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**STATE RECORDS COMMITTEE**

**JANUARY-DECEMBER 2014 ANNUAL REPORT**

**INTRODUCTION**

This report contains a brief summary of the appeals, participants, findings by the Records Committee, and appeals status. It must be noted that 35 % of the requested hearings were resolved through the Government Records Ombudsman program that was created during the 2012 General Legislative session to act as a resource to the public in making records requests and filing appeals associated with records requests.

In 2014, the State Records Committee received 81 requests for hearings a 58% increase from calendar year 2013. The Records Committee held 21 hearings, and executed 21 decisions and orders; of those 21 orders three of the proceedings were petitioned for judicial review by the district court of the records committee’s order.

**HISTORY OF THE COMMITTEE AND MANDATES**

The State Records Committee was established in 1992 by the Utah Legislature under the Government Records Access and Management Act (GRAMA), Utah Code § 63G-2-101. The current seven-member committee is comprised of a governor’s designee, an elected official, state history designee, two citizen representatives, media representative, private sector records manager and legal counsel. All members are appointed by the Governor with the consent of the Senate.

The Records Committee develops rules to govern its own proceedings as outlined in Title 63G, Chapter 3, and Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act; and by ordering, after notice and hearing, reassign classification and designation for any record series by a governmental entity if the governmental entity’s classification or designation is inconsistent with the law. In order to proceed with business transactions there must be a quorum of five members present or available telephonic.

The Records Committee is required to meet at least quarterly to review and approve state and local schedules for the retention and disposal of records. In addition, it is charged to hear appeals from the public requesting records they were denied by the governmental entity. All Committee work is in accordance with Utah Code § 63G-2-101 and it follows Administrative Rule R35 regarding procedures.

**MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE**

The members are appointed to the Committee by the Governor, Legislature, Attorney General and State Auditor. The following individuals served on the Committee in 2014:

Chair, Lex Hemphill, News Media Representative

David Fleming, Private Sector Records Manager

Ernest Rowley, Political Subdivision Elected Official

Patricia Smith-Mansfield, Governor’s Designee

Holly Richardson, Citizen Representative

Marie Cornwall, Citizen Representative

Doug Misner, State History Designee

Paul Tonks, Legal Counsel, Attorney General’s Office

**COMMITTEE PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE-2014**

The Committee held twelve meetings in 2014 on the second Thursday of each month. Minutes and handouts of each meeting are available on the Public Notice Website: <http://www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html>. The State Records Committee also approved the retentions and dispositions of record series generated by state and local government entities. The Committee also serve as an appeals board when access to records was denied by the originating agency. The decisions and orders handed down by the Committee are available online: <http://www.archives.state.ut.us/src/index.html>.

**LITIGATION**

In addition to hearing appeals, the State Records Committee is actively involved in appellate litigation surrounding GRAMA. Any party who disputes the State Records Committee’s order may petition for judicial review by the District Court. In 2014, three judicial reviews were petitioned and three are still pending in court from previous years decisions. The State Records Committee’s Legal Counsel has been the voice for the Committee by filing briefs, conducting oral arguments and attending hearings.

**District Court Cases**

**Salt Lake City v. Jordan River Restoration Network, 3rd Judicial District, Salt Lake County, Case No. 100910873, filed June 18, 2010**

On July 7, 2014, Salt Lake City filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, arguing that it was not required to waive the GRAMA fee as issued by the State Records Committee on June 17, 2010. On August 15, 2014, Jordan River Restoration Network filed a Cross Motion for Summary Judgment claiming that Salt Lake City did not have standing to file an appeal with the State Records Committee. The hearing is set for January 8, 2015 on cross motions for summary judgment (see State Records Committee’s decision and order Case No. 10-14).

**Morgan Fife v. Orem City, 4th Judicial District, Utah County, Case No. 140400007, filed January 2, 2014**

A motion of Summary Judgment was filed by Mr. Fife on September 2, 2014 and Orem City on August 29, 2014. On November 25, 2014, the Court denied the Petitioner’s request for attorney fees, agreeing with the Respondents’ arguments that failure to file a notice of claim deprived the Court of the jurisdiction necessary to award attorney fees (see State Records Committee’s decision and order Case No. 13-14).

**Coggeshell v. Utah Department of Corrections, 3rd Judicial District, Salt Lake County, Case No. 140902157, filed June 12, 2014.**

Attempted to appeal to the Records Committee but did not have a hearing due to technicalities. He subsequently appealed to the District Court. The Court on July 17, 2014, stating that since the Court has not reinstated the case and the Committee has not been properly served, the Committee would not be filing anything further in the case unless notified by the Court. The status of the case is dismissed.

**Utah Department of Corrections v. Campbell (*BuzzFeed*, Inc.,), 3rd District, Salt Lake County, Case No. 140906834, filed October 1, 2014.**

Campbell requested information and specific data points from Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) maintained offender database. Much of the information stored in the offender database would be properly classified as “private,” “protected,” and/or “controlled.” The Committee found that Mr. Campbell’s request met the requirements set forth in the Utah Code § 63G-2-201(12). UDC argues it is not required to provide the requested information from the offender database to Mr. Campbell because UDC’s offender database, including the information integrated therein, does not constitute a “record” under GRAMA (see State Records Committee’s decision and order Case No. 14-14).

**Appellate Court Cases**

**Attorney General Office v. Schroeder, Utah Supreme Court, Appeal No. 20121057**

On or about September 1, 2011, the AG sent a Notice of Intent to Appeal to Plaintiff and to the Records Committee, indicating it would not comply with the Committee’s order to release some of the disputed records. This case has been transferred and certified to the Utah Supreme Court as of January 31, 2014. Appellee (Attorney General Office) appellate brief filed on February 19, 2014, reply brief filed on April 22, 2014. At this time parties are waiting for the court date to be scheduled (see State Records Committee’s decision and order Case No. 11-12).

