizens (see attached sign-in sheet)

26
27 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

28
29 **PRAYER OR THOUGHT** Steve Thacker, City Manager

30
31 **NEW POLICE OFFICER INTRODUCTION**

32
33 Police Chief Worsley introduced the Centerville Police Department's new police officer,
34 David Davis. Officer Davis' previous experience includes six years of service in the United
35 States Air Force, and five and a half years with the Davis County Correctional Facility.

36
37 **OPEN SESSION**

38
39 Lee Skabelund – Mr. Skabelund expressed appreciation for the Centerville Police
40 Department. He stated that in the 25 years he has lived in Centerville he has never had a
41 negative encounter with the Police Department.

42
43 Phil Sessions – Mr. Sessions is a Centerville resident. He said he is perturbed with the
44 changes that are taking place on Main Street. He stated he feels that the owners of the
45 property on Main Street between 300 South and 400 South should not be allowed to do what
46 they want to do. He referred to the amount of parking currently available at some of the
47 commercial properties, and said he thinks sufficient parking should be required. Mr. Sessions
48 reminded the Council that they were elected to protect the citizens.

49
50 Robyn Mecham – Ms. Mecham stated that she heard from Mr. Sessions of an
51 agreement between the Pitt group and the City that the property referred to by Mr. Sessions
52 would be purchased for additional parking. She asked that the City research the possibility of
53 such an agreement. Ms. Mecham said she feels the Planning Commission is there to look after
54 rules and regulations, and the City Council is to look after the interests of the citizens. She said

1 she has done a lot of research regarding Main Street, and she believes most small towns do not
2 zone by building rather than acreage. She stated the only reason to zone by building would be
3 for high density. Ms. Mecham said that when the overlay was looked at she was told the
4 density is necessary to support the commercial. She said things have changed, and
5 developers, the Planning Commission, and residents are all saying that commercial is not viable
6 on Main Street. If commercial is not viable, she feels the density should be lowered. She also
7 said that, with recent developments in Centerville, the City does not have the same need for
8 affordable housing that existed in 2007. Ms. Mecham stated that she does most of her
9 shopping online, and in five to seven years she believes there will be less commercial business
10 on the streets. She asked if the historic corridor is really the place for high density. She pointed
11 out that Centerville Junior High students walk past the property in question every day, and
12 suggested that student safety should be considered. Ms. Mecham provided the Council with
13 copies of her comments.
14

15 Nancy Smith – Ms. Smith said she feels she was not properly notified of the discussions
16 taking place regarding the proposed change to the City core area. One public notice indicated
17 that chapters or sections of the Main Street Plan were being looked at, and the other public
18 notice was specific to the two residential properties being rezoned from Residential-Low (R-L) to
19 Commercial. In reading the minutes, Ms. Smith said she realized that the City center was being
20 discussed. She does not feel her neighborhood fully understood the scope of what was being
21 considered. She said she feels that one notice is not sufficient for an eight-block radius. Ms.
22 Smith said that more people would have participated in the discussion if they had realized the
23 full scope. She said she believes there was a lot of confusion amongst the Commissioners
24 about the full area they were voting on. She asked that the Council reopen the matter for
25 consideration if they believe due process did not take place.
26

27 Rick Bingham – Mr. Bingham thanked the City Council for their vote two weeks ago on
28 the non-debt version of the Drainage Fee increase. He also asked if it would be possible to
29 provide a moment for public questions after the Davis County Election Manager presentation.
30 Mr. Bingham asked that the candidate election packet be brought up to date to accurately
31 inform candidates about the process.
32

33 Mayor Cutler closed the open session, and stated that the proposed changes to the
34 Main Street Plan have not been brought before the Council for consideration.
35

36 **MINUTES REVIEW AND ACCEPTANCE**
37

38 The minutes of the February 17, 2015 Council meeting, February 24, 2015 Special
39 meeting, and March 3, 2015 work session and regular Council meeting were reviewed. Mayor
40 Cutler requested an addition to the February 17, 2015 minutes, and Councilwoman Fillmore
41 requested an amendment to the March 3, 2015 regular meeting minutes. Councilman Wright
42 made a **motion** to approve the February 17, 2015 minutes as amended, the February 24, 2015
43 Special meeting minutes, the March 3, 2015 work session minutes, and the March 3, 2015
44 Council meeting minutes as amended. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which passed
45 by unanimous vote (3-0).

