1 2	Pleasant Grove City Joint Work Session City Council and Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
3	April 22, 2014
4	6:00 p.m.
5	PRESENT:
6	Mayor: Mike Daniels
7 8	Council Members:
9	Dianna Andersen
10	Cindy Boyd
11	Cyd LeMone
12	Jay Meacham
13	Ben Stanley
14	
15	Staff Present:
16	Scott Darrington, City Administrator
17	David Larson, Assistant to the City Administrator
18	Dean Lundell, Finance Director
19	Deon Giles, Parks and Recreation Director
20	Degen Lewis, City Engineer
21	Ken Young, Community Development Director
22	Sheri Britsch, Arts and Culture Director
23	Kathy Kresser, City Recorder
24 25	Tina Petersen, City Attorney
25 26	Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief Mike Smith, Police Chief
20 27	Marcus Wager, Planning Intern
28	Lynn Walker, Public Works Director
29	Lymi warker, rubile works Director
30	Planning Commission:
31	Eric Jensen
32	Scott Richards
33	
34	Other:
35	Taylor Oldroyd, Utah County Association of Realtors
36	
37	The City Council and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grov
38	Utah.
39	
40	1) CALL TO ODDED
41 42	1) <u>CALL TO ORDER.</u>
43	Mayor Daniels called the meeting to order and noted that Council Members Andersen, Boy
44	LeMone, Meacham and Stanley were present.
45	
46	2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
47	

Jennifer Baptista led the Pledge of Allegiance.

OPENING REMARKS.

Planning Commission Member, Scott Richards, gave the opening remarks.

4) **CONSENT ITEMS:**

a) <u>To consider for approval Partial Payment No. 1 for the Grove Creek Irrigation</u> Pipe Project for S & L Inc.

b) To consider for approval Partial Payment No. 2 for the 1300 West Pleasant Grove Boulevard intersection Traffic Signal and ATMS Fiber Optic System for B. Jackson Construction and Engineering.

ACTION: Council Member LeMone moved to approve the consent items. Council Member Andersen seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

5) <u>Library update.</u> Presenter: Director Britsch

Arts and Culture Director, Sheri Britsch, gave a photo tour of the library to show the updates made over the past three months. Leather benches were added to the entryway, junk bins have been removed, and bookcases decluttered. Director Britsch stated that they worked to fix small problems that have been an issue for a long time such as putting up bathroom signs and hanging curtains by the stairs. Book shelves have been weeded out to make room for new books and the space has been organized. The new organization has taken a lot of stress off of library staff and helped patrons find their way around the bookshelves.

Director Britsch reported that they have purchased four radio headsets to improve customer service. The library has no reference desk so the headsets allow staff to assist customers while walking around the library. Colorful benches have been added to the children's area and there is more work to be done in that space.

Council Member LeMone was impressed with the updates and changes made on such a tight budget. She applauded Director Britsch's efforts to improve customer service. Council Member Andersen asked what needs to happen to make the two-story library handicap accessible. Director Britsch indicated that an elevator is the first step. Once the children's books are moved to the lower level they will widen the space between book shelves to allow wheelchairs to move among the shelves. The lower floor restroom is currently handicap accessible. Council Member Andersen recommended giving teachers in the community a tour to show them the changes that have been made.

Council Member Meacham asked what will change with the library programs after the children's books have been moved to the lower level. Director Britsch stated that a divider will be put up to separate the book area from the program space in the large room. Council Member Boyd stated that the library's programs have been very successful and she felt it was important to ensure that there is enough space available for the programs continue to be successful and grow. Director Britsch

responded the content of the library is almost less important than having a reason to get together and learn as a community. She assured the Council that there will be ample space for the programs.

Director LeMone asked if patrons have noticed the changes. Director Britsch responded that many patrons have commented that the library looks nice and that there are more new books. She explained that there are actually fewer books but the organizing and weeding out has made the books more accessible.

Director Britsch stated that they hope to have a large portion of the children's books moved to the lower level before the summer reading season begins. The carpet and paint will likely not begin until fall. After that process is complete they will move the remainder of the children's books. Council Member Boyd asked if they have considered putting tables or a pavilion in the outdoor area. Director Britsch said she has not looked into the outside yet but anticipates needing to use the space for summer programs. She would like to have a drive up drop off as well as new letters for the entrance to the children's area; however, those are projects are further down the road.

6) Discussion on accessory apartments.

City Administrator, Scott Darrington, stated that in 2009 the City began discussions regarding accessory apartments. The City's current ordinances state that accessory apartments are illegal outside of having a family member or caretaker live in the apartment. Over time many accessory apartments have turned up in the City and in 2009, a public hearing was held to get input and feedback from residents. The public was greatly divided on the topic. A committee was created to come up with possible solutions. A model ordinance was created based on what was generated by the committee. The model ordinance was brought to the City Council in 2010 and the Council was divided. As a result, no conclusion was reached and the matter was left as-is.