**Salt Lake City Corporation v. Mark Haik, Court of Appeals Case No. 20130383**

The City petitioned for judicial review of the Record Committee’s decision and order Case No. 12-16. The City moved for summary judgment, arguing that the Board was incorrect because the records Haik requested are protected by attorney-client privilege and as attorney work product under GRAMA. A decision has been rendered in favor of the City by Court of Appeals on August 14, 2014 (2014 UT 193) and is available on the Utah Courts website, <http://www.utcourts.gov/opinions/appopin/haik081414.pdf>

**DATA TABLES 1-3**

Table 1

*Five-Year History of Appeals*

*Note.* The number of requests, hearings, resolved appeals, and subsequent judicial review to the District Courts has fluctuated over the years but remained steady from 2011-2014.

Table 2

*Breakdown of Appeal Categories*

*Note.* Most appeals filed are resolved through the Government Records Ombudsman program prior to the Records Committee hearing. The Committee heard 21 hearings and of those 22% of the petitioners were denied records access, 8% were partially granted, and 5% were granted full access of the GRAMA request. To view the entire list of *Appeal Categories* the Committee tracks see Table 3.

Table 3

*Summary of Appeal Categories (appeals may be counted in more than one category)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Categories** | **Quantity** |
| **Requests for hearings** | **81 (duplicate Case No. 28, 37, and 48)(skipped No. 18)** |
| **Hearings** | **21** |
| **Decisions and Orders** | **21** |
| **Appeals Granted** | **3** |
| **Appeals Partially Granted** | **5** |
| **Appeals Denied** | **13** |
| **Hearings denied based on previous Decisions and Orders** | **3** |
| **Hearings denied based on Insufficient Evidence that Records Exist** | **0** |
| **Appeals Withdrawn** | **5** |
| **Appeals Resolved Prior to or During Hearing** | **27** |
| **Appeals Dismissed at hearing** | **0** |
| **Incomplete information provided** | **6** |
| **Untimely or Improper Procedure** | **0** |
| **No Jurisdiction** | **1** |
| **Decisions Appeals to District Court** | **3** |
| **Hearings postponed** | **7** |
| **Hearings continued** | **3** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **STATE RECORDS COMMITTEE HEARINGS (21)** | | | | |
| **Decision No.**  **(Archives Case No.)** | **Case Title/**  **Participants** | **Records Sought** | **Ruling** | **Appeal Status** |
| **14-01**  (2014-01) | William Hill vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Hill appealed the denial of records for his Pre-Sentence Investigation Report (“PSI Report”). Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) response is that PSI Reports are protected records. The SRC upheld the denial of access by UDC, stating SRC does not have jurisdiction to order the release of PSI Report pursuant per Utah Code § 77-18-1(14). | | | | |
| **14-02**  (2013-47) | Lee Davidson, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Utah State Tax Commission | | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| Mr. Davidson requested communications about the development of the policy on whether same-sex couples married in other states could file joint returns in Utah. The State Records Committee (SRC) reviewed the disputed records *in camera,* the Committee found the documents 1-15 were properly classified as “protected” and not to be disclosed. The documents numbers 16-48 were not properly classified as “protected” and there is no transfer of confidentiality. | | | | |
| **14-03**  (2014-14) | Nate Carlisle, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Bluffdale City, UT | | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| Mr. Carlisle is appealing the denial of records from the city and what he considers excessive fees. SRC ruled the fees are reasonable and the City shall provide Mr. Carlisle with an un-redacted copy of the Water Usage Records upon fee is paid. | | | | |
| **14-04**  (2014-09) | Jessica Phillips vs. West Jordan Police Department | | Appeal granted. |  |
| Ms. Phillips is appealing the denial of an initial contact report including a video and audio record. The appeal was granted based on the records are public under Utah Code §§ 63G-2-301(3)(g) and not classified as other than “public” by the City of West Jordan. | | | | |
| **14-05**  (2014-05) | Lynn Packer vs. Attorney General’s Office | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Packer is appealing the partial denial of records of the use of state vehicles. SRC found portions of the record which redact the identity of the current law enforcement investigators and the identity of the unmarked law enforcement vehicles justified under Utah Code §§ 63G-2-305(10), (11), and (12) and are properly classified as “protected”. | | | | |
| **14-06**  (2014-12) | Corey Vonberg vs. Iron County Attorney’s Office | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Vonberg is appealing the denial of a record he believes to be maintained by the county. The SRC concluded the respondent does not possess a record responsive to Mr. Vonberg’s records request, therefore the records do not exist. | | | | |
| **14-07**  (2014-20) | Sam Allen vs. Eagle Mountain City, UT | | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| Mr. Allen is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records. The SRC denied the fee waiver and granted relief concerning the reduction of the per hour fee charged by Respondent. The Respondent’s hourly charge cannot exceed the “salary” of the lowest paid capable employee. | | | | |
| **14-08**  (2014-26) | Jack Jessop vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Jessop is appealing the denial of prescription medication information sheets including side effects of drugs issued to him. The SRC finds the requested documents are not “records” subject to GRAMA pursuant to the non-record definition found in Utah Code §§ 63G-2-103(22)(b)(iv) & (vi). The Committee strongly encouraged Corrections to share the information sheets with Mr. Jessop in a manner that still allows Correction to maintain the “safety and security” of the prison. | | | | |
| **14-09**  (2014-27) | Justin Crosbie vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Crosbie is appealing the denial of a Warrant Request and Parole Violation Report; all emails regarding him between a parole officer, treatment center, and the Board of Pardons; progress reports; polygraph results; and notes taken by his parole agent. Mr. Crosbie received the responsive email and a fee waiver from Corrections records officer. The SRC found Corrections is not required to provide additional documents to Mr. Crosbie. The Committee finds the denial based upon records classification was correct. | | | | |