PUBLIC HEARING – PARK HILLS SUBDIVISION PHASE 3 – FINAL SUBDIVISION

PLAT

Cory Snyder, Community Development Director, explained the application for final subdivision of the property located at 2130 North Rock Manor Drive. The project consists of two developable lots within the Park Hills Phase 3 Subdivision and a larger remnant parcel that will not be eligible for development at this time. Although a physical street exists at this location, it has not been officially dedicated. Now that two lots are being platted, the street area located along the proposed lots must be dedicated to meet the lot frontage requirements of City ordinances. Mr. Snyder stated that the Planning Commission recommends approval of the proposed subdivision for the creation of two lots.

At 7:33 p.m. Mayor Cutler opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment.

Councilman Wright asked who maintains the road. Mr. Snyder responded that the City maintains the road as a public thoroughfare. Mayor Cutler asked why a portion of the road will remain an easement. Mr. Snyder responded that the applicants do not intend to develop the remnant portion at this time, and dedicating that portion of the road would involve further subdivision and fees.

Councilman Wright made a **motion** to approve the Final Subdivision Plat for the Park Hills Subdivision Phase 3 located at approximately 2130 North Rock Manor Drive, subject to the following conditions and findings. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (3-0).

Conditions:

1. Park Hills Phase 3 shall consist of Lots 1 and 2 as depicted on the final plat and shall not allow any development to occur on the remnant parcel at this time. The remnant parcel shall be subject to all current applicable subdivision approvals when the future development shall occur.
2. A bond shall be posted for all public infrastructure and utility work to be conducted and the applicants shall be required to enter into an improvement agreement for all public infrastructure.
3. Storm drain impact fees and other impact or development fees have not been paid for Parcel A. Such impact fees and development fees for Parcel A shall be paid at the time such parcel is subdivided or built upon and shall be paid in accordance with the fee schedule in place at the time such fees are due.
4. A current title report shall be submitted to City staff for review.
5. The submitted final plat shall be approved by the City Council.
6. A final subdivision paper plat shall be submitted prior to the mylar and reviewed by the City Engineer and City Attorney, and shall receive the proper signatures before recordation.
7. The final plat shall be recorded at the Davis County Recorder's Office.
8. No construction on Lots 1 and 2 shall take place before recordation of the plat.
9. The applicant shall submit a building permit for Lots 1 and 2 prior to construction taking place.

1 Findings:
2

- 3 a. The remnant parcel may not be developed without the proper City approvals [Section
4 15-2-101].
5 b. Security of public improvements is required for all subdivisions [Section 15-4-109].
6 c. The new subdivision meets the objectives for development within a Residential-Low
7 Zone [Section 12-30-020(b)(1)].
8 d. It appears all applicable development standards for development within an R-L Zone
9 have been satisfied [Table 12-32-1].
10 e. It appears all general requirements for all subdivisions have been satisfied [Chapter
11 15-5].
12 f. All applicable standards found in the Subdivision Ordinance pertaining to a final
13 subdivision application have been satisfied [Chapter 15-4].
14

15 **SOLID WASTE CONTRACT WITH ACE DISPOSAL**
16

17 Blaine Lutz, Finance Director/Assistant City Manager, reported on a comparison of solid
18 waste services, cautioning that it is impossible to directly compare waste service costs between
19 cities because of differing terrain and markets. Mr. Lutz stated that Ace Disposal has provided
20 excellent service to the City, offers competitive rates, and uses natural gas vehicles.
21 Councilman Wright asked how much citizens will save from the concessions offered by Ace for
22 contract renewal. Mr. Lutz responded that staff recommends rates be held constant this year,
23 using additional savings to build up the City's reserves that were diminished during recession
24 years when the City covered increases in cost without increasing rates. The City will provide
25 the traditional spring green waste pickup, which is an expense of the Sanitation Fund.
26

27 Councilman Wright made a **motion** to approve Amendment No. 1 to the Amended Solid
28 Waste, Green Waste, and Recycling Collection Agreement with Ace Disposal through July 1,
29 2016. Councilwoman Fillmore seconded the motion. Councilman Wright pointed out that Ace
30 offered two concessions: no fuel surcharge, and no inflationary increases to the current
31 charges. The motion passed by unanimous vote (3-0).
32