Administrator Darrington informed the Council that the current ordinance states that accessory apartments are illegal. The City, however, chooses not to enforce it unless there are health, safety or nuisance issues. In 2012, the City Council discussed addressing the matter again but ultimately decided not to. There have been requests from community members to readdress the issue, which is why it is again on the agenda. Administrator Darrington stated that before staff puts resources toward finding possible solutions, they want to know if the majority of the Council feels strongly one way or the other.

Council Member Meacham asked how new construction is handled when it looks like there may be an accessory apartment. Community Development Director, Ken Young, stated that a second kitchen affidavit must be signed stating that the second kitchen is for one family use and is not to be used as a separate rental unit. The builder signs the contract and the City does not follow up to make sure the affidavit is adhered to. The affidavit is recorded with the County but someone who later purchases the home may not be aware of it. Administrator Darrington stated that the affidavit gives the City authority if there is an issue with an accessory apartment.

Director Young clarified that there are zones, such as the Grove Zone, where accessory apartments are permitted but not in the R1 and RR Zones. Older homes in the R1 and RR Zones may have them as well because in older codes there was a permissible situation.

 Mayor Daniels asked if the Council was in favor of expanding accessory apartments generally throughout the City. All Council Members were in favor of at least discussing and looking for new solutions. Council Members LeMone and Andersen were in favor of creating a new solution. Council Members Boyd and Meacham were more hesitant to address the topic again. Council Member Stanley was neutral.

Council Member Boyd wanted to be sure the City has the financial backing and manpower to enforce this type of ordinance once it is written and passed. She also expressed concern about the burden a new ordinance could bring to the citizens.

Council Member Meacham did not like the fact that the City does not allow accessory apartments, but chooses to turn a blind eye to them. He felt there were likely many people in the community who do not know they are in violation. Council Member Meacham wanted to know if the community has a need for these types of apartments.

 Council Member Andersen and LeMone felt that accessory apartments already exist in the community and are beneficial. The City should define them and find a way to make them work. Council Member Stanley requested that the topic be discussed at the retreat. He felt there were compelling arguments on both sides but it is fundamentally unfair to have rules that are not being enforced. This leaves the citizens who want to follow the rules and be good citizens at a disadvantage to those who are not concerned about following the ordinance. He thought it may work to have an approach allowing neighborhoods to have different guidelines.

Council Member Meacham expressed concern that timing may not be right. He said that while there is a divide on the topic, there are divides on many other items as well. He wondered if it might be worth to delay the matter for roughly one year until some of the other issues are resolved. Council Member Boyd agreed that the timing may not be right and indicated that when the matter was discussed years ago, the community got very involved and the discussions heated.

Mayor Daniels stated that there are subjects that no matter when they are addressed will attract more attention than others. He asked the Planning Commission to share their feelings on the issue. Commissioner Richards stated that as a real estate professional, accessory apartments are market driven and there is demand for them based on the economy and other factors. It makes it difficult for the City to appear professional when there is a rule that is not enforced. When people are looking for homes he advises them to talk to the City about accessory apartments. He felt the City should come up with a way to enforce compliance. He believed the main issue will be the R1 Zone and throughout the City. It will be even more difficult to enforce if each neighborhood has different rules. The apartments will likely be safer with City enforcement.

Commissioner Jensen stated that when he was newly married and in college, he lived in one of these types of apartments. He was a good tenant and contributed to the neighborhood in a positive way. That is not always the case with these types of apartments, especially when there are no regulations or enforcement from the City. He commented that many residents have expressed a concern and want a resolution.

NAB Chairperson, Libby Flegal, stated that she has received several calls in the last few days on the matter. There are five homes in her neighborhood with accessory apartments. All have nice tenants

who cause no problems. One of the phone calls she received was from a widow who would not be able to keep her home without renting out the basement apartment. She has spoken with different people who indicated that they would have lost their homes without the rental income from their accessory apartments.

Fire Chief, Marc Sanderson, said that something needs to be done from an emergency response perspective. If they are responding to a structure fire and there is a family living in the basement that they do not know about, there could be major problems. Emergency responders need to know where these apartments are.

 Taylor Oldroyd from the Utah County Association of Realtors stated that he attended the meeting several years ago. This topic can be a lightning rod especially in communities that ignore it. He remarked that the longer it is ignored, the harder it will be to fix. He has learned from other communities that this is more of a discussion of impact than housing. He advised the City to have clear rules and enforce them. Most of the negative impacts can be mitigated simply by nuisance ordinances. Mr. Oldroyd said that Orem recently lifted a 10-year moratorium on accessory apartments. Orem City asked residents register their apartments regardless of whether there is currently someone living in them. He agreed that safety was a huge factor that could be addressed in the ordinance.

Council Member LeMone asked Mr. Oldroyd if he felt people would have these apartments regardless of whether they are allowed. He believed they would. He stated that accessory apartments should not have a negative impact on any property value. A nice attractive accessible accessory apartment can actually increase the value of a home.

Jared Bingham reported that he has owned an accessory apartment in another City and currently lives in a home with a basement apartment that has not been legally constructed. He noted that the construction was not done to code. Mr. Bingham supports accessory apartments and thinks Orem City has done an excellent job. If the City makes a policy that allows for accessory apartments, a large portion of the community will comply with the ordinance and ultimately make for safer apartments.