| **14-10**  (2014-30) | Corey Vonberg vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Vonberg is appealing the denial of a record of the destruction of his case file. The SRC finds the Respondent does not possess a record identifying the date Respondent disposed of the case file for State v. Keith. The Respondent cannot be ordered to provide a record it does not possess. | | | | |
| **14-11**  (2014-38) | Cathy Johnson vs. Lieutenant Governor’s Office | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Ms. Johnson is requesting a copy of a notary’s log. The Lieutenant Governor’s Office does not maintain the record and has referred Ms. Johnson to the notary pursuant to Utah Code § 46-1-15. Ms. Johnson’s records request denied based on Respondent does not possess nor maintain the requested records pursuant to Utah Code 43-1-15. | | | | |
| **14-12**  (2014-32) | Raymond Payne vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Payne is requesting a copy of Corrections’ Policy FD01 Offender Discipline Procedures, to include fee waiver. The request was denied by UDC citing Utah Code § 63G-2-201 (8)(a)(v)(A). The SRC upheld UDC decision to deny a fee waiver; in addition, Mr. Payne is not unfairly prejudiced with the denial of the copies for he is able to view the documents in the IRL. | | | | |
| **14-13**  (2014-45) | Garcia J. Dennis vs. Board of Pardons & Parole | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Garcia is appealing the denial of records related to the vote on a restitution order, specifically the “Hearing Worksheet” accomplished by the Board of Pardons and Parole. The SRC denied the request based on the recorded requested is not considered a “record” under Utah Code § 63G-2-103(22)(b)(xi) and Appeal 06-02. | | | | |
| **14-14**  (2014-46) | Alex Campbell, *BuzzFeed, Inc*. vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal partially granted. | Appealed to Third District Court. Case No. 140906834 |
| Mr. Campbell is appealing the denial of information from the inmate database of UDC. The SRC finds that the governmental entity maintains the records sought in electronic format that is reproducible and may be provided without reformatting or conversion per Utah Code § 63G-2-201(12) and grants the appeal. In the matter of the fee waiver request, it does not meet the requirements set forth in U.C.A 63G-2-203 and the petitioner is denied the fee waiver. | | | | |
| **14-15**  (2014-49) | Harshad Desai vs. Garfield County School District | | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| Mr. Desai is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records from Garfield School District. Garfield School District has asked that the SRC assume jurisdiction. The SRC ruled that Mr. Desai is entitled to a fee reduction for $28.00, but should pay the $26.40. By responding to the petitioner by facsimile the District responded in a more expensive format that had not been requested by Mr. Desai. | | | | |
| **14-16**  (2014-51) | Julie Holbrook vs. South Jordan City Council | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Ms. Holbrook is appealing the denial of the “Draft Report” for the audit of Mulligans. South Jordan City Council has provided all other documents requested except the audit Mulligan “Draft Report”, stating it is “not a record” under Utah Code § 63G-2-103(22)(b)(ii) and Utah Code § 63G-2-305(22). The State Records Committee agreed the draft report was indeed a draft document pursuant Utah Code § 63G-2-305(22) and not subject to disclosure pursuant to Utah Code §§ 63G-2-301(j) or (k). | | | | |
| **14-17**  (2014-50) | Scott Gollaher vs. Morgan County Sheriff’s Office | | Appeal granted. |  |
| Mr. Gollaher requested records from the Salt Lake Police Department. He was referred to the Attorney General’s Office for the records. Two letters of denial were sent pertaining to the SLC/Weber County GRAMA requests, due to the agencies referred Mr. Gollaher to Morgan County A.G. One letter of denial sent pertaining to the Morgan County GRAMA request being past 30 day timeframe to appeal. The SRC ruled that sufficient evidence was produced to show that Morgan County’s subpoena database may contain information responsive to Mr. Gollaher’s records request. | | | | |
| **14-18**  (2014-57) | Laura Smith/*Truth in Advertising, Inc*. vs Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| *Truth in Advertising*. Org (TINA) requests copies of any and all complaints the State of Utah Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) has received relating to Wake Up Now, a Utah company. TINA is requesting personal identifying information of the complainant(s) be redacted. DCP denied access due to the records series is classified Private and Protected pursuant under Utah Code §§ 63G-3-302(2)(d) and 63G-2-305(10). The DCP stated no disciplinary actions have been taken against Wake Up Now. The SRC finds that Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act, specifically Utah Code § 13-11-7(2), prohibits the Division from producing or acknowledging that records exist responsive to Petition’s GRAMA request. | | | | |
| **14-19**  (2014-67) | Daniel Rivera Jr. vs Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Rivera is appealing the denial of records from DCFS. Mr. Rivera claims DCFS used the police raid at his house as a basis to file the petition to terminate his parental rights. DCFS basis for denying the records request is the agency does not currently possess any records that are responsive to the request and recommended him to appeal the decision to the State Records Committee or District Court. The Committee finds that DCFS “is subject to the same restrictions on disclosure of the record as the originating entity” pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-2-206(6)(a). Therefore, DCFS cannot provide Mr. Rivera access to the record under GRAMA’s sharing record provision. Although DCFS has the record, in order to obtain access to the record, Mr. Rivera should make a records request to the originating governmental entity. | | | | |
| **14-20**  (2014-69) | Laura Smith/*Truth in Advertising, Inc*. vs Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) | | Appeal granted. |  |
| *Truth in Advertising*. Org (TINA) requests copies of any and all complaints the State of Utah Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) has received relating to Vapex LLC, Sinless Vapor LLC, and OZN Web LLC, a Utah companies. TINA is requesting personal identifying information of the complainant(s) be redacted. DCP denied access due to the records series is classified Private and Protected pursuant under Utah Code §§ 63G-3-302(2)(d) and 63G-2-305(10). The DCP stated no disciplinary actions have been taken against Vapex LLC, Sinless Vapor LLC, and OZN Web LLC. The Committee finds that the Utah Consumer Sale Practices Act requires the Division to maintain a “public file” of final judgments rendered that have been either reported officially or made available for public dissemination. It is logical to conclude that the “public file” of final judgments also includes copies of the original complaints. Therefore, copies of the complaints should be considered public records. | | | | |
