

AGENDA ITEM # XI-C.

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Iron County/Iron County MBA

Project Title: Cedar Highlands County Road Realignment & Improvement Project

Date Received: September 30, 2014

Date AOG Reviewed: October 8, 2014

Project Description:

This project will provide a new road realignment and reconstruction of the Cedar Highlands County Road to meet the increasing demands for year-round access to homes/properties on Cedar Mountain. Features of the new 15,187 foot (2.88 mile) road will include: 1) Reducing all road segment grades to 10% or less over the entire road length; 2) Relocated alignment away from steep cliffs and unprotected drop-offs; 3) Redesigned curves that are safer and wider to reduce the risk of head-on collisions; 4) Increased road width of 30' gravel with 28' finished chip seal all-weather road surface; 5) Road-side drainage and culverts to facilitate storm runoff and snow melt with additional R-O-W width; 6) Additional clearance along road corridors to accommodate snow removal and storage; 7) Improved signage and visibility sight distances for on-coming traffic; 8) Planning for sewer main line in road right-of-way to help reduce risk of landslide activity; 9) Appropriate right-of-way acquired by Iron County from BLM to resolve title issues.
PROPOSED FUNDING: Iron County & Other Local In-kind: \$21,600; CIB Loan \$1,500,000 20yrs @ 1.5% and CIB Grant \$1,478,567.
Total project cost: \$3,000,167

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The County Commission has determined that it is time to work with BLM, and Cedar Highlands' residents, to build a road that can provide safe year-round access. The new road will be designed and constructed to county road standards, with clear title, to become part of the county class B system and can then be accepted and maintained by the county road department, rather than remain a dangerous road maintained by private contractors.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

The Cedar Highlands subdivision sits in the Green Lakes landslide area, mapped as a geological concern. The Green Lakes Road that runs up the face of the landslide has provided summer access to Cedar Mountain since the turn of the century (1900), or earlier. The steep grades and tight curves have made travel on this road a treacherous endeavor – at any time of the year, but especially precarious in the winter seasons.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project and voted to:
___ **support** this project. ___ **remain neutral** regarding this project. ___ **not support** this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # XI-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Tropic Town

Project Title: Project to Purchase Essential Pumper Truck

Date Received: September 30, 2014

Date AOG Reviewed: October 8, 2014

Project Description:

This project will involve the purchase of a new fire truck that is necessary for the Tropic Volunteer Fire Department (TVFD) to adequately response to all hazards including fire suppression, search and rescue, seismic, atmospheric technological (hazardous materials and events or incidents involving chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or explosive devices-CBRNE). TVFD provides fire protection to the Town of Tropic and surrounding districts, which encompasses two hundred (200) square miles. They also provide fire protection to three small additional communities, crude oil storage and transfer facility, and Bryce Canyon Airport.
PROPOSED FUNDING: Other Local Cash (Garfield County): \$100,000; CIB Grant \$310,000.
Total project cost: \$410,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The area included in the TVFD initial response is mountainous terrain, the TVFD will respond from 6,308 to 9,095 feet above sea level. The purchase of a new engine will improve response time and safety of their firefighters by allowing them to reach their destination more quickly and ready to complete their mission. The adequately sized team would be safely restrained in the engine, donning PPE, and receiving instructions from the dispatch while enroute. This would eliminate the need to drive personal vehicles to the scene without any type of traffic warning devices, adding to the traffic congestion in eroute and on scene; then once on scene donning PPE and receiving orders from the Incident Command.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

The rural fire department's budget is very limited. The majority of their \$27,000 budget (\$16,000) is obligated to paying standard operational costs of the department and repairs of an aging 23 years old engine, the repairs of the engine have required it to be taken out of service and towed 12 miles to be repaired at the only minor facility in the area; the closest major repair facility is located in Cedar City ninety-five miles away. The pump on the engine has begun to have problems.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project and voted to:
___ **support** this project. ___ **remain neutral** regarding this project. ___ **not support** this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # XI-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: City of Enterprise

Project Title: Enterprise Fire Station Meeting/Training Room

Date Received: September 29, 2014

Date AOG Reviewed: October 8, 2014

Project Description:

The City of Enterprise is in the process of building a new fire station in Enterprise. The existing fire station is undersized and is unable to meet the needs of the City and the surrounding areas. In addition to and concurrent with the new fire station project, the City would like to construct a Meeting/Training room. They are proposing a 50 X 70 Meeting/Training Room adjoining our new Fire Station. It will be stick-framed, slab on grade.

PROPOSED FUNDING: Applicant Cash (Enterprise City): \$49,210; CIB Loan \$227,000 30 yrs @ 1.5%; CIB Grant \$227,000.

Total project cost: \$503,210.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

Training is vital in any successful emergency service, but this is especially true with volunteers. The current facility does not have sufficient room to allow for training of all our volunteers at one time. The City has said that the new addition will greatly enhance their training capabilities and that will in turn benefit all of the City residents and others in the surrounding area.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

According to the City they do not have a large enough population and tax base to build the entire project because they are in a very rural part of the state and many surrounding areas and agencies depend on them and their emergency response. Their ambulance area covers more than 400 sq. miles. The City has said that they are unable to complete the construction of the Meeting/Training Room without help from CIB. The City has committed a large amount of their own funds for the projects, and successfully sought grant funding from the CDBG funding.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project and voted to:
 support this project. **remain neutral** regarding this project. **not support** this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director