33 **DRAINAGE FEES**
34

35 Steve Thacker, City Manager, briefly described the analysis and consideration process
36 used by the City Council prior to making a final decision regarding drainage fees. The no-debt,
37 112% increase chosen by the Council is intended to fund drainage needs through the next ten
38 years, will be effective June 26, 2015, and will be included in the billing statements issued at the
39 end of July. The City website has extensive information about the research and scenarios
40 considered by the Council, the front office at City Hall will have the inserts that were previously
41 sent to citizens available for anyone with questions, and the special email address for drainage
42 fee questions/comments will continue to be available.
43

44 Mr. Thacker explained that staff have identified seven residential properties that, in
45 staff's opinion, should be included within the Subdrain District and, therefore, assessed the
46 higher monthly subdrain fee. He recommended an effective date of March 26, 2015, which
47 would make the change visible on the April billing statement. The property owners were notified
48 by mail and invited to meet with staff to discuss this matter, but to date no such request has
49 been made.

1 Councilman Wright made a **motion** to approve Resolution No. 2015-03 adding seven
2 lots to the Subdrain District Map. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which passed by
3 unanimous vote (3-0).
4

5 Councilman Wright made a **motion** to approve Resolution No. 2015-04 increasing
6 Drainage Utility Fees in the Centerville Fee Schedule. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion,
7 which passed by unanimous vote (3-0). Mayor Cutler stated that, while it is always difficult to
8 increase fees, he is confident that everyone can agree having a high quality drainage system is
9 a core function of government.
10

11 **ENERGY SAVINGS PROPOSALS AND INCENTIVE OFFERS FROM ROCKY**
12 **MOUNTAIN**
13

14 In-depth analysis and proactive management of the City's water system power usage
15 have reduced the annual power cost of the system. Randy Randall, Public Works Director,
16 explained innovations that could reduce this even further. The Water Fund would bear the
17 upfront cost for materials/equipment needed, off-set in part initially by Rocky Mountain Power's
18 "Incentive Offer" of approximately \$13,000. Mr. Randal estimates the remaining
19 materials/equipment cost would be recovered within one year with the reduction in power costs.
20 Water Department employees would provide the labor needed to install materials and
21 equipment.
22

23 Mayor Cutler expressed support for the proposed innovations. Council members
24 Fillmore and Wright thanked Mr. Randall for his hard work and his efforts to improve service and
25 savings in the City. Mr. Randall said he also believes the advances in LED lighting technology
26 now make it cost-effective to convert some of the City's public lighting to LED. Bruce Cox,
27 Parks and Recreation Director, has said he is supportive of the proposal to convert the Freedom
28 Hills Park parking lot lights to LED, utilizing an incentive offer from Rocky Mountain Power for a
29 portion of the cost, as an opportunity to confirm (after a period of evaluation) whether similar
30 conversions to LED lighting should be considered at other City facilities, such as City Hall
31 parking lot. Mr. Thacker explained that the net conversion cost of approximately \$2,500, after
32 applying the Rocky Mountain Power incentive, should come from the General Fund.
33

34 Councilman Wright made a **motion** to move forward with the energy savings proposals
35 as set forth by the Public Works Director, and to accept the incentive offers from Rocky
36 Mountain Power related to the proposals. Councilwoman Ivie seconded the motion, which
37 passed by unanimous vote (3-0).
38

39 **RIGHT-OF-WAY ON 500 EAST STREET**
40

41 The City issued a stop work order for a retaining wall that was being reconstructed on
42 property located at approximately 1514 North 500 East because the retaining wall was being
43 constructed without a permit (necessary for a retaining wall exceeding four feet in height), and
44 was within the right-of-way. Lisa Romney, City Attorney, explained the situation with
45 photographs and recommended the City vacate the right-of-way to the edge of the sidewalk to
46 allow the property owner to reconstruct the retaining wall as a private improvement on private
47 property. She showed a photograph of the property immediately to the north, owned by Michael
48 Kelley, which has a shorter retaining wall within the right-of-way. Ms. Romney stated that the
49 City would retain a Public Utility Easement (PUE), and staff thinks vacating the right-of-way
50 would be a good compromise to a difficult situation that was created approximately 30 years
51 ago. The property owners would need to pay for a survey of the properties, prepare a legal
52 description, and pay any noticing and recording costs.

1 Mayor Cutler invited Michael Kelley to address the Council. Mr. Kelley stated he is
2 willing to pay the survey cost and other costs for both properties. Ms. Romney stated there are
3 not many properties with that type of situation, and other property owners are able to petition the
4 City for a review if desired. The Council members in attendance indicated approval for the
5 direction suggested by staff. Staff will bring back the matter for formal approval of right-of-way
6 vacation after the required steps have been completed, as set forth in State law.