| **14-21**  (2014-58) | Jordanelle Special Service District (JSSD) vs Office of the Utah State Auditor | | Appeal denied. |  |
| JSSD is appealing the decision of the Utah State Auditor decision to deny records that relate to a “Standstill Agreement.” The Utah State Auditor denied the request based in part of an ongoing investigation by the Office of Utah State Auditor, and the records are classified “Protected.” The Committee finds that the Office of the Utah State Auditor has properly classified the documents as protected records under Utah Code § 63G-2-305(16). The Committee further finds the requested records relate to an ongoing audit, in part due to the fact that the Standstill Agreement references the audit and is itself related to the audit. | | | | |
| **End of 2014 State Records Committee Hearings** | | | | |
| **APPEALS TO THE STATE RECORDS COMMITTEE NOT HEARD (60)** | | | | |
| **Archives Case No.** | **Case Title/**  **Participants** | **Records Sought** | **Rulings** | **Appeal Status** |
| **2014-02** | Mark J. Kimball vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Kimball appealed the denial of records related to Utah Department of Corrections Finance records based on format. The appeal was resolved prior to hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-03** | Robert B. Sykes vs. Career Service Review Office (CSRO) | | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Sykes appealed the denial of records requested from CSRO. CSRO denied the request based on Utah Code §. 63G-2-306, grievance files are “Private Pursuant” and under Utah Code § 63G-2-204(2). The appeal was withdrawn and the hearing canceled per Mr. Sykes. | | | | |
| **2014-04** | Deborah Reithmuller vs. Board of Pardons and Parole | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Ms. Reithmuller is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records requested from the board. The appeal was resolved before a hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-06** | Lynn Packer vs. Department of Administrative Services (DAS) | | Appeal incomplete |  |
| Mr. Packer is appealing the partial denial of information about fleet services and vehicles. File is lacking original request and denial from DAS records officer. | | | | |
| **2014-07** | Greg Wareham vs. Department of Workforce Services (DWS) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Wareham is requesting billing statements for Medicaid since 2005. This appeal was resolved prior to hearing was scheduled. | | | | |
| **2014-08** | David Williams vs. Santa Clara, Ivins Public Safety Department | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Williams is appealing the fee for a copy of a video and audio record from a DUI arrest. This appeal was resolved prior to hearing was scheduled. | | | | |
| **2014-10** | Robert O’Connor vs. Uintah County | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. O’Connor is appealing the denial of an initial contact report including a dash camera video record. This appeal was resolved between the parties and the ombudsman. | | | | |
| **2014-11** | Suzanne Jansen vs. University of Utah | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Ms. Jansen is appealing the partial denial of a request for records related to her termination of employment. The appeal was resolved before the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-13** | Al Coggeshell vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. | Appealed to Third District Court. Case No. 140902157. Hearing dismissed. |
| Mr. Coggeshell is appealing the denial ofhis mental health records. Hearing denied based on Utah Code § 63G-2-403(4)(b). | | | | |
| **2014-15** | Wanda Thiel vs. Judicial Conduct Commission | | Appeal incomplete |  |
| Ms. Thiel is appealing the denial of records resulting from a complaint she made. Ms. Thiel did not submit an appeal to the SRC. | | | | |
| **2014-16** | Damon Crist vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Crist is appealing extraordinary circumstances but a specific request is required rather than a general complaint. A letter sent to Mr. Crist explains there is an appeal process for extraordinary circumstances, however in the particular grievance it is a complaint against UDC practices of the records department and the GRAMA requests. | | | | |
| **2014-17** | Chad Lambourne vs. Provo City, UT | | No jurisdiction. |  |
| Mr. Lambourne, on behalf of a client is appealing the denial of video and audio records of the arrest and booking of the client. Provo City has a separate GRAMA ordinance and the SRC has no jurisdiction. Hearing denied for no jurisdiction. Provo City pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-2-701(4)(a), has adopted a separate ordinance and an appeal process for persons aggrieved by records classification, designation or access decisions. | | | | |
| **2014-19** | Matthew Piper*, Salt Lake Tribune* vs. University of Utah | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Piper is appealing the denial of records of the investigation of Thomas Ray Lippett, a former employee of the University. Hearing canceled. The appeal was resolved prior to hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-21** | Ken Cromar vs. City of Cedar Hills, UT | | Appeal withdrawn prior hearing. |  |
| Mr. Cromar is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for requested records. Hearing canceled by petitioner. | | | | |
| **2014-22** | William Sherratt vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Sherratt is appealing the denial of records of his housing moves within the prison. He is also appealing denial of a fee waiver but has been asked to first use his 100 free pages. The committee has heard the issue of records of moves within the prison and has ruled the records protected. The free 100 pages are available to an inmate before he requests a fee waiver. Hearing denied based on previous SRC orders: Michael Luesse vs. Utah Department of Corrections 12-23; and Jesse Fruhwirth vs. Utah Department of Corrections 11-02. | | | | |
| **2014-23** | Tracy J. Ercanbrack vs. Utah Department of Public Safety (DPS) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Ercanbrack is appealing the denial of a video from the Highway Patrol. Ombudsman resolved grievance between parties. | | | | |
| **2014-24** | Chad Lambourne vs. West Jordan, UT | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Lambourne is appealing the denial of the initial contact report including any audio and video reports for his client Zachary Olson. The West Jordan Police Department provided the records requested. | | | | |
| **2014-25** | Stephen Wale vs. Utah Risk Management Mutual Association (URMMA) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Wale is appealing the denial of URMMA general liability, property and auto physical damage policies. The parties resolved the grievance. | | | | |