Jack Freeman gave his address as 100 North 400 East and stated that when he bought his home, his was one of the most beautiful streets in the City. Since then his street has gone downhill due in part to accessory apartments. He was concerned about where all of the vehicles will park. Having so many cars on the street detracts from the appearance of the community. Too many cars on the street makes it difficult to beautify his property. Mr. Freeman owns more than one car and always has his vehicles in his driveway. There are times when there are so many cars on the street that he cannot get into his own driveway.

Director Young stated that accessory apartments are an important aspect of the housing of a community and plays an important role in Pleasant Grove. He felt that the City was missing out on a better situation by not regulating them. He suggested dealing with existing accessory apartments different than new construction. Based on previous efforts, staff created a proposed ordinance for the regulation of accessory apartments in the City. It never came forward for review and approval but it is available for Council review.

 It seemed to Mayor Daniels that the Council is leaning toward being in favor of accessory apartments or at least being in favor of resolving the issue. The majority seem to think that ignoring the issue is wrong. He explained that they are currently working on a new Public Safety Building proposal, which is heavily involving the community. The City has not yet addressed the roads that need to be fixed. Both are issues that the citizens will want to be largely involved in. Accessory apartments will be another important topic for the community to be part of. He asked if staff has the capacity to tackle the issues simultaneously or if they need to prioritize the list.

Council Member Stanley wanted to see the issue addressed sooner than later. He felt it was fundamentally unfair to have policies in place that some are complying with because they want to follow all of the rules and others who are ignoring them because they know it is not enforced. He considered this to be a different kind of discussion than roads and the Public Safety Building because those topics will have a huge financial impact on the citizens. This topic should be crafted to avoid as much financial impact as possible.

 Mayor Daniels said that after being involved in this discussion for many years he knows there is the potential to have large numbers of people want to participate in the discussion. It may not be a financial impact but it will be a time impact and difficult for the citizens to be as involved in as they would like to be. It may also be difficult for staff to manage controversial subjects all at once. He felt the Council owes it to the public to do a good job at whatever issues they take on.

Council Member Stanley felt this was not as important an issue as the Public Safety Building and roads and was comfortable tackling accessory apartments next year. He would, however, like to see it addressed during his term.

Council Member Andersen said she may not understand what a difficult conversation this can be with the community but would like to read the draft that was previously written. She remarked that Orem's policy allowed a two-year grace period for accessory apartments to comply with the new standards. She was comfortable taking on the issue now, especially if there is a draft ordinance already in place.

Council Member LeMone commented that public safety and roads will likely be an issue for several years to come. Accessory apartments may not be that difficult to address if they are simply making them legal and adding regulations. It will be up to Director Young's department to determine if they can handle the work load and conduct the needed research. There will always be major issues in the City and reasons to put off difficult topics. She agreed that staff and the Council need to do the best job possible with all issues they take on, but felt this would be the time to look into accessory apartments.

Council Member Meacham did not think it was a matter of staff or the Council being able to handle the work load. Any time there is an item that will draw more people than can fit in the Council room, reaching a conclusion is difficult.

Mayor Daniels commented that it is difficult to manage the process of communicating the information to the citizenry in the necessary way. The City has a poor track record of doing so with respect to this topic. If the City cannot solve the communication hurdle of effectively reaching out to people and getting feedback, every time there is a difficult topic it will become unmanageable.

1 2

Council Member Boyd stated the ordinance will not be difficult to write. The Council and City will need to educate the citizenry in a transparent way that helps create the correct perception. It is difficult to do so on such a large scale.

Mr. Oldroyd's recollection of the public hearing held years ago was that the Council asked the community come and give their input. Those meetings can always get heated. He suggested having a proposed ordinance ready to discuss and offered to be present to discuss impacts. He also suggested having other industry professionals present to help address questions and concerns.

Mayor Daniels suggested making a decision on what direction to move after Thursday's meeting regarding the Public Safety Building. The Council agreed. Council Member LeMone said that regardless of when they decide to tackle the issue, they should conduct the meeting in the manner suggested by Mr. Oldroyd. The Council requested that Director Young email them the previously written ordinance.

7) Signing of plats.

The Council signed Thorneberry Sub Plat A.

8) Staff, city council and mayor business.

Parks and Recreation Director, Deon Giles, invited all to the Arbor Day Celebration to be held the following Saturday at 8:00 a.m. at Discovery Park.

Administrator Darrington said that Public Safety Building public meeting is scheduled for Thursday at 7:00 p.m. at the Junior High. The meeting is not a public hearing. Input from the public will be written down on cards for staff and committee members to review afterwards. The purpose of the meeting is to let the public know what the process will be and how the City will proceed over the next few months. The meeting was to be one hour long.

9) Adjourn.

ACTION: Council Member Stanley moved to adjourn. Council Member LeMone seconded the motion, and the motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 8:07 p.m.

This certifies that the City Council Minutes of April 22, 2014 are a true,

41 full and correct copy as approved by

42 the City Council on May 20, 2014

46 Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder

(Exhibits are in the City Council Minutes binders in the Recorder's office.)