7
8 **ELECTION PROCEDURES AND VOTE-BY-MAIL**
9

10 Curtis Koch, County Clerk/Auditor, presented the vote-by-mail option to the Council for
11 the 2015 election. The vote-by-mail option would involve additional cost to the City, but Mr.
12 Koch stated he believes the benefits are worth consideration. He stated that issues involved in
13 an election and the method used influence voter turnout. The County experienced a higher
14 voter turnout in the 2014 elections with the vote-by-mail option than would have been expected
15 with the traditional option. Mr. Koch stated that the County recognizes the need to have multiple
16 options available to meet different needs, and is proposing Centerville use a hybrid system with
17 a traditional polling location on Election Day. Mayor Cutler asked what percentage of those who
18 voted last year used the vote-by-mail option, and what the County would do to make sure the
19 City does not experience the long lines at the polling location that were experienced last year.
20 Mr. Koch responded that the County was surprised by the number of voters at the polling
21 location last year. They intend to do a better job of educating the public that a vote-by-mail
22 ballot can be dropped in a specified box at the polling location on Election Day. Mr. Koch said
23 that during the primary election approximately 4.5% of participants chose to vote at a polling
24 location rather than return a by-mail ballot. The County had anticipated 7%. Due to the lower
25 participation in the primary at polling locations, the County adjusted the projection to 5% for the
26 general election, but nearly 18% of the voters participated at polling locations on Election Day,
27 resulting in long lines.

28
29 Mr. Koch stated the County estimates that a traditional election would cost the City
30 \$8,135.82, and the vote-by-mail option would cost the City \$13,036.61 per election. Mr. Koch
31 stated that, although the cost of the vote-by-mail option is higher, voter engagement and voter
32 experience is greatly improved with vote-by-mail. The City could save \$1,400 by not paying for
33 return postage.

34
35 Mayor Cutler said he feels it is important to engage more citizens in the voting process.
36 Councilwoman Ivie stated she feels the vote-by-mail option would result in more uninformed
37 voting. Councilman Wright said he thinks a number of people would get more involved with the
38 vote-by-mail option, stated he would be in favor of it, and suggested including postage on the
39 ballot if the Council chooses the vote-by-mail option. Marsha Morrow, City Recorder/Election
40 Official, said she would love for the City to do vote-by-mail. Although the cost would be more,
41 she said she thinks there would be a better turnout, and voters would be more informed. The
42 Mayor allowed members of the audience to comment as well.

43
44 Rick Bingham – Mr. Bingham said he has been voting by mail for the last couple of
45 elections, and he appreciates the time to research candidates and become familiar with the
46 issues before voting. He stated that his wife, however, is scared to vote by mail because she is
47 afraid that someone will steal the ballot and vote for her. Mr. Bingham asked what safeguards
48 are in place to protect against voter fraud.

49
50 Nancy Smith – Ms. Smith stated she has been through the voter registration list for her
51 district, and there are many people listed that have moved away or are no longer living. She
52 asked what the County can do to avoid unintended votes being submitted.

1 Mr. Koch responded that there is no forwarding on a vote-by-mail ballot. Completed,
2 returned ballots are sorted by batches as they come in, not by area. The signature on the outer
3 flap is compared to the voter's signature from registration. If a difference is noticed, the voter is
4 contacted to verify authenticity. The signature flap is then discarded and the sealed ballot is
5 opened and processed. If a citizen has submitted a vote-by-mail ballot, they will not be able to
6 vote at the polling location on Election Day.

7
8 Lee Skabelund – Mr. Skabelund stated that he is a registered poll manager. He said he
9 does not think Centerville has wide-spread voter fraud, but he thinks vote-by-mail would open it
10 up to more voter fraud. He added that no right comes without responsibility, and it is not too
11 much to ask someone to come to the polls to vote. Mr. Skabelund said he feels that when the
12 costs are compared, the value is not there. He stated that, to him, City funds are sacred, and
13 the Council has a responsibility to be frugal.

14
15 Councilman Wright said he appreciates Mr. Skabelund's comments, but he personally
16 feels the Council has a sacred responsibility to make sure the City has an electoral process that
17 represents the will of the people. He said he would like to at least test the program to give as
18 many people as possible the opportunity.