| **2014-28** | Chad Lambourne vs. Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Lambourne, on behalf of Mr. Vigil, is appealing the DMV denial to release the records requested pertaining to audio, video, police report, summons and citation to include search warrants and other documentation. The appeal was resolved after the CAO released all the information in the possession of the department that was responsive to the request. | | | | |
| **2014-28** | Rand Henderson vs. Weber State University, University of Utah, and Utah Valley University | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Henderson is appealing the denial of a list of faculty who were denied tenure, final decisions regarding tenure, and any grievances for denied tenure. Case was resolved prior to hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-29** | Siddiga Ghanavi vs. Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Ms. Ghanavi is appealing the denial of records of allegations against a person with custody of her child. Ms. Ghanavi canceled prior to the hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-31** | Ray Mullings vs. Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| Appeal withdrawn by petitioner. | | | | |
| **2014-33** | Rand Henderson vs. University of Utah | | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Henderson is appealing the denial of a list of faculty who were denied tenure, final decisions regarding tenure, and any grievances for denied tenure. Appeal was withdrawn by petitioner prior to hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-34** | Rand Henderson vs. Utah Valley University | | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Henderson is appealing the denial of a list of faculty who were denied tenure, final decisions regarding tenure, and any grievances for denied tenure. Appeal was withdrawn by petitioner prior to hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-35** | Corey Vonberg vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Vonberg is appealing the partial denial of medical records. UDC says response is complete. Hearing denied as no record exists. Mr. Hemphill and Mr. Misner reviewed and approved the denial. | | | | |
| **2014-36** | Al Coggeshell vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Coggeshell is appealing the denial of photos taken to document a disciplinary case. Hearing denied based on prior case 94-17 Roger Penman vs. UDC. Denial reviewed and approved by Mr. Hemphill and Mr. Misner. | | | | |
| **2014-37** | Raymond & Allyne Betancourt vs. Division of Services for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (DSDHH) | | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| Mr. Raymond & Allyne Betancourt sent records request to the SRC. The petitioners resubmitted the GRAMA request to DSDHH. | | | | |
| **2014-37** | P. Robert Augason vs. University of Utah | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Augason is appealing the denial of records relating to the property, income, and trademark rights associated with various block “U” trademarks. Entered into mediation with the Ombudsman. The appeal was resolved prior to the hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-39** | Lindsay Whitehurst, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. University of Utah | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Ms. Whitehurst is appealing the denial of records detailing the relationship between the University’s Moran Eye Center, Voyant Biotherapeutics and Allergan, Inc. The appeal was resolved prior to the hearing, both parties came to an agreement using mediation provided by the Ombudsman. | | | | |
| **2014-40** | Corey Vonberg vs. Iron County, UT | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Vonberg appealed the denial for requested records from the Iron County Sheriff’s Office. Mr. Vonberg was provided the requested records but appealed for not receiving “detailed” records. The SRC denied the hearing IAW Administrative Rule R35-2 the records do not exist. | | | | |
| **2014-41** | Wayland Wyler vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Hearing denied. |  |
| Mr. Wyler is appealing the denial of a record of a meeting between University of Utah doctors and UDC about his medical case. Hearing denied after review by Chair. Records do not exist. | | | | |
| **2014-42** | Matthew Piper vs. University of Utah | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Piper is appealing the denial of records of bulk drug test results conducted since January 1, 2013 by all University athletic teams. Issue resolved between parties. The appeal was resolved prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-43** | Chad Lambourne vs. Pleasant Grove Police Department | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing |  |
| Mr. Lambourne is appealing the denial of records related to a DUI arrest. Issue resolved. Hearing request withdrawn and the issued resolved prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-44** | Paul Kimball vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Kimball is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for inmate placement records. He is also requesting records from the Board of Pardons and Parole and was instructed to address his request to that entity. Records were provided to Mr. Kimball. The appeal was solved prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-47** | John M. Warnick vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| Mr.Warnick is a former UDC Corrections Officer who is appealing the denial of an investigative report regarding the Keith Shepherd escape from the prison in 1991. After the escape, Mr. Warnick, Gate House Officer, was dismissed. He wants to know if his letter of factual disputes was part of the investigative report. Denied appeal due to incomplete documentation. Did not resubmit. | | | | |
| **2014-48** | Alex Schmidt, Save Our Canyons vs. Utah Parks and Recreation | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Schmidt is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records regarding the WOW trail and parking lot in Wasatch Mountains State Park. The appeal was resolved through mediation prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-48** | John Victor Montour vs. Bureau of Forensic Toxicology | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Montour is requesting a fee waiver. He was not charged. The appeal was resolved prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-52** | Roger Bryner vs. Salt Lake City Police Department | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Bryner is appealing the partial denial of his July 17, 2014 records request related to SLCPD Case No. 14-118753. He is appealing the denial of the 911 recording, and fee waiver for the initial contact report and surveillance video. The Ombudsman resolved the issue prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-53** | Teodoro J. Gonzalez vs. Third District Court | | Referred to State Archives. |  |
| Mr. Gonzalez is appealing the denial of a records request pertaining to the transcripts from his criminal Case No. 011902756FS, through the Third District Court. The court denied request based on the case is over 15 years old and they no longer maintain the court transcripts. This appeal was transferred to the State Archives to answer. | | | | |
| **2014-54** | Richard Gunn vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal denied. |  |
| Mr. Gunn is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records requested from Clinical Services pertaining to Co-Pay and Medicare/Medicaid from 2010-present. The file does not meet the Utah Code § 63G-2-403(1)(a). Mr. Gunn submitted the appeal to SRC 135 day after the CAO denied fee waiver, 105 days past the 30 days required to appeal. The GRAMA request to the SRC is not specific to what records Mr. Gunn is requesting, he is merely asking for a fee waiver in the request form. In addition pursuant of R35-2-2(3), the file does not contain the initial records requests. Hearing denied by SRC-in accordance Administrative R35-2 and Utah Code § 63G-2-403. | | | | |
| **2014-55** | Nate Carlisle/*Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Hildale, UT | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Carlisle is appealing the denial of the financial settlement amount *Cooke v. Colorado City, et al.,* Civil No. 3:10-cv-08105. The CAO, Mr. Hamilton, has denied access to the requested records due in part, the Settlement Agreement contains confidentiality provision that prohibits disclosure to all but a very limited group of individuals. Release of the Settlement Agreement would be a breach of Agreement. The Ombudsman resolved the issue prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-56** | Dan Harrie/*Salt Lake Tribune* vs. City of West Jordan, UT | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Harrie is appealing the decision of City of W. Jordan to deny information of an individual and some language concerning a settlement agreement between the city administration and Justice Court Judge Ronald Kunz. The city is claiming attorney-client privilege on some of the documents and information requested. The Ombudsman resolved the issue prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-59** | Brett McCammon vs Central Utah Correction Facility (UDC) | | Appeal denied/ 2nd request referred to State Archives. |  |
| Mr. McCammon is requesting an appeal to amend his Utah State Department of Corrections Division of Institutional Operations Offender Assessment/ Re-assessment Form completed by B. Rogers dated April 1, 2014. In addition to the amendment he is seeking transcripts of his sentence in 1998 Case No. 981908325. Incomplete appeals process and documentation. Utah Code § 63G-2-603(2)(a). Second portion of appeal referred to State Archives. On 22 Oct. Mr. McCammon sent more material supporting his appeal. After reviewing the material it has been determined the State Records Committee cannot hear the appeal on the denial of requests to amend records pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-2-603(7) and by Title 63G, Chapter 4, Administrative Procedures Act. | | | | |
| **2014-60** | Robert Baker vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Baker is appealing the denial of receiving copies of Policy and Procedures at Utah State Prison, a litigation. The Records Officer’s response is that material is found in the Inmate Reference Library and available for viewing. Utah Code § 63G-2-201(8)(a)(v)(A). Mr. Baker did not complete the appeals process to the Chief Administrative Officer. Incomplete appeals process and documentation. 9 December Mr. Baker submitted the Chief Administrative Officer’s denial to his appeal. | | | | |
| **2014-61** | Terry Marble vs Department of Corrections, Medical Services (UDC) | | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| Mr. Marble is requesting a copy of his mental health psych testing results from August 8, 2014. He states the Bureau of Clinical Services referred him to the SRC. There is no initial request, appeals, or denials in the file. Incomplete appeals process and documentation. | | | | |
| **2014-62** | Chad Lambourne vs Utah Department of Health, Forensic Toxicology | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Lambourne is appealing the denial of a GRAMA request made to the Department of Health-Forensic Toxicology Lab. The Bureau of Forensic Toxicology maintains their records are classified as private (Utah Code § 26-3-7 and 63G-2-101 to 1001) and since classified records are not public there is no person assigned to respond to GRAMA requests. Ombudsman resolved the issue prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-63** | P. Robert Augason vs. University of Utah | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Augason is appealing the denial of records relating to the property, income, and trademark rights associated with various block “U” trademarks. | | | | |
| **2014-64** | Steven Holloway vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| Mr. Holloway is appealing the denial of records in regards to policy of Utah Code § 63G-2-201(8)(a)(iv). He requested addresses of specific places his checks were sent, where funds came from and by whom. It is unclear who denied the records request. Incomplete appeals process and documentation. | | | | |
| **2014-65** | R. Shane Johnson vs. Salt Lake City Parking Enforcement | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Johnson is appealing the partial denial of records by the Salt Lake City Parking Enforcement based on the records are classified as private under Utah Code §§ 63G-2-305(17)(18) and (23). Ombudsman resolved the issue prior to the scheduled hearing. | | | | |
| **2014-66** | Schatz/Anderson & Associates vs. Roosevelt Police Department | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing |  |
| Schatz/Anderson & Associates law firm is appealing the denial of their GRAMA requests by the Roosevelt City’s Chief Administrative Officer. The law firm is representing Jordan Benson and requested a copy of video and audio for a Reckless Driving Violation. The law firm is also representing Rory Curry and requested a copy of video and audio for an Open Container Violation. Both GRAMA requests were denied due to no response from the records officer or CAO of Roosevelt Police Department. Resolved through the ombudsman. | | | | |
| **2014-68** | Karl Losee vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| Mr. Losee is appealing the denial of photos from UDC. UDC denied the records request based on the classification of the requested photos as “protected” pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-2-305(10). Resolved through the ombudsman. | | | | |