19
20 Steve Allen – Mr. Allen asked if a voter would be able to cancel a vote after submitting a
21 ballot by mail and then vote on Election Day if something comes up during the course of an
22 election season that prompts them to change their mind. Mr. Koch responded that a ballot
23 cannot be cancelled after it has been submitted, but the voter could hold onto the ballot and
24 "walk-and-drop" it at the polling location on Election Day. Mr. Allen said he is concerned that
25 voters might vote extremely early in the season and later change their minds. Mr. Koch said the
26 County would prefer a shorter voting period, but they follow State statutes.

27
28 Paula Tinnabi – Ms. Tinnabi works for the Postal Service. She said that political
29 mailings receive top priority. Postal workers know who lives at each address. Ballots are not
30 delivered to incorrect addresses. Ms. Tinnabi said that a lot of ballots are returned to the
31 County.

32
33 Councilman Wright said his one concern with the vote-by-mail option is the length of
34 time given to return ballots. He said he feels there should be an opportunity for voters to hear
35 the issues and interact with candidates before they can fill out and mail a ballot. Mr. Koch
36 agreed that voter engagement needs to occur. He responded that his office can improve the
37 website and make it easier to find information about the candidates. The timing is established
38 by State law – ballots are sent out four weeks before Election Day. Mr. Koch said the County
39 would prefer three weeks. He is hoping for legislation that would narrow the vote-by-mail
40 window.

41
42 Mayor Cutler said he would prefer to wait until all Council members are present to make
43 a final decision. Mr. Koch stated he would be willing to be a little flexible on the April 1st
44 deadline, allowing the City Council to make a decision on April 7. Mr. Skabelund stated that
45 candidates need time to campaign. He feels there are disadvantages for some candidates with
46 the vote-by-mail option.

47
48 **CURRENT SOUTH MAIN STREET CORRIDOR PLAN**

49
50 Council members Ivie and Wright requested a briefing on the current provisions of the
51 South Main Street Corridor Plan be placed on the agenda. Ms. Romney cautioned that the
52 application currently pending has not been noticed for discussion at this meeting.

1 Cory Snyder, Community Development Director, explained that in 2007 a significant
2 public process was initiated to examine Main Street between Parrish Lane and Pages Lane.
3 The resulting South Main Street Corridor Plan identified Pages Lane to Porter Lane as a
4 residential area, Porter Lane to 100 North as the core commercial area zoned Commercial-
5 Medium (C-M), and 100 North to Parrish Lane as the civic area. An overlay is in place to make
6 it possible to add different features to each area. The two gateways are allowed high intensity
7 mixed-use, with less intensive mixed-use in the City Center (core) C-M Zone. The South Main
8 Street Corridor Plan includes a form-based code, which is not concerned as much about uses
9 as it is maintaining an overall feel. Councilman Wright expressed concern with a loss of density
10 control. Mr. Snyder responded that intensity is set with the number of units per building and the
11 form of the building. Density per acre is not part of form-based code. He listed several cities in
12 Utah, including Park City, Midvale, Kanab, and Panguich, that have utilized form-based code on
13 their main streets to preserve a desired ambiance. Councilwoman Fillmore stressed that form-
14 based code provides controls in the size of the buildings and the number of units. Mr. Snyder
15 stated that the intention of the South Main Street Corridor Plan was to synergize deteriorating
16 commercial, and create an active street with people. Mr. Thacker suggested the Council read
17 the South Main Street Corridor Plan and the Zoning Ordinance in preparation for the April 7
18 Council meeting. Councilman Wright thanked Mr. Snyder for the briefing, and suggested that it
19 might be time to refresh the Plan.
20

21 At 9:19 p.m. the Council took a brief recess, returning at 9:35 p.m.
22

23 **FENCING ON PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE AND ALONG NORTH SIDE OF PARRISH**
24 **LANE**
25

26 City Manager Thacker presented a Betterment Agreement and a Maintenance
27 Agreement with UDOT for the Pedestrian Bridge Project. He recommended the Council include
28 in the motion the ability for staff to seek non-substantive changes in the Agreements. The City
29 will be responsible for maintaining the pedestrian bridge fencing and snowplowing upon
30 completion. Councilman Wright said he feels that fact underscores the need to ensure quality
31 work. Mr. Thacker responded that the City Engineer will be involved in the inspection of the
32 work related to the betterments.
33

34 The UDOT cost summary dated December 12, 2014 included fencing on the east side of
35 the bridge. However, there is not currently a contract for the work on the east side. Mr. Thacker
36 expressed displeasure with the cost summary dated March 17, 2015, which does not include
37 costs for fencing on the east side, shows a higher cost for the bridge fencing, and reflects a
38 higher, two-step markup. The RDA previously authorized the use of up to \$120,000 for bridge
39 betterments. Mr. Thacker advised that the project will exceed \$120,000. Councilman Wright
40 said, in his opinion, the City should move forward.
41

42 Mr. Thacker reported that UDOT will present an earth-tone color pallet to the cities.
43 Councilman Wright complimented Councilwoman Fillmore for her role in ensuring a uniform
44 color scheme. Mr. Lutz said it needs to be understood that the RDA will be paying for a
45 substantial amount of the City Engineer's time. Mr. Thacker estimated \$10,000-\$15,000 for
46 engineer time should be added to the amounts on the cost summary, and noted another
47 Betterment Agreement would be needed in the future for the east-side fencing.
48

49 Councilwoman Fillmore made a **motion** to approve the Betterment Agreement and the
50 Maintenance Agreement with UDOT for the Pedestrian Bridge Project, allowing staff to seek
51 non-substantive changes, and conditioned upon approval of RDA funding. Councilman Wright
52 seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (3-0). Councilwoman Ivie asked if there
53 is a way for the cities to band together in complaint about the mark-up costs being higher than

1 reported earlier. Mr. Thacker suggested the Council remember that the City is currently hoping
2 to accomplish two other goals with UDOT, relating to use of the pipe at Chase Lane
3 compromised by a UDOT contractor, and relining of several drain pipes under the freeway to be
4 funded by UDOT. Therefore, he recommended the Council approve the proposed Betterment
5 Agreement on the agenda tonight.

6
7 **FINANCIAL REPORT**

8
9 Blaine Lutz, Finance Director, presented a financial report for the 8-month period ending
10 February 28, 2015. He stated that Sales Tax Revenue is close to the budgeted amount, and
11 Franchise Tax Revenue is lower due to the warmer winter weather, but could catch up if air
12 conditioning units are operated sooner than usual. Mr. Lutz suggested the City can expect
13 lower vehicle fuel costs when putting together the FY 2016 Budget.

14
15 **MAYOR'S REPORT**

- 16
17 • Mayor Cutler updated the Council on the SB 160/HB 362 Tax compromise, which
18 would have a percentage base and be effective January 1, 2016. County
19 Commissioner Millburn added to the discussion by explaining the breakdown of the
20 local-option County-wide increase: 0.10% would go to the cities, 0.10% would go to
21 the transit authority, and 0.05% would go to the County to be used within the County
22 for transportation purposes. The 0.10% percent for the cities would be in addition to
23 funds already allocated by the cities for transportation purposes, and is not to be
24 used as a substitution. Mayor Cutler thanked Commissioner Millburn for his support.
25 • The Mayor mentioned that Centerville got an "A" on transparency from the Standard
26 Examiner.

27
28 **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

- 29
30 • UDOT will begin pile driving in Centerville for the I-15 project this weekend between
31 the hours of 11 p.m. and 5 a.m.
32 • Mr. Thacker reported that UDOT has decided to proceed with the installation of traffic
33 signals at 1250 West/Parrish Lane based on a recent on-site study of current
34 conditions.
35 • FY 2016 health insurance rates for City employees are expected to be the same as
36 the previous year. In light of this information, the Council was supportive of staying
37 with the current health insurance plan for the next fiscal year. Mr. Thacker also
38 reported that State Retirement rates will not increase for FY 2016.
39 • The Council will meet in a joint work session with the Planning Commission on
40 Wednesday, March 25th, prior to the regular Planning Commission meeting, in lieu of
41 the joint work session scheduled for March 17 but cancelled due to absences.

42
43 **MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS**

- 44
45 • The ULCT Mid-Year Conference in St. George will take place April 8-10, 2015.
46 Mayor Cutler expressed a preference to follow employee policies regarding
47 reimbursement of hotel expenses. The Council indicated agreement. This means
48 the City will pay for two nights of lodging for Councilmembers attending the ULCT
49 Conference in St. George.
50 • Council members will receive a newsletter draft electronically on Wednesday, March
51 18th. Comments should be received by staff no later than 8:00 a.m. on Monday,
52 March 23rd.