| **2014-70** | John Montour vs Utah Department of Corrections, Clinical Services (UDC) | | Appeal denied based on previous Decision & Order. |  |
| Mr. Montour is appealing the denial of a Mental Health Evaluation performed by Clinical Services on approximately May 2014. Clinical Services denied the records request based on the classification of the requested records as “protected” pursuant to Utah Code §§ 63G-2-204 and 304. Case No. 99-02, Charles Watkins vs UDC denied access to mental health records was upheld by the SRC. After reviewing and consulting with the Chair and one other SRC member (R35-2-2(3)) the hearing is declined due to the appeal being submitted to SRC past the 30 day mark from CAO denial and SRC found subject of the appeal in previous hearing involving the same government entity to be appropriately classified as private, controlled, or protected. Utah Code §63G-2-403(4)(b)(i).(Case No. 99-02). | | | | |
| **2014-71** | Desai P. Harshad vs Garfield County, UT | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Desai is appealing the denial of records pertaining to Garfield County Assessor Department employee’s certification and meeting minutes. Garfield County Records Officer did not respond, however Mr. Desai did complete the appeals process and appeal to the chief administrative officer. | | | | |
| **2014-72** | Harshad vs Utah Tax Commission | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Desai is appealing the denial of the appraisal report for his property and is requesting certification dates of the state employees. The Tax Commission records officer did not respond default to a denial. | | | | |
| **2014-73** | Issac Lemus vs Utah Department of Human Services (DHS) | | **Appeal scheduled for April 2015.** |  |
| Durham Jones & Pinegar, on behalf of the Lemus Family, is appealing the partial denial of Isaac Lemus’ appeal to DHS. DHS redacted requested surveillance footage that renders the video footage unintelligible. | | | | |
| **2014-74** | Richard Gunn vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Gunn is appealing the denial of a fee waiver for records requested to submit as discovery in his case. The UDC denied his appeal based on that he has already received a fee waiver for more than 100 pages for 2014. | | | | |
| **2014-75** | Sheri Mandell vs Dammeron Valley Fire Special Service District (DVF SSD) | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Ms. Mandell is appealing the denial of receiving financial statements, records, fees and charges from the attorney(s) representing the DVF SSD in regards to all of the 2013-14 GRAMA requests, and the denial of financial statements, records and payments from the DVF SSD to the DVF SSD attorney(s) in regards to all the 2013-14 GRAMA requests by Ms. Mandell. Ms. Mandell appealed to the Washington County Commissioner, CAO, who partially granted Ms. Mandell’s GRAMA request, however the County has not been nonresponsive in providing the records.  2014-74 | | | | |
| **2014-76** | Paul Amann vs. Utah Department of Human Resource Management (DHRM) | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Amann is appealing the denial of records pertaining to a workplace harassment allegation against him by an employee at the Department of Commerce. DHRM denied his request pursuant to Utah Code §§ 63G-2-305(10)(a), (18), and (25) pending an investigation on subject’s conduct. | | | | |
| **2014-77** | Maximino Arriaga vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Arriaga is appealing the denial of an itemized service rendered record for medical expenses involving a disciplinary case and incident. The medical costs arose from injuries sustained by the individuals during an assault Mr. Arriaga was involved in. The records are classified private to other individuals pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-2-302(2)(d). | | | | |
| **2014-78** | Scott Gollaher vs. Department of Public Safety, Division of Peace Officers Standards and Training (POST). | | **Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015.** |  |
| Mr. Gollaher is appealing the failure of POST to respond to his GRAMA request for records specific to a Morgan County Detective that worked Mr. Gollaher’s criminal case. | | | | |
| **2014-79** | Dan Harrie, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Salt Lake City Police Review Board (PCRB) | | **Appeal scheduled for February 12, 2015.** |  |
| The *Tribune* is appealing the denial of a GRAMA request for copies of the Police Civilian Review Board (PCRB) report on the Dillan Taylor case. | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COMPLETE INDEX OF APPEALS TO THE STATE RECORDS COMMITTEE (81)** | | | |
| **Decision No.**  **(Archives Case No.)** | **Case Title/ Participants** | **Ruling** | **Appeal Status** |
| **14-02**  (2013-47) | Lee Davidson, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Utah State Tax Commission | Appeal partially granted |  |
| **14-01**  (2014-01) | William Hill vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-02 | Mark J. Kimball vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-03) | Robert B. Sykes vs. Career Service Review Office (CSRO) | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-04) | Deborah Reithmuller vs. Board of Pardons and Parole | Appeal denied. |  |
| **14-05**  (2014-05) | Lynn Packer vs. Attorney General’s Office | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-06) | Lynn Packer vs. Department of Administrative Services (DAS) | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-07) | Greg Wareham vs. Department of Workforce Services (DWS) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-08) | David Williams vs. Santa Clara, Ivins Public Safety Department | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-04**  (2014-09) | Jessica Phillips vs. West Jordan Police Department | Appeal granted. |  |
| (2014-10) | Robert O’Connor vs. Uintah County | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-11) | Suzanne Jansen vs. University of Utah | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-06**  (2014-12) | Corey Vonberg vs. Iron County Attorney’s Office | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-13) | Al Coggeshell vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. | Appealed to Third District Court. Case No. 140902157. Hearing dismissed. |
| **14-03**  (2014-14) | Nate Carlisle, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Bluffdale City, UT | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| (2014-15) | Wanda Thiel vs. Judicial Conduct Commission | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-16) | Damon Crist vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-17) | Chad Lambourne vs. Provo City, UT | No jurisdiction. |  |
| (2014-19) | Matthew Piper*, Salt Lake Tribune* vs. University of Utah | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-07**  (2014-20) | Sam Allen vs. Eagle Mountain City, UT | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| (2014-21) | Ken Cromar vs. City of Cedar Hills, UT | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-22) | William Sherratt vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-23) | Tracy J. Ercanbrack vs. Utah Department of Public Safety (DPS) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-24) | Chad Lambourne vs. West Jordan, UT | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-25) | Stephen Wale vs. Utah Risk Management Mutual Association (URMMA) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-08**  (2014-26) | Jack Jessop vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| **14-09**  (2014-27) | Justin Crosbie vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-28) | Chad Lambourne vs. Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-28) | Rand Henderson vs. Weber State University, University of Utah, and Utah Valley University | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-29) | Siddiga Ghanavi vs. Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-10**  (2014-30) | Corey Vonberg vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-31) | Ray Mullings vs. Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-12**  (2014-32) | Raymond Payne vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-33) | Rand Henderson vs. University of Utah | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-34) | Rand Henderson vs. Utah Valley University | Appeal withdrawn prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-35) | Corey Vonberg vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-36) | Al Coggeshell vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-37) | Raymond & Allyne Betancourt vs. Division of Services for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (DSDHH) | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-37) | P. Robert Augason vs. University of Utah | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-11**  (2014-38) | Cathy Johnson vs. Lieutenant Governor’s Office | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-39) | Lindsay Whitehurst, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. University of Utah | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-40) | Corey Vonberg vs. Iron County, UT | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-41) | Wayland Wyler vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Hearing denied. |  |
| (2014-42) | Matthew Piper vs. University of Utah | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-43) | Chad Lambourne vs. Pleasant Grove Police Department | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-44) | Paul Kimball vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-13**  (2014-45) | Garcia J. Dennis vs. Board of Pardons & Parole | Appeal denied. |  |
| **14-14**  (2014-46) | Alex Campbell, *BuzzFeed, Inc*. vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal partially granted. | Appealed to Third District Court. Case No. 140906834 |
| (2014-47) | John M. Warnick vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-48) | Alex Schmidt, Save Our Canyons vs. Utah Parks and Recreation | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-48) | John Victor Montour vs. Bureau of Forensic Toxicology | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-15**  (2014-49) | Harshad Desai vs. Garfield County School District | Appeal partially granted. |  |
| **14-17**  (2014-50) | Scott Gollaher vs. Salt Lake City Police Department | Appeal granted. |  |
| **14-16**  (2014-51) | Julie Holbrook vs. South Jordan City Council | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-52) | Roger Bryner vs. Salt Lake City Police Department | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-53) | Teodoro J. Gonzalez vs. Third District Court | Referred to State Archives. |  |
| (2014-54) | Richard Gunn vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-55) | Nate Carlisle/*Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Hildale, UT | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-56) | Dan Harrie/*Salt Lake Tribune* vs. City of West Jordan, UT | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-18**  (2014-57) | Laura Smith/*Truth in Advertising, Inc*. vs Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) | Appeal denied. |  |
| **14-21**  (2014-58) | Jordanelle Special Service District (JSSD) vs Office of the Utah State Auditor | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-59) | Brett McCammon vs Central Utah Correction Facility (UDC) | Appeal denied/ 2nd request referred to State Archives. |  |
| (2014-60) | Robert Baker vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-61) | Terry Marble vs Department of Corrections, Medical Services (UDC) | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-62) | Chad Lambourne vs Utah Department of Health, Forensic Toxicology | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-63) | P. Robert Augason vs. University of Utah | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-64) | Steven Holloway vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal incomplete. |  |
| (2014-65) | R. Shane Johnson vs. Salt Lake City Parking Enforcement | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| (2014-66) | Schatz/Anderson & Associates vs. Roosevelt Police Department | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-19**  (2014-67) | Daniel Rivera Jr. vs Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DHS, DCFS) | Appeal denied. |  |
| (2014-68) | Karl Losee vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal resolved prior to hearing. |  |
| **14-20**  (2014-69) | Laura Smith/*Truth in Advertising, Inc*. vs Department of Commerce, Division of Consumer Protection (DCP) | Appeal granted. |  |
| (2014-70) | John Montour vs Utah Department of Corrections, Clinical Services (UDC) | Appeal denied based on previous Decision & Order. |  |
| (2014-71) | Desai P. Harshad vs Garfield County, UT | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-72) | Harshad vs Utah Tax Commission | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-73) | Issac Lemus vs Utah Department of Human Services (DHS) | Appeal scheduled for April 2015. |  |
| (2014-74) | Richard Gunn vs Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-75) | Sheri Mandell vs Dammeron Valley Fire Special Service District (DVF SSD) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-76) | Paul Amann vs. Utah Department of Human Resource Management (DHRM) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-77) | Maximino Arriaga vs. Utah Department of Corrections (UDC) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-78) | Scott Gollaher vs. Department of Public Safety, Division of Peace Officers Standards and Training (POST) | Appeal scheduled for January 8, 2015. |  |
| (2014-79) | Dan Harrie, *Salt Lake Tribune* vs. Salt Lake City Police Review Board (PCRB) | Appeal scheduled for February 12, 2015. |